

ABELIAN GROUPS \aleph_0 -CATEGORICAL OVER A SUBGROUP*

Roger VILLEMAIRE

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, McGill University, Montréal, Québec,
Canada H3A 2K6*

Communicated by A. Blass

Received 19 April 1989

Revised 19 April 1990

An abelian group A is said to be \aleph_0 -categorical over its subgroup B when there is a unique countable model of the theory of A with distinguished subgroup B for any possible choice of countable distinguished subgroup. We give necessary and sufficient conditions for an abelian group to be \aleph_0 -categorical over one of its subgroups. Furthermore we give an axiomatization of such theories in terms of some first-order invariants and show that these invariants can have any value as long as they satisfy some minor conditions. With these results we obtain a new proof of Hodges' decomposition theorem (Corollary 2.6). Finally, in the case of torsion-free abelian groups we conclude that A is \aleph_0 -categorical over its subgroup B iff $B = mA$ for some integer m .

1. Introduction

Let L be a first-order language and $L(P)$ be the language obtained from L by adding a unary predicate P . Pairs of the form (A, B) will represent the $L(P)$ -structure formed by the L -structure A with its substructure B as realization of the predicate P . Following [2] $(A, B) \times (C, D)$ will be the structure $(A \times C, B \times D)$. It is important to remember that a direct decomposition of a structure does not always induce a direct decomposition of one of its substructures. Hence a direct decomposition of (A, B) means, in general, much more than just a decomposition of A .

Definition. An L -structure A is said to be \aleph_0 -categorical over one of its substructures B , if A is countable and furthermore if for all countable $L(P)$ -structures (C, D) and (C', D') elementarily equivalent to (A, B) such that $D = D'$ there exists an isomorphism of C to C' extending $D = D'$ (i.e. its restriction to D is the identity).

Remark. In the above definition it is possible that the L -structures involved are finite. This case is not excluded because a finite L -structure does not have to be

* This research was supported by a Postdoctoral Scholarship from the Conseil de recherches en sciences naturelles et en génie du Canada.

\aleph_0 -categorical over all of its substructures. Nevertheless it is possible to give a simple description of \aleph_0 -categoricity over a substructure, when the substructure is finite.

Proposition 1.1. *Let A and B be L -structures and suppose B is finite. The following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) A is \aleph_0 -categorical over B ,
- (ii) (a) (A, B) is \aleph_0 -categorical as an $L(P)$ -structure and
 (b) every automorphism of B can be extended to an automorphism of A .

Proof. Suppose (i) is satisfied. Take (C, D) countable and elementarily equivalent to (A, B) . Since B is finite, it is clear that B and D are isomorphic. By Proposition 3.4 of [3], there exists an isomorphism between A and C extending the one between B and D . It follows that (A, B) and (C, D) are isomorphic as $L(P)$ -structures and (ii)(a) is proved.

(ii)(b) follows directly from Proposition 3.4 of [3].

Suppose now that (ii) is satisfied. Let (C, D) and (C', D') be countable and elementarily equivalent to (A, B) with $D = D'$. By (ii)(a) one finds an $L(P)$ -isomorphism $\alpha : (C, D) \rightarrow (C', D')$. Let β be the restriction of α to $D = D'$. By (ii)(b) $\beta^{-1} : D' \rightarrow D$ can be extended to an isomorphism $\gamma : C' \rightarrow C$ since by (ii)(a) (A, B) and (C, D) are isomorphic. Hence $\gamma \circ \alpha : C \rightarrow C'$ is an isomorphism and its restriction to D is the identity. This completes the proof. \square

It follows from the previous result that if A is finite, one has just to check if (ii)(b) is satisfied in order to have \aleph_0 -categoricity over B .

In this paper we will restrict ourselves to abelian groups. Hence L will be the language of the theory of abelian groups and $L(P)$ will be the language obtained from L by adding a unary predicate P . Abelian groups will be symbolized by capital letters and pairs of the form (A, B) will represent the $L(P)$ -structure formed by the abelian group A with its subgroup B as realization of the predicate P . As is usual in algebra we will speak of finite direct sums instead of products. Hence $(A, B) \oplus (C, D)$ will be the structure $(A \oplus C, B \oplus D)$. The term group will mean here abelian group.

