

Practical Computation of Matrix Functions

Hans-J. Runckel

Abteilung Mathematik IV

Universität Ulm

7900 Ulm, Germany

and

Uwe Pittelkow

Abteilung Mathematik I

Universität Ulm

7900 Ulm, Germany

Submitted by Richard A. Brualdi

ABSTRACT

Several new representations for an analytic function $f(A)$ of a complex matrix A , and in particular for e^{At} and A^t , are derived, which also are numerically useful in that they avoid the computation of eigenvalues of A .

1. INTRODUCTION

The main purpose of this paper is to derive, in Sections 3 and 4, new representations for an analytic function $f(A)$ of a complex matrix A which are of particular importance for numerical computations (Theorems 2, 3, 6). Section 2 contains new and short proofs of known formulas for $f(A)$ and of related topics in order to give a unified, elementary, and self-contained approach to the subject (avoiding the Jordan canonical form). In Section 4 the new formulas are applied to the solution of linear systems of differential and difference equations and more general operator equations (Theorems 4, 5). In particular, new formulas [(30)–(34)] for e^{At} and A^t are obtained, which are theoretically interesting and numerically useful in that they do not require the computation of eigenvalues of A . The many publications on this subject show that there still is a demand for a theoretically simple and numerically useful method to compute $f(A)$.

2. DEFINITION OF MATRIX FUNCTIONS

Let A be a complex $m \times m$ matrix, whose different eigenvalues are $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$, and denote by I the $m \times m$ identity matrix. Assume that $c(A) = 0$ for $c(\lambda) := \prod_{\nu=1}^k (\lambda - \lambda_\nu)^{n_\nu}$ with $n_1 + \dots + n_k = n$. For instance, $c(\lambda)$ can be the characteristic or the minimal polynomial of A or the polynomial $[c(\lambda)/d(\lambda)]^s = \prod_{\nu=1}^k (\lambda - \lambda_\nu)^s$, where c is the characteristic polynomial of A , d the g.c.d. of c and c' , and $s \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large. For $i = 1, \dots, k$ we then put $q_i(\lambda) := c(\lambda)/(\lambda - \lambda_i)^{n_i}$, $q(\lambda) := \sum_{i=1}^k q_i(\lambda)$, $B_i := q_i(A)$, $B := q(A)$. All considerations below remain valid if A belongs to an arbitrary topological algebra over \mathbb{C} with identity I such that $c(A) = 0$.

LEMMA 1. B is invertible.

(See also [12, Lemma 2].)

Proof. $c(A) = 0$ and $q(\lambda) - q(\lambda_i) = (\lambda - \lambda_i)r_i(\lambda)$ imply $\prod_{i=1}^k [q(A) - q(\lambda_i)I]^{n_i} = 0$, or $p(B) = 0$, where $p(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^k [\lambda - q(\lambda_i)]^{n_i}$. Since $q(\lambda_i) = q_i(\lambda_i) \neq 0$, $p(0) \neq 0$ follows, and hence $Br(B) = I$ holds with $r(\lambda) = [p(0) - p(\lambda)]/\lambda p(0)$. ■

LEMMA 2. If $C_i := B_i B^{-1} = B^{-1} B_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, then $\sum_{i=1}^k C_i = I$, $C_i C_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$ and $C_i^2 = C_i$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, k$. If, in addition, $\sum_{i=1}^k C_i' = I$ holds, where the C_i' are $m \times m$ matrices satisfying $(A - \lambda_i I)^{n_i} C_i' = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, then $C_i' = C_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Proof. Since for $i \neq j$, C_i contains the factor $(A - \lambda_j I)^{n_j}$, it follows that $C_i C_j = 0$ and $C_i C_j' = 0$. Next, Lemma 1 yields $\sum_{i=1}^k C_i = I$ and hence $C_i = \sum_{j=1}^k C_i C_j' = C_i C_i' = \sum_{j=1}^k C_j C_i' = C_i'$. In particular, $C_i^2 = C_i$ follows. ■

As an application of Lemma 2 we obtain

THEOREM 1. Let

$$V_i = V_i(A) := \{x \in \mathbb{C}^m : (A - \lambda_i I)^{n_i} x = 0\}.$$

Then $\mathbb{C}^m = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_k$. Furthermore the columns of B_j (and C_j) span $V_j(A)$, and the rows of B_j (and C_j) span $V_j(A^T)$; hence $\text{rank } B_j = \text{rank } C_j = \dim V_j$.

Proof. Let V'_j be the subspace of \mathbb{C}^m spanned by the columns of B_j . Since $(A - \lambda_j I)^{n_j} B_j = c(A) = 0$ holds, $V'_j \subset V_j$ follows. Thus $B = \sum_{j=1}^k B_j$ and Lemma 1 imply $\mathbb{C}^m = V'_1 + \cdots + V'_k = V_1 + \cdots + V_k$. Assume that $x_1 + \cdots + x_k = 0$, where $x_j \in V_j$. Since for $i \neq j$, B_i contains the factor $(A - \lambda_j I)^{n_j}$, we have $0 = B_j(x_1 + \cdots + x_k) = B_j x_j = (B_1 + \cdots + B_k)x_j = Bx_j$. Thus, $x_j = 0$, $j = 1, \dots, k$, and $\mathbb{C}^m = V'_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V'_k = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_k$. This and $V'_j \subseteq V_j$ imply $V'_j = V_j$ and hence $\text{rank } B_j = \text{rank } C_j = \dim V_j$.

