ON THE COVERING OF PAIRS BY QUADRUPLES

A.M. ASSAF*

Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel

Received 4 March 1985

Let X be a finite set of size v, let λ be a positive integer, and $\alpha(4, \lambda, v)$ be the minimum number of quadruples such that each pair of elements of X is contained in at least λ of them. Mills [5, 6] has determined $\alpha(4, 1, v)$ for all v. In this paper $\alpha(4, \lambda, v)$ is determined for all v and $\lambda > 1$.

1. Introduction

1.1. Designs

Let X be a finite set of points and let $\mathscr{B} = \{B_i : i \in I\}$ be a family of—not necessarily distinct—subsets B_i (called blocks) of X. The pair (X, \mathscr{B}) is called a hypergraph. When certain regularity conditions are imposed, the resultant object is called a design.

1.2. Balanced incomplete block designs (BIBD)

Let $v \ge k \ge 2$ and λ be positive integers. A design (X, \mathcal{B}) is called a balanced incomplete block design (BIBD) $B[k, \lambda; v]$ if

(i) |X| = v;

(ii) the blocks are of size k;

(iii) every 2-set $\{x, y\} \subset X$ is contained in exactly λ blocks of \mathcal{B} .

A well-known result states that a necessary condition for the existence of a BIBD $B[k, \lambda; v]$ is that $\lambda(v-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{k-1}$ and $\lambda v(v-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{k(k-1)}$.

We shall use the following

Theorem 1.1 (H. Hanani [2]). Let λ and $v \ge 4$ be positive integers. A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a BIBD $B[4, \lambda; v]$ is that $\lambda(v-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $\lambda v(v-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$.

1.3. Group divisible designs

We shall consider designs of the form $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{P})$, where X is a finite set of points, \mathcal{G} is a parallel class of subsets of X called groups and \mathcal{P} is a family of subsets of X called (proper) blocks.

* This paper is a part of a Ph.D. thesis written at the Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Under the supervision of Professor H. Hanani. Let m, k, λ and v be positive integers. A design $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{P})$ is a group divisible design $GD[k, \lambda, m; v]$ if

(i) |X| = v;

(ii) $|G_i| = m$ for every $G_i \in \mathcal{G}$;

(iii) $|B_j| = k$ for every $B_j \in \mathcal{P}$;

(iv) $|G_i \cap B_j| \leq 1$ for every $G_i \in \mathscr{G}$ and every $B_j \in \mathscr{P}$;

(v) every 2-subset $\{x, y\} \subset X$ such that x and y belong to distinct groups; is contained in exactly λ blocks of \mathcal{P} .

A necessary condition for the existence of a group divisible design $GD[k, \lambda, m; v]$ is that $v \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$, $\lambda(v-m) \equiv 0 \pmod{k-1}$, $\lambda v(v-m) \equiv 0 \pmod{k(k-1)}$ and $v \ge km$ or v = m.

We shall use the following

Theorem 1.2 (Brouwer-Hanani-Schrijver [1]). Let m, λ and v be positive integers. A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a group divisible design GD[4, λ , m; v] is that the design is not GD[4, 1, 2; 8] and not GD[4, 1, 6; 24] and that $v \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$, $\lambda(v-m) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, $\lambda v(v-m) \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ and $v \ge 4m$ or v = m.

1.4. Covering and packing designs

A design (X, \mathcal{B}) is called a covering design $AD[k, \lambda, v; b]$ (or, respectively, a packing design $SD[k, \lambda, v; b]$) if

- (i) |X| = v;
- (ii) the blocks are of size k;
- (iii) $|\mathscr{B}| = b;$
- (iv) every 2-subset $\{x, y\} \subset X$ is included in at least (at most) λ blocks of \mathcal{B} .

Naturally, we are interested in covering designs having a minimal number of blocks and, conversely, in packing designs with the maximal number of blocks.

Denote by $\alpha(k, \lambda, v)$ the smallest number b of blocks for which $AD[k, \lambda, v; b]$ exists and by $\sigma(k, \lambda, v)$ the greatest value of b for which $SD[k, \lambda, v; b]$ exists. Clearly

$$\sigma(k, \lambda, v) \leq \lambda v(v-1)/k(k-1) \leq \alpha(k, \lambda, v)$$

and the equality sign on both sides holds if and only if a BIBD $B[k, \lambda; v]$ exists.

Schonheim [7] introduced the notation

$$\phi(k, \lambda, v) = \left[\frac{v}{k}\left[\frac{v-1}{k-1}\lambda\right]\right], \qquad \psi(k, \lambda, v) = \left[\frac{v}{k}\left[\frac{v-1}{k-1}\lambda\right]\right],$$

where [x] is the smallest and [x] the largest integer satisfying $[x] \le x \le [x]$ and proved

Theorem 1.3 (Schonheim [7]). For every positive integer k, λ and $v \ge k$

$$\sigma(k, \lambda, v) \leq \psi(k, \lambda, v) \leq \lambda v(v-1)/k(k-1) \leq \phi(k, \lambda, v) \leq \alpha(k, \lambda, v).$$

A design (X, \mathcal{B}) with $X' \subset X$ is called an almost covering design $AD^*[k, \lambda, v(t); b]$ if

(i) |X| = v;

- (ii) the blocks are of size k;
- (iii) $|\mathcal{B}| = b$;
- (iv) |X'| = t

(v) every pairset $\{x, y\} \subset X$ such that $\{x, y\} \notin X'$ is included in at least λ blocks of \mathcal{B} ;

(vi) no pairset $\{x, y\} \subset X'$ is included in any block of \mathcal{B} .

