IFITM Proteins Incorporated into HIV-1 Virions Impair Viral Fusion and Spread
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SUMMARY

The interferon-induced transmembrane (IFITM) proteins protect cells from diverse virus infections by inhibiting virus-cell fusion. IFITM proteins also inhibit HIV-1 replication through mechanisms only partially understood. We show that when expressed in uninfected lymphocytes, IFITM proteins exert protective effects during cell-free virus infection, but this restriction can be overcome upon HIV-1 cell-to-cell spread. However, when present in virus-producing lymphocytes, IFITM proteins colocalize with viral Env and Gag proteins and incorporate into nascent HIV-1 virions to limit entry into new target cells. IFITM in viral membranes is associated with impaired virion fusion, offering additional and more potent defense against virus spread. Thus, IFITM proteins act additively in both productively infected cells and uninfected target cells to inhibit HIV-1 spread, potentially conferring these proteins with greater breadth and potency against enveloped viruses.

INTRODUCTION

Viruses, as obligate intracellular parasites, seize control of various compartments of the host cell to complete their life cycle. Viral replication requires the recruitment of cellular cofactors as well as the evasion of cell-intrinsic immune effectors that protect nearly every cellular niche from viral invasion. These antiviral factors, known as host restriction factors, reside in the cytoplasm, the nucleus, the plasma membrane, and the viral particle itself. The localization of restriction factors in the cell often corresponds to the step of the virus life cycle with which it interferes. For example, the nuclear dNTPase SAMHD1 depletes the pool of nucleotide triphosphates needed for viral reverse transcription (Lagut and Benkirane, 2012), while Mx2 restricts a postentry event in the cytoplasm prior to integration (Haller, 2013). APOBEC3G becomes incorporated into nascent budding virions and hypermutates the viral genome (Malim and Bieniasz, 2012). Tetherin (or BST-2) traps virions to the plasma membrane, blocking their release (Malim and Bieniasz, 2012). Newcomers to this category of membrane-bound restriction factors are the interferon-induced transmembrane (IFITM) proteins. The human genome encodes at least five IFITM proteins, including three members with reported antiviral activity (IFITM1, IFITM2, and IFITM3) (Brass et al., 2009; Diamond and Farzan, 2013; Perreira et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2014). The antiviral IFITM proteins are nearly ubiquitously expressed and are further upregulated by type I interferons (IFN) (Siegrist et al., 2011). IFITM5 expression is restricted to osteoblasts and is required for bone mineralization, while the function of IFITM10 is unknown (Diamond and Farzan, 2013).Residents of cellular membranes at the interior and exterior of the cell, IFITM1, IFITM2, and IFITM3 may represent the earliest acting restriction factors yet identified. Previous reports demonstrate that they block virus entry (Brass et al., 2009; Huang et al., 2011) at the level of virus-cell fusion by affecting the biophysical properties (Desai et al., 2014; Li et al., 2013) or composition (Amini-Bavil-Olyaee et al., 2013) of the cellular membranes in which they are found. These proteins display antiviral function against many enveloped viruses, including influenza A virus (IAV), West Nile virus, dengue virus, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus, hepatitis C virus, and Ebola virus (Perreira et al., 2013). While the majority of studies have relied on in vitro infection systems, it is well established that IFITM3 restricts IAV infection in vivo. Iftim3-deficient mice fail to clear infection by otherwise mild strains of IAV (Bailey et al., 2012; Everitt et al., 2012), while a polymorphism in human ifitm3 is enriched in patients hospitalized for severe influenza disease (Everitt et al., 2012). IFITM proteins have also been reported to inhibit HIV-1 replication. IFITM2 and IFITM3 impact HIV-1 entry, while IFITM1 may act by additional mechanisms (Jia et al., 2012; Lu et al., 2011). However, the effect on HIV-1 entry is relatively modest and depends on the experimental system (Brass et al., 2009; Lu et al., 2011). Establishing IFITM proteins as bona fide restriction factors of HIV-1, or any virus, will require the use of relevant in vitro assays, as well as an understanding of if and how the virus evades or antagonizes this activity. Furthermore, since IFITM proteins also play roles in cell adhesion, antiproliferation, and signaling (Diamond and Farzan, 2013), it is important to identify additional functions that these proteins may perform during viral infection.
Using an in vitro coculture system designed to measure virus spread between lymphocytes, we report anti-HIV functions of IFITM proteins in virus-producing cells. IFITM proteins present in the uninfected cell are poorly effective at blocking HIV-1 entry, yet in cells that are already infected, they incorporate into virions and diminish virus infectivity. We demonstrate that IFITM proteins exert their anti-HIV activity most potently from within the virus membrane. Thus, this class of restriction factor impinges on the viral life cycle of HIV-1, and possibly other enveloped viruses, at multiple steps.