Hodges [2, Corollary 2.2] proved that if A is an abelian group \aleph_0 -categorical over B then A/B is a bounded abelian group. Furthermore Pillay [5, Corollary 11] proved that in the general case if A is a structure \aleph_0 -categorical over B then the intersection of any $L(P)$ -definable subset (without parameters) of (A, B) with B is L -definable in B (again without parameters). In this paper we show (Theorem 2.3) that in fact an abelian group A is \aleph_0 -categorical over its subgroup B if and only if A/B is bounded and $B \cap p^n A$ is definable without parameters in B (see the following for the notation). Furthermore we give (Theorem 2.5) for such A and B a simple axiomatization of the theory of (A, B) in terms of the L -theory of B and a finite number of $L(P)$ -sentences. From this we deduce (Corollary 2.6) a new proof of the tight-decomposition theorem of Hodges [2, Theorem 4.6 and 5.2]. In the case where

A is torsion-free, we prove that A is \aleph_0 -categorical over B if and only if $B = mA$ for some natural number m .

2. Extending isomorphisms

The greatest problem in the study of \aleph_0 -categoricity over a subgroup is to find a way to extend the isomorphism between the subgroups. It is clear that such a thing is impossible in complete generality, but using a result of [2], one can see that in the case of \aleph_0 -categoricity over a subgroup it is possible to use the procedure algebraists use to show Ulm's theorem.

We now need some notation and terminology.

Definition. Let A be a group and p be a prime number. We define by induction on the ordinals the following subgroups of A .

$$p^0 A = A, \quad p^{\alpha+1} A = \{px : x \in p^\alpha A\},$$

$$p^\alpha A = \bigcap_{\beta \in \alpha} p^\beta A \quad \text{if } \alpha \text{ is a limit ordinal.}$$

Definition. The p -height $h_p(a)$ of an element a of A is the ordinal α such that $a \in p^\alpha A \setminus p^{\alpha+1} A$ if it exists and infinity otherwise. If there is a danger of confusion we will write $h_p^A(a)$ to make clear that the height is computed in A .

Definition. Let A be a group, p be a prime number and α be an ordinal. $p^\alpha A[p]$ will be the subgroup of $p^\alpha A$ formed by its elements of order p .

Definition. Let A and C be groups and B and D be subgroups of A and C respectively. An isomorphism $\alpha : B \rightarrow D$ is said to *preserve height* if $h_p(b) = h_p(\alpha(b))$ for every b in B and every prime number p . It is important to understand that the heights are computed in A and C respectively.

The following lemma is just a reformulation of a result of [4] (see also [1, Lemma 77.1]). I state it here in a form which will be useful for our purpose.

Lemma 2.1. *Let A and C be groups and B and D be subgroups of A and C respectively. Let $\alpha : B \rightarrow D$ be a height preserving isomorphism. Suppose also that*

$$\dim_p(p^\sigma A[p]/(B + p^{\sigma+1} A) \cap p^\sigma A[p])$$

$$= \dim_p(p^\sigma C[p]/(D + p^{\sigma+1} C) \cap p^\sigma C[p]) \tag{1}$$

for every prime number p and every ordinal σ . (\dim_p is the dimension of the vector space over the p element field.)

Let now p be a prime number, a be an element of A , ϱ be an ordinal, b and b' be elements of B such that

$$pa = b', \quad \varrho = h_p(a/B) = h_p(a + b),$$

where $h_p(a/B)$ denotes the height of the coset of a in A/B . Suppose also that b is chosen so that, if there is an element y of B such that $\varrho = h_p(a + y)$ and $h_p(pa + py) > \varrho + 1$, then $h_p(pa + pb) > \varrho + 1$.

Then there exists an element c in C such that

$$pc = \alpha(b'), \quad \varrho = h_p(c/D) = h_p(c + \alpha(b)) \quad (2)$$

and for every c in C satisfying (2) there is a height preserving isomorphism $\alpha^* : \langle B, a \rangle \rightarrow \langle D, c \rangle$ extending α such that $\alpha^*(a) = c$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_p(p^\sigma A[p]/(\langle B, a \rangle + p^{\sigma+1}A) \cap p^\sigma A[p]) \\ = \dim_p(p^\sigma C[p]/(\langle D, c \rangle + p^{\sigma+1}C) \cap p^\sigma C[p]) \end{aligned}$$

for every prime number p and every ordinal σ .

