Appl. Math. Lett. Vol. 3, No. 2, pp. 69-71, 1990 Printed in Great Britain. All rights reserved 0893-9659/90 \$3.00 + 0.00 Copyright© 1990 Pergamon Press plc

Maximal Elements of Condensing Preference Maps

GHANSHYAM MEHTA

Department of Economics, University of Queensland

Abstract. We use the methods of nonliner analysis [1-4] to prove the existence of a maximal element for a class of preference maps defined on a closed, bounded, and convex, but not necessarily compact, subset of a Banach space.

1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose that K is a subset of a topological vector space E. Then each binary relation P on K gives rise to a multivalued map $T: K \to 2^K$ as follows: if $x \in K$, then $T(x) = \{y \in K : (x, y) \in P\}$. We say that a multivalued map $T: K \to 2^K$ is a preference map if it is generated from a binary relation P on K so that $y \in T(x)$ for $x \in K$ if and only if $(x, y) \in P$. The binary relation P may be interpreted as a preference relation on a set K of alternatives. A point x in K is said to be a maximal element of the preference map T if $T(x) = \phi$.

A standard theorem in mathematical economics [7, Theorem 2.1] on the existence of maximal elements states that an irreflexive preference map with convex values and open inverse images has a maximal element in any compact convex subset of a Hausdorff topological vector space.

The objective of this note is to remove altogether the compactness assumption on the domain and to prove the existence of a maximal element in a noncompact subset of a Banach space by using a nonexpansive or Lipschitz condition on the preference map. This condition may be interpreted in the following way. Let P be a preference map and D a subset of commodity bundles that is large in a sense to be made precise below. Then it is intuitively reasonable to expect that because of "diminishing returns" the size of the upper contour set P(D) is smaller than that of D. D.A. A preference map satisfying this condition is said to be a condensing map. Using the methods of nonlinear analysis [1-4], we prove that a condensing preference map satisfying the other usual conditions has a maximal element in a closed bounded and convex, but not necessarily compact, subset of a Banach space.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We shall use the following notation. If K is a subset of a Banach space, then int K denotes the topological interior of K, co K denotes the convex hull of K, and $\overline{co} K$ denotes the closed convex hull of K.

Let X be a Banach space and S a bounded subset of X. Then the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness of S, $\alpha(S)$ is defined by $\alpha(S) = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : S \text{ can be covered by a finite}$ number of sets with diameter no larger than $, \varepsilon \}$.

Observe that $\alpha(S) = 0$ if and only if S is relatively compact. Hence, a closed bounded set S has positive Kuratowski measure if and only if S is noncompact.

The proof of the following important theorem may be found in Lloyd [3, Chapter 6].

Some of the work for this paper was done while visiting the London School of Economics in 1988. I should like to thank Professor M. Desai, Professor L. Foldes, and Dr. A. Horsley for their hospitality.

G. MEHTA

THEOREM (DARBO). If S is a bounded subset of a Banach space, then $\alpha(S) = \alpha(\overline{co}S)$.

Let Y_1, Y_2 be metric spaces. Then a multivalued map $T: Y_1 \to 2^{Y_2}$ is said to be condensing if for each bounded subset D such that $\alpha(D) > 0, T(D)$ is bounded and $\alpha(T(D)) < \alpha(D)$.

Let K be a subset of a Banach space and $T: K \to 2^K$ a multivalued preference map. Then $x_0 \in K$ is said to be a maximal element of T if $T(x_0) = \phi$.

3. MAXIMAL ELEMENTS

We first prove the following lemma. The proof is an adaptation of the argument of Martin [4, Chapter 4] to the multivalued case.

LEMMA. Let E be a Banach space and D a nonempty closed, bounded and convex subset of E. Suppose that $T: D \to 2^D$ is a condensing map. Then there exists a compact convex subset K of D such that T is a multivalued map of K into 2^K .

PROOF: Let x_0 be an element of D and consider the family \mathcal{F} of all closed convex subsets C of D such that $x_0 \in C$ and $T: C \to 2^C$. Clearly, \mathcal{F} is nonempty. Let $C_0 = \bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{F}} C$. Then C_0 is closed and convex and $x_0 \in C_0$. If $x \in C_0$, $T(x) \subset C$ for all C so that $T: C_0 \to 2^{C_0}$.

