

Conflict of interest

The authors declared that they do not have anything to disclose regarding funding or conflict of interest with respect to this manuscript.

References

[1] Dyson J, Jaques B, Chattopadhyay D, Lochan R, Graham J, Das D, et al. Hepatocellular cancer: the impact of obesity, type 2 diabetes and a multidisciplinary team. *J Hepatol* 2014;60:110–117.

[2] NHS Blood and Transplant Liver Advisory Group. Protocols and guidelines for adults undergoing deceased donor liver transplantation in the UK. In: NHS, editor. <http://www.organdonation.nhs.uk>; 2009.

[3] Public Health England. The prevalence of smoking in the North East. Update 2012. http://www.npho.org.uk/publications/1031/The_Prevalence_of_Smoking_in_the_North_East.

[4] Public Health England. Smoking prevalence among adults aged 18+ by region and local authority. Data from the integrated household survey on smoking prevalence, updated as at 10 October 2012. <http://www.lhoo.org.uk/viewResource.aspx?id=16678>.

[5] Ekstedt M, Franzen LE, Mathiesen UL, Thorelius L, Holmqvist M, Bodemar G, et al. Long-term follow-up of patients with NAFLD and elevated liver enzymes. *Hepatology* 2006;44:865–873.

[6] Younossi ZM, Gramlich T, Matteoni CA, Boparai N, McCullough AJ. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2004;2:262–265.

[7] Musso G, Gambino R, Cassader M, Pagano G. Meta-analysis: natural history of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and diagnostic accuracy of non-invasive tests for liver disease severity. *Ann Med* 2011;43:617–649.

[8] Anstee QM, Targher G, Day CP. Progression of NAFLD to diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease or cirrhosis. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2013;10:330–344.

Quentin M. Anstee
 The Liver Unit, Freeman Hospital,
 Newcastle-upon-Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, UK
 Institute of Cellular Medicine, Newcastle University,
 Newcastle-upon-Tyne, UK

Bryan Jaques
 Hepatopancreatobiliary Team, Freeman Hospital,
 Newcastle-upon-Tyne Hospitals
 NHS Foundation Trust, UK

Mark Hudson
 The Liver Unit, Freeman Hospital,
 Newcastle-upon-Tyne Hospitals
 NHS Foundation Trust, UK

Helen L. Reeves*
 The Liver Unit, Freeman Hospital,
 Newcastle-upon-Tyne Hospitals
 NHS Foundation Trust, UK
 Hepatopancreatobiliary Team, Freeman Hospital,
 Newcastle-upon-Tyne Hospitals
 NHS Foundation Trust, UK
 Northern Institute for Cancer Research,
 Newcastle University, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, UK
 *Corresponding author.
 E-mail address: H.L.Reeves@ncl.ac.uk



Response to locoregional treatment and alpha-fetoprotein trend in liver transplant candidates for HCC: *Dwarfs standing on the shoulders of giants*

To the Editor:

We read with great interest the correspondence on the paper published by Otto *et al.*, [1] on the response to repeated transarterial chemo-embolization (TACE) as a discriminating tool for selection of liver transplant (LT) candidates with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Paul *et al.* [2] evidenced the relative small sample size and the lack of information on the role of TACE on waiting-list dropout. Our aim is to support the results obtained by Otto *et al.* with the strength and the statistical power of a new recently published European study.

Using prospectively recorded data from 6 Centers with different allocation systems, we have confirmed the role of the response to locoregional treatments (LRT) as predictor of survival and HCC recurrence [3]. mRECIST progression after LRT and alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) slope >15 ng/ml/month resulted independent predictors not only in 116 Milan-criteria (MC)-OUT, but also in 306 MC-IN patients. Moreover, no TACE alone but also different LRTs were performed in the routine pre-LT workout,

demonstrating that response to LRT works well independently from the stage.

We agree on the Zurich Conference recommendations [4]: The preoperative assessment of the size of largest tumor or total diameter remains crucial. However, pre-operative radiological staging for MC-IN and MC-OUT patients may differ in both directions in up to 25% of cases when compared to post-transplant histopathology [5]. Although response to LRTs has already been shown in the beginning of the nineties as a useful tool for the selection of HCC patients as the seminal paper by Majno *et al.* clearly shows [6], the delayed introduction in clinical practice of standardized and effective LRT techniques (TACE, radiofrequency ablation, radio-embolization) has hampered their routine use in the pre-LT management of HCC. As a consequence, the evaluation of the prognostic role of response after LRT has only recently been implemented.

Mehta and Yao synthesized that there is “growing evidence that size and number tell only a partial tale of the tumor charac-

Letters to the Editor

teristics that predict post-transplant recurrence" [7]. It is not known yet if LRTs represent *per se* a surrogate of tumor biology or if LRT works only through the proof of time. On this scope, we recently demonstrated that "fast track" LT does not allow to time the selection patients according to tumor aggressiveness [8].