Proof. See the proof of Lemma 77.1 of [1]. \square

Definition. A group G is said to be *bounded* if there is a natural number n such that $nG = 0$. The *bound* of G is then the smallest such natural number.

Corollary 2.2. Suppose A and C are countable and satisfy the hypothesis of Lemma 2.1 and furthermore that A/B and C/D are bounded. Then there exists an isomorphism $\beta : A \rightarrow C$ extending α such that $\beta(a) = c$.

Proof. The isomorphism between A and C is constructed by a back and forth argument.

Enumerate $A = \{a_i : i \in \omega\}$, $C = \{c_i : i \in \omega\}$. Take the first i such that a_i is not in $\langle B, a \rangle$. Since A/B is bounded, it follows that a_i is the finite sum of elements of A which are p -elements modulo $\langle B, a \rangle$ for various prime numbers p . Since adding successively these elements to $\langle B, a \rangle$ will generate a subgroup of A containing a_i , it is possible to suppose without loss of generality that a_i is a p -element modulo $\langle B, a \rangle$ for some prime number p . Let a_i be an element of order p^n modulo B for some natural number n . Since A/B is bounded the p -height of $p^{n-1}a_i$ modulo $\langle B, a \rangle$ is smaller than ω and there exists an element b in $\langle B, a \rangle$ such that $h_p(p^{n-1}a_i/\langle B, a \rangle) = h_p(p^{n-1}a_i + b)$ and furthermore such that if there is a y in $\langle B, a \rangle$ such that $h_p(p^{n-1}a_i/\langle B, a \rangle) = h_p(p^{n-1}a_i + y)$ and $h_p(p^n a_i + py) > h_p(p^{n-1}a_i/\langle B, a \rangle) + 1$, then b already satisfies this condition.

So $p^{n-1}a_i$ satisfies the same conditions as the a in Lemma 2.1 where $b' = p^n a_i$, α^* is in place of α and $\langle B, a \rangle, \langle D, c \rangle$ are in place of B, D . Therefore there exists an ele-

ment c_j in C and a height preserving isomorphism $\alpha^{**}: \langle B, a, p^{n-1}a_i \rangle \rightarrow \langle D, c, c_j \rangle$ extending α^* and also

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim_p(p^\sigma A[p]/(\langle B, a, p^{n-1}a_i \rangle + p^{\sigma+1}A) \cap p^\sigma A[p]) \\ &= \dim_p(p^\sigma C[p]/(\langle D, c, c_j \rangle + p^{\sigma+1}C) \cap p^\sigma C[p]) \end{aligned}$$

for every prime number p and every ordinal σ .

Now a_i is of order p^{n-1} modulo $\langle B, a, p^{n-1}a_i \rangle$ and hence iterating the last steps one can show that there is some height preserving isomorphism between a subgroup B' of A containing $\langle B, a \rangle$ and a_i , and a subgroup D' of C containing $\langle D, c \rangle$ extending α^* and such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim_p(p^\sigma A[p]/(B' + p^{\sigma+1}A) \cap p^\sigma A[p]) \\ &= \dim_p(p^\sigma C[p]/(D' + p^{\sigma+1}C) \cap p^\sigma C[p]) \end{aligned}$$

for every prime number p and every ordinal σ .

Proceeding in the same way with the first element of C which is not in D' one gets a back and forth procedure which gives an isomorphism between A and C extending α^* and the proof is completed. \square

It is now possible to give necessary and sufficient conditions for \aleph_0 -categoricity over a subgroup.

Theorem 2.3. *A is \aleph_0 -categorical over B if and only if*

- (i) A/B is a bounded group,
- (ii) $B \cap p^n A$ is L -definable (without parameters) in B for every prime number p and natural number n such that p^n divides the bound of A/B .

Proof. Suppose A is \aleph_0 -categorical over B . (i) follows from Corollary 2.2 of [2] (it is not necessary that B is finite).

Since $p^n A$ is definable in A , (ii) follows from Corollary 11 of [5].

Suppose now that (i) and (ii) hold. Let (C, D) and (C, D') be countable and elementarily equivalent to (A, B) and let $D = D'$. We now have to check a few things in order to apply the above lemma.

