Induction of Herpud1 expression by ER stress is regulated by Nrf1
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Abstract

Herpud1 is an ER-localized protein that contributes to endoplasmic reticulum (ER) homeostasis by participating in the ER-associated protein degradation pathway. The Nrf1 transcription factor is important in cellular stress pathways. We show that loss of Nrf1 function results in decreased Herpud1 expression in cells and liver tissues. Expression of Herpud1 increases in response to ER stress, but not in Nrf1 knockout cells. Transactivation studies show that Nrf1 acts through antioxidant response elements located in the Herpud1 promoter, and chromatin immunoprecipitation demonstrates that Herpud1 is a direct Nrf1 target gene. These results indicate that Nrf1 is a transcriptional activator of Herpud1 expression during ER stress, and they suggest Nrf1 is a key player in the regulation of the ER stress response in cells.
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1. Introduction

Endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress occurs when aberrant or unfolded protein accumulates in the ER as a result of physiological or pathological processes [6,32]. ER stress has been suggested to contribute to the pathogenesis of various disorders including neurodegeneration, cancer, obesity, type II diabetes and fatty liver disease [5,17,30,34]. Cells respond to ER stress by activating the unfolded protein response (UPR) pathway. The UPR functions to restore ER homeostasis by inhibiting protein synthesis and inducing genes that promote protein folding and degradation. The ER-associated protein degradation (ERAD) pathway, a process in the UPR pathway, functions to eliminate terminally misfolded proteins from the ER by triggering retrograde transport of protein to the cytoplasm for proteasome-dependent degradation [28].

Nuclear factor erythroid-derived 2-related factor 1 (Nrf1, also known as NFE2L1) is a member of the Cap-N-Collar (CNC) subfamily of bZIP transcription factors that includes Nrf2, p45NFE2 and Nrf3 [1,2,11,21]. Nrf1 is an essential gene and is critical for the maintenance of cellular homeostasis. The global knockout of Nrf1 in mice leads to embryonic lethality, and conditional gene targeting of Nrf1 in various tissues indicates Nrf1 is important in the regulation against development of steatohepatitis and neurodegeneration in mice [3,16,37]. Nrf1 has been shown to regulate the expression of genes via cis-active sequences known as the antioxidant response element [14,22,31]. In addition, Nrf1 is important in the proteotoxic stress response through its role in regulating expression of genes encoding the proteasome [15,16,27]. In response to proteasome inhibition, Nrf1 mediates recovery of proteasome function, and promotes survival of cancer cells [27]. Aside from cellular stress response, Nrf1 has been shown to regulate differentiation of osteoblast and odontoblast [9,23,36]. Additionally, loss of Nrf1 function leads to genetic instability and the promotion of tumorigenesis [25,37].

Herpud1 (homocysteine-inducible, endoplasmic reticulum stress-inducible, ubiquitin-like domain member 1) is an ER-resident membrane protein [12]. Herpud1 has been shown to facilitate ER-associated degradation by functioning as a shuttle factor that delivers ubiquitinated substrates to the proteasome for degradation [8,26]. Herpud1 has also been shown to be involved in regulating ubiquitination of Hrd1, a ubiquitin–ligase complex responsible for protein degradation in the ER [10]. Expression of Herpud1 is induced in primary neurons by agents that induce ER dysfunction, or in response to proteasome inhibition [20]. Furthermore, Herpud1 is essential for neuronal survival; knockdown of Herpud1 expression leads to enhanced susceptibility to ER stress-induced apoptosis [4,7,24,29].
In the current study we investigated the role of Nrf1 in the regulation of Herpud1 expression. We demonstrate that Nrf1 is necessary for basal expression and induction of Herpud1 during ER stress in human and mouse cells. Through reporter gene assays and chromatin immunoprecipitation studies, we show that Nrf1 directly activates Herpud1 expression via ARE-like response elements in the Herpud1 promoter. Our results identify Herpud1 as a direct Nrf1 target gene, and implicate Nrf1 as an important transcription factor in the regulation of the ERAD pathway and ER stress response.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Reagents

Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM), streptomycin, penicillin and fetal bovine serum (FBS) were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). Tunicamycin, thapsigargin, glucose oxidase, and menadione were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Direct-zol RNA MiniPrep kit was purchased from ZymoResearch (Irvine, CA). Bradford assay kit and iScript Reverse Transcription kit were from BioRad (Hercules, CA). FastStart SYBR Green reagent was purchased from Roche (Indianapolis, IN). BioT was purchased from BioRad Scientific (Paramount, CA). Dual Reporter Assay Kit was from Promega (Madison, WI). Tcf11 antibody and SimpleChIP Plus Enzymatic Chromatin IP Kit were purchased from Cell Signaling (Danvers, MA). Enhanced chemiluminescence substrate kit was purchased from Pierce Biotechnology (Rockford, IL). Herpud1 antibody (ab56742) was purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, MA).