RESULTS

Revealing the Antiviral Potential of IFITM Proteins in Virus-Producing Cells

While the role of IFITM proteins as inhibitors of virus entry is well established, the experimental systems used to characterize this antiviral function have relied on cell-free virus infections (Smith et al., 2014). However, HIV-1 spreads effectively in culture and likely within infected individuals by passing directly between cells in a process known as cell-to-cell transmission (Dale et al., 2013; Sattentau, 2011; Sourisseau et al., 2007; Murooka et al., 2012). We used previously described doxycycline-inducible CD4+ SupT1 T cell lines (Figure S1A, available online), engineered to allow expression of FLAG-IFITM3, to describe their effect during HIV-1 replication. In these cells, a fraction of IFITM3 is found at the cell surface, as assessed by flow cytometry (Figure S1B).

As reported (Lu et al., 2011), induction of IFITM3 in target cells followed by incubation with cell-free virions resulted in a potent block to viral replication (Figure 1A). However, increasing the viral inoculum lead to a less marked restriction (Figure S1C), suggesting that this antiviral effect may be partly saturable. We then assessed the ability of IFITM to impact direct viral cell-to-cell spread. We used a transient coculture system (Malbec et al., 2013; Sourisseau et al., 2007), in which HIV-1-infected donor T cells were mixed with dye-labeled SupT1 target cells. After 2 hr of coculture, target cells were isolated using a cell sorter. Infection of target cells was assessed up to 3 days later by measuring Gag expression (Figure 1B). The Gag signal in targets was due to de novo synthesis, since it was significantly reduced when donor cells had little to no effect on inhibiting virus spread (Figure 1B). This lack of antiviral effect was observed when donor cells were infected at different levels (Figure 1B). Thus, IFITM3-expressing cells are no longer protected against infection from
cell-associated virus, partly because local titers using virus-producing cells are high. This led us to ask whether IFITM3 possesses other antiviral functions that are robust to this mode of HIV spread. We modified the coculture system to include conditions in which IFITM3 was induced either in infected donors, in targets, or in both (Figure 1C). While IFITM3 in uninfected target cells had minimal effect, IFITM3 in the donors decreased HIV transmission approximately 2-fold (Figures 1D and 1E). A more potent inhibition was observed when both donors and targets expressed IFITM3, which resulted in a 5-fold decrease in productive target cell infection. This unexpected role of IFITM3 was confirmed using another coculture system in which the virus does not spread in the donor cells. We cotransfected 293T cells with an HIV-1 provirus and an IFITM3-expressing plasmid and cocultured them with IFITM3-negative or -positive SupT1 cells. A 5-fold inhibition of virus transmission to target cells was observed in this system, and inhibition was further enhanced when target cells also expressed IFITM3 (Figure 1F). Titration of the ifitm3-encoding plasmid demonstrated that the inhibition of transmission was dependent on the amount of IFITM3, while a control plasmid encoding MxA had no effect (Figure S1D).

Together, these coculture experiments identify that HIV-1, by spreading intercellularly, escapes canonical IFITM-mediated restriction in the uninfected target cell. However, IFITM proteins potently inhibit the spread of virus from cells that are already infected.

Real-Time Analysis of the Impact of IFITM3 on HIV-1 Cell-to-Cell Spread

To further document the effect of IFITM3, we performed a dynamic analysis of viral spread, using HIV-1 encoding an IRES GFP cassette (Wildum et al., 2006). Infected SupT1 cells were mixed with target SupT1 cells expressing an RFP marker. Cells were then plated in microplates coated with fibronectin and visualized by time-lapse fluorescence microscopy with images acquired every 5 min for up to 60 hr. Representative examples of viral spread, in the absence of IFITM3 or with IFITM3 expressed in both donors and targets, are shown in Movie S1. Time-lapse analysis showed that in the absence of IFITM3, HIV-1 efficiently spread to new targets, measured as double GFP+ RFP+ objects (Figures 2A and S2A). IFITM3 significantly impaired viral propagation, with a 4-fold decrease in the number of newly infected target cells after 60 hr of continuous coculture (Figure 2A). As expected, an Env-defective virus (HIV-1ΔEnv) did not spread to new recipients (Figure 2A), and Nevirapine abrogated viral replication (data not shown). Cells were interrogated at the end of the coculture by flow cytometry to confirm the effect of IFITM3 on viral spread (Figure S2B). We then visually scored the appearance of syncytia, identified as giant GFP+RFP+ cells eventually displaying bubble-like membrane extensions (Figure 2C). IFITM3 strongly decreased the number of syncytia observed, a phenomenon previously attributed to IFITM proteins in the context of other viruses (Li et al., 2013; Lin et al., 2013) (Figures 2B and 2C). Image extraction at different time points demonstrated that in the presence of IFITM3, infected donor GFP+ cells formed clusters with target RFP+ cells, but in most cases these structures did not lead to cell fusion (Figures 2B and 2C). We also performed a time-lapse microscopy analysis of viral spread when IFITM3 was induced in donors or in targets alone. The main antiviral effect was observed when IFITM3 was present in the donor cells (Figure S2C). Therefore, the inhibitory effect of IFITM3 on HIV-1 cell-to-cell transmission is associated with a significant decrease of fusion between infected and target cells, suggesting a decrease in virus production from infected cells and/or a defect in the fusion capacity of membranes present at cell-cell contacts.

