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#### Abstract

Sufficient conditions for controllability of semilinear second-order neutral functional differential systems in Banach spaces are established using the theory of strongly continuous cosine families. The results are obtained by using the Leray-Schauder alternative. ©c 2001 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Controllability of linear and nonlinear systems represented by ordinary differential equations in finite-dimensional space has been extensively studied. Several authors have extended the concept to infinite-dimensional systems in Banach Spaces with bounded operators. Chukwu and Lenhart [1] have studied the controllability of nonlinear systems in abstract spaces. Naito [ 2,3 ] has studied the controllability for semilinear systems and nonlinear Volterra integrodifferential systems. Quinn and Carmichael [4] have shown that the controllability problem in Banach spaces can be converted into one of a fixed-point problem for a single-valued mapping. Balachandran et al. $[5,6]$ established sufficient conditions for controllability of nonlinear integrodifferential systems in Banach spaces.

In many cases, it is advantageous to treat the second-order abstract differential equations directly rather than to convert them to first-order systems. For example, Fitzgibbon [7] used the second-order abstract differential equations for establishing the boundedness of solutions of the equation governing the transverse motion of an extensible beam. A useful tool for the study of abstract second-order equations is the theory of strongly continuous cosine families. We will make use of some of the basic ideas from cosine family theory [8,9]. Motivation for second-order systems can be found in [7,10]. Park et al. [11] and Balachandran et al. [12] have discussed the controllability of second-order nonlinear systems in Banach spaces with the help of the Schauder fixed-point theorem. The purpose of this paper is to study the controllability of semilinear second-order neutral functional differential systems in Banach spaces by using the Leray-Schauder alternative [13].
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## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We consider the semilinear second-order neutral control system

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left[x^{\prime}(t)-g\left(t, x_{t}\right)\right]=A x(t)+B u(t)+f\left(t, x_{t}, x^{\prime}(t)\right), \quad t \in J=[0, T],  \tag{1}\\
x_{0}=\phi, \quad x^{\prime}(0)=y_{0},
\end{gather*}
$$

where the state $x($.$) takes values in the reflexive Banach space X, y_{0} \in X, A$ is the infinitesimal generator of the strongly continuous cosine family $C(t), t \in R$, of bounded linear operators in $X$, $f: J \times C \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g: J \times C \rightarrow X$ are given functions, $B$ is a bounded linear operator from $U$ to $X$, and the control function $u($.$) is given in L^{2}(J, U)$, a Banach space of admissible control functions, with $U$ also being a Banach space and $\phi \in C$.
Here $C=C([-r, 0], X)$ is the Banach space of all continuous functions $\phi:[-r, 0] \rightarrow X$ endowed with the sup-norm

$$
\|\phi\|=\sup \{|\phi(\theta)|:-r \leq \theta \leq 0\} .
$$

Also, for $x \in C([-r, T], X)$, we have $x_{t} \in C$ for $t \in J, x_{t}(\theta)=x(t+\theta)$ for $\theta \in[-r, 0]$.
Definition 1. (See [8].) A one-parameter family $C(t), t \in R$, of bounded linear operators in the Banach space $X$ is called a strongly continuous cosine family iff
(i) $C(s+t)+C(s-t)=2 C(s) C(t)$, for all $s, t \in R$;
(ii) $C(0)=I$;
(iii) $C(t) x$ is continuous in $t$ on $R$ for each fixed $x \in X$.

Define the associated sine family $S(t), t \in R$, by

$$
S(t) x=\int_{0}^{t} C(s) x d s, \quad x \in X, \quad t \in R .
$$

Assume the following conditions on $A$.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) A$ is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous cosine family $C(t), t \in R$, of bounded linear operators from $X$ into itself and the adjoint operator $A^{*}$ is densely defined i.e., $\overline{D\left(A^{*}\right)}=X^{*}($ see $[14])$.

The infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous cosine family $C(t), t \in R$, is the operator $A: X \rightarrow X$ defined by

$$
A x=\left.\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} C(t) x\right|_{t=0,} \quad x \in D(A)
$$

where $D(A)=\{x \in X: C(t) x$ is twice continuously differentiable in $t\}$. Define $E=$ $\{x \in X: C(t) x$ is once continuously differentiable in $t\}$.
To establish our main theorem, we need the following lemmas.
Lemma 1. (See [8].) Let ( $H_{1}$ ) hold. Then
(i) there exist constants $M \geq 1$ and $\omega \geq 0$ such that

$$
|C(t)| \leq N e^{\omega|t|} \text { and }\left|S(t)-S\left(t^{*}\right)\right| \leq N\left|\int_{t}^{t^{*}} e^{\omega|s|} d s\right|, \quad \text { for } t, t^{*} \in R
$$

(ii) $S(t) X \subset E$ and $S(t) E \subset D(A)$, for $t \in R$;
(iii) $\frac{d}{d t} C(t) x=A S(t) x$, for $x \in E$ and $t \in R$;
(iv) $\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} C(t) x=A C(t) x$, for $x \in D(A)$ and $t \in R$.

