

General Topology and its Applications 9 (1978) 117-124.
 © North-Holland Publishing Company

A NEAR-SELECTION THEOREM

William E. HAVER

Mathematics Department, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37916, USA

Received 12 July 1976

A near-selection theorem is proven for carriers defined on spaces that are the countable union of finite dimensional compacta. As an application a new proof is given of the fact that the space of homeomorphisms on a compact piecewise linear manifold is locally contractible. In addition a new criterion is given to determine if the space of homeomorphisms on a compact n -manifold is an l_2 -manifold.

AMS Subj. Class. (1970): Primary 54C35, 54F45, 57A20; Secondary 57C55.

infinite dimensional manifolds	selection theorem
cell-like	C -spaces
spaces of homeomorphisms	

0. Introduction

The study of the space of homeomorphisms on a compact manifold can be reduced to the problem of canonically approximating homeomorphisms by piecewise linear homeomorphism (cf. [9] and the last section of this paper). This problem is essentially a "near-selection" problem. In this paper we state and prove a general near-selection theorem, given an application to the space of piecewise linear homeomorphisms on a manifold and, in our final remarks, show that if for a given integer n , $H_b(B^n)$ is an ANR, then for any n -manifold, M^n , $H(M^n)$ is an ANR.

Our near-selection theorem is stated in terms of C -spaces which were originally defined in [12] and studied further in [1]. A metric space X is said to have Property C (be a C -space) if for each sequence of positive numbers $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, there exists a sequence of collection of open sets $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2, \dots$ such that

- (a) if $U_i \in \mathcal{U}_i$, then $\text{diam } U_i < \varepsilon_i$;
- (b) If $U_i, U'_i \in \mathcal{U}_i$ and $U_i \neq U'_i$, then $U_i \cap U'_i = \varnothing$; and
- (c) $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}_i$ is a cover of X .

In [12] it is shown that every countable-dimensional metric space is a C -space, so the following theorem can be considered as a theorem involving such spaces. Note that trivially every finite dimensional compactum is a C -space.

1. A near-selection theorem

Selection theorems have proven to be important tools in dealing with function spaces [cf. 5, 10, 16]. However, their use is limited by the hypothesis that the base space must be finite dimensional. In some cases obtaining a near-selection may be sufficient. Our theorem deals with obtaining near-selections when the base space is the countable union of compact C -spaces. Compare with Proposition 3 of [12] that holds only for compact C -spaces. A subset S of a metric space Y is CE if given $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ so that $N_\delta(S)$ is null-homotopic in $N_\varepsilon(S)$. The function $\varphi: X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a continuous carrier if for each $x \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ so that if $d(x, x') < \delta$, then $\varphi(x) \subset N_\varepsilon(\varphi(x'))$ and $\varphi(x') \subset N_\varepsilon(\varphi(x))$. If \mathcal{U} is an open cover of X , $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})$ will denote the nerve of \mathcal{U} . When there is no possibility of confusion, we will not distinguish between a finite simplicial complex, K , and its underlying point set, $|K|$.

Theorem 1. *Let $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ be a metric space such that for each n , X_n is a compact space with property C. Let \mathcal{S} be a collection of CE subspaces of Y and $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \subset 2^Y$ be a continuous carrier. Then given a continuous function $\iota: X \rightarrow (0, 1]$ there exists a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that for all $x \in X$, $f(x) \in N_{\iota(x)}(\varphi(x))$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we assume that $X_n \subset X_{n+1}$ for all n . We first define a decreasing sequence of positive numbers $\{\delta_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$, such that $\delta_i \leq 1/2^{i+3}$ for all i , and such that if $i > 0$ and $x \in X_i$, then $N_{2\delta_i}(\varphi(x))$ is contractible in $N_{\delta_{i-1}}(\varphi(x))$. [The same number δ_i suffices for all $x \in X_i$ since X_i is compact.] Then let $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a decreasing sequence of positive numbers such that if $x \in X_i$ and $x' \in X$ with $d(x, x') < 2\varepsilon_i$, then $\varphi(x) \subset N_{\delta_i}(\varphi(x'))$ and $\varphi(x') \subset N_{\delta_i}(\varphi(x))$.