The rest follows from $(A^T - \lambda_j I)^{n_j} B_j^T = c(A^T) = 0$. ■

Lemma 2 and Theorem 1 imply

COROLLARY 1. *Though B_j and n_j depend on $c(\lambda)$, C_j and hence $V_j(A)$ and $V_j(A^T)$ are independent of the particular choice of $c(\lambda)$.*

(See also Corollary 3.)

Next, Lemma 2 yields for $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ and $r, s \geq 0$

$$C_{ir} C_{js} = \delta_{ij} C_{i, r+s}, \quad \text{where } C_{ir} = (A - \lambda_i I)^r C_i. \tag{1}$$

Now for $\nu \geq 0$ and $f(\lambda) := \lambda^\nu$, Lemma 2 implies

$$\begin{aligned} f(A) &= A^\nu = \sum_{i=1}^k A^\nu C_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k (A - \lambda_i I + \lambda_i I)^\nu C_i = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{s=0}^{\nu} \binom{\nu}{s} (A - \lambda_i I)^s \lambda_i^{\nu-s} C_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{s=0}^{\nu} \frac{f^{(s)}(\lambda_i) (A - \lambda_i I)^s C_i}{s!} = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{s=0}^{n_i-1} \frac{f^{(s)}(\lambda_i) C_{is}}{s!}, \end{aligned}$$

since $f^{(s)} = 0$ for $s > \nu$ and $C_{is} = (A - \lambda_i I)^s C_i = 0$ for $s \geq n_i$ because $c(A) = 0$. Hence this also holds for arbitrary complex polynomials $f(\lambda)$, and we obtain

LEMMA 3. *If for a sequence of complex polynomials $f_\nu(\lambda)$ and for a function $f(\lambda)$, $f^{(s)}(\lambda_i) = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} f_\nu^{(s)}(\lambda_i)$ exist for $0 \leq s < n_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, then $\hat{f}(A) := \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} f_\nu(A)$ exists and*

$$\hat{f}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{s=0}^{n_i-1} \frac{f^{(s)}(\lambda_i) C_{is}}{s!}. \tag{2}$$

This formula now will be used as the definition of $f(A)$ for every complex function $f(\lambda)$ for which the right side of (2) exists. See also [3], [5], [8], [26], [27], [31], and [10, pp. 104, 107].

One verifies immediately:

if $f(A), g(A)$ exist, then

$$(f + g)(A) = f(A) + g(A) \quad \text{and} \quad (fg)(A) = f(A)g(A) \quad (3)$$

(using Leibniz's product differentiation rule);

if $f(A)$ exists and if all $f(\lambda_i) \neq 0$, then

$$[f(A)]^{-1} \text{ also exists and} \quad (4)$$

$$[f(A)]^{-1} = \left(\frac{1}{f} \right)(A) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{s=0}^{n_i-1} \left(\frac{1}{f(\lambda)s!} \right)^{(s)} \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_i} C_{is}.$$

If some $C_i = 0$, then $(A - \lambda_i I)^{-1}$ would exist by (4) and (3). Hence all B_i and C_i are $\neq 0$. In particular, there exists r_i with

$$1 \leq r_i \leq n_i, C_{ir_i} = 0, C_{i, r_i-1} \neq 0. \quad (5)$$

This yields the following converse of Lemma 3. If $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} f_\nu(A)$ exists, then $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} f_\nu^{(s)}(\lambda_i)$ exists for $0 \leq s < r_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ by multiplying $f_i(A)$ by $C_{is}, s = r_i - 1, \dots, 0$ and using (1).

With $B = q(A)$, (3) and (4) yield $(1/q)(A) = B^{-1}$ and $(f/q)(A) = f(A)B^{-1}$. From this, (2), and $(1/q)^{(s)}(\lambda_i) = (1/q_i)^{(s)}(\lambda_i), 0 \leq s < n_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$, we obtain the following well-known formula (see also [20], [27], and [10, p. 101]):

$$f(A) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{s=0}^{n_i-1} \left(\frac{f(\lambda)}{q_i(\lambda)s!} \right)^{(s)} \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_i} (A - \lambda_i I)^s B_i.$$

We observe that

$$p(z) := \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{s=0}^{n_i-1} \left(\frac{f(\lambda)}{q_i(\lambda)s!} \right)^{(s)} \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_i} (z - \lambda_i)^s \prod_{\nu=1, \nu \neq i}^k (z - \lambda_\nu)^{n_\nu} \quad (6)$$

is the Lagrange-Sylvester interpolation polynomial (with $p^{(s)}(\lambda_i) = f^{(s)}(\lambda_i)$, $0 \leq s < n_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$) and we have $f(A) = p(A)$.