For k = 3 and for every λ and v Hanani [2, p. 367] determined $\alpha(3, \lambda, v)$ and $\sigma(3, \lambda, v)$.

2. Covering designs with k = 4

In the case k = 4 and $\lambda = 1$ Mills [5, 6] proved

Theorem 2.1. For every positive integer $v \ge 4$

 $\alpha(4, 1, v) = \phi(4, 1, v), \quad v \neq 7, 9, 10, 19$ $\alpha(4, 1, 7) = \phi(4, 1, 7) + 1,$ $\alpha(4, 1, 9) = \phi(4, 1, 9) + 1,$ $\alpha(4, 1, 10) = \phi(4, 1, 10) + 1,$ $\alpha(4, 1, 19) = \phi(4, 1, 19) + 2,$

We shall obtain analogous results for $\lambda > 1$. For our proofs we need the following results of Hanani and Mills.

Lemma 2.1 (Hanani [2]). For given integers k, λ and m let GD[k, λ , m, mn] exist for every integer $n \ge k$. If in addition for u = m + t ($0 \le t \le m$) both designs

$$AD[k, \lambda, u, (\lambda u^2 + a_1 u + a_0)/k(k-1)]$$

and

$$AD^*[k, \lambda, u(t), m(\lambda(u+t) + a_1)/k(k-1)]$$

exist, then

$$\alpha(k, \lambda, v) \leq (\lambda v^2 + a_1 v + a_0)/k(k-1),$$

for every v = mn + t.

Lemma 2.2 (Mills [6, p. 139]). Let X be a set of order 4w + u where $w \equiv 0$ or 1 (mod 4) and 0 < u < w. Then there exists a collection \mathcal{D} of $w^2 + 5$ subsets of X such that:

(i) the collection \mathcal{D} covers all pairs of X exactly once;

(ii) \mathcal{D} consists of w(w-u) sets of order 4, wu sets of order 5, four sets of order w and one set of order u.

Theorem 2.2 (Mills [5, p. 161]). If $v \equiv 7$ or 10 (mod 12) and if \mathscr{C} is a collection of $\phi(4, 1, v)$ quadruples that covers all pairs of a set X of order v, then there is one pair which occurs four times in these quadruples, while all other pairs occur exactly once.

3. Constructions

We proceed to prove the following

Theorem. For every positive integer $\lambda > 1$ and $v \ge 4$, $\alpha(4, \lambda, v) = \phi(4, \lambda, v)$ holds.

For all values of v for which $\alpha(4, 1, v) = \phi(4, 1, v)$ it is sufficient to prove, by Theorem 1.1, our theorem for $2 \le \lambda \le 5$. For the few cases that $\alpha(4, 1, v) > \phi(4, 1, v)$, i.e., v = 7, 9, 10, 19 we have to prove also that $\alpha(4, 7, v) = \phi(4, 7, v)$. First we need the following two lemmas

First we need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. For v = 6 and $\lambda = 3$ there exists AD[4, 3, 6; 8].

Proof. Let $X = Z_6$, then the blocks are

Lemma 3.2. for v = 7 and $\lambda = 3$ there exists AD[4, 3, 7; 11].

Proof. Let $X = Z_7$, then the blocks are

(0, 1, 2, 4)	(1, 2, 3, 4)	(2, 4, 5, 6)	(0, 2, 3, 6)
(1, 2, 5, 6)	<pre>(0, 1, 3, 4)</pre>	<pre>(1, 3, 5, 6)</pre>	<0, 4, 5, 6 >
(3, 4, 5, 6)	(0, 2, 3, 5)	(0, 1, 5, 6)	

In order to solve our problem, we divide it into several cases.

3.1. $v \equiv 1 \text{ or } 4 \pmod{12}$

In this case $\alpha(4, \lambda, v) = \phi(4, \lambda, v)$ follows from Theorem 1.1.

3.2. $v \equiv 7 \text{ or } 10 \pmod{12}$

In this case $\alpha(4, \lambda, v) = \phi(4, \lambda, v)$.

Proof. For $\lambda = 2$, we have B[4, 2; v].

For $\lambda = 3$, the blocks of AD[4, 3, v; b] are the blocks of B[4, 2; v] with the blocks of AD[4, 1, v; b] by Theorem 2.1. This method does not work for v = 7 and 10. For v = 7 see Lemma 3.2, for v = 10, [4], let $X = \{a, b\} \cup (Z_4 \times Z_2)$, then the required blocks are:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \langle a, b, (0, 0), (0, 1) \rangle & (\operatorname{mod}(4, -)) & \langle (0, 1), (1, 0), (2, 0), (3, 0) \rangle \\ & (\operatorname{mod}(4, -)) & (\operatorname{mod}(4, -)) \\ \langle a, (0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1) \rangle & (\operatorname{mod}(4, -)) & \langle (0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1), (2, 1) \rangle \\ & (\operatorname{mod}(4, -)) & (\operatorname{mod}(4, -)) \\ \langle b, (0, 0), (2, 1), (3, 1) \rangle & (\operatorname{mod}(4, -)) & \langle (0, 1), (1, 1), (2, 1), (3, 1) \rangle \\ \langle a, b, (0, 0), (2, 0) \rangle & (+(i, -) i = 0, 1) \end{array}$

For v = 19, let $X = Z_{13} \cup \{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$, then the blocks that cover each pair of X at least three times are

For the last blocks apply Lemma 3.1.