Then we can obtain a sequence of collections of open subsets of X , $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2, \dots$ such that $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}_i$ is a countable open cover of X and for each i if $U_i, U'_i \in \mathcal{U}_i$ then:

- (i) if $U_i \neq U'_i$ then $U_i \cap U'_i = \emptyset$,
- (ii) $\text{diam } U_i < \varepsilon_i$,
- (iii) $U_i \cap X_i \neq \emptyset$,
- (iv) if $U_i \cap \iota^{-1}\left(\left[\frac{1}{2^{j+1}}, \frac{1}{2^j}\right]\right) \neq \emptyset$, then $i \geq j$.

[We construct the cover \mathcal{U} : First fix an integer j and for each positive integer n let

$${}_{j}X_n = X_n \cap \iota^{-1}\left(\left[\frac{1}{2^j}, \frac{1}{2^{j-1}}\right]\right).$$

Partition the positive integers, \mathbb{A} , into a countable number of infinite sets, A_n , in such a way that if $k \in A_n$, $k \geq n$. Now for each n consider ${}_{j}X_n$ and the sequence $\{\varepsilon_{j+2i_p}\}_{i_p \in A_n}$. Since ${}_{j}X_n$ is a compact C -space there exists a sequence of finite

collections of open subsets of

$$\iota^{-1}\left(\left(\frac{1}{2^j} - \frac{1}{2^{j+2}}, \frac{1}{2^{j-1}} + \frac{1}{2^{j+2}}\right)\right), \mathcal{U}_{i,j+2i_1}, \mathcal{U}_{i,j+2i_2}, \dots,$$

such that

(a) if $U_{i,j+2i_p} \in \mathcal{U}_{i,j+2i_p}$, $\text{diam } U_{i,j+2i_p} < \varepsilon_{j+2i_p}$

(b) if $U_{i,j+2i_p}, U'_{i,j+2i_p} \in \mathcal{U}_{i,j+2i_p}$ and $U_{i,j+2i_p} \neq U'_{i,j+2i_p}$, then $U_{i,j+2i_p} \cap U'_{i,j+2i_p} = \emptyset$ and

(c) $\bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} U_{i,j+2i_p}$ is a cover of ${}_j X_n$. Then for each j , the sequence of collections of open subsets of X , $\mathcal{U}_{j,0}, \mathcal{U}_{j,2}, \dots$ is such that $\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}_{j,j+2k}$ is an open cover of $\iota^{-1}([1/2^j, 1/2^{j-1}])$ and for each k if $U_{i,j+2k}, U'_{i,j+2k} \in \mathcal{U}_{i,j+2k}$ then:

(i') if $U_{i,j+2k} \neq U'_{i,j+2k}$, $U_{i,j+2k} \cap U'_{i,j+2k} = \emptyset$,

(ii') $\text{diam } U_{i,j+2k} < \varepsilon_{j+2k}$.

(iii') $U_{i,j+2k} \cap X_{j-2k} \neq \emptyset$,

(iv') $U_{i,j+2k} \subset \iota^{-1}([1/2^j - 1/2^{j+2}, 1/2^{j-1} + 1/2^{j+2}])$.

Then for each i , let $\mathcal{U}_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^i \mathcal{U}_{i,j}$. Obviously $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}_i$ is an open cover of $X = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \iota^{-1}([1/2^j, 1/2^{j-1}])$. Then property (i) follows from (i') and (iv'); properties (ii), (iii) and (iv) follow from (ii'), (iii') and (iv') respectively.]

Let \mathcal{V} be a countable star finite refinement of \mathcal{U} and $b: X \rightarrow N(\mathcal{V})$ be the canonical barycentric map to the nerve of the cover \mathcal{V} . For each $V \in \mathcal{V}$ pick an element U of \mathcal{U} that contains V . Set $\mu(\langle V \rangle) = U$ and, by extending linearly, define a map $\mu: N(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow N(\mathcal{U})$. Let Q denote the (not necessarily locally finite) polyhedron $\mu(N(\mathcal{V}))$. We wish to define $g: Q \rightarrow Y$ so that $d(g\mu b(x), \varphi(x)) < \iota(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

For each vertex $U_i \in \mathcal{U}_i$ of $N(\mathcal{U})$, pick $x_{U_i} \in U_i \cap X_i$ and $y_{U_i} \in \varphi(x_{U_i})$. We define \tilde{g} from the 0-skelton of $N(\mathcal{U})$ into Y by $\tilde{g}(\langle U_i \rangle) = y_{U_i}$. Since $x_{U_i} \in X_i$ we can define the map

$$\Psi_{U_i}: N_{2\delta_i}(\varphi(x_{U_i})) \times I \rightarrow N_{\delta_i}(\varphi(x_{U_i})),$$

with $\Psi_{U_i}(w, 0) = w$ and $\Psi_{U_i}(w, 1) = y_{U_i}$ for all $w \in N_{2\delta_i}(\varphi(x_{U_i}))$. (It is critical for the following that such a map Ψ_{U_i} be fixed at this point.)