3. PRACTICAL COMPUTATION OF MATRIX FUNCTIONS

We now want to derive another representation for $p(z)$ in (6) which requires the following notation: Let

$$c(\lambda) = \sum_{r=0}^n c_{n-r} \lambda^r, \quad h_0 := c_0 = 1, \quad h_r(\lambda) = \lambda h_{r-1}(\lambda) + c_r, \quad r = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$\text{so that} \quad h_r(\lambda) = \sum_{s=0}^r c_{r-s} \lambda^s \quad \text{and} \quad h_n(\lambda) = c(\lambda). \quad (7)$$

Then

$$(\lambda - z) \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} h_{n-r-1}(\lambda) z^r = (\lambda - z) \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \lambda^r h_{n-r-1}(z) = c(\lambda) - c(z) \quad (8)$$

can be verified immediately. Using (8) we define

$$H(\lambda, z) := \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} h_{n-r-1}(\lambda) z^r \quad \text{with} \quad H(\lambda, z) = H(z, \lambda). \quad (9)$$

Observe that $H(\lambda, z)$ depends on the particular choice of $c(\lambda)$. If now $c(\lambda)$ is an *arbitrary* complex polynomial satisfying $c(A) = 0$, then substituting A for z in (8) and (9) yields

$$(\lambda I - A)H(\lambda, A) = (\lambda I - A)H(A, \lambda) = c(\lambda)I. \quad (10)$$

REMARK 1. If $c(\lambda) = c_A(\lambda) := |\lambda I - A|$, then $c_A(A) = 0$ and (10) imply

$$\text{adj}(\lambda I - A) = \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} h_{m-r-1}(\lambda) A^r = \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \lambda^r h_{m-r-1}(A).$$

REMARK 2. For $c_A(\lambda) \neq 0$, (10) yields

$$\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \lambda^{m-r-1} \text{trace } h_r(A) = c_A(\lambda) \text{trace}(\lambda I - A)^{-1}. \tag{11}$$

Now $\text{trace } A = -c_A^{(m-1)}(0)/(m-1)!$, and hence from $|tI - (\lambda I - A)^{-1}| = t^m c_A(\lambda - t^{-1})/c_A(\lambda)$ and

$$-\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{m-1} \frac{t^m c_A(\lambda - t^{-1})}{(m-1)!} \Big|_{t=0} = c'_A(\lambda)$$

we obtain $\text{trace}(\lambda I - A)^{-1} = c'_A(\lambda)/c_A(\lambda)$. Then (11) yields

$$\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \lambda^{m-r-1} \text{trace } h_r(A) = c'_A(\lambda) = \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} (m-r)c_r \lambda^{m-r-1},$$

and by comparing coefficients of λ^{m-r-1} we obtain $\text{trace } h_r(A) = (m-r)c_r$. This and $\text{trace } h_r(A) = \text{trace}(Ah_{r-1}(A)) + mc_r$ from (7) yield the following well-known algorithm (see also [8]): If

$$|\lambda I - A| = \sum_{r=0}^m c_{m-r} \lambda^r, \quad c_0 = 1,$$

then

$$c_r = -\text{trace} \frac{Ah_{r-1}(A)}{r}, \quad r = 1, \dots, m.$$

Next, let γ be a suitable simple closed positively oriented curve enclosing $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$, or the sum of k simple closed positively oriented sufficiently small circles around $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$.

LEMMA 4. Let $p(\lambda)$ be a complex polynomial of degree $< n$. Then with the notation (7) and (9) we have

$$p(z) = \int_{\gamma} \frac{p(\lambda)H(\lambda, z)}{c(\lambda)2\pi i} d\lambda \tag{12}$$

Choosing in particular $p(z) = z^r$ yields

$$\int_{\gamma} \frac{\lambda^r h_{n-r-1}(\lambda)}{c(\lambda) 2\pi i} d\lambda = \delta_{rv} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq v, r < n. \quad (13)$$

Proof. (8) implies $1/(\lambda - z) = H(\lambda, z)/[c(\lambda) - c(z)]$. Then by Cauchy's integral formula

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi i p(z) &= \int_{\gamma} \frac{p(\lambda)}{\lambda - z} d\lambda \\ &= \int_{\gamma} \frac{p(\lambda)H(\lambda, z)}{c(\lambda) - c(z)} d\lambda = \int_{\gamma} \frac{p(\lambda)H(\lambda, z)}{c(\lambda)} d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\gamma} p(\lambda) \{ [c(\lambda) - c(z)]^{-1} - c(\lambda)^{-1} \} H(\lambda, z) d\lambda \\ = c(z) \int_{\gamma} \frac{p(\lambda)H(\lambda, z)}{c(\lambda)[c(\lambda) - c(z)]} d\lambda = 0, \end{aligned}$$

because γ can be replaced by an arbitrarily large circle around 0. ■

We now can prove (see also [2], [7]):

THEOREM 2. *Let $p(\lambda)$ be the interpolation polynomial (6) of f . Then with the notation (9),*

$$f(A) = p(A) = \int_{\gamma} \frac{p(\lambda)H(\lambda, A)}{c(\lambda) 2\pi i} d\lambda. \quad (14)$$

If, in particular, f is analytic at $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$, then

$$f(A) = \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\lambda)H(\lambda, A)}{c(\lambda) 2\pi i} d\lambda. \quad (15)$$

Proof. (14) follows from $f(A) = p(A)$ in Section 2 and (12). (15) follows from (14), since $[f(\lambda) - p(\lambda)]/c(\lambda)$ has removable singularities at $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$, and hence $\int_{\gamma} H(\lambda, A)[f(\lambda) - p(\lambda)]/c(\lambda) d\lambda = 0$. ■