IFITM3 Diminishes Virus Infectivity and Incorporates into Virions

To uncover the mechanisms involved in this restriction, we studied the impact of expressing IFITM3 in productively infected cells. In SupT1 cells, overnight induction of IFITM3 following infection resulted in moderately decreased levels of intracellular Gag and surface Env proteins, as measured by the mean fluorescence intensity of these two proteins in a fraction of infected cells (Figure 3A). This slight decrease may be the result of IFITM3 preventing viral spread to new targets or of a direct effect of IFITM3 on the production or stability of these viral proteins. Accordingly, the amount of Gag antigen detected in the supernatants was decreased (Figure 3B).

Notably, virus recovery from the supernatants, followed by sucrose purification, normalization to p24 antigen, and infection of fresh SupT1 target cells, revealed that IFITM3 proteins strongly reduced virion infectivity; virus produced from IFITM3-expressing infected cells was 4–5 times less infectious (Figure 3C). The decrease in infectivity was 2-fold when virions were tested on HeLa-CD4 reporter cells (Figure S3A). HIV-1 likely utilizes different entry pathways when infecting T cells or HeLa-CD4 cells, and this may affect virus sensitivity to restriction by IFITM3.

To further describe the effect of IFITM3 on infectivity, we analyzed virion content. When IFITM3 was present in the virus-producing cell, it was detected in released virus particles, purified by a double ultracentrifugation through sucrose and Optiprep cushions (Figure 3D). IFITM3 also cosedimented with Gag proteins when viral particles were highly purified on a 6%–18% Optiprep velocity gradient to discriminate between virus particles and microvesicles (Figure S3B). Notably, IFITM3 did not affect the levels of viral envelope glycoproteins incorporated into virions (Figure 3D). Detergent treatment, to strip away the viral membrane (Accola et al., 2000), removed the membrane-associated p17 Gag (MA), as well as IFITM3, while viral core protein p24 Gag (CA) remained (Figure 3D). This strongly suggests an association of IFITM3 with the viral membrane rather than with the core.

We then performed a dose-response analysis of the antiviral effect of IFITM3. By incrementally increasing IFITM3 protein levels in 293T cells, we observed increasing amounts of IFITM3 incorporated into virions, correlating with a decrease in particle infectivity (Figure 3E). Levels of Gag in 293T cells, as well as Gag released in supernatants, were minimally affected by IFITM3 expression (Figure S3C). To provide further evidence of IFITM3 incorporation into virus particles, virus prepared in the presence or absence of IFITM3-FLAG was immunolabeled with anti-FLAG and protein A-gold. Immunogold labeling revealed specific detection of IFITM3 at or close to the virion surface (Figures 3F and S4A).
Endogenous IFITM Proteins Restrict HIV-1 Cell-to-Cell Transmission

Our experiments show that the ectopic expression of IFITM3 in virus-producing SupT1 lymphocytes and 293T cells restricts viral spread. We used HeLa cells to address whether endogenous IFITM proteins also exhibit this antiviral potential. Flow cytometry analysis with two antibodies recognizing IFITM1 and IFITM2/3, respectively, indicated that HeLa cells naturally express IFITM2/3 and very little of IFITM1 (Lu et al., 2011). The expression of all three proteins was upregulated by type I IFN (Figure 4A). Furthermore, endogenous IFITM2/3 is incorporated into virions produced from HeLa cells, but not from 293T cells, which express only trace amounts of IFITM proteins (Figures S4B and S4C). We thus silenced the three ifitm genes by siRNA in type I IFN-treated cells (Figure 4A). These cells were infected with VSV-G pseudotyped HIV-1, and their ability to transmit infection was assessed by performing a coculture with SupT1 target cells. Knockdown of endogenous IFITM proteins resulted in a 2- to 3-fold increase in virus transmission to targets (Figure 4B) and the production of virions that were 3-fold more infectious (Figure 4C). Thus, endogenous IFITM proteins in infected cells limit viral cell-to-cell spread and reduce virion infectivity.