We need now some specialized terminology. With the convention that $U_{m_s} \in \mathcal{U}_{m_s}$ for each s , let

$$K_j = \{\sigma < Q \mid \sigma = \langle U_{m_1}, \dots, U_{m_t} \rangle \text{ with } m_s \leq j \text{ for } 1 \leq s \leq t\},$$

$$n_{K_j} = \{\sigma < K_j \mid \sigma = \langle U_{m_1}, U_{m_2}, \dots, U_{m_t} \rangle \text{ with } m_s \geq n \text{ for } 1 \leq s \leq t\}$$

We first define $g_1: K_1 \rightarrow Y$ by $g_1(\langle U_1 \rangle) = \tilde{g}(\langle U_1 \rangle)$ for all $U_1 \in K_1$. Assume inductively that we have defined $g_j: K_j \rightarrow Y$ for all $j < i$ such that g_j extends $g_{j-1}|K_{j-1}$ and if $\sigma = \langle U_{m_1}, \dots, U_{m_t} \rangle \in K_j$ with $m_1 < \dots < m_t$ and w is a point of σ with $w = s\langle U_{m_1} \rangle + (1-s)w'$, where w' is a point of $\langle U_{m_2}, \dots, U_{m_t} \rangle$, then $g_i(w) = \Psi_{U_{m_1}}(g_i(w'), s)$.

We will now define $g_i: K_i \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the inductive hypothesis. Assume (subinductively) that we have defined ${}^j g_i: {}^j K_i \rightarrow Y$ for all j with $i \geq j > n$, such that for $i > j > n$, ${}^j g_i$ extends ${}^{j+1} g_i$ and for $i \geq j > n$, ${}^j g_i$ extends $g_{i-1} | K_{i-1} \cap {}^j K_i$ and if $\sigma = \langle U_{m_1}, \dots, U_{m_r} \rangle \in {}^j K_i$ with $m_1 < \dots < m_r$ and w is a point of σ with $w = s(U_{m_1}) + (1-s)w'$ (where w' is a point of $\langle U_{m_2}, \dots, U_{m_r} \rangle$) then ${}^j g_i(w) = \psi_{U_{m_1}}({}^j g_i(w'), s)$. For the following note that this condition implies that ${}^j g_i(\sigma) \subset N_{\delta_{m_1-1}}(\varphi(x_{U_{m_1}}))$ and recall that we are now subinducting downward.

We now wish to define ${}^n g_i: {}^n K_i \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the subinductive hypothesis (we start trivially by letting ${}^j g_i(\langle U \rangle) = g_i(\langle U \rangle)$ for each simplex $\langle U \rangle$ of ${}^j K_i$). Let $\sigma = \langle U_{m_1}, \dots, U_{m_r} \rangle$ be an element of ${}^n K_i$ with $m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_r$. (Of course the entire argument relies on the strict inequality of the integers m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r .) If $m_1 > n$, $\sigma < {}^{n+1} K_i$ and we let ${}^n g_i | \sigma = {}^{n+1} g_i | \sigma$. If $m_1 = n$ we note by the subinductive hypothesis that

$${}^{n+1} g_i(\langle U_{m_2}, \dots, U_{m_r} \rangle) \subset N_{\delta_{m_2-1}}(\varphi(x_{U_{m_2}})) \subset N_{\delta_{m_1}}(\varphi(x_{U_{m_2}})).$$

We note that $U_{m_1} \cap U_{m_2} \neq \emptyset$ and hence that

$$d(x_{U_{m_1}}, x_{U_{m_2}}) < \text{diam } U_{m_1} + \text{diam } U_{m_2} < \epsilon_{m_1} + \epsilon_{m_2} < 2\epsilon_{m_1}$$

and hence that $\varphi(x_{U_{m_2}}) \in N_{\delta_{m_1}}(\varphi(x_{U_{m_1}}))$. Therefore

$${}^{n+1} g_i(\langle U_{m_2}, \dots, U_{m_r} \rangle) \subset N_{2\delta_{m_1}}(\varphi(x_{U_{m_1}})).$$