Applying the residue theorem to (14) and using $p^{(s)}(\lambda_j) = f^{(s)}(\lambda_j)$, $0 \leq s < n_j$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, yields (see [18] for a special case):

COROLLARY 2. *If $m_j \geq n_j$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(A) &= \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} A^r \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{f(\lambda) h_{n-r-1}(\lambda) (\lambda - \lambda_j)^{m_j}}{c(\lambda) (m_j - 1)!} \right) \Bigg|_{\lambda = \lambda_j}^{(m_j - 1)} \\
 &= \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} h_r(A) \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{f(\lambda) \lambda^{n-r-1} (\lambda - \lambda_j)^{m_j}}{c(\lambda) (m_j - 1)!} \right) \Bigg|_{\lambda = \lambda_j}^{(m_j - 1)}
 \end{aligned}$$

provided all required derivatives of f exist. Since always $n_j \leq n - k + 1$, one can choose $m_j = n - k + 1$ or $m_j = n$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$.

As a generalization of a result in [6] we then obtain

COROLLARY 3 [see Corollary 1 and (9)].

$$B_j = q_j(A) = \left(\frac{H(\lambda, A)}{(n_j - 1)!} \right) \Bigg|_{\lambda = \lambda_j}^{(n_j - 1)} ; \tag{16}$$

if $m_j \geq n_j$, then $C_j = \left(\frac{H(\lambda, A) (\lambda - \lambda_j)^{m_j}}{c(\lambda) (m_j - 1)!} \right) \Bigg|_{\lambda = \lambda_j}^{(m_j - 1)}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$. (17)

Observe that C_j is independent of the particular choice of $c(\lambda)$ by Lemma 2.

Proof. (16) follows from Corollary 2 with $f(\lambda) = q_j(\lambda)$ and $m_j = n_j$. Next, $C_j = f_j(A)$, where $f_j(\lambda) = q_j(\lambda)/q(\lambda)$ by Lemma 2. Since $[f_j(\lambda) - 1]/c(\lambda)$ has a removable singularity at λ_j ,

$$\left(\frac{(\lambda - \lambda_j)^{m_j} f_j(\lambda)}{c(\lambda)} \right) \Bigg|_{\lambda = \lambda_j}^{(\nu)} = \left(\frac{(\lambda - \lambda_j)^{m_j}}{c(\lambda)} \right) \Bigg|_{\lambda = \lambda_j}^{(\nu)}$$

holds for $0 \leq \nu < m_j$. Hence Corollary 2 yields (17). ■

REMARK 3. If one defines $f(A) = \int_{\gamma} f(\lambda)(\lambda I - A)^{-1} / 2\pi i d\lambda$ and $C_j = \int_{\gamma_j} (\lambda I - A)^{-1} / 2\pi i d\lambda$, where γ_j is a small circle around λ_j , then (10) immediately yields (15) and (17).

REMARK 4. In order to apply Corollary 2, only the different eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ of A have to be known, but not their exact multiplicities. Observe that $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ are the simple zeros of the k th order polynomial $c^*(\lambda) = c(\lambda)/d(\lambda)$, where $d(\lambda)$ is the g.c.d. of $c(\lambda)$ and $c'(\lambda)$. $c(\lambda)$ may be replaced by $[c^*(\lambda)]^s = \prod_{\nu=1}^k (\lambda - \lambda_{\nu})^s$ for sufficiently large $s \in \mathbb{N}$.

REMARK 5. Assume that $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ are known approximately. The advantage of the formulas in Corollary 2 over (2) is that only for the coefficients of A^0, \dots, A^{n-1} or of $h_0(A), \dots, h_{n-1}(A)$ is new computation necessary whenever the accuracy of computation of $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ is increased.

REMARK 6. For other definitions of $f(A)$ involving finite differences see [4, 11, 30].

We conclude this section by deriving from (15) new formulas for $f(A)$. (See also [2].)

THEOREM 3. Let $1/c(\lambda) = \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} d_{\nu} \lambda^{-\nu}$ for $|\lambda| > M: = \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \{|\lambda_j|\}$, and let $f(\lambda)$ be analytic in $|\lambda| < K$ where $K > M$. Then

$$f(A) = \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} d_{\nu} \left(\frac{f(\lambda)H(\lambda, A)}{(\nu-1)!} \right) \Big|_{\lambda=0}^{(\nu-1)}, \tag{18}$$

$$f(A) = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} A^r \sum_{s=r+1}^n c_{n-s} \sum_{\nu=n+r-s}^{\infty} \frac{d_{\nu+s-r} f^{(\nu)}(0)}{\nu!}, \tag{19}$$

$$f(A) = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} h_r(A) \sum_{\nu=r}^{\infty} \frac{d_{\nu+n-r} f^{(\nu)}(0)}{\nu!}, \tag{20}$$

$$f(A) = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} A^r \left(\frac{f^{(r)}(0)}{r!} - \sum_{s=0}^r c_{n-s} \sum_{\nu=n+r-s}^{\infty} \frac{d_{\nu+s-r} f^{(\nu)}(0)}{\nu!} \right). \tag{21}$$