IFITM in Virus-Producing Cells Prevents Fusion between Virus and Target Cells

We next explored the mechanism by which IFITM3 in donor cells may diminish virion infectivity. As IFITM residing in endosomal membranes block the entry of many viruses at the stage of fusion, we predicted that IFITM localized to the viral membrane would have similar effects. To quantify HIV-1 entry, we used a virion fusion assay, which allows the discrimination between viral entry into the cytoplasm and endosomal capture.
use of viruses containing a β-lactamase-Vpr (βlam-Vpr) protein chimera. The cytoplasmic access of βlam-Vpr as a result of fusion, after 2 hr of infection, is monitored by the enzymatic cleavage of CCF2-AM, a fluorogenic substrate of IFITM3. Virions were individually incorporated into particles (Figure S5 A), and levels of IFITM3 in 293T cell lysates and purified virions are shown in the lower panels.

(F) Purified virus particles, produced in 293T cells cotransfected with pNL4-3 and either IFITM3-FLAG or control plasmid, were fixed and immunogold labeled with anti-FLAG. Representative fields are shown. Other fields are shown in Figure S4 A. Scale bar, 100 nm. A Wilcoxon signed-rank test was performed on raw values in (B) and (C), and a one-sample t test was performed in (E): *p < 0.05. See also Figures S3 and S4.

(Cavrois et al., 2002; Roesch et al., 2012). This assay relies on the use of viruses containing a β-lactamase-Vpr (βlam-Vpr) protein chimera. The cytoplasmic access of βlam-Vpr as a result of fusion, after 2 hr of infection, is monitored by the enzymatic cleavage of CCF2-AM, a fluorogenic substrate of β-lactamase loaded in target cells. A typical experiment showed that virions produced in the presence of IFITM3 exhibited a marked defect for fusion with recipient SupT1 lymphocytes (Figure 5A). A negative control, HIV encoding fusion-defective Env (HIV-1 Gag p24 (CA)) in supernatants was harvested, and infectivity was assessed on HeLa-CD4 cells, with 100% corresponding to virions produced without IFITM3. Mean ± SD of four independent experiments is shown (see also Figure S3A for infectivity assay on HeLa-CD4 cells).

(Virus infectivity and incorporates into Virions)

SupT1 cells were infected with HIV-1 for 48 hr and induced or not induced to express FLAG-IFITM3. Levels of HIV-1 Env at the surface and of intracellular Gag were measured by flow cytometry, with the percentage of Gag+Env+ cells noted. One representative experiment is shown.

(B) Left: the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of Gag was measured in the fraction of Gag+ cells. Right: Gag p24 antigen levels in the supernatants measured by ELISA. Gag MFI and p24 levels in the absence of IFITM3 were normalized to 100%. Mean ± SD of five independent experiments is shown.

(C) Viral supernatants from IFITM3 positive and negative SupT1 cells were tested for infectivity. Viral particles were purified, and the inoculum (adjusted for 25 ng p24) was added to fresh SupT1 cells. Infection was assessed by measuring the fraction of Gag+ cells after 2 days. Infection in the absence of IFITM3 was normalized to 100%. Mean ± SD of five independent experiments is shown.

(Figure 3. IFITM3 in Infected Cells Diminishes Virus Infectivity and Incorporates into Virions)

(A) SupT1 cells were infected with HIV-1 for 48 hr and induced or not induced to express FLAG-IFITM3. Levels of HIV-1 Env at the surface and of intracellular Gag were measured by flow cytometry, with the percentage of Gag+Env+ cells noted. One representative experiment is shown.

(B) Left: the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of Gag was measured in the fraction of Gag+ cells. Right: Gag p24 antigen levels in the supernatants measured by ELISA. Gag MFI and p24 levels in the absence of IFITM3 were normalized to 100%. Mean ± SD of five independent experiments is shown.

(C) Viral supernatants from IFITM3 positive and negative SupT1 cells were tested for infectivity. Viral particles were purified, and the inoculum (adjusted for 25 ng p24) was added to fresh SupT1 cells. Infection was assessed by measuring the fraction of Gag+ cells after 2 days. Infection in the absence of IFITM3 was normalized to 100%. Mean ± SD of five independent experiments is shown.
IFITM3 displayed a similar capacity to block virion fusion (Figure S5B). We then analyzed fusion after cell-to-cell viral transfer. After 2 hr of coculture with λam-Vpr-positive infected 293T donor cells, expressing or not expressing IFITM, we harvested SupT1 target cells and analyzed CCF2-AM cleavage. The three IFITM proteins, when present in donor cells, also inhibited viral fusion in this setting (Figure S5D).