We recognize some limitations. Both the studies by Otto *et al.* and Lai *et al.* did not investigate the effect of LRT on the dropout during waiting-time. However, an ongoing analysis performed on 821 patients coming from the EurHeCaLT study group further confirmed the role of radiological progression as selection tool in terms of drop-out rate [9].

Secondarily, CT scans older than 5 years are not always well evaluated by mRECIST criteria.

In conclusion, waiting for reliable preoperative predictive markers of both adverse outcome and response to pretransplant treatment [10], morphologic criteria remain the giants in the evaluation of LT candidates. Biological criteria still are the dwarfs. However, when dwarfs climb the shoulders of giants, they have the chance to see far, allowing longer survivals.

Conflict of interest

The authors declared that they do not have anything to disclose regarding funding or conflict of interest with respect to this manuscript.

References

- [1] Otto G, Schuchmann M, Hoppe-Lotichius M, Heise M, Weinmann A, Hansen T, et al. How to decide about liver transplantation in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma: size and number of lesions or response to TACE? *J Hepatol* 2013;59:279–284.
- [2] Paul A, Sotiropoulos G, Gerken G, Saner FH. How to decide about liver transplantation in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma: size and number of lesions or response to TACE? *J Hepatol* 2014;60:463–464.
- [3] Lai Q, Avolio AW, Graziadei I, Otto G, Rossi M, Tisone G, et al. Alpha-fetoprotein and modified response evaluation criteria in solid tumors progression after locoregional therapy as predictors of hepatocellular cancer recurrence and death after transplantation. *Liver Transpl* 2013;19:1108–1118.
- [4] Clavien PA, Lesurtel M, Bossuyt PM, Gores CJ, Langer B, Perrier A. OLT for HCC Consensus Group. Recommendations for liver transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma: an international consensus conference report. *Lancet Oncol* 2012;13:e11–e22.
- [5] Bargellini I, Bozzi E, Campani D, Carrai P, De Simone P, Pollina L, et al. Modified RECIST to assess tumor response after transarterial chemoembolization of hepatocellular carcinoma: CT-pathologic correlation in 178 liver explants. *Eur J Radiol* 2013;82:e212–e218.
- [6] Majno PE, Adam R, Bismuth H, Castaing D, Ariche A, Krissat J, et al. Influence of preoperative transarterial lipiodol chemoembolization on resection and transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis. *Ann Surg* 1997;226:688–701.
- [7] Mehta N, Yao FY. Moving past "One size (and number) fits all" in the selection of candidates with hepatocellular carcinoma for liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl* 2013;19:1055–1058.
- [8] Lai Q, Avolio AW, Lerut J, Singh G, Chan SC, Berloco PB, et al. Recurrence of hepatocellular cancer after liver transplantation: the role of primary resection and salvage transplantation in East and West. *J Hepatol* 2012;57:974–979.
- [9] Lai Q, Graziadei I, Otto G, Goffette P, Berloco P, Tisone G, et al. Alpha-fetoprotein increase and radiological progression as more refined clinical tools for a justified selection of HCC liver recipients. *Liver Transpl* 2013;19:S118.
- [10] Gordon-Weeks AN, Snaith A, Petrinic T, Friend PJ, Burls A, Silva MA. Systematic review of outcome of downstaging hepatocellular cancer before liver transplantation in patients outside the Milan criteria. *Br J Surg* 2011;98:1201–1208.

Quirino Lai*

Starzl Unit of Abdominal Transplantation,
St. Luc University Hospital, Catholic University of Louvain,
Brussels, Belgium
Department of General Surgery and Organ Transplantation,
Umberto I Hospital, Sapienza University,
Rome, Italy

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: lai.quirino@libero.it

Alfonso W. Avolio
Liver Unit, Department of Surgery, Agostino Gemelli Hospital,
Catholic University, Rome, Italy

Ivo Graziadei
Gastroenterology and Hepatology,
Department of Internal Medicine II,
Innsbruck Medical University,
Innsbruck, Austria

Jan Lerut*

Starzl Unit of Abdominal Transplantation, St. Luc University Hospital,
Catholic University of Louvain, Brussels, Belgium

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: Jan.lerut@uclouvain.be

For the European Hepatocellular Cancer Liver Transplant
(EurHeCaLT) Study Group



Reply to "Response to locoregional treatment and alpha-fetoprotein trend in liver transplant candidates for HCC: *Dwarfs standing on the shoulders of giants*"

To the Editor:

I thank Dr. Lai for his letter stressing some important issues of our recent publication [1]. With great interest I have recognized

that Dr. Lai's analysis of the EurHeCaLT data endorse the principles of our statements in a much greater cohort of patients [2]. We have focussed on response of hepatocellular carcinomas