For $\lambda = 4$ it follows from Theorem 1.1.

For $\lambda = 5$, then the blocks of AD[4, 5, v; b] are the blocks of B[4, 2; v] with the blocks of AD[4, 3, v; b].

3.3. $v \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$

In this case $\alpha(4, \lambda, v) = \phi(4, \lambda, v)$.

Proof. For $\lambda = 2$, the blocks of AD[4, 2, v; b] are the blocks of AD[4, 1, v; b], taken twice.

For $\lambda = 3$, it follows from Theorem 1.1.

For $\lambda = 4$, then the blocks of AD[4, 4, v; b] are the blocks of B[4, 3; v] with the blocks of AD[4, 1, v; b].

For $\lambda = 5$, then the blocks of AD[4, 5, v; b] are the blocks of B[4, 3; v] with the blocks of AD[4, 2, v; b].

3.4. $v \equiv 2 \pmod{12}$

In this case $\alpha(4, \lambda, v) = \phi(4, \lambda, v)$.

Proof. (1) For $\lambda = 2$, apply Lemma 2.1 with m = 12 and t = 2. According to this lemma it is sufficient to prove the existence of AD*[4, 2, 14(2); 31], AD[4, 2, 14; 32], AD[4, 2, 26; 111] and AD[4, 2, 38; 238].

For AD*[4, 2, 14(2); 31], take the blocks of B[4, 1; 13], and further, take the blocks of AD[4, 1, 15; 19] as they appeared in Mills' paper [5, p. 71] with the following changes: we interchange 1 with 13, 2 with 14 and 3 with 15; then we eliminate the block $\langle 13, 14, 15 \rangle$ and in all the remaining blocks we change 15 to 14.

The blocks of AD[4, 2, 14; 32] can be constructed in the following way:

(a) taking the blocks of B[4, 1; 13];

(b) taking the blocks of AD[4, 1, 15; 19] as above by interchanging 15 with 7, and then changing 15 to 14 in all the blocks except the block $\langle 6, 15, 10, 14 \rangle$ from which we drop only the point 15.

For AD[4, 2, 26; 111] we take the blocks of B[4, 1; 25] and then we take the blocks of AD[4, 1, 27; 61] as given by Mills [5, p. 71]. What is essential in this construction is that we have the block $\langle (2, 2), (2, 3), (2, 6), (2, 7) \rangle$ which contains the pairs ((2, 2), (2, 6)) and ((2, 3), (2, 7)), which appear once more elsewhere, so we can drop the point (2, 7) from this block and in the remaining blocks we change (2, 7) to (2, 6).

For AD[4, 2, 38; 238]: since in the construction of AD[4, 1, 39; 127], [5, p. 72] we have the block $\langle (2, 1), (2, 2), (2, 7), (2, 8) \rangle$ which contains. two pairs that appear once more, we can apply the method as above to get the blocks of AD(4, 2, 38; 238].

(2) For $\lambda = 3$, again apply Lemma 2.1 with m = 12 and t = 2. Accordingly, it is sufficient to prove the existence of AD*[4, 3, 14(2); 45], AD[4, 3, 14; 46], AD[4, 3, 26; 163] and AD[4, 3, 38; 352].

For AD*[4, 3, 14(2); 45] we take the blocks of B[4, 2; 13] and the blocks of B[4, 1; 16], dropping the block {13, 14, 15, 16} and changing in the remaining blocks both points 15 and 16 to 14.

For AD[4, 3, 14; 46], [4] let $X = Z_{12} \cup \{a, b\}$ and take the blocks

For AD[4, 3, 26; 163], let $X = Z_{19} \cup \{a, b, c, d, e, f, g\}$ then the blocks are

(0, 4, 7, 9)	(mod 19),	$\langle 0, 5, 8, c \rangle$	(mod 19),	$\langle 0, 5, 7, f angle$	(mod 19),
$\langle 0, 6, 8, a \rangle$	(mod 19),	$\langle 0, 4, 10, d \rangle$	(mod 19),	$\langle 0, 3, 4, g \rangle$	(mod 19),
$\langle 0, 1, 7, b \rangle$	(mod 19),	$\{0,1,9,e\rangle$	(mod 19),	$\langle a, b, c, d, e$, f, g >,

and for the last block apply Lemma 3.2.

For AD[4, 3, 38; 352], apply Lemma 2.2: $38 = 4 \cdot 8 + 6$ where $8 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and 6 < 8.

According to this lemma, there is a covering of the pairs of the 38 points such that each pair is contained in exactly one block. The blocks are of sizes 4, 5, 8 and one block of size 6. On the blocks of sizes 4, 5, 8 we construct BIBD with $\lambda = 3$, and for the block of size 6 we use Lemma 3.1.