2.2. RNA isolation and quantitative real-time PCR

RNA was extracted from tissues and cells using Direct-zol RNA MiniPrep kit according to the manufacturer’s recommendation. cDNA synthesis was done using iScript Reverse Transcription kit. Quantitative amplification of cDNA was performed in a Step One Plus PCR machine (Life technologies, Grand Island, NY) using FastStart SYBR Green reagent in duplicate 10-μl reactions. PCR cycling conditions consist of 95 °C for 15 min and 45 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 60 °C for 30 s, and 68 °C for 45 s. RPLP0 was used as an endogenous control and relative expression is calculated with the equation 2\(^{(Ct \text{ target gene}-Ct \text{ RPLP0})}\).

2.3. Cells

HEK293T and spontaneously immortalized mouse embryonic fibroblast cells were maintained in Dulbecco modified Eagle medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 100 μg/ml streptomycin, and 100 units/ml penicillin. Cells were cultured at 37 °C in humidified 5% CO\(_2\) atmosphere.

2.4. Plasmids

A luciferase reporter constructs containing the mouse Herpud1 promoter was generated by PCR amplification of the mouse genomic DNA sequence using primers that spans the -3727/+3 region of the Herpud1 open reading frame, and cloned into the Nhel and XhoI sites of the pGL3-basic vector. Point mutations in the ARE sites of the Herpud1 promoter were generated by inverse PCR.

2.5. Transfection and luciferase assays

Cells were seeded onto a 24-well plate and grown to approximately 70% confluence prior to transfection using BioT reagent according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Cellular extracts were prepared 48 h after transfection, and Firefly- and Renilla-luciferase activities measured using Dual Reporter Assay Kit.

2.6. Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay (ChIP)

Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay was done using Simple-ChIP Plus Enzymatic Chromatin IP Kit according to manufacturer’s protocol with minor modifications. HEK293 Cells were fixed with 1% formaldehyde and the cross-linking reaction stopped by addition of glycine to a final concentration of 125 mM. Cells were then washed, lysed, and then sonicated. The supernatant was pre-cleared with Protein-G beads and incubated overnight at 4 °C with Nrf1-specific rabbit polyclonal antibody [35], or unrelated rabbit polyclonal antibody as control. The DNA–protein complexes were then washed and eluted. Cross-linking was reversed, and recovered DNA was subjected to quantitative PCR using primers that flank −2977 to +132 nt the Herpud1 gene promoter. PsmB6 and beta-tubulin promoters were used as positive and negative controls, respectively.

![Fig. 1. Herpud1 expression is induced by ER stress, but not oxidative stress.](image)
2.7. Western blotting

Cells were lysed in cold RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 1X Protease Inhibitor). Lysates were cleared by centrifugation for 15 min at 4°C, and Bradford reagent was used to measure protein concentrations. An equal volume of 2X SDS sample buffer (100 mM Tris, pH 6.8, 2% SDS, 0.01% bromphenol blue, 10% 2-mercaptoethanol) was added to cell lysates, and the mixture was boiled for 5 min. Proteins were electrophoresed on SDS–polyacrylamide gels and then transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes. Membranes were then blocked in 5% non-fat dry milk in TBS-T (150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, and 0.05% Tween 20) at room temperature for one hour, and then incubated with the indicated primary antibodies overnight at 4°C followed by incubation with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody. Antibody–antigen complexes on the blots were detected using chemiluminescent detection system.