Lemma 2. (See [8].) Let ( $H_{1}$ ) hold, let $v: R \rightarrow X$ such that $v$ is continuously differentiable, and let $q(t)=\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) v(s) d s$. Then
$q$ is twice continuously differentiable and for $t \in R, q(t) \in D(A)$,

$$
q^{\prime}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} C(t-s) v(s) d s \quad \text { and } \quad q^{\prime \prime}(t)=A q(t)+v(t)
$$

Lemma 3. Leray-Schauder Alternative. (See [13].) Let $S$ be a convex subset of a normed linear space $Y$ and assume $0 \in S$. Let $F: S \rightarrow S$ be a completely continuous operator, and let

$$
\xi(F)=\{x \in S: x=\lambda F x \text { for some } 0<\lambda<1\} .
$$

Then either $\xi(F)$ is unbounded or $F$ has a fixed point.
We make the following assumptions.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) C(t), t>0$ is compact.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Bu}(t)$ is continuous in $t$.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ The linear operator $W: L^{2}(J, U) \rightarrow X$ defined by

$$
W u=\int_{0}^{T} S(T-s) B u(s) d s
$$

induces a bounded invertible operator $\tilde{W}: L^{2}(J, U) / \operatorname{ker} W \rightarrow X$. (See Remark for construction of $\tilde{W}^{-1}$.)
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right) g: J \times C \rightarrow X$ is completely continuous and for any bounded set $K$ in $C([-r, T], X)$, the set $\left\{t \rightarrow g\left(t, x_{t}\right): x \in K\right\}$ is equicontinuous in $\left.C([0, T]), X\right)$.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$ There exists constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ such that

$$
|g(t, \phi)| \leq c_{1}(\|\phi\|)+c_{2}, \quad t \in J, \quad \phi \in C .
$$

(1) $\left(\mathrm{H}_{7}\right)$ " The function $f(t, \ldots): C \rightarrow X$ is continuous for each $t \in J$.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{8}\right)$ The function $f(., x, y): J \rightarrow X$ is strongly measurable for each $x \in C$ and $y \in X$.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{9}\right)$ For every positive constant $k$, there exists $\alpha_{k} \in L^{1}(J)$ such that

$$
\sup _{\|x\|, y \mid \leq k}|f(t, x, y)| \leq \alpha_{k}(t), \quad \text { for a.a. } t \in J
$$

Then the integral equation formulation of (1) can be written as (see [15,16])

$$
\begin{align*}
x(t)= & \phi(t), \quad-r \leq 0 \leq T \\
x(t)= & C(t) \phi(0)+S(t)\left[y_{0}-g(0, \phi)\right]+\int_{0}^{t} C(t-s) g\left(s, x_{s}\right) d s  \tag{2}\\
& +\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) B u(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) f\left(s, x_{s}, x^{\prime}(s)\right) d s, \quad t \in J .
\end{align*}
$$

Definition 2. System (1) is said to be controllable on $J$ if for every $\phi \in C$ with $\phi(0) \in D(A)$, $y_{0} \in E$, and $x_{1} \in X$, there exists a control $u \in L^{2}(J, U)$ such that the solution $x($.$) of (1) satisfies$ $x(T)=x_{1}$.

## 3. MAIN RESULT

Theorem. Suppose $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{9}\right)$ hold and there exists a continuous function $p: J \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that

$$
|f(t, x, y)| \leq m(t) \Omega(\|x\|+|y|), \quad t \in J, \quad x \in C, \quad \text { and } \quad y \in X
$$

where $\Omega:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is a continuous nondecreasing function and

$$
\int_{0}^{T} m(s) d s<\int_{c}^{\infty} \frac{d s}{s+\Omega(s)}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
m(t)=\max \left\{c_{1}\left[M c_{1}+M+M^{*}\right], M\left(c_{1} T+T+1\right) p(t)\right\} \\
M=\sup \{|C(t)|: t \in J\}, \quad M^{*}=\sup \{|A S(t)|: t \in J\} \\
c=\left(M+M^{*}\right)\|\phi\|+(1+T) M\left\{\left|y_{0}\right|+c_{1}\|\phi\|+c_{2}\right\}+\left(M+M^{*}\right) c_{2} T \\
+c_{1}\|\phi\|+c_{2}+\left(T^{2}+T\right) M N \\
N=|B|\left|\tilde{W}^{-1}\right|\left[\left|x_{1}\right|+M\|\phi\|+M T\left\{\left|y_{0}\right|+c_{1}\|\phi\|+2 c_{2}\right\}+M c_{1} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|x_{\tau}\right\| d \tau\right. \\
\\
+M T \int_{0}^{T} p(s) \Omega\left(\left|x_{s} \|+\left|x^{\prime}(s)\right|\right) d s\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Then system (1) is controllable on $J$.
Proof. Consider the space $Z=C([-r, T], X) \cap C^{1}(. J, X)$ with norm

$$
\|x\|^{*}=\max \left\{\|x\|_{r},\|x\|_{0}\right\}
$$

where

$$
\|x\|_{r}=\sup \{|x(t)|:-r \leq t \leq T\}, \quad\|x\|_{0}=\sup \left\{\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|: 0 \leq t \leq T\right\}
$$