It has been reported that cellular membrane-resident IFITM2 and IFITM3 in target cells partially decrease HIV-1 entry (Lu et al., 2011). Therefore, we tested how virion-incorporated IFITM3 compares with cellular IFITM3 with regards to blocking virus fusion by performing the fusion assay using SupT1 target cells expressing or not expressing IFITM3 (Figure 5D). An effect of IFITM3 in target cells was observed only when low doses of virus were used, confirming that restriction in the recipient lymphocyte is modest (Figure 5D). In contrast, the fusion of virus containing IFITM3 was strongly restricted, regardless of the viral inoculum used (Figure 5D). This effect was even greater when both virions and cellular membranes were expressing IFITM3. Thus, the effect of IFITM3 in target cells is saturable and exerts a relatively weak block to virus entry compared to the activity of virion-incorporated IFITM3. These results strongly suggest that IFITM proteins are dramatically more effective at restricting virus-cell fusion when localized to the viral membrane.

Endogenous IFITM Proteins Are Upregulated in Activated CD4+ T Cells and Incorporate into HIV-1 Virions during Infection

As the principal targets of HIV-1 infection in vivo, we measured the levels of endogenous IFITM proteins in primary CD4+ T cells and addressed how they are affected by cell activation status and type I IFN treatment. Nonactivated CD4+ T cells containing low, but detectable, levels of IFITM1 and IFITM2/3, with the former expressed to a higher extent (Figure 6A). Upon activation by phytohemagglutinin (PHA) and interleukin-2 (IL-2), IFITM levels were increased, consistent with a previous report showing IFITM induction upon T cell activation (Raposo et al., 2013). Addition of type I IFN further upregulated these proteins, with IFITM1 showing a greater degree of induction (Figure 6A). Staining without saponin permeabilization indicated that a fraction of IFITM proteins was present at the cell surface, with levels increasing upon type I IFN treatment (Figure S6B). The levels of IFITM proteins in type I IFN-treated CD4+ T cells were within the range of those observed in doxycycline-induced SupT1 T cells (data not shown).

We used confocal immunofluorescence microscopy to track the localization of IFITM2/3 in uninfected and infected primary CD4+ T cells. IFITM2/3 expression in the uninfected cell appeared primarily as small puncta distributed throughout the cytoplasm and partially colocalized with endosomal or lysosomal markers (transferrin receptor, CD63, and LAMP1/LAMP2), consistent with previous reports using nonlymphoid human cell lines (Amini-Bavil-Olyaee et al., 2013; Feeley et al., 2011; Weston et al., 2014) (Figure 6B and data not shown). Upon infection, the signal for IFITM2/3 protein appeared elevated and often shifted to a mostly perinuclear localization (Figure 6C). Interestingly, IFITM2/3 staining often colocalized with that of HIV-1 Env in the cell interior, while surface-associated IFITM2/3 could also be observed at probable virus budding sites containing both Env and Gag (Figure 6C). IFITM3 was also detected within virions produced from SupT1 cells expressing an Env-deleted HIV-1, indicating that IFITM3 can be incorporated through an Env-independent mechanism (Figure S6A). Coating of IFITM1 and IFITM2/3 showed that the proteins display overlap in their distribution within HIV-1-infected cells and that IFITM proteins colocalize with viral Gag protein on the cell surface (Figure S6C).

By infecting primary, activated CD4+ T cells with primary HIV-1 isolates (DH12, Bx08, and BON strains), or the NL4-3...
Figure 5. IFITM3-Containing Virions Are Poorly Fusogenic

(A) One representative test for viral fusion. SupT1 cells were exposed to virions containing Blam-Vpr, produced in the presence or absence of FLAG-IFITM3, for 2 hr at 37°C. Viral access to the cytoplasm was assessed by flow cytometry, using the cleavage of CCF2-AM as a readout. F552Y: nonfusogenic env mutant.

(B) Fusion of virions produced in the absence of IFITM3 was normalized to 100%. Two different target cell lines were used, SupT1 and MT4C5 cells. Mean ± SD of three independent experiments.

(C) Virions used for the fusion assay were also tested for their infectivity. Viral inoculum (adjusted for 25 ng p24) was added on fresh SupT1 or MT4C5 cells, and infection was assessed by Gag+ cells after 2 days. Infection by virions produced in the absence of IFITM3 was normalized to 100%. Mean ± SD of three independent experiments is shown.

(D) Virions produced as described in (A) were tested for fusion on target SupT1 cells expressing or not expressing IFITM3. A titration of the viral inoculum for fusion on target SupT1 cells was performed, with the maximum dose corresponding to 25 ng Gag p24. Mean ± SD of three independent experiments is shown. Mann-Whitney test, *p < 0.05. Comparisons were made between the condition indicated and the No IFITM3 condition. See also Figure S5.