Firstly we must show that $D = D'$ is height preserving. We first show that $D \cap p^\omega C = p^\omega D$, where p is a prime.

The inclusion $p^\omega D \subseteq D \cap p^\omega C$ is obvious. Suppose now that d is an element of $D \cap p^\omega C$. Let n be any natural number. Take m to be the largest natural number such that p^m divides the bound of C/D , which exists by (i). Since d is in $p^\omega C$, there exists an element c of C such that $d = p^{n+m}c$. Hence c is a p -element modulo D and by definition of p^m , $p^m c$ is in D . It follows that d is in $p^n D$. The result holding for any natural number n , it is clear that $D \cap p^\omega C = p^\omega D$.

This proves that for any d in D , if $h_p^C(d) \geq \omega$ then $h_p^D(d) = h_p^C(d)$. Since $D = D'$

and since C'/D' is also bounded (by elementary equivalence), it follows that $D=D'$ preserves height equal or greater than ω .

Now it suffices to show that $D=D'$ preserves height smaller than ω .

By (ii) one knows that $D \cap p^n C$ is definable in D if p^n divides the bound of C/D . If p^n does not divide this bound, let m be as before. We will check that $D \cap p^n C = p^{n-m}(D \cap p^m C)$. The right-hand side is obviously included in the left-hand one. Take now an element d in D such that $d = p^n c$ for some c in C . Since c is a p -element modulo D , by definition of m , $p^m c$ is in D . Hence from $d = p^{n-m}(p^m c)$ it follows that d is in $p^{n-m}(D \cap p^m C)$ and the above equality is proved.

So for every prime number p and every natural number n we have that $D \cap p^n C$ is definable in D (without parameters). Since by elementary equivalence $D' \cap p^n C'$ is definable by the same formula in $D=D'$, it follows that $D=D'$ preserves height.

We now have to check that the condition (1) of Lemma 2.1 holds. Let p be a prime number and let m be as before. Let c be an element of $p^\sigma C[p]$ for σ an ordinal greater than m . Since there is no p -element of order greater than p^m in C/D , it follows that c is in D . Since by elementary equivalence the bound of C'/D' is equal to the bound of C/D it is now sufficient to check (1) for the p^n such that n is smaller or equal to m . But elementary equivalence takes care of this, since C and C' are countable.

The result now follows from Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 2.2. \square

Using Corollary 2.2 it is also possible to prove the following result which is a little generalization of Theorem 4.2 of [2].

Corollary 2.4. *Let A be \aleph_0 -categorical over B . For any integer n there exists a finite set of $L(P)$ -formulas $\{\varphi_i(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) : i \in I\}$ such that for any n -type (in the language $L(P)$) over B which is realized in A , there is an $i \in I$ and a tuple \bar{b} in B such that $\varphi_i(\bar{x}, \bar{b})$ isolates the type in question.*

Proof. Let B' be any subgroup of A containing B such that B'/B is finite. We will consider the following structure $(A, B, b)_{b \in B'}$. Also every type considered will be in the language $L(P)$.

Let $\bar{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be an n -tuple of A . The type of \bar{a} over B' is isolated if and only if for every $i = 1, \dots, n$ the type of a_i over $\langle B', a_1, \dots, a_{i-1} \rangle$ is isolated. Note also that since A/B is bounded $\langle B', a_1, \dots, a_{i-1} \rangle/B$ is finite for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Furthermore if for every $i = 1, \dots, n$ the type of a_i over $\langle B', a_1, \dots, a_{i-1} \rangle$ is isolated by the formula $\psi_i(x, a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, \bar{b}_i)$ where \bar{b}_i is in B' , then $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n \psi_i(x_i, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \bar{b}_i)$ isolates the type of \bar{a} over B' .

In the same way for any element a of A which is a p -element modulo B' the type of a over B' is isolated if and only if the type of $p^i a$ over $\langle B', p^{i-1} a \rangle$ is isolated for any $i = 1, \dots, s$ where p^s is the order of a . Furthermore $\langle B', p^{i-1} a \rangle/B$ is finite, since A/B is bounded. Here also if for every $i = 1, \dots, s$ the type of $p^i a$ over $\langle B', p^{i-1} a \rangle$ is

isolated by the formula $\eta_i(x, p^{i-1}a, \bar{b}'_i)$ where \bar{b}'_i is in B' , then $\bigwedge_{i=1}^s \eta_i(p^i x, p^{i-1}x, \bar{b}'_i)$ isolates the type of a over B' .