We can therefore define ${}^n g_i | \sigma$ as desired: If w is a point of σ with $w = s(U_{m_1}) + (1-s)w'$ (where w' is a point of $\langle U_{m_2}, \dots, U_{m_r} \rangle$) let ${}^n g_i(w) = \psi_{U_{m_1}}({}^{n+1} g_i(w'), s)$. Obviously ${}^n g_i | \sigma$ extends ${}^{n+1} g_i | \langle U_{m_2}, \dots, U_{m_r} \rangle$; it also extends $g_{i-1} | \sigma \cap K_{i-1}$ since in both cases we made use of the map $\psi_{U_{m_1}}$. We repeat the argument for each $\sigma < {}^n K_i$ to obtain ${}^n g_i: {}^n K_i \rightarrow Y$. This completes the subinductive argument. Let $g_i = {}^1 g_i: {}^1 K_i = K_i \rightarrow Y$.

Finally let $g: Q \rightarrow Y$ be defined by $g = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} g_i$; g is obviously well-defined and continuous. We need only check that for each $x \in X$, $d(g\mu b(x), \varphi(x)) < \iota(x)$. Suppose $\mu b(x) \in \langle U_{m_1}, \dots, U_{m_r} \rangle$ with $m_1 < \dots < m_r$. Now there is a non-negative integer j with $1/2^{j+1} \leq \iota(x) \leq 1/2^j$. We note that since $x \in U_{m_1}$, condition (iv) implies that $m_1 \geq j$ and hence that $1/2^{m_1+1} \leq \iota(x)$. But

$$g\mu b(x) \in N_{\delta_{m_1-1}}(\varphi(x_{U_{m_1}})) \subset N_{\delta_{m_1-1}}(N_{\delta_{m_1}}(\varphi(x))) \subset N_{2\delta_{m_1-1}}(\varphi(x)).$$

Hence

$$d(g\mu b(x), \varphi(x)) < 2\delta_{m_1-1} \leq 2\left(\frac{1}{2^{m_1-1}+3}\right) = \frac{1}{2^{m_1+1}} \leq \iota(x).$$

2. An application

Selection theorems have been particularly effective in dealing with finite dimensional spaces of homeomorphisms [5, 10, 16]. In [8] Geoghegan showed that the space of piecewise linear homeomorphisms, $PLH(M)$, on a compact piecewise

linear manifold, M , is the countable union of finite dimensional compacta. It is therefore not surprising that the near-selection theorem is useful in dealing with $PLH(M)$. We give here another proof of the fact that $PLH(M)$ is locally contractible. This was first shown by R. Edwards ([11], see also [7]). These proofs involve refining the arguments of Chernavskii [3] or Edwards–Kirby [6], while the present proof demonstrates that the local contractibility of $PLH(M)$ follows from general principles and information concerning $H(M)$, the space of all topological homeomorphisms on M . Our proof is valid for piecewise linear manifolds M of dimension not equal to 4.

Let $H_\delta(M)$ denote the subspace of all homeomorphisms which equal the identity on the boundary of M and $PLH_\delta(M) = H_\delta(M) \cap PLH(M)$. The various function spaces on compact manifolds will be assumed to have the supremum metric, ρ , i.e., if $f, g \in H(M)$, with d the metric on M , then $\rho(f, g) = \sup_{x \in M} \{d(f(x), g(x))\}$. If $A \in X$ let 1_A denote the inclusion of A in X ; for positive δ let $N_\delta(1_M) = \{h \in H(M) \mid \rho(h, 1_M) < \delta\}$.

To begin we state two lemmas. The first is from Edwards–Kirby [6, p. 79], and the second will follow easily from Theorem 1.