IFITM that may be important for its conservation over evolutionary time.

We show that HIV-1 cell-to-cell transmission allows the virus to bypass IFITM restriction in target cells. However, IFITM proteins limit the spread of virus from infected cells, at least in part by rendering virions less infectious and less fusogenic. Interestingly, while IFITM3 in uninfected lymphocytes has a minimal protective effect against HIV-1, it serves an additive antiviral role when IFITM3 is also present within incoming virions. The reason for this may lie with the accepted mechanism by which IFITM proteins inhibit the fusion capacity of membranes in which they reside. IFITM proteins inhibit steps leading to the formation of a fusion pore by increasing the curvature of cell membranes and reducing their fluidity (Desai et al., 2014; Li et al., 2013; Lin et al., 2013). If IFITM3 similarly contorts the viral membrane and causes similar biophysical consequences (Everitt et al., 2012) then the apposition of two IFITM3-containing membranes may make virus-cell fusion even more unlikely. Alternatively, IFITM incorporation into viral membranes may indirectly affect fusogenicity by excluding factors necessary for virus-cell fusion or by recruiting other inhibitors of this process. For example, while we did not detect differences in Env glycoprotein levels in virions containing or not containing IFITM3, Env conformation may be compromised. Although unlikely, this hypothesis deserves further investigation.

Despite that IFITM proteins may be localized to different cellular compartments in the absence of infection, with IFITM1 residing primarily at the plasma membrane (John et al., 2013; Mudhasani et al., 2013) and IFITM2 and IFITM3 residing in late endosomes and lysosomes (Amini-Bavil-Olyaee et al., 2013; laboratory-adapted virus, we asked whether IFITM proteins were naturally incorporated into virions. Endogenous IFITM proteins were detected in purified viral particles (Figure 6D), with the extent of incorporation slightly varying between viral isolates. Therefore, IFITM proteins present in primary CD4+ T cells are capable of infiltrating virus particles. Notably, immature monocyte-derived dendritic cells (MDDCs) also expressed IFITM proteins, which were upregulated upon cellular maturation or by type I IFN (Figure S6D).

Altogether, our results show that endogenous IFITM proteins are found in primary T cells and MDDCs. In T cells, they colocalize with viral proteins and are incorporated into viral particles. Therefore, the antiviral functions of IFITM proteins described herein may be relevant for natural HIV-1 infections.

DISCUSSION

IFITM proteins belong to the CD225 protein superfamily, which can be found in nearly every domain of life, ranging from bacteria to invertebrates to primates. The array of ifitm genes in humans and other species most likely originated via tandem gene duplication events in our early vertebrate ancestors (Hickford et al., 2012; Siegrist et al., 2011). The expansion of ifitm loci suggests that the antiviral properties of IFITM, and possibly those of other CD225 proteins, have been selected and retained for protection against viral infections. Here, we describe a function of
IFITM Proteins in HIV Membranes Restrict Fusion

Feeley et al., 2011; Weston et al., 2014), we detect all of them in HIV-1 virions. This could be explained by the fact that all IFITM proteins transit, at least transiently, to the cell surface following translation (Chesarino et al., 2014; Jia et al., 2014; Weston et al., 2014). HIV-1 virion assembly is thought to occur primarily at the plasma membrane but may also occur in an intracellular compartment connected to the surface in some cell types, such as macrophages (Deneka et al., 2007; Jouve et al., 2007; Sundquist and Krajusslich, 2012; Welsch et al., 2007). Our confocal microscopy analysis of primary infected lymphocytes demonstrated a colocalization of IFITM proteins with viral proteins Env and (to a lesser extent) Gag. Targeting to lipid rafts, which have been shown to harbor IFITM1 (Perreira et al., 2013), is important for optimal virus release (Tang et al., 2009). Therefore, there are numerous potential meeting sites for the virus and IFITM within infected cells. Our time-lapse analysis of cell-to-cell transmission demonstrated a striking diminution of syncytia formation between IFITM3-expressing cells. Syncytia are likely the consequence of fusion events occurring at the plasma membrane. This further suggests that the surface of the productively infected cell is the main site at which IFITM3 exerts this antiviral function. We also observed an increase in cell surface-associated IFITM2/3 following IFN treatment. This complements published data indicating that IFN treatment or overexpression modifies IFITM localization (Brass et al., 2009; Perreira et al., 2013). Further work will aid in our understanding of how HIV-1 impacts IFITM localization. Interestingly, we showed here that Env is not required for IFITM3 incorporation into virions. Addressing the passive or active nature of IFITM association with virions will require the identification of virus strains or mutants that exhibit differential sensitivity to IFITM virion infiltration. Such differences, if they should exist, may lie in different strategies used by viruses to assemble and egress from the infected cell.