Proof. Let γ be a sufficiently large circle with center at 0. Then (15) implies $f(A) = \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} d_{\nu} \int_{\gamma} f(\lambda)H(\lambda, A)\lambda^{-\nu} / 2\pi i d\lambda$, which is (18) by Cauchy's

integral formula. Observing (7) and (9), we again obtain from (15)

$$f(A) = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} A^r \sum_{s=0}^{n-r-1} c_{n-r-1-s} \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} d_{\nu} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\lambda)\lambda^{\nu-\nu}}{2\pi i} d\lambda,$$

which implies (19). (20) is obtained similarly from $f(A) = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} h_r(A) \int_{\gamma} f(\lambda)\lambda^{n-r-1}/c(\lambda)2\pi i d\lambda$. Finally, using $g_r(\lambda) := \sum_{s=0}^r c_{n-s}\lambda^s = c(\lambda) - \lambda^{r+1}h_{n-r-1}(\lambda)$, we obtain

$$\int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\lambda)h_{n-r-1}(\lambda)}{c(\lambda)} d\lambda = \int_{\gamma} f(\lambda)\lambda^{-r-1} d\lambda - \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\lambda)g_r(\lambda)\lambda^{-r-1}}{c(\lambda)} d\lambda.$$

Substituting this in (15) yields (21).

REMARK 7. The obvious advantage of the formulas (18)–(21) over the earlier ones is that the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ of A need not be known. In $1/c(\lambda) = \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} d_{\nu}\lambda^{-\nu}$, we have $d_{\nu} = \int_{\gamma} \lambda^{\nu-1}/c(\lambda)2\pi i d\lambda$ and hence $d_n = 1$ (since $c_0 = 1$), and for $\nu > n$,

$$\sum_{s=0}^n c_s d_{\nu-s} = \int_{\gamma} \frac{\sum_{s=0}^n c_s \lambda^{\nu-s-1}}{c(\lambda)2\pi i} d\lambda = \int_{\gamma} \frac{\lambda^{\nu-n-1}}{2\pi i} d\lambda = 0.$$

Therefore d_{ν} can be computed recursively by

$$d_{\nu} = - \sum_{s=1}^n c_s d_{\nu-s} \quad \text{for } \nu > n \tag{22}$$

using $d_{\nu} = 0$ for $\nu < n$ and $d_n = 1$. From this the following upper bounds for d_{ν} are obtained by induction on ν . Put $a := \sum_{s=1}^n |c_s|$. If $a \geq 1$, then $|d_{\nu}| \leq a^{\nu-n}$, $\nu \geq n$. If $a < 1$, then $|d_{n\nu+\rho}| \leq a^{\nu} < 1$ for $1 \leq \rho \leq n$, $\nu \geq 0$. For a connection of the d_{ν} with the Lucas polynomials see [2]. By induction on ν one can easily prove that

$$d_{\nu} = \sum_{\substack{\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \dots + n\nu_n = \nu - n, \\ \nu_i \geq 0}} \frac{(-1)^{\nu_1 + \dots + \nu_n} c_1^{\nu_1} \dots c_n^{\nu_n} (\nu_1 + \dots + \nu_n)!}{\nu_1! \dots \nu_n!}$$

holds for $\nu \geq n$.

4. APPLICATIONS

Let $T: F \rightarrow F$ be a linear operator, where F is a vector space of complex functions $y(t)$, $t \in R$ (a region in \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}). We define

$$T\left(\sum_{r=0}^N y_r(t)A_r\right) := \sum_{r=0}^N (Ty_r(t))A_r$$

for $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $y_r(t) \in F$, and arbitrary complex $m \times m$ matrices A_r , $0 \leq r \leq N$. T may be D or E , where $Dy(t) = y'(t)$, $Ey(t) = y(t + 1)$. More generally, T may be a polynomial in D and E .

Next, let L be an open set in \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} containing $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$, and let $y(\lambda, t)$, $f_{i_0, \dots, i_u}(\lambda, t)$, $0 \leq i_0, \dots, i_u \leq N$ be complex functions of $\lambda \in L$, $t \in R$ such that $y(A, t)$ and $f_{i_0, \dots, i_u}(A, t)$ exist according to (2) or (14). We assume that $(\partial/\partial\lambda)^s(T^r y(\lambda, t))$ and $(\partial/\partial\lambda)^s f_{i_0, \dots, i_u}(\lambda, t)$ exist and that $(\partial/\partial\lambda)^s y(\lambda, t) \in F$ for $0 \leq r \leq u$, $0 \leq s < \mu := \max\{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$, $\lambda \in L$, $t \in R$.

As an illustration how to apply matrix functions we prove (see also [29])

THEOREM 4. *Assume that $y(\lambda, t)$ satisfies*

$$\sum_{i_0, \dots, i_u=0}^N f_{i_0, \dots, i_u}(\lambda, t) [y(\lambda, t)]^{i_0} [Ty(\lambda, t)]^{i_1} \dots [T^u y(\lambda, t)]^{i_u} = 0 \quad (23)$$

and

$$T^r \left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \right)^s y(\lambda, t) \right) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \right)^s (T^r y(\lambda, t)) \quad \text{for } \lambda \in L, \quad t \in R, \quad (24)$$

$1 \leq r \leq u$ and $0 \leq s < \mu$. Then $y(A, t)$ satisfies

$$T^r(y(A, t)) = (T^r y(\lambda, t))|_{\lambda=A} \quad (25)$$

and

$$\sum_{i_0, \dots, i_u=0}^N f_{i_0, \dots, i_u}(A, t) [y(A, t)]^{i_0} \dots [T^u y(A, t)]^{i_u} = 0 \quad \text{for } t \in R. \quad (26)$$

If, in addition, $T^r y(\lambda, t_0) = \alpha_r$ for $0 \leq r \leq v$, $\lambda \in L$, and $t_0 \in R$, then $T^r y(A, t_0) = \alpha_r I$, $0 \leq r \leq v$.