2.8. Primers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forward</th>
<th>Reverse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Herpud1</td>
<td>ACTCCTCGCTGACCAGATTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CTCTGTCTGAACGGAAAACCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nqo1</td>
<td>GATTTGGCCACACTCCACCAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATGGCCCAACAGAGGCCAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herpud1 (ChIP)</td>
<td>GATGGCCCAACACTCCACCAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CCGAAACAGAGGCCAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herpud1 (Promoter)</td>
<td>CGGAGCGTCTCAATATCCGATG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CATGTCTGGCTAGGCGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herpud1 (M1)</td>
<td>ACAATGGCCCAACGTGGCCAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GCCCCAGAAAAGGCCCGGAG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Results and discussion

3.1. ER stress, but not oxidative stress, induces Herpud1 expression

Due to a role of Nrf1 in antioxidant gene expression, we sought to investigate whether Herpud1 can be activated by oxidative stress. To do this, we analyzed Herpud1 expression in response to ER and oxidative stress stimuli. Both tunicamycin and thapsigargin treatment significantly increased the expression of Herpud1 mRNA in both HEK293T and MEF cells (Fig. 1a and b). Immunoblotting showed that Herpud1 protein levels were also increased significantly in tunicamycin- and thapsigargin-treated HEK293 and MEF cells relative to vehicle control cells (Fig. 1c and d). In contrast, no significant change in Herpud1 expression was observed in cells treated with menadione, or glucose oxidase to induce oxidative stress (Fig. 1a–c). As expected, induction of Nqo1 expression was observed in response to oxidative stress induction (Fig. 1a and b). These findings are consistent with previous results that demonstrated Herpud1 is associated with ER stress pathway.

Fig. 2. Loss of Nrf1 results in decreased constitutive and ER-stress activated expression of Herpud1. Herpud1 mRNA expression in (a) wild type and Nrf1 knockout MEF cells, and (b) livers of control and Nrf1LKO mice compared by quantitative RT-PCR analysis. MEF cells were treated with tunicamycin for 24 h prior to RNA isolation. Wild type and Nrf1 knockout MEF cells were treated with 2 mg/kg of tunicamycin for 48 h prior to harvesting tissues for analysis. Expression levels were quantitated relative to endogenous RPLP0 levels as an internal reference, and calculated as 2^(-△△CT test gene/△△CT RPLP0). *P < 0.05 compared to vehicle treated cells or livers. **P < 0.05 compared to wild type cells or livers.

Fig. 3. Transactivation of Herpud1 gene is Nrf1 dependent. (a) Wild type and Nrf1 knockout MEF cells were transfected with a luciferase reporter plasmid (200 ng/well) containing the mouse Herpud1 gene promoter along with increasing concentrations of Nrf1 expression vector (50, 100, and 200 ng/well). (b) MEF cells and (c) HEK293 cells transfected with Herpud1 promoter (2 ng/well) were treated with tunicamycin, or glucose oxidase, and luciferase activity was analyzed after 24 h after treatment. (d) Wild type and Nrf1 knockout MEF cells transfected with the Herpud1 reporter (200 ng/well) were treated with tunicamycin, and luciferase activity was analyzed after 24 h. Luciferase values were normalized to Renilla-luciferase activity from pRL-null transfection control plasmid (1 ng/well). Histograms represent mean values ± S.E.M. for 3 experiments each containing 3 replicates. *P < 0.05 relative to vehicle (DMSO) control. **P < 0.05 compared to wild type cells. ***P < 0.05 compared to Nrf1 mutant cells.
3.2. Constitutive and ER-stress induced expression of Herpud1 is Nrf1-dependent

To evaluate a possible role of Nrf1 in regulating Herpud1 expression, we first investigated the expression of Herpud1 in wild type and Nrf1 knockout MEF cells by RT-PCR. Compared to wild type MEF cells, Herpud1 expression was decreased in Nrf1 knockout cells (Fig. 2a). Treatment with tunicamycin resulted in up-regulation of Herpud1 in wild type cells. In contrast, Herpud1 induction by tunicamycin was blunted in Nrf1 KO cells (Fig. 2a). Consistent with these results, livers from mice with hepatocyte-specific disruption of Nrf1 also showed diminished basal and tunicamycin-induced expression of Herpud1 (Fig. 2b). Based on these findings, we conclude that Herpud1 expression is Nrf1-dependent.