In uninfected cells, the protective effect of IFITM is dependent on their intracellular localization. The trafficking and localization of IFITM is regulated by sorting motifs within the N-terminal cytoplasmic domain and by palmitoylated cysteine residues (Ding et al., 2014; Yount et al., 2010). IFITM function is also regulated by phosphorylation (Chesarino et al., 2014). It will be worthwhile to use various IFITM mutants to determine precisely where and how these proteins are incorporated into viral particles. We show here that IFITM proteins are expressed and upregulated by type I IFN in primary T lymphocytes and in DCs, two natural targets of HIV-1 in vivo. In these cells, as well as in macrophages, viral entry, budding, and egress pathways display notable differences. Further work will address the cell-type specificity of this restriction activity of IFITM.

Apart from our finding that IFITM proteins become incorporated into virus particles and decrease particle infectivity, we also observed that ectopic IFITM expression slightly decreases viral production. The presence of IFITM3 in infected SupT1 T cells or in transfected 293T cells resulted in modest decreases in HIV-1 Gag expression, consistent with articles reporting similar findings in these two cell types (Chutitwitoonchai et al., 2013; Ding et al., 2014; Lu et al., 2011). However, by introducing limiting amounts of IFITM3 in 293T, we observed an inhibition of virus transmission without affecting viral production. Therefore, we believe that the block in virus transmission conferred by IFITM3 is primarily the result of decreased viral infectivity and fusogenicity. It will also be worth determining whether IFITM proteins accumulate at or modify the formation of virological synapses between infected and uninfected T cells.

Now that we have expanded our understanding of how IFITM proteins contribute to intrinsic immunity, it will be important to study these functions in the context of other enveloped viruses. This analysis may reveal whether viruses sensitive to IFITM in the target cell are also susceptible to this donor cell-specific mode of antiviral function, i.e., virion incorporation and decreased infectivity. Furthermore, it will be important to address whether viruses previously described as IFITM-resistant (murine leukemia virus, arenaviruses) are in fact sensitive to this mode of inhibition. Furthermore, future experiments will require attention to the virus-producing cell type, especially the combination of donor and target cells used in experiments. Since IFITM proteins are known to form homo- and heteromultimers, and these interactions are necessary for full antiviral activity (John et al., 2013), combinatorial approaches must be employed to study their collective activity, in both the cell and the virion. Together, these approaches will reveal the full breadth and potency of IFITM proteins.

A recent report highlighted that HIV-1 can evolve resistance to IFITM1 in vitro through mutations in Env and Vpu proteins, with an effect of increasing viral fitness via enhancement of cell-to-cell spread (Ding et al., 2014). This concurs with our results showing that HIV-1 cell-to-cell transmission is less sensitive to IFITM-mediated restriction than cell-free virus infection. Viral cell-to-cell transmission may also help explain why Brass et al. (2009) did not observe a potent antiviral effect of IFITM3 in HeLa-derived cells. Another nonspecific strategy to evade IFITM3 restriction has been described for IAV (Wang et al., 2014), but no direct anti-IFITM3 activity has thus far been identified for any virus. A growing list of viruses has evolved mechanisms to antagonize or degrade various cellular proteins, which offers additional support in favor of their classification as restriction factors (Duggal and Emerman, 2012). The use of HIV-1 mutants carrying mutations in viral accessory or structural genes, as well as IFITM variants containing synthetic or naturally occurring polymorphisms, will reveal potential interactions between HIV-1 and IFITM proteins and their consequences on infection. Furthermore, extending the functional characterization of IFITM proteins beyond humans will allow us to assess whether sequence divergence across taxa may play a role in cross-species virus transmission events.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Cells, Viruses, and Reagents**

Primary CD4+ T lymphocytes, MDDCs, and SupT1 and MT4CS T cell lines were generated and grown as described (Lepelley et al., 2011). pCXZIP plasmids encoding IFITM1, IFITM2, and IFITM3 with N-ter FLAG (FLAG-IFITM) or C-ter FLAG (IFITM-FLAG) were described (Lu et al., 2011). Tet-ind SupT1 cells were treated with doxycycline (500 ng/ml) overnight to induce FLAG-IFITM as described (Lu et al., 2011). Nevirapine (25 μM) was from the NIH AIDS reagents program. When stated, cells were treated with 500 IU/ml of IFN-α2a (PBL Biomedical Laboratories) and analyzed 48 hr later. The HIV-1 NL4-3 strain, the primary strains DH12, Bx08, and BON, and NL4-3-3-ires-GFP have been described (Sousisseau et al., 2007; Lepelley et al., 2011; Wildrum et al., 2006). Virus stocks were produced as described (Lepelley et al., 2011).
Figure 6. Expression, Localization, and Virion Incorporation of IFITM in Primary T Cells

(A) Nonactivated and PHA-activated CD4+ T cells treated or not treated with IFNα for 48 hr were analyzed by flow cytometry to measure IFITM1 and IFITM2/3 levels. One representative experiment among five donors is shown.