Hence the result will follow if we can prove that for any subgroup B' of A containing B and such that B'/B is finite there exists a finite set of L -formulas $\{\varphi_i(x, \bar{y})\}$ such that for any a in A for which pa is in B' , then the type of a over B' is isolated by a formula of the form $\varphi_i(x, \bar{b})$ for some \bar{b} in B' .

Take such an a . If a is in B' the result is obvious. Suppose now that a is not in B' . Take m to be equal to the p -height of a modulo B' . Let b be an element of B' such that m equals the p -height of $a + b$ and suppose also that if there is an element b' in B' such that $h_p(a + b') = m$ and if the p -height of $pa + pb'$ is strictly greater than $m + 1$ then the p -height of $pa + pb$ is already of this kind.

Then the following set of sentences isolates the type of a over B' .

$$px = pa, \quad m = h_p(x/B') = h_p(x + b).$$

To see that this is true let $p(x)$ be a type over B' containing these formulas. Take $(C, D, b)_{b \in B'}$ to be a countable elementary extension of $(A, B, b)_{b \in B'}$ such that for some c in C , c realizes $p(x)$. Applying Corollary 2.2 with $\langle B', D \rangle$ in place of B , one finds an automorphism of C leaving $\langle B', D \rangle$ fixed pointwise which send a to c . Therefore $p(x)$ is the type of a over B' .

Hence the type of a over B' is isolated. Furthermore since p and m in the above formula are smaller than the bound of A/B there are only finitely many such formulas and the proof is completed. \square

One natural question to ask at this point is whether any definable subgroups can occur as the subgroups $B \cap p^n A$ for some A \aleph_0 -categorical over B . The following result shows that under a few obvious conditions every choice is possible.

Definition. Let A be \aleph_0 -categorical over B and let b be the bound of A/B .

The set $\{\varphi_{p,n}(x) : \varphi_{p,n}(x)$ defines $A \cap p^n B$ in $B\}$ where the p^n are the powers of primes dividing b is called the *valuation* of (A, B) .

Furthermore, the set $\{k_{p,n} : p^n$ is a power of a prime dividing $b\}$ where

$$k_{p,n} = \dim_p(p^n A[p]/(B + p^{n+1}A) \cap p^n A[p])$$

is called the *set of Ulm-Kaplansky dimensions* of (A, B) .

Theorem 2.5. Let A be \aleph_0 -categorical over B , $\{\varphi_{p,n}\}$ be its valuation, $\{k_{p,n}\}$ be the set of its Ulm-Kaplansky dimensions and b be the bound of A/B . Then the following $L(P)$ -sentences axiomatize $\text{Th}(A, B)$.

$$B \cap p^n A = \varphi_{p,n}(B) \quad \text{for } \varphi_{p,n} \text{ in the valuation.} \tag{3}$$

$$\bigcap_{p,n} \varphi_{p,n}(B) = bA, \tag{4}$$

where the intersection is taken over the valuation.

$$\dim_p p^n A[p]/(B + p^{n+1}A) \cap p^n A[p] = k_{p,n}, \quad (5)$$

where $k_{p,n}$ are the Ulm-Kaplansky dimensions.

$$\{\psi^P: \psi \text{ is an } L\text{-sentence true in } B\}, \quad (6)$$

where ψ^P is the relativization of the formula ψ to the predicate P .

Moreover, given any countable group B , any natural number b , any set of formulas $\{\varphi_{p,n}: p^n \text{ is a power of a prime dividing } b\}$ and any set of countable cardinals $\{k_{p,n}: p^n \text{ is a power of a prime dividing } b\}$ such that

$$\varphi_{p,n}(B) \text{ is a subgroup of } B, \quad (3')$$

$$p^n B \subseteq \varphi_{p,n}(B), \quad (4')$$

$$p\varphi_{p,n}(B) \subseteq \varphi_{p,n+1}(B), \quad (5')$$

for any $\varphi_{p,n}$ and $\varphi_{p,n+1}$ in the above set, there exists a countable group A containing B such that A is \aleph_0 -categorical over B and (3), (4) and (5) are verified. (It is easy to check that (3'), (4') and (5') are consequences of (3). Hence they are necessary conditions for such a result to hold.)