Proof. (24) and (2) imply (25). (25) and (3) yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum f_{i_0 \dots i_u}(A, t) [y(A, t)]^{i_0} \dots [T^u y(A, t)]^{i_u} \\ &= \sum f_{i_0 \dots i_u}(A, t) [y(\lambda, t)|_{\lambda=A}]^{i_0} \dots [(T^u y(\lambda, t))|_{\lambda=A}]^{i_u} \\ &= \left(\sum f_{i_0 \dots i_u}(\lambda, t) y(\lambda, t)^{i_0} \dots [T^u y(\lambda, t)]^{i_u} \right) \Big|_{\lambda=A} \end{aligned}$$

which is = 0 by (23). This proves (26), and $T^r y(A, t_0) = \alpha_r I$ follows from (25), (2) and Lemma 2. ■

THEOREM 5. *Assume that (24) and hence (25) hold. Assume furthermore that $y(\lambda, t)$ satisfies*

$$Ty(\lambda, t) = \lambda y(\lambda, t), \quad y(\lambda, t_0) = 1 \quad \text{for } \lambda \in L, \quad t, t_0 \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (27)$$

Then

$$Ty(A, t) = Ay(A, t) \quad \text{and} \quad y(A, t_0) = I \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In addition

$$y(A, t) = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \varphi_r(t) A^r,$$

where for $0 \leq r < n$

$$\varphi_r(t) = \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \right)^{n_j-1} \left(\frac{y(\lambda, t) h_{n-r-1}(\lambda) (\lambda - \lambda_j)^{n_j}}{c(\lambda) (n_j - 1)!} \right) \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_j} \quad (28)$$

and

$$c(T)\varphi_r(t) = 0, \quad T^r \varphi_r(t_0) = \delta_{r\nu} \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad 0 \leq r, \nu < n. \quad (29)$$

Observe that also (14), (15), Cor. 2, (19) and (21) can be used to evaluate $\varphi_r(t)$.

Proof. The first part of Theorem 5 is a special case of Theorem 4. (28) follows from Corollary 2. In order to prove (29) we observe that (24) and (27)

imply

$$\begin{aligned} T\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\right)^s y(\lambda, t)\right) &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\right)^s (Ty(\lambda, t)) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\right)^s (\lambda y(\lambda, t)) \\ &= \lambda\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\right)^s y(\lambda, t) + s\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\right)^{s-1} y(\lambda, t) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$(T - \lambda)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\right)^s y(\lambda, t) = s\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\right)^{s-1} y(\lambda, t)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} (T - \lambda)^{s+1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\right)^s y(\lambda, t) &= s(T - \lambda)^s\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\right)^{s-1} y(\lambda, t) \\ &= s!(T - \lambda)y(\lambda, t) = 0 \quad \text{for } \lambda \in L, \quad t \in R. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(T - \lambda_j)^{n_j} \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\right)^s y(\lambda, t) \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_j} \right] = 0, \quad 0 \leq s < n_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k, \quad t \in R,$$

and therefore $c(T)\varphi_r(t) = 0, t \in R$. Finally, (24), (27) and (13) imply

$$\begin{aligned} T^v \varphi_r(t_0) &= \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{\lambda^v h_{n-r-1}(\lambda)}{c(\lambda)(n_j-1)!} \right)^{(n_j-1)} \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_j} \\ &= \int_{\gamma} \frac{\lambda^v h_{n-r-1}(\lambda)}{c(\lambda) 2\pi i} d\lambda = \delta_{rv} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq r, v < n. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 8. In order to find n solutions $y = \varphi_r(t), 0 \leq r < n$, of $c(T)y = 0$ with $T^v \varphi_r(t_0) = \delta_{rv}, 0 \leq r, v < n$, one only has to determine a solution $y = y(\lambda, t)$ of $Ty = \lambda y$ with $y(\lambda, t_0) = 1$ for $\lambda \in L$ and evaluate $\varphi_r(t)$ according to (28), provided (24) holds.

REMARK 9. The equation $(T^p + A_1 T^{p-1} + \dots + A_p T^0)X(t) = 0$ with $\nu \times \nu$ matrices A_1, \dots, A_p can be transformed into $TY = AY$ with

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I & \dots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & I \\ -A_p & \dots & -A_2 & -A_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues of A are the roots of $|\lambda^p I + \lambda^{p-1} A_1 + \dots + A_p| = 0$.