(B) Noninfected activated CD4+ T cells were stained with anti-IFITM2/3 (in red). Blue, DAPI (nuclei). Representative fields with cells from three donors are shown.

(D) Blots of (CA) Env and Gag proteins with HIV-1-infected cells (NI, DH12, Bao8, BON, NL4-3, NL4-3 (2)).

(legend continued on next page)
Infections and Cell-to-Cell Transmission Assay
SupT1 or primary CD4+ T cells were infected with cell-free virus as described (Lepelley et al., 2011). For the virus cell-to-cell transmission assay utilizing SupT1 as donors, 5 x 10^5 cells were first infected with 100–200 ng NL4-3 and cultured for 2 days to achieve 30%–50% Gag+ cells. Cells were then induced or not induced for IFITM. A total of 1 x 10^5 donor cells was mixed with 3 x 10^5 target SupT1 cells labeled with CellTrace Far Red DDAO-SE (Life Technologies) in 24-well plates. After 2 hr, 2 x 10^5 target (Far Red+) cells were collected and separated from donors using a FACSaria cell sorter and plated in a 96-well plate. Cells were then analyzed by flow cytometry. When 293T cell were used as donors, 1 x 10^5 cells were cotransfected in a 24-well plate with the pNL4-3 provirus (1 µg) and FLAG-IFITM (1 µg, unless otherwise stated) using Metafectene transfection reagent (Biontex). A total of 3 x 10^5 labeled SupT1 targets (~1:3 donor:target) were added 48 hr later. After 2 hr of coculture, targets were manually separated from donors and transferred to a 48-well plate. To measure infectivity, viral particles were purified on a sucrose cushion, and the inoculum (adjusted for p24 content) was added to fresh SupT1 cells. Unless otherwise stated, 25 ng of p24 was added to 10^5 cells. Infection was assessed by measuring the fraction of Gag+ cells after 2–3 days.

Flow Cytometry
The following antibodies were used: IFITM1 (Proteintech, 60074-1-ig), IFITM2 (Proteintech, 12769-1-AP), IFITM3 (Proteintech, 11714-1-AP), Env (4546, kindly provided by Hugo Mouquet; Malbec et al., 2013), and Gag (KC57, Beckman Coulter). We noticed that rabbit anti-IFITM3 partially cross-reacted with IFITM2, as observed using Tet-IFITM2 SupT1 cells. Endogenous protein recognized with anti-IFITM3 is thus referred to as IFITM2/3. Anti-Env antibodies were added before fixation. For stainings, cells were fixed with 4% PFA and, when stated, permeabilized with 0.5% saponin. Isotype-matched antibodies were used as negative controls. Samples were analyzed with a FACS Canto II (Becton Dickinson).

Time-Lapse Video Imaging
Cells were plated on Hi-C2 microdishes (Ibidi) precoated with 10 mg/ml fibronectin (Sigma). Tet-IFITM3 SupT1 cells transfused to express actin-mRFP were used as targets. A total of 7 x 10^5 donor cells infected with HIV-1-RES-GFP (Widum et al., 2006) (about 15% of Gag+ cells) was mixed with 3 x 10^5 target SupT1 cells labeled with Metafectene transfection reagent (Biontex) in 24-well plates. After 2 hr, 2 x 10^5 target (Far Red+) cells were collected and separated from donors using a FACsaria cell sorter and plated in a 96-well plate. Cells were then analyzed by flow cytometry. When 293T cell were used as donors, 1 x 10^5 cells were cotransfected in a 24-well plate with the pNL4-3 provirus (1 µg) and FLAG-IFITM (1 µg, unless otherwise stated) using Metafectene transfection reagent (Biontex). A total of 3 x 10^5 labeled SupT1 targets (~1:3 donor:target) were added 48 hr later. After 2 hr of coculture, targets were manually separated from donors and transferred to a 48-well plate. To measure infectivity, viral particles were purified on a sucrose cushion, and the inoculum (adjusted for p24 content) was added to fresh SupT1 cells. Unless otherwise stated, 25 ng of p24 was added to 10^5 cells. Infection was assessed by measuring the fraction of Gag+ cells after 2–3 days.

Supplemental Information
Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures, six figures, and one movie and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2014.11.001.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using Prism, using specific tests as indicated in the figure legends.
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