It remains to be proved that C_0 is compact. If C_0 is not compact $\alpha(C_0) > 0$. Since T is a condensing map we have $\alpha(T(C_0)) < \alpha(C_0)$. Let $C_1 = \overline{co}(\{x_0\} \cup T(C_0))$. Then $C_1 \subset C_0$, which implies that $T(C_1) \subset T(C_0) \subset C_1$. Hence, $C_1 \in \mathcal{F}$ and $C_0 \subset C_1$. Therefore $C_0 = C_1$, a contradiction because $\alpha(C_1) = \alpha[\overline{co}(\{x_0\} \cup T(C_0))] = \alpha(T(C_0)) < \alpha(C_0)$ where the second equality holds because of Darbo's theorem. This contradiction proves the lemma.

We are now ready to prove the following theorem on the existence of maximal elements.

THEOREM. Let E be a Banach space and D a closed bounded and convex subset of E. Suppose that $P: D \rightarrow 2^{D}$ is a multivalued preference map such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) for each $x \in D$, $x \notin co P(x)$;
- (ii) for each $x \in D$ such that $P(x) \neq \emptyset$ there exists $y \in D$ such that $x \in int P^{-1}(y)$;
- (iii) P is condensing.

Then there exists a maximal element of P in D.

PROOF: Suppose, per absurdum, that there is no maximal element. Then $P(x) \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in D$.

The lemma implies that there exists a compact convex subset K of D such that $P: K \to 2^K$. Define $Q: K \to 2^K$ by Q(x) = co P(x) for each $x \in K$. Clearly, for each $x \in K$, Q(x) is nonempty and convex.

We prove next that for each $x \in K$ there exists $y \in K$ such that $x \in \text{inte } Q^{-1}(y)$ in the relative topology of K. Let $x \in K$. Then since $P(x) \neq \emptyset$, condition (ii) implies that there exists $y \in D$ with $x \in \text{int } P^{-1}(y)$. Since $P(x) \subset Q(x) = \operatorname{co} P(x)$ for each $x \in K$, int $P^{-1}(y) \subset \operatorname{int} Q^{-1}(y)$ where the interiors are taken in D. Hence $x \in Q^{-1}(y)$, which implies that $y \in Q(x) \subset K$ so that $y \in K$. Therefore, for each $x \in K$ there exists $y \in K$ such that $x \in \operatorname{int} Q^{-1}(y)$ where the interior is in D. It follows that there is an open neighbourhood U in D such that $x \in U \subset Q^{-1}(y)$. This implies that $U \cap K$ is open in K and $x \in U \cap K \subset$ relative interior of $Q^{-1}(y)$ in K. This proves that for each $x \in K$ there exists $y \in K$ such that $x \in \operatorname{int} Q^{-1}(y)$ in the relative topology of K.

Hence, all the conditions of the fixed point theorem of Tarafdar [6] are satisfied and we may conclude that there exists a point x_0 such that $x_0 \in Q(x_0) = co P(x_0)$ contradicting condition (i). This contradiction proves the theorem.

REMARK 1. The method used here can also be applied to weaken the compactness assumption in the other theorems on the existence of maximal elements. The reader is referred to Mehta [5, Chapter 7] for further discussion.

REMARK 2. I do not know if the theorem proved above can be extended to a topological vector space. This would be an interesting generalization.

References

- 1. J.P. Aubin and I. Ekeland, "Applied Nonlinear Analysis," Wiley, New York, 1984.
- 2. F. Browder, The fixed point theory of multivalued mappings in topological vector spaces, Mathematische Annalen 177 (1968), 183-201.
- 3. N. Lloyd, "Degree Theory," Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1978.
- 4. R. Martin, Nonlinear operators and differential equations in Banach spaces, Wiley, New York.
- 5. G. Mehta, "Topological Methods in Equilibrium Analysis," Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Mathematics, University of Queensland, 1987.
- 6. E. Tarafdar, On nonlinear variational inequalities, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 67 (1977), 95-98.
- 7. S. Toussaint, Existence of equilibria in economies with infinitely many commodities and without ordered preferences, Journal of Economic Theory 33 (1984), 98-115.

Department of Economics, University of Queensland, St. Lucia Qld. 4067, AUSTRALIA