(3) For $\lambda = 4$, first we give the construction of AD[4, 1, v; b], where $v \equiv 2 \pmod{12}$. Take the blocks of B[4, 1; v-1], then divide the v-1 points into triples and to each triple add the point v. Since $v-1 \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$, then when dividing the (v-1) points to triples, there will be a point (v-1) left out and when adding the point v to this point, we will have a block of order two.

Now the construction of AD[4, 4, v; b], $v \equiv 2 \pmod{12}$ can be done by taking the blocks of AD[4, 3, v; b] which has a pair that occurs six times, say (v - 1, v)and the blocks of AD[4, 1, v; b] which has a block of order two $\langle v - 1, v \rangle$ and drop this block. The remaining blocks are the blocks of AD[4, 4, v; b].

(4) For $\lambda = 5$, apply Lemma 2.1 with m = 12, t = 2 and $\lambda = 5$. It is sufficient to construct AD*[4, 5, 14(2); 76], AD[4, 5, 14; 77], AD[4, 5, 26; 273] and AD[4, 5, 38; 589].

For $AD^{*}[4, 5, 14(2); 76]$ we take the blocks of $AD^{*}[4, 3, 14(2); 45]$ and $AD^{*}[4, 2, 14(2); 31]$.

For AD[4, 5, 14; 77] we take the blocks of AD[4, 3, 14; 46], B[4, 1; 13] and the following blocks

$\langle 3, 4, 5, 12 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 8, 9, 13 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 3, 7, 10 \rangle$
$\langle 6,7,8,12 angle$	$\langle 0, 3, 9, 13 \rangle$	$\langle 2, 3, 8, 11 \rangle$
$\langle 9, 10, 11, 12 \rangle$	$\langle 0,7,11,13 angle$	$\langle 2, 4, 7, 9 \rangle$
$\langle 0, 1, 2, 12 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 4, 11, 13 \rangle$	$\langle 0,4,8,10 angle$
$\langle 3, 4, 6, 13 \rangle$	(5, 7, 8, 13)	$\langle 1, 5, 6, 9 \rangle$
(2, 5, 10, 13)	(2, 6, 10, 13)	$\langle 0, 5, 6, 11 \rangle$

For AD[4, 5, 26; 273], apply Lemma 2.1 with m = 6, t = 2 and $\lambda = 5$. According to this lemma we have to prove the existence of AD*[4, 5, 8(2); 23] and AD[4, 5, 8; 24].

For AD*[4, 5, 8(2); 23] let $X = Z_6 \cup \{a, b\}$, then the blocks are

(0, 1, 3, <i>a</i>)	(mod 6),	$\langle 3, 4, 5, a \rangle$,	$\langle 0, 1, 5, b \rangle$,
$\langle 0, 1, 4, b \rangle$	(mod 6),	$\langle 1, 2, 3, b \rangle$,	⟨0, 1, 3, 4⟩ ,
$\langle 0, 2, 4, a \rangle$,		$\langle 0, 4, 5, b \rangle$,	<1, 2, 4, 5
$\langle 1, 3, 5, a \rangle$,		$\langle 2, 3, 4, b \rangle$,	<pre>(0, 2, 3, 5),</pre>
$\langle 0, 1, 2, a \rangle$,			

For AD[4, 5, 8; 24], let $X = Z_8$, then the blocks are

 $\langle 0, 1, 3, 4 \rangle \pmod{8}, \quad \langle 0, 2, 4, 5 \rangle \pmod{8}, \quad \langle 0, 1, 2, 4 \rangle \pmod{8}.$

For AD[4, 5, 38; 589], again apply Lemma 2.1 with m = 6, t = 2 and $\lambda = 5$. According to this lemma we have to prove the existence of AD*[4, 5, 8(2); 23] and AD[4, 5, 8; 24] which we have done above.

3.5. $v \equiv 3 \pmod{12}$

(1) For $\lambda = 2$, then the blocks of AD[4, 2, v; b] are the blocks of AD[4, 1, v; b] taken twice.

(2) For $\lambda = 3$, the blocks of AD[4, 3, v; b] for every $v \equiv 3 \pmod{12}$ can be constructed in the following way:

(a) take the blocks of B[4, 1; v-2];

(b) take the blocks of B[4, 1; v + 1] with assumption that the points v - 1, vand v + 1 are not included in one block, hence, there are two blocks $\langle a, b, v; v + 1 \rangle$ and $\langle c, d, v - 1; v + 1 \rangle$. The point v + 1 we change to v in all the blocks of B[4, 1; v + 1] except the block $\langle a, b, v, v + 1 \rangle$. In this block we change (v + 1) to (v - 1);

(c) again take the same blocks of B[4, 1; v + 1], interchange $v - 1 \leftrightarrow v$. Now in the block $\langle a, b, v - 1, v + 1 \rangle$ we change v + 1 to v and in all other blocks of B[4, 1; v + 1] we change v + 1 to v - 1.

(3) For $\lambda = 4$, the blocks of AD[4, 4, v; b] are the blocks of AD[4, 3, v; b] and AD[4, 1, v; b].