Lemma 2.1 (Edwards–Kirby). *Let M^n be a compact n -manifold (not necessarily p.l.) and $\{B_1, \dots, B_p\}$ be an open cover of M^n with \bar{B}_i a closed n -ball for each i . Then there exists a $\delta > 0$ and a map $\varphi: N_\delta(1_M) \rightarrow H_\delta(\bar{B}_1) \times \dots \times H_\delta(\bar{B}_p)$ such that for each $h \in N_\delta(1_M)$, $h = [\pi_p(\varphi(h))] \circ \dots \circ [\pi_1(\varphi(h))]$ and $[\pi_i(\varphi(1_M))] = 1_M$ for $i = 1, \dots, p$.*

Here for each i , $[\pi_i(\varphi(h))] : M \rightarrow M$ is the homeomorphism defined by

$$[\pi_i(\varphi(h))] (x) = \begin{cases} \pi_i(\varphi(h))(x), & x \in B_i \\ x, & x \notin B_i \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be the countable union of compact C -spaces. Given continuous $\lambda: X \rightarrow (0, 1]$ and $\mu: X \rightarrow H_\delta(B^n)$ there exists a map $f: X \rightarrow PLH_\delta(B^n)$ with $\rho(f(x), \mu(x)) < \lambda(x)$ for all $x \in X$.*

Proof. For $h \in H_\delta(B^n)$ and $\alpha > 0$, let $S_{h,\alpha} = \{g \in PLH_\delta(B^n) \mid \rho(g, h) < \alpha\}$ and let $\mathcal{S} = \{S_{h,\alpha} \mid h \in H_\delta(B^n) \text{ and } \alpha > 0\}$. Then define $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ by $\varphi(x) = S_{\mu(x), \lambda(x)}$ for all $x \in X$. An application of the triangle inequality shows that φ is a continuous carrier, so to apply Theorem 1 we need only show that for $h \in H_\delta(B^n)$ and $\alpha > 0$, $S_{h,\alpha}$ is CE. Suppose $\varepsilon > 0$ is given. Let $\delta = \min(\alpha/4, \varepsilon/4)$ and let $h' \in PLH_\delta(B^n)$ be such that $\rho(h', h) < \delta$. Such an h' exists since $PLH_\delta(B^n)$ is dense in $H_\delta(B^n)$, $n \neq 4$. (See [9, 14]. This is the only place the requirement that $n \neq 4$ is necessary.) Then note that $S_{h', \alpha+2\delta}$ is contractible within itself by the piecewise linear Alexander isotopy (cf. [17]). But

$$N_\delta(S_{h,\alpha}) = S_{h,\alpha+\delta} \subset S_{h', \alpha+2\delta} \subset S_{h,\alpha+\varepsilon} = N_\varepsilon(S_{h,\alpha}),$$

so $N_\delta(S_{h,\alpha})$ is contractible in $N_\varepsilon(S_{h,\alpha})$.

We are now in a position to prove that $\text{PLH}(M)$ is locally contractible. In the following if B is homeomorphic to the standard n -ball, B^n , let ${}_B A: H_\delta(B) \times I \rightarrow H_\delta(B)$ be the induced Alexander isotopy (cf. [6, 16]) on B where ${}_B A(h, 0) = {}_B A_0(h) = h$ and ${}_B A(h, 1) = {}_B A_1(h) = 1_B$ for all $H \in H_\delta(B)$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let M^n be a compact piecewise linear manifold, $n \neq 4$. Then $\text{PLH}(M^n)$ is locally contractible.*

Proof. Since $H(M^n)$ is a topological group, it suffices to show local contractibility at 1_M . Let $\{B_1, \dots, B_p\}$ be an open cover of M^n with \bar{B}_i a closed piecewise linear n -ball for each i . Then by Lemma 2.1 there exists a $\delta > 0$ and a map

$$\varphi: N_\delta(1_M) \rightarrow H_\delta(\bar{B}_1) \times \dots \times H_\delta(\bar{B}_p),$$

such that for each $h \in N_\delta(1_M)$, $h = [\pi_p(\varphi(h))]' \circ \dots \circ [\pi_1(\varphi(h))]'$ and $[\pi_i(\varphi(1_M))]' = 1_M$ for $i = 1, \dots, p$.