EXAMPLE 1. For $T = D$ (27) has the solution $y(\lambda, t) = e^{\lambda(t-t_0)}$ for $\lambda, t, t_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence by Theorem 5, $Y' = AY$ has the solution $Y(t) = y(A, t) = e^{A(t-t_0)}$, which can be computed for $t, t_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ according to (2), (15), (20):

$$\begin{aligned} e^{A(t-t_0)} &= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{s=0}^{n_i-1} \frac{(t-t_0)^s e^{\lambda_i(t-t_0)} C_{is}}{s!} \\ &= \int_{\gamma} \frac{e^{\lambda(t-t_0)} H(\lambda, A)}{c(\lambda) 2\pi i} d\lambda \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} h_r(A) \sum_{\nu=r}^{\infty} \frac{d_{\nu+n+r}(t-t_0)^\nu}{\nu!}, \end{aligned}$$

and according to (15), (19), (21), (28) we obtain

$$e^{A(t-t_0)} = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \varphi_r(t) A^r$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_r(t) &= \int_{\gamma} \frac{e^{\lambda(t-t_0)} h_{n-r-1}(\lambda)}{c(\lambda) 2\pi i} d\lambda \\ &= \sum_{s=r+1}^n c_{n-s} \sum_{\nu=n+r-s}^{\infty} \frac{d_{\nu+s-r}(t-t_0)^\nu}{\nu!} \\ &= \frac{(t-t_0)^r}{r!} - \sum_{s=0}^r c_{n-s} \sum_{\nu=n+r-s}^{\infty} \frac{d_{\nu+s-r}(t-t_0)^\nu}{\nu!}, \quad 0 \leq r < n, \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Observe that the d_ν are given by (22) and that $c(D)\varphi_r(t) = 0$, $D^\nu\varphi_r(t_0) = \delta_{r\nu}$, $0 \leq r, \nu < n$. Since $e^{\lambda_j(t-t_0)} \neq 0$, $(e^{A(t-t_0)})^{-1}$ exists by (4) for all $t, t_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. The first representation of $e^{A(t-t_0)}$ shows that $e^{A(t-t_0)}$ tends to zero (remains bounded) as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ if all $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_j < 0$ (if, for each j , $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_j < 0$ or $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_j = 0$ and $C_{js} = 0$ for $s > 0$). The converse follows by multiplying $e^{A(t-t_0)}$ by C_{js} , $s = r_j - 1, \dots, 0$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, and using (5), (1).

See also [1], [5], [9], [12]–[16], [18], [19], [21]–[23], [31], [10, pp. 116–129], and [15, pp. 36–38].

EXAMPLE 2. For $T = E$, (27) has the solution $y(\lambda, t) = \lambda^{t-t_0}$ for $\lambda, t, t_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda \neq 0$. Hence by Theorem 5 the system of difference equations $EY = AY$ has the solution $Y(t) = y(A, t) = A^{t-t_0}$, which can be computed for $t, t_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ according to (2), (15) provided all $\lambda_i \neq 0$:

$$A^{t-t_0} = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{s=0}^{n_i-1} \binom{t-t_0}{s} \lambda_i^{t-t_0-s} C_{is} = \int_\gamma \frac{\lambda^{t-t_0} H(\lambda, A)}{c(\lambda) 2\pi i} d\lambda,$$

where γ does not wind around 0.

If, in particular, $k = t - t_0$ and $k + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$, then also $\lambda_j = 0$ is allowed and (20) yields

$$A^k = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} h_{n-r-1}(A) d_{k+1+r} \quad \text{with } d_\nu \text{ from (22)}. \tag{31}$$

Next, (28), (19), and (21) yield for $k + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$

$$A^k = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \psi_r(k) A^r, \tag{32}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_r(k) &= \sum_{s=r+1}^n c_{n-s} d_{k+s-r} \\ &= \delta_{r,k} - \sum_{s=0}^r c_{n-s} d_{k+s-r} \end{aligned}$$

satisfies

$$c(E)\psi_r(k) = 0, \quad E^\nu \psi_r(0) = \delta_{r\nu}, \quad 0 \leq r, \nu < n.$$

As in Example 1, one can easily see that A^k tends to zero (remains bounded) as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ iff all $|\lambda_j| < 1$ (iff for each j $|\lambda_j| < 1$ or $|\lambda_j| = 1$ and $C_{js} = 0$ for $s > 0$).

REMARK 10. In order to determine for $k+1 \in \mathbb{N}$ the fundamental system $\psi_r(k)$, $0 \leq r < n$, of $c(E)y = 0$ with $E^\nu \psi_r(0) = \delta_{r\nu}$, $0 \leq r, \nu < n$, one has to compute the particular solution d_ν (22) of the inhomogeneous equation $\sum_{s=0}^n c_{n-s} d_{\nu-n+s} = \delta_{n\nu}$ with $d_\nu = 0$ for $\nu < n$ and $d_n = 1$. Then $\psi_r(k)$ is given by (32).

Using (32) we obtain

THEOREM 6. $f(A) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} A^\nu f^{(\nu)}(0)/\nu!$ exists iff

$$f(A) = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} A^r \left(\frac{f^{(r)}(0)}{r!} + \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} \frac{\psi_r(\nu) f^{(\nu)}(0)}{\nu!} \right)$$

exists and (see (28)) for $0 \leq r < n$

$$\varphi_r(t) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \right)^r y(\lambda, t) \Big|_{\lambda=0} + \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} \psi_r(\nu) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \right)^\nu \left(\frac{y(\lambda, t)}{\nu!} \right) \Big|_{\lambda=0},$$

provided the right side exists.