(4) For $\lambda = 5$, apply Lemma 2.1 with m = 12, t = 2 and $\lambda = 5$. According to this lemma we have to prove the existence of AD*[4, 5, 15(3); 87], AD[4, 5, 15; 90], AD[4, 5, 27; 297], and AD[4, 5, 39; 624].

For AD*[4, 5, 15(3); 87], take the blocks of AD*[4, 3, 15(3); 51] and the blocks of AD*[4, 2, 15(3); 36]. The blocks of AD*[4, 3, 15(3); 51] can be constructed by

(a) taking the blocks of B[4, 1; 13];

(b) taking the blocks of B[4, 1; 16] twice, without the blocks $\langle 13, 14, 15, 16 \rangle$, in the first by changing 16 to 14, and secondly by changing 16 to 15. The blocks of AD*[4, 2, 15(3); 36] can be constructed by

(a) taking the blocks of B[4, 1; 16] without the block $\langle 13, 14, 15, 16 \rangle$;

(b) by taking the blocks of AD[4, 1, 14; 18], [6, p. 139] without the block $\langle 13, 14 \rangle$.

For AD[4, 5, v; b], v = 15, 27, 39:

(a) take the blocks of AD[4, 3, v; b]. According to this construction there is a pair which appears six times. Assume this pair is (1, 2):

(b) take the blocks of AD[4, 1, v; b] v = 15, 27, 39 given by Mills [5, p. 71]. This construction contains a triple; let us assume the triple is $\langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle$ which we drop;

(c) again we take the blocks of AD[4, 1, v; b], v = 15, 27, 39. Here we assume the triple to be $\langle 1, 2, 4 \rangle$. To this triple we add the point 3, so we get back the two pairs (1, 3), (2, 3) which we lost in (b). This gives the construction of AD[4, 5, v; b], $v \equiv 3 \pmod{12}$.

3.6. $v \equiv 5 \pmod{12}$

(1) For $\lambda = 2$, the blocks of AD[4, 2, v; b] can be constructed in the following way:

(a) We take the blocks of AD[4, 1, v + 2; b] given by Mills. According to this construction there is exactly one pair which occurs exactly four times [6, p. 161]. Let ús assume this pair is (v - 1, v). We can also assume that we have the block $\langle v - 1, v, v + 1, v + 2 \rangle$; we drop this block and in the remaining blocks of AD[4, 1, v + 2; b] we change v + 2 to v and change v + 1 to v - 1.

(b) We take the blocks of AD[4, 1, v - 2; b].

The above construction does not work for v = 5, 17. For v = 5 and $\lambda = 2$ let $X = Z_5$, then the blocks are (0, 1, 2, 3) $(+i, i \in Z_4)$.

The blocks of AD[4, 2, 17; 47] can be achieved in the following way:

(a) take the blocks of B[4, 1; 16];

(b) take the blocks of AD[4, 1, 18; 27] given by Mills [5, p. 67].

According to this construction there is a block which contains two pairs which have appeared. Assume this block is $\langle 5, 18, 6, 17 \rangle$ and the two pairs which have appeared are (5, 18) and (6, 17). From this block we drop the point 18 and in the other blocks we change 18 to 17.

(2) For $\lambda = 3$, there exists B[4, 3; 2].

(3) For $\lambda = 4$, the blocks of AD[4, 4, v; b] are the blocks of AD[4, 3, v; b] with the blocks of AD[4, 1, v; b].

(4) For $\lambda = 5$, the blocks of AD[4, 5, v; b] are the blocks of AD[4, 3, v; b] with the blocks of AD[4, 2, v; b].

3.7. $v \equiv 6 \pmod{12}$

(1) For $\lambda = 2$, the blocks of AD[4, 2, v; b] are the blocks of AD[4, 1, v; b] each block taken twice.

(2) For $\lambda = 3$, we have $\alpha(4, 3, v) = \phi(4, 3, v)$. To prove this we distinguish two cases:

Case A. $v \equiv 6$ or 42 (mod 48); then let v = 4w + 6 where $w \equiv 0$ or 1 (mod 4); then by Lemma 2.2 there exists a collection of $w^2 + 5$ blocks of sizes 4, 5, w and one block of size 6.

On these blocks we construct covering with $\lambda = 3$. For v = 4, 5 and w we have balanced incomplete block design. For v = 6 see Lemma 3.1.

Case B. $v \equiv 18$ or 30 (mod 48), $v \neq 18$, 30 and 66; then let $v \equiv 4w + 14$ where $w \equiv 0$ or 1 (mod 4). Again apply Lemma 2.2 and the proof of Case B is exactly the same as Case A. Remember that we have constructed AD[4, 3, 14; 46].