Proof. Let A be \aleph_0 -categorical over B . By Theorem 2.3 A/B is bounded; let b be its bound. By Theorem 2.3 it is possible to find formulas such that (3) is verified. Since the bound of A/B is b (4) follows by (3).

Define now the $k_{p,n}$ simply as they are stated in (5). It is clear that (A, B) satisfy (3), (4), (5) and (6).

To show that (3), (4), (5) and (6) axiomatize $\text{Th}(A, B)$, take a countable model (C, D) of (3), (4), (5) and (6). Since D is elementarily equivalent to B , if B is finite one can assume that $D = B$, otherwise one can easily find elementary chains of pairs of countable groups (A_i, B_i) , (C_i, D_i) for $i \in \omega$ such that

$$(A_0, B_0) = (A, B), \quad (C_0, D_0) \equiv (C, D),$$

$$B_i \subseteq D_i \text{ for } i \in \omega, \quad D_i \subseteq B_{i+1} \text{ for } i \in \omega.$$

Hence the union of these chains are countable and have the same subgroup. So without loss of generality we can assume that $D = B$.

As in the proof of Theorem 2.3 one can show that $B = D$ is height preserving and the analogue of condition (1) of Lemma 2.1 is satisfied. Hence by Corollary 2.2 (remember that by Lemma 2.1 there is an element c in C satisfying the conditions of the corollary) there is an isomorphism between A and C extending $B = D$, hence (A, B) and (C, D) are isomorphic and the proof of the first part is completed.

To prove the second part, we will proceed in a way similar to the proof of the Theorem 1 of [7]. It is not possible to proceed exactly in the same way since we want (5) to hold.

Consider the set of all couples of the form (p^n, y) where p^n is a power of a prime dividing b and y is an element of $\varphi_{p,n}(B)$. Let F be the free group on those pairs.

Take K to be a maximal subgroup of $F \oplus B$ under the following conditions.

- (a) $K \supseteq \langle p^n(p^n, y) - y; y \in \varphi_{p,n}(B), p^n \text{ is a power of a prime dividing } b \rangle$,
- (b) $K \cap B = 0$,
- (c) $B \cap (K + p^n(F \oplus B)) = \varphi_{p,n}(B)$ where p^n is a power of a prime dividing b .

To show that there is (via Zorn's lemma) such a maximal K , it suffices, since the above properties are preserved under union of chains, to check that they are satisfied by

$$K_1 = \langle p^n(p^n, y) - y; y \in \varphi_{p,n}(B), p^n \text{ is a power of a prime dividing } b \rangle.$$

K_1 obviously satisfies properties (a) and (b). To check (c) suppose y is an element of $\varphi_{p,n}(B)$. Then $p^n(p^n, y) - y$ is in K_1 (by (a)) and since $y = p^n(p^n, y) - [p^n(p^n, y) - y]$, y is in $K_1 + p^n(F \oplus B)$. If now y is in $B \cap (K_1 + p^n(F \oplus B))$, write y as $k + p^n u$ where k is in K_1 and u is in $F \oplus B$. Remembering that the canonical projection of y on F must be 0, that F is free and that (3'), (4') and (5') hold one gets that y is the sum of an element of $p^n B$ with one of $\varphi_{p,n}(B)$. Hence by (3') and (4') one gets that y is in $\varphi_{p,n}(B)$.

Therefore there is a maximal K with properties (a), (b) and (c) holding. We will now show that for such a K the group $A_1 = (F \oplus B)/K$ has property (3), (4) and also that

$$\dim_p p^n A_1[p] / (B + p^{n+1} A_1) \cap p^n A_1[p] = 0$$

for p^n a power of a prime dividing b , where the embedding of B in A_1 is canonical (it is an embedding by (b)). Firstly (3) is an obvious consequence of (c) while (4) will be satisfied as soon as $bA_1 \subseteq B$ will be checked. Since this last inclusion is a consequence of (a), (4) holds.