In particular, always (see (30))

$$e^{A(t-t_0)} = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} A^r \left(\frac{(t-t_0)^r}{r!} + \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} \frac{\psi_r(\nu)(t-t_0)^\nu}{\nu!} \right).$$

Observe that Remark 7 yields $|\psi_r(\nu)| \leq a^{\nu+1-n}$ for $\nu \geq n$ if $a \geq 1$ and $|\psi_r(n\nu + \rho)| \leq a^\nu < 1$ for $1 \leq \rho \leq n$, $\nu \geq 1$ if $a < 1$, $0 \leq r < n$.

See also [2, 17, 19, 24, 25, 28].

REFERENCES

- 1 O. Borůvka, Remark on the use of Weyr's theory of matrices for the integration of linear differential equations with constant coefficients, *Časopis Pěst. Mat.* 79:151-155 (1954).

- 2 M. Bruschi and P. E. Ricci, An explicit formula for $f(A)$ and the generating functions of the generalized Lucas polynomials, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 13:162–165 (1982).
- 3 H. T. Chieh, Evaluations of matrix functions by real similarity transformation, *J. Franklin Inst.* 295:69–79 (1973).
- 4 C. Davis, Explicit functional calculus, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 6:193–199 (1973).
- 5 S. Deards, On the evaluation of e^{At} , *Matrix Tensor Quart.* 23:141–142 (1973).
- 6 D. Ž. Djoković, Eigenvectors obtained from the adjoint matrix, *Aequationes Math.* 2:94–97 (1968).
- 7 L. Fantappiè, Sulle funzioni di una matrice, *An. Acad. Brasil. Ciênc.* 26:25–33 (1954).
- 8 J. S. Frame, Matrix functions and applications, II, IV, *IEEE Spectrum* 1(4):102–108, (6):123–131 (1964).
- 9 E. P. Fulmer, Computation of the matrix exponential, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 82:156–159 (1975).
- 10 F. R. Gantmacher, *The Theory of Matrices I*, Chelsea, New York, 1977.
- 11 W. Jurkat and A. Peyerimhoff, Über Äquivalenzprobleme und andere limitierungs-theoretische Fragen bei Halbgruppen positiver Matrizen, *Math. Ann.* 159:234–251 (1965).
- 12 R. B. Kirchner, An explicit formula for e^{At} , *Amer. Math. Monthly* 74:1200–1204 (1967).
- 13 C. Kluczny, A certain form of the matrix e^{At} , *Zeszyty Nauk. Politech. Śląsk. Mat.-Fiz.* 25:3–10 (1974).
- 14 I. I. Kolodner, On $\exp(tA)$ with A satisfying a polynomial, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 52(3):514–524 (1975).
- 15 G. Kowalewski, *Einführung in die Theorie der kontinuierlichen Gruppen*, Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig, 1931.
- 16 M. Kumorovitz, Une solution du système linéaire homogène d'équations différentielles du premier ordre à coefficients constants, *Ann. Soc. Polon. Math.* 23:190–200 (1950).
- 17 J. L. Lavoie, The m -th power of an $n \times n$ matrix and the Bell polynomials, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 29(3):511–514 (1975).
- 18 Y. Lehrer, On functions of matrices, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* (2) 6:103–108 (1957).
- 19 B. Z. Linfield, On the explicit solution of simultaneous linear difference equations with constant coefficients, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 47:552–554 (1940).
- 20 B. Lis, Particular spectral theory in finite-dimensional spaces, *Comment. Math. Prace Mat.* 18:51–61 (1974).
- 21 C. B. Mohler, Difficulties on computing the exponential of a matrix, in *2nd USA-Japan Computer Conference Proceedings* (Tokyo, 1975), AFIPS Press, Montvale, N.J., 1975, pp. 79–82.
- 22 J. Parizet, Détermination de l'exponentielle et recherche du "logarithme" d'un élément d'une algèbre de Banach unitaire engendrant une sous-algèbre de dimension finie, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A–B* 273:A971–A974 (1971).
- 23 E. J. Putzer, Avoiding the Jordan canonical form in the discussion of linear systems with constant coefficients, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 73:2–7 (1966).

- 24 M. A. Rashid, Powers of a matrix, *Z. Angew Math. Mech.* 55(5):271–272 (1975).
- 25 P. E. Ricci, Sulle potenze di una matrice, *Rend. Mat. (6)* 9(1):179–194 (1976).
- 26 H. Richter, Über Matrixfunktionen, *Math. Ann.* 122:16–34 (1950).
- 27 R. F. Rinehart, The equivalence of definitions of a matrix function, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 62:395–414 (1955).
- 28 G. Roy, Puissances d'une matrice de polynôme minimal connu, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* 20(10):1211–1213 (1975).
- 29 H.-J. Runckel and U. Pittelkow, Matrix functions and two-sided linear operator equations, *Arch. Math.*, to appear.
- 30 J. D. Stafney, Functions of a matrix and their norms, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 20(1):87–94 (1978).
- 31 M. N. S. Swamy, On a formula for evaluating e^{At} when the eigenvalues of A are not necessarily distinct, *Matrix Tensor Quart.* 23:67–72 (1972).

Received 3 August 1980; revised 28 June 1982