For AD[4, 3, 18; 77], [4], let $X = \{a, b\} \cup ((\{\infty\} \cup Z_3) \times Z_4)$ then the blocks are

 $\langle a, b, (0, 0), (0, 2) \rangle$ (mod(3, -)), $\langle a, (\infty, 0), (0, 0), (1, 1) \rangle$ (mod(3, 4)), $\langle (0,0), (0,1), (1,0), (1,2) \rangle$ (mod(3, 4)), $\langle (\infty, 0), (\infty, 1), (\infty, 2), (\infty, 3) \rangle$ (3 times), $\langle (\infty, 0), (0, 2), (0, 3), (1, 1) \rangle$ (mod(3, 4)twice),(mod(-, 4)twice), $\langle (\infty, 0), (0, 0), (1, 0), (2, 0) \rangle$ $\langle a, b, (0, 1), (0, 3) \rangle$ (mod(3, -)),(mod(3, 4)). $\langle b, (\infty, 0), (0, 2), (1, 3) \rangle$

For AD[4, 3, 30; 218] let $X = Z_{23} \cup \{a, b, c, d, e, f, g\}$, then the required blocks are

<pre>(0, 2, 8, 11)</pre>	(mod 23),	$\langle 0, 10, 11, d \rangle$	(mod 23),
$\langle 0, 3, 8, 10 \rangle$	(mod 23),	$\langle 0, 8, 11, e \rangle$	(mod 23),
$\langle 0, 4, 9, a \rangle$	(mod 23),	$\langle 0,7,9,f angle$	(mod 23),
$\langle 0, 6, 7, b \rangle$	(mod 23),	$\langle 0, 4, 5, g \rangle$	(mod 23),
$\langle 0, 4, 10, c \rangle$	(mod 23),	$\langle a, b, c, d, e, f, g \rangle$.	

For the last block see Lemma 3.2.

In order to construct AD[4, 3, 66; 1073] we need the concept of a resolvable design. A resolvable design RB(4, λ ; v) is a balanced incomplete block design $B(4, \lambda; v)$ the blocks of which can be partitioned into parallel classes. Hanani, Ray-Chandhuri and Wilson [3] proved that for every $v \equiv 4 \pmod{12}$ there exists RB(4, 1; v).

Now for AD[4, 3, 66; 1073] take the blocks of RB[4, 1; 52) and from the blocks of RB(4, 1; 52) take fourteen parallel classes, and to each class we add a point. In this way we add fourteen distinct points. On the blocks of size 5 we construct a covering with $\lambda = 3$, on the block of size 14 we construct AD[4, 3, 14, 46], and the remaining blocks of RB(4, 1; 52) take each block three times.

(3) For $\lambda = 4$, the blocks of AD[4, 4, v; b] are the blocks of AD[4, 3, v; b] and the blocks of AD[4, 1, v; b].

(4) For $\lambda = 5$, the blocks of AD[4, 5, v; b] are the blocks of AD[4, 3, v; b] and the blocks of AD[4, 2, v; b].

3.8. $v \equiv 8 \pmod{12}$

(1) $\lambda = 2$, then the blocks of AD[4, 2, v; b] can be constructed in the following way:

(a) take the blocks of AD[4, 1, v - 2; b] on the set $X = \{3, 4, ..., v\};$

(b) take the blocks of AD[4, 1, v + 2; b] on the set $A = \{1, 2, ..., v + 1, v + 1,$

2} with the following changes: Since $v + 2 \equiv 10 \pmod{12}$ we have a pair which occurs exactly four times. Let this pair be (1, 2). Assume also that we have the block $\langle 1, 2, v + 1, v + 2 \rangle$. We drop this block and in the other blocks we change v + 1 to 1 and v + 2 to 2.

The above construction does not work for v = 8. Hence for AD[4, 2, 8; 10] let $X = Z_8$, then the blocks are:

(2) For $\lambda = 3$, we have B[4, 3; v].

(3) For $\lambda = 4$, the blocks of AD[4, 4, v; b] are the blocks of B[4, 3; v] and the blocks of AD[4, 1, v; b].

(4) For $\lambda = 5$, then the blocks of AD[4, 5, v; b] are the blocks of B[4, 3; v] and the blocks of AD[4, 2, v; b].

3.9. $v \equiv 9 \pmod{12}$

(1) For $\lambda = 2$, the blocks of AD[4, 2, v; b] are the blocks of AD[4, 1, v; b], each block taken twice.

(2) For $\lambda = 3$, we have a B[4, 3; v].

(3) For $\lambda = 4$, the blocks of AD[4, 4, v; b] are the blocks of B[4, 3; v] together with the blocks of AD[4, 1, v; b].

(4) For $\lambda = 5$, the blocks of AD[4, 5, v; b] are the blocks of B[4, 3; v] and AD[4, 2, v; b].

The above construction does not work for v = 9, $\lambda = 2$, 4. For AD[4, 2, 9; 14] the 12 quadruples

$\langle A, B, D, E \rangle$	$\langle A, B, G, H \rangle$	$\langle D, E, G, H \rangle$	$\langle A, C, E, I \rangle$
$\langle A, C, D, F \rangle$	$\langle A, C, G, I \rangle$	$\langle D, F, G, I \rangle$	$\langle B, E, F, G \rangle$
$\langle B, C, E, F \rangle$	$\langle B, C, H, I \rangle$	$\langle E, F, H, I \rangle$	$\langle C, D, G, H \rangle$

and the two triples $\langle A, F, H \rangle$; $\langle B, D, I \rangle$ cover all pairs twice. This construction was given by Mills.