The only remaining property is the last one. To show this take a_1 in $p^n A_1[p]$ for some p and n satisfying the usual conditions. Take now K' to be $\langle K, \bar{a}_1 \rangle$ where \bar{a}_1 is a representative of a_1 in $F \oplus B$. K' obviously satisfies (a). If $\langle K, \bar{a}_1 \rangle \cap B$ is non-trivial, then \bar{a}_1 is in $K + B$, since $K \cap B = 0$. Hence a_1 is in B , therefore a_1 is in $B + p^{n+1} A_1$.

Suppose now that $K' \cap B = 0$. Condition (c) is then equivalent to the left to right inclusion only, since the other one follows as before from (a). Let y be in $B \cap (K' + p^n(F \oplus B))$ without being in $K + p^n(F \oplus B)$. It follows that \bar{a}_1 is in $B + p^n F + K$, therefore a_1 is in $B + p^n A_1$ and (c) holds.

To have the result define A_2 to be the direct sum of $k_{p,n}$ many copies of the cyclic group of order p^{n+1} . Define A as $A_1 \oplus A_2$, i.e. $(A, B) = (A_1, B) \oplus (A_2, 0)$. It is now obvious that (A, B) satisfy (3), (4) and (5). By Theorem 2.3 A is \aleph_0 -categorical over B and the proof is completed. \square

We actually get in a different way the following result of [2]. (See [2, Theorem 4.6].)

Corollary 2.6. *A is \aleph_0 -categorical over B if and only if*

$$(A, B) = (A_1, B) \oplus (A_2, 0),$$

where A_1 is \mathfrak{K}_0 -categorical over B ,

$$\dim_p p^n A_1[p]/(B + p^{n+1} A_1) \cap p^n A_1[p] = 0$$

for every prime number p and every natural number n such that p^n divides the bound of A_1/B and A_2 is a bounded group. This decomposition is unique up to isomorphism.

Proof. Let A be \mathfrak{K}_0 -categorical over B . By Theorem 2.5 there exists a group A_1 \mathfrak{K}_0 -categorical over B such that $B \cap p^n A = B \cap p^n A_1$ also such that

$$\dim_p p^n A_1[p]/(B + p^{n+1} A_1) \cap p^n A_1[p] = 0$$

for every prime number p and every natural number n such that p^n divides the bound of A/B . Define A_2 to be the direct sum of

$$\dim_p p^n A[p]/(B + p^{n+1} A) \cap p^n A[p]$$

many copies of the cyclic group of order p^{n+1} for every prime numbers p and every natural number n , such that p^n divides the bound of A/B .

Now by Theorem 2.5 (A, B) and $(A_1, B) \oplus (A_2, 0)$ are elementarily equivalent, hence isomorphic and the first part of the proof is completed.

The other direction follows from Theorem 2.3. Furthermore the decomposition is unique since (A_1, B) is the only pair having the same valuation as (A, B) and zero Ulm-Kaplansky invariants and A_2 is characterized up to isomorphism by

$$\dim_p p^n A_2[p]/p^{n+1} A_1[p] = \dim_p p^n A[p]/(B + p^{n+1} A) \cap p^n A[p].$$

This completes the proof. \square

Now that the problem of describing \mathfrak{K}_0 -categorical groups over a subgroup has been reduced to existence of some definable subgroups, it will be shown in the next section that in torsion-free case this leads to a nice characterization of \mathfrak{K}_0 -categoricity over a subgroup.

3. The torsion-free case

Proposition 3.1. *Let G be a torsion-free group. A subgroup H of G is L -definable (without parameters) if and only if $H = mG$ for some natural number m .*

Proof. Let $\varphi(x)$ be an L -formula defining H in G . It is well known (see [6, Theorem 2.7 1(b)]) that $\varphi(x)$ is equivalent to a boolean combination of formulas of the form $p^i \mid p^j x$ and $nx = 0$ where i, j and n are natural numbers and p is a prime number ($p^i \mid p^j x$ meaning p^i divides $p^j x$). Since G is torsion-free this reduces to $\varphi(x)$ being a boolean combination of formulas of the form $p^i \mid x$ for natural numbers i and prime numbers p .

Therefore, if for some elements g of G and h of H we have that $p^i \mid h$ if and only if $p^i \mid g$ for any natural number i and prime number p , then g is also in H .

We will now use the following general idea. Suppose a_1, a_2 are elements of a group and p is a prime number. If $h_p(a_1) = m_1$ and $h_p(a_2) > m_1$, then $h_p(a_1 + a_2) = m_1$, since it is greater or equal to m_1 and if it was strictly greater, then p^{m_1+1} would divide a_1 (because it already divides a_2).