To show that $\text{PLH}(M)$ is locally contractible at 1_M , it suffices to define a map

$$\psi: [N_\delta(1_M) \cap \text{PLH}(M)] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{PLH}(M),$$

with $\psi(h, 0) = h$ and $\psi(h, 1) = 1_M$ for all $h \in N_\delta(1_M)$ and $\psi(1_M, t) = 1_M$ for each $t \in [0, 1]$. To obtain this map we will make p applications of Lemma 2.2. First recall that $\text{PLH}(M)$ is the countable union of finite dimensional compacta [8] and hence that Lemma 2.2 is applicable with $X = \text{PLH}(M) \times (0, 1)$. Let $\lambda_i: \text{PLH}(M) \times (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, 1]$ be given by $\lambda_i(h, t) = \min(t, 1-t)$ and $\mu_i: \text{PLH}(M) \times (0, 1) \rightarrow H_\delta(\bar{B}_i)$ be given by $\mu_i(h, t) = {}_{B_i} A(\pi_i \varphi(h), t)$. Then by Lemma 2.2 there exists a map $f_i: \text{PLH}(M) \times (0, 1) \rightarrow \text{PLH}_\delta(\bar{B}_i)$ with $\rho(f_i(h, t), \mu_i(h, t)) < \min(t, 1-t)$. Define

$${}_i \psi: [N_\delta(1_M) \cap \text{PLH}(M)] \times I \rightarrow \text{PLH}_\delta(\bar{B}_i)$$

by

$${}_i \psi(h, t) = \begin{cases} f_i(h, t) \circ (f_i(1_M, t))^{-1}, & t \in (0, 1), \\ \pi_i \varphi(h), & t = 0, \\ 1_{\bar{B}_i}, & t = 1. \end{cases}$$

We note that ${}_i \psi$ canonically assigns to each $h \in N_\delta(1_M) \cap \text{PLH}(M)$ a path in $\text{PLH}_\delta(\bar{B}_i)$ taking $\pi_i \varphi(h)$ to $1_{\bar{B}_i}$ [of course $\pi_i \varphi(h) \in H_\delta(\bar{B}_i)$ may not itself be in $\text{PLH}_\delta(\bar{B}_i)$].

Finally define $\psi: [N_\delta(1_M) \cap \text{PLH}(M)] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{PLH}(M)$ by $\psi(h, t) = [{}_p \psi(h, t)]' \circ \dots \circ [{}_1 \psi(h, t)]'$, where $[{}_i \psi(h, t)]'$ is the extension to all of M by the identity of ${}_i \psi(h, t)$.

For $t \in (0, 1]$, $\psi(h, t) \in \text{PLH}(M)$ since for each i , $[{}_i \psi(h, t)]' \in \text{PLH}(M)$. For $t = 0$, $\psi(h, 0) = h \in \text{PLH}(M)$. It is trivial to check that ψ is continuous and that $\psi(1_M, t) = 1_M$ for $t \in [0, 1]$.

3. Remarks

One reason for studying the relationship between $\text{PLH}(M)$ and $\text{H}(M)$ is to gain insight into the question of whether $\text{H}(M)$ is an ANR or, equivalently, an l_2 -manifold. (Here l_2 denotes the Hilbert space of square summable sequences and l_2^f the subspace of sequences having only finitely many non-zero entries.) Using the fact that $\text{PLH}(M)$ is locally contractible and the countable union of compact C -spaces it follows that $\text{PLH}(M)$ is an ANR [11] and hence an l_2^f -manifold [13, 18].

It is known that for any compact 2-manifold, M^2 , $\text{H}(M^2)$ is an ANR [15, 16] and hence an l_2 -manifold [18]; however, for $n \geq 3$ the question is unresolved. Using the lemma from Edwards–Kirby we make the following observation:

Proposition 3.1. *For a given integer n , if $\text{H}_\delta(B^n)$ is an ANR, then for any n -manifold M^n , $\text{H}(M^n)$ is an ANR.*

Proof. Let M^n be an n -manifold. As in the proof of Theorem 2.3, let $\{B_1, \dots, B_p\}$ be an open cover of M^n with \bar{B}_i a closed n -ball for each i . Again by Lemma 2.1, there exists a $\delta > 0$ and a map

$$\varphi: N_\delta(1_M) \rightarrow \text{H}_\delta(\bar{B}_1) \times \dots \times \text{H}_\delta(\bar{B}_p),$$

with $h = [\pi_p(\varphi(h))] \circ \dots \circ [\pi_1(\varphi(h))]$ for all $h \in N_\delta(1_M)$. Define $\Phi: \text{H}_\delta(\bar{B}_1) \times \dots \times \text{H}_\delta(\bar{B}_p) \rightarrow \text{H}(M)$ by $\Phi(f_1, \dots, f_p) = f_p \circ \dots \circ f_1$. Then $\Phi \mid \Phi^{-1}(N_\delta(1_M)): \Phi^{-1}(N_\delta(1_M)) \rightarrow N_\delta(1_M)$ is an r -map; i.e., there exists a map