For AD[4, 4, 9; 25], [4], let $X = \{a\} \cup (Z_4 \times Z_2)$ then the blocks are:

$\langle a, (0, 0), (1, 0), (2, 0) \rangle$	(mod(4, -)),
$\langle a, (0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1) \rangle$	(mod(4, -)),
$\langle a, (0, 0), (2, 1), (3, 1) \rangle$	(mod(4, -)),
$\langle (0,0), (1,0), (0,1), (2,1) \rangle$	(mod(4, -)twice),
$\langle (0,0), (2,0), (0,1), (1,1) \rangle$	(mod(4, -)),
$\langle (0,1), (1,1), (2,1), (3,1) \rangle.$	

3.10. $v \equiv 11 \pmod{12}$

(1) For $\lambda = 2$, the blocks of AD[4, 2, v; b] can be constructed in the following way:

(a) Take the blocks of AD[4, 1, v + 1; b] and assume they contain the block $\langle x, y, v, v + 1 \rangle$ (x, y < v - 2), and the pair (v, v + 1) is contained in exactly one block.

(b) take the blocks of AD[4, 1, v - 1; b]. Since $v - 1 \equiv 10 \pmod{12}$ we have a pair which occurs exactly four times and all the other pairs occur exactly once. Assume we have the block $\langle x, y, v - 2, v - 1 \rangle$ and the pair (v - 2, v - 1) occurs four times. Now we change the point v + 1 to v - 1 in the block $\langle x, y, v, v + 1 \rangle$ and in the block $\langle x, y, v - 2, v - 1 \rangle$ we change v - 1 to v. In the remaining blocks of AD[4, 1, v + 1; b] we change v + 1 to v.

For AD[4, 2, 11; 20] let $X = Z_{10} \cup \{\infty\}$ then the required blocks are:

 $\langle \infty, 0, 1, 4 \rangle \pmod{10}, \qquad \langle 0, 1, 3, 5 \rangle \pmod{10}.$

(2) For $\lambda = 3$ we distinguish two cases:

Case A. $v \equiv 11 \text{ or } 23 \pmod{48}$, then $\alpha(4, 3, v) = \phi(4, 3, v)$.

Proof. Set v = 4w + 7, then $w \equiv 0$ or 1 (mod 4) and 7 < w for every v > 23. According to Lemma 2.2 there are $w^2 + 4$ blocks of order 4, 5, w and one block of order 7. On these blocks we construct covering with $\lambda = 3$. It is clear that for v = 4, 5 and w we have balanced incomplete block design. For v = 7, $\lambda = 3$ see Lemma 3.2.

For v = 11 and $\lambda = 3$, let $X = \{a, b\} \cup ((\{\infty\} \cup Z_2) \times Z_3)$, then the required blocks are [4]:

$\langle a, b, (\infty, 0), (\infty, 1) \rangle$	(mod(-, 3)),	$\langle b, (0, 0), (0, 1), (0, 2) \rangle$	(mod(2, -)),
$\langle a, b, (0, 0), (1, 0) \rangle$	(mod(-, 3)),	$\langle (\infty, 0), (\infty, 1), (0, 1), (1, 2) \rangle$	(mod(-, 3)),
$\langle a, (\infty, 0), (0, 0), (1, 0) \rangle$	(mod(-, 3)),	$\langle (\infty, 0), (\infty, 1), (0, 2), (1, 1) \rangle$	(mod(-, 3)),
$\langle b, (\infty, 0), (0, 2), (1, 2) \rangle$	(mod(-, 3)),	$\langle (\infty, 0), (0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 2) \rangle$	(mod(-, 3)),
<i>(a, (0, 0), (0, 1), (0, 2))</i>	(mod(2, -)),	$\langle (\infty, 0), (0, 2), (1, 0), (1, 1) \rangle$	(mod(-, 3)).

For v = 23 and $\lambda = 3$, let $X = Z_{17} \cup \{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$. Then the required blocks are:

(0, 1, 3, 8)	(mod 17),	$\langle 0, 5, 8, d \rangle$	(mod 17),
$\langle 0, 4, 6, a \rangle$	(mod 17),	$\langle 0, 4, 6, e \rangle$	(mod 17),
$\langle 0, 7, 8, b \rangle$	(mod 17),	$\langle 0, 5, 6, f angle$	(mod 17),
(0, 3, 7, c)	(mod 17),	$\langle a, b, c, d, e, f \rangle$.	

For the last block see Lemma 3.1.

Case B. $v \equiv 35$ or 47 (mod 48), then $\alpha(4, 3, v) = \phi(4, 3, v)$.

Proof. Set v = 4w + 15, then $w \equiv 0$ or 1 (mod 4) and for v > 71 we have w > 15. Apply Lemma 2.2 we have $w^2 + 4$ blocks of order 4, 5, w and one block of order 15. On these blocks we construct covering with $\lambda = 3$.

For v = 35, $\lambda = 3$ let $X = Z_{29} \cup \{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$, then the required blocks are

(0, 2, 6, 13)	(mod 29),	$\langle 0, 8, 12, a \rangle$	(mod 29),	$\langle 0, 3, 10, e \rangle$	(mod 29),
$\langle 0, 1, 11, 14 \rangle$	(mod 29),	$\langle 0, 10, 13, b \rangle$	(mod 29),	$\langle 0, 11, 12, f \rangle$	(mod 29),
(0, 5, 12, 14)	(mod 29),	$\langle 0, 4, 9, c \rangle$	(mod 29),	$\langle a, b, c, d, e, f$	λ.
⟨0, 8, 9, 14⟩	(mod 29),	$\langle 0, 6, 8, d \rangle$	(mod 29),		

For the last block apply Lemma 3.1.