3.3. Herpud1 gene promoter is activated by Nrf1

We next determined whether Nrf1 regulates Herpud1 promoter activity. The Herpud1 regulatory region was cloned into a luciferase reporter vector and transient transfection studies were done. In a pattern similar to RT-PCR analysis, luciferase expression was markedly decreased in Nrf1 knockout cells, suggesting that Nrf1 activity is involved in the transcriptional control of Herpud1 (Fig. 3a). In support of this idea, an increase of the luciferase activity was observed in a dose-dependent manner when the Nrf1 expression vector was co-transfected with the reporter (Fig. 3a). Herpud1 promoter activity was increased by tunicamycin in both MEF (Fig. 3b) and HEK293 cells (Fig. 3c). In agreement with gene expression analysis, Herpud1 promoter was not activated by oxidative stress induced by glucose oxidase treatment (Fig. 3b). To investigate the ability of Nrf1 to potentiate ER stress activation of the Herpud1 promoter, wild type and Nrf1 knockout MEF cells transfected with the reporter plasmid were treated with tunicamycin. Herpud1 promoter activity was increased by tunicamycin treatment in wild type, but not in Nrf1 knockout cells (Fig. 3d). Together, these results demonstrate that ER stress increased Herpud1 promoter activity through Nrf1.

3.4. Nrf1 regulates ARE dependent activation of Herpud1 promoter

Transcription of Herpud1 has been shown to be regulated by ER stress-responsive cis-acting elements (ERSE-I, ERSE-II and C/EBP-ATF composite site) located at the proximal region of the Herpud1 promoter [13,18,19,38]. Transcription factors found to transactivate Herpud1 at ERSE-I or ERSE-II include XBP-1, ATF6, and Luman. While ATF4 binds and activates through the C/EBP-ATF sequence, it is dispensable for ER-stress mediated induction of Herpud1 [19]. We scanned the mouse Herpud1 promoter region for potential Nrf1 binding sites. Based on the GCTGAGnnnGC motif, an ARE-like
motif showing good match to the consensus motif was identified between nucleotide –67/–57 of the promoter (Fig. 4a). To investigate the functional capacity of this site, point mutations were introduced into this ARE-like site in the Herpud1 promoter construct (Fig. 4b). Point mutations in the ARE site resulted in decreased expression, and induction by tunicamycin (Fig. 4c). Co-expression of Nrfl1 was able to increase the activity of the wild-type Herpud1 promoter construct, but activation by Nrfl1 was abolished in the mutant reporter construct (Fig. 4d). These results suggest that ARE-like site in the Herpud1 promoter is critical for Nrfl1-mediated basal and ER-stress induced activation in MEF cells, and this data provides the first demonstration that an ARE also regulates the Herpud1 core promoter.

3.5. Nrfl1 binds the Herpud1 promoter in vivo

To directly assess Nrfl1 binding to the Herpud1 gene promoter, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) of endogenous Nrfl1 in cells. Quantitative PCR analysis of ChIP samples showed Nrfl1 co-immunoprecipitated with the Herpud1 promoter, a previously published direct target gene of Nrfl1 [16], in ChIP samples obtained using Nrfl1-specific antibody. As expected, significant binding of Nrfl1 on the tubulin gene promoter was not detected. These experiments suggest that Nrfl1 is a direct mediator in controlling Herpud1 transcription.

In summary, we show that: (i) Herpud1 is induced by ER, but not oxidative stress, (ii) disruption of Nrfl1 function down regulates Herpud1 expression in mouse liver and cells, (iii) Nrfl1 plays a role in basal and ER-stress induced activation of the Herpud1 promoter, (iv) Nrfl1 activates through an ARE site in the promoter, and (v) Nrfl1 binds the Herpud1 gene promoter. On the basis of these results, we conclude that Nrfl1 is important for Herpud1 gene expression. Consistent with previously reported Herpud1 involvement in ERAD, it is expected that misfolded proteins in the ER would accumulate as a result of Nrfl1 deficiency. However, the effect of Nrfl1 knockout on degradation of model ERAD substrates is currently not known. Nonetheless, it is reasonable to postulate that reduction in Herpud1 function, in conjunction with reduced proteasome function that was previously demonstrated, contribute to ER stress in Nrfl1 deficient cells. Previous Nrfl1–knockout studies suggest a relationship between Nrfl1 and ER stress [15]. Disruption of the Nrfl1 gene in mouse liver caused steatohepatitis, a disorder linked with high levels of ER stress [37,39]. Additionally, Nrfl1 liver knockout mice show activation of the ER stress-signaling pathway [15]. Because of the role of Herpud1 in ERAD, our data showing Herpud1 as a direct target of Nrfl1 further links Nrfl1 to protein quality control and ER stress response. As ER stress is associated with the development of multiple disorders including obesity, type II diabetes and cancer, further studies to elucidate where Nrfl1 resides in the genetic cascade controlling expression of other genes in the ERAD and ER stress pathway would be of great interest.

Acknowledgements

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose. This research was supported by NIH Grants CA091907 and NS055223.

References