Let now p_1, \dots, p_n be the prime numbers occurring in $\varphi(x)$ and let $p_1^{k_1}, \dots, p_n^{k_n}$ be their maximal powers occurring in $\varphi(x)$. Therefore for g in G and h in H if $p_i^{s_i} \mid g$ if and only if $p_i^{s_i} \mid h$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $s_i = 1, \dots, k_i$ then g is also in H . Let m_i be the minimum of $\{h_{p_i}(h) : h \in H\}$; it is an ordinal number.

Define $m = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i^{t_i}$, where t_i is the minimum of $\{k_i, m_i\}$. It is obvious that $H \subseteq mG$. We will now prove that the reverse inclusion holds.

Let h_i be an element of H of p_i -height equal to m_i , for $i = 1, \dots, n$. For Δ a subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ define

$$h_\Delta = \sum_{j \in \Delta} \left(\prod_{i \neq j} p_i^{t_i+1} \right) h_j.$$

Where the product over the empty set is equal to 1 and the sum over the empty set is equal to 0. We can now compute the p_i -height of h_Δ .

$$h_{p_i}(h_\Delta) = \begin{cases} t_i & \text{if } t_i = m_i \text{ and } i \in \Delta, \\ \text{greater or equal to } t_i & \text{if } t_i = k_i \text{ and } i \in \Delta, \\ \text{strictly greater than } t_i & \text{if } i \notin \Delta. \end{cases}$$

In particular if $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$ we have the following.

$$h_{p_i}(h_I) = \begin{cases} t_i & \text{if } t_i = m_i, \\ \text{greater or equal to } t_i & \text{if } t_i = k_i. \end{cases}$$

Take now g in mG and let

$$\Gamma = \{i : 1 \leq i \leq n, h_{p_i}(g) > t_i\}.$$

Notice that if i is not in Γ then $h_{p_i}(g) = t_i$, since g is in mG . We therefore have the following.

$$h_{p_i}(h_\Gamma + g) = \begin{cases} t_i & \text{if } t_i = m_i \text{ and } i \in \Gamma, \\ \text{greater or equal to } t_i & \text{if } t_i = k_i \text{ and } i \in \Gamma, \\ t_i & \text{if } i \notin \Gamma. \end{cases}$$

The last line come from the fact that g is in mG .

Hence it now follows that $p_i^{s_i} \mid (h_\Gamma + g)$ if and only if $p_i^{s_i} \mid h_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $s_i = 1, \dots, k_i$.

Hence $h_\Gamma + g$ is in H . It follows that $mG = H$ and the proof is completed. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let A be torsion-free. A is \aleph_0 -categorical over B if and only if $B = mA$ for some natural number m .*

Proof. Let A be as stated. By Theorem 2.3 A/B is bounded, hence $nA \subseteq B$ for some natural number n . Furthermore again by Theorem 2.3 nA is L -definable in B , therefore by Proposition 3.1 $nA = mB$ for some natural number m . Since A is torsion-free, division is unique and we can assume that $(n, m) = 1$. Hence $B = nB + mB = nB + nA = nA$.

The converse follows trivially from Theorem 2.3 and the proof is completed. \square

References

- [1] L. Fuchs, Infinite Abelian Groups (Academic Press, New York, 1970 and 1973).
- [2] W. Hodges, Abelian groups, preprint, 1986.
- [3] W. Hodges, I.M. Hodkinson and D. Macpherson, Omega-categoricity, relative categoricity and coordinatisation, preprint, 1988.
- [4] I. Kaplansky and G.W. Mackey, A generalisation of Ulm's Theorem, Summa Brasil. Math. 2 (1951) 195–202.
- [5] A. Pillay, \aleph_0 -Categoricity over a predicate, Notre Dame J. Formal Logic 24 (4) (1983) 527–536.
- [6] M. Prest, Model Theory and Modules (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988).
- [7] F. Richman and E.A. Walker, Valuated groups, J. Algebra 56 (1979) 145–167.
- [8] R. Villemaire, Aleph-zero-categoricity over a predicate, Doctoral Dissertation, Universität Tübingen, 1988.