For v = 47, $\lambda = 3$ let $X = Z_{41} \cup \{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$ the required blocks are

$\langle 0, 9, 17, 20 \rangle$	(mod 41),	$\langle 0, 4, 14, 15 \rangle$	(mod 41),	$\langle 0, 15, 17, c \rangle$	(mod 41),
⟨0,7,12,16⟩	(mod 41),	$\langle a, b, c, d, e, f$	έ),	$\langle 0, 7, 21, d \rangle$	(mod 41),
$\langle 0, 6, 16, 18 \rangle$	(mod 41),	$\langle 0, 6, 15, 19 \rangle$	(mod 41),	$\langle 0, 8, 20, e \rangle$	(mod 41),
$\langle 0, 5, 13, 19 \rangle$	(mod 41),	$\langle 0, 16, 19, a \rangle$	(mod 41),	$\langle 0,2,3,f angle$	(mod 41).
$\langle 0, 1, 11, 18 \rangle$	(mod 41),	$\langle 0, 13, 18, b \rangle$	(mod 41),		

For the block of size 6 apply Lemma 3.1.

(3) For $\lambda = 4$, then the blocks of AD[4, 4, v; b] are the blocks of AD[4, 3, v; b] and the blocks of AD[4, 1, v; b].

(4) For $\lambda = 5$ we have $\alpha(4, 5, v) = \phi(4, 5, v)$.

The construction of AD[4, 5, v; b] can be done in the following way:

(a) Take the blocks of SD[4, 3, v; b] where $v \equiv 11 \pmod{12}$ which can be constructed by taking the blocks of B[4, 2; v - 1] and the blocks of B[4, 1; v + 2], dropping the block $\langle v - 1, v, v + 1, v + 2 \rangle$ and changing both the points v + 1 and v + 2 to v. According to this construction there is a pair which is not included in any block of SD[4, 3, v; b]. Assume this pair is (v - 2, v - 1).

(b) Take the blocks of AD[4, 1, v - 1; b]. Since $v - 1 \equiv 10 \pmod{12}$ we have one pair which occurs exactly four times. Assume this pair is (v - 2, v - 1). Assume also that we have the block $\langle 1, 2, v - 2, v - 1 \rangle$. In this block we change v - 2 to v.

(c) Take the blocks of AD[4, 1, v + 1; b] and assume that the pair (v - 2, v - 1) occurs twice. Assume also that we have the block $\{1, 2, v, v + 1\}$, and assume the pair (v, v + 1) occurs only once. In this block we change v + 1 to v - 2. In the other blocks of AD[4, 1, v + 1; b] we change v + 1 to v.

For AD[4, 5, 11; 47], [4], let $X = \{a, b, c\} \cup Z_8$ then the required blocks are

 $\begin{array}{ll} \langle a,\,b,\,c\,\rangle, & \langle b,\,c,\,0,\,4\,\rangle & (+i,\,i\in Z_4), & \langle a,\,0,\,1,\,3\,\rangle \pmod{8}, \\ \langle a,\,b,\,0,\,1\,\rangle & (+2i,\,i\in Z_4), & \langle 0,\,2,\,4,\,6\,\rangle & (+i,\,i\in Z_2), & \langle b,\,0,\,1,\,3\,\rangle \pmod{8}, \\ \langle a,\,c,\,1,\,2\,\rangle & (+2i,\,i\in Z_4), & \langle 0,\,1,\,3,\,5\,\rangle \pmod{8}, & \langle c,\,0,\,1,\,4\,\rangle \pmod{8}. \end{array}$

132

In order to complete the proof of our theorem we have to show that $\alpha(4, 7, v) = \phi(4, 7, v)$ for v = 7, 9, 10, 19. For v = 7, 10, 19 the blocks of AD[4, 7, v; b] are the blocks of AD[4, 3, v; b] and the blocks of B[4, 4; v].

For AD[4, 7, 9; 43], take the blocks of AD[4, 4, 9; 25] and the blocks of B[4, 3; 9]. \Box

References

- A.E. Brouwer, A. Schrijver and H. Hanani, Group divisible designs with block-size four, Discrete Math. 20 (1977) 1-10.
- [2] H. Hanani, Balanced incomplete block designs and related designs, Discrete Math. 11 (1975) 225-369.
- [3] H. Hanani, D.K. Ray-Chaudhuri and R.M. Wilson, On resolvable designs, Discrete Math. 3 (1972) 343-357.
- [4] A. Hartman, On small packing and covering designs with block size 4, submitted.
- [5] W.H. Mills, On the covering of pairs by quadruples I, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 13 (1972) 55-78.
- [6] W.H. Mills, On the covering of pairs by quadruples II, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 15 (1973) 138-166.
- [7] J. Schonheim, On covering, Pacific J. Math. 14 (1964) 1405-1411.