$$\varphi: N_\delta(1_M) \rightarrow \Phi^{-1}(N_\delta(1_M)),$$

such that $[\Phi \mid \Phi^{-1}(N_\delta(1_M))] \circ \varphi: N_\delta(1_M) \rightarrow N_\delta(1_M)$ is equal to $1_{N_\delta(1_M)}$. But $\Phi^{-1}(N_\delta(1_M))$ is an open subset of $\text{H}_\delta(\bar{B}_1) \times \dots \times \text{H}_\delta(\bar{B}_p)$ and hence, by assumption, is an ANR. Therefore, being the r -image of an ANR [2], $N_\delta(1_M) \subset \text{H}(M^n)$ is an ANR. But then since $\text{H}(M)$ is a topological group, each point has an open ANR neighborhood and hence $\text{H}(M^n)$ is an ANR.

Finally we note that since $\text{PLH}_\delta(B^n)$ is an ANR, an immediate consequence of the preceding observation is that an affirmative answer to the following near-selection question would show that for every n -manifold n , $\text{H}(M^n)$ is an ANR (and hence an l_2 -manifold):

Question 3.2. Given $\varepsilon: \text{H}_\delta(B^n) \rightarrow (0, 1]$, does there exist a map $\varphi: \text{H}_\delta(B^n) \rightarrow \text{PLH}_\delta(B^n)$ with $\rho(\varphi(h), h) < \varepsilon(h)$ for all $h \in \text{H}_\delta(B^n)$?

References

- [1] D. Addis and J. Gresham, A Class of Infinite-Dimensional Spaces, I, II, to appear.
- [2] K. Borsuk, Theory of Retracts, Polska Akademia Nauk., Warszawa (1967).

- [3] A.V. Chernavskii, Local contractibility of the homeomorphism group of a manifold, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 9 (1968) 1171–1174.
- [4] J. Dugundji, *Topology* (Allyn and Bacon, Boston, MA, 1966).
- [5] E. Dyer and M.E. Hamstrom, Regular mappings and the space of homeomorphisms on a 2-manifold, *Duke Math. J.* 25 (1958) 521–532.
- [6] R.D. Edwards and R.C. Kirby, Deformations of spaces of embeddings, *Ann. of Math.* 93 (1971) 63–88.
- [7] D.B. Gauld, Local contractibility of $PL(M)$ for a compact manifold, *Math. Chronicle* 4 (1975) 1–6.
- [8] R. Geoghegan, On spaces of homeomorphisms, embeddings, and functions (II)—the piecewise linear case, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 3(27) (1973) 463–483.
- [9] R. Geoghegan and W.E. Haver, On the space of piecewise linear homeomorphisms of a manifold, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 55 (1976) 145–151.
- [10] M.E. Hamstrom, Uniform PL approximations of isotopies and extending PL isotopies in low dimensions, *Advances in Mathematics* 19 (1976) 6–18.
- [11] W.E. Haver, Locally contractible spaces that are absolute neighborhood retracts, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 40 (1973) 280–284.
- [12] W.E. Haver, A covering property for metric spaces, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* #375, Top. Conf. at VPI (1974) 103–113.
- [13] J. Keesling and D. Wilson, The group of PL-homeomorphisms of a compact PL-manifold is an H_2 -manifold, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 193 (1974) 249–256.
- [14] R. Kirby, *Lectures on triangulations of manifolds*, mimeographed notes, Univ. of California, Los Angeles (1969).
- [15] R. Luke and W. Mason, The space of homeomorphisms on a compact two-manifold is an absolute neighborhood retract, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 164 (1972) 273–285.
- [16] W.K. Mason, The space of all self-homeomorphisms of a 2-cell which fix the cell's boundary is an absolute retract, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 161 (1971) 185–206.
- [17] C.P. Rourke and B.J. Sanderson, *Introduction to Piecewise-Linear Topology* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972).
- [18] H. Toruńczyk, Absolute retracts as factors of normed linear spaces, *Fund. Math.* 86 (1974) 53–67.