Using $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, for an arbitrary function $x($.$) , we define the control$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(t)=\tilde{W}^{-1}\left[x_{1}-C(T) \phi(0)-S(T)\left[y_{0}-g(0, \phi)\right]-\int_{0}^{T} C(T-s) g\left(s, x_{s}\right) d s\right. \\
&\left.-\int_{0}^{T} S(T-s) f\left(s, x_{s}, x^{\prime}(s)\right) d s\right](t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using this control, we will show that the operator $F: Z \rightarrow Z$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
(F x)(t)= & \phi(t), \quad-r \leq t \leq 0 \\
(F x)(t)= & C(t) \phi(0)+S(t)\left[y_{0}-g(0, \phi)\right]+\int_{0}^{t} C(t-s) g\left(s, x_{s}\right) d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) B \tilde{W}^{-1}\left[x_{1}-C(T) \phi(0)-S(T)\left[y_{0}-g(0, \phi)\right]\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{0}^{T} C(T-\tau) g\left(\tau, x_{\tau}\right) d \tau-\int_{0}^{T} S(T-\tau) f\left(\tau, x_{\tau}, x^{\prime}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right](s) d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) f\left(s, x_{s}, x^{\prime}(s)\right) d s, \quad t \in J
\end{aligned}
$$

has a fixed point. This fixed point is then a solution of equation (2).

Clearly, $(F x)(T)=x_{1}$, which means that the control $u$ steers the system from the initial state $x_{0}$ to $x_{1}$ in time $T$, provided we obtain a fixed point of the nonlinear operator $F$.

In order to study the controllability problem for system (1), we apply Lemma 3 to the following system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left[x^{\prime}(t)-\lambda g\left(t, x_{t}\right)\right]=\lambda A x(t)+\lambda B u(t)+\lambda f\left(t, x_{t}, x^{\prime}(t)\right), \quad t \in J, \quad \lambda \in(0,1) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x$ be a mild solution of system (3). From

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(t)= & \lambda C(t) \phi(0)+\lambda S(t)\left[y_{0}-g(0, \phi)\right]+\lambda \int_{0}^{t} C(t-s) g\left(s, x_{s}\right) d s \\
& +\lambda \int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) B \tilde{W}^{-1}\left[x_{1}-C(T) \phi(0)-S(T)\left[y_{0}-g(0, \phi)\right]\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{0}^{T} C(T-\tau) g\left(\tau, x_{\tau}\right) d \tau-\int_{0}^{T} S(T-\tau) f\left(\tau, x_{\tau}, x^{\prime}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right](s) d s \\
& +\lambda \int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) f\left(s, x_{s}, x^{\prime}(s)\right) d s, \quad t \in J
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|x(t)| \leq M\|\phi\|+M T\left\{\left|y_{0}\right|+c_{1}\|\phi\|+2 c_{2}\right\}+ & M c_{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|x_{s}\right\| d s+M T^{2} N \\
& +M T \int_{0}^{t} p(s) \Omega\left(\left\|x_{s}\right\|+\left|x^{\prime}(s)\right|\right) d s, \quad t \in J
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the function $\mu$ defined by

$$
\mu(t)=\sup \{|x(s)|:-r \leq s \leq t\}, \quad t \in J
$$

Let $t^{*} \in[-r, t]$ be such that $\mu(t)=\left|x\left(t^{*}\right)\right|$. If $t^{*} \in[0, t]$, by the previous inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu(t) \leq M\|\phi\|+M T\left\{\left|y_{0}\right|+c_{1}\|\phi\|+2 c_{2}\right\}+M c_{1} & \int_{0}^{t} \mu(s) d s+M T^{2} N \\
& +M T \int_{0}^{t} p(s) \Omega\left(\mu(s)+\left|x^{\prime}(s)\right|\right) d s, \quad t \in J
\end{aligned}
$$

If $t^{*} \in[-r, 0]$, then $\mu(t)=\|\phi\|$ and the previous inequality holds since $M \geq 1$.
Denoting by $v(t)$, the right-hand side of the above inequality, we have

$$
\mu(t) \leq v(t), \quad t \in J, \quad v(0)=M\|\phi\|+M T\left\{\left|y_{0}\right|+c_{1}\|\phi\|+2 c_{2}\right\}+M T^{2} N
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
v^{\prime}(t) & =M c_{1} \mu(t)+M T p(t) \Omega\left(\mu(t)+\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|\right), & & t \in J \\
& \leq M c_{1} v(t)+M T p(t) \Omega\left(v(t)+\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|\right), & & t \in J
\end{aligned}
$$

By

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\prime}(t)= & \lambda A S(t) \phi(0)+\lambda C(t)\left[y_{0}-g(0, \phi)\right]+\lambda g\left(t, x_{t}\right)+\lambda \int_{0}^{t} A S(t-s) g\left(s, x_{s}\right) d s \\
& +\lambda \int_{0}^{t} C(t-s) B \tilde{W}^{-1}\left[x_{1}-C(T) \phi(0)-S(T)\left[y_{0}-g(0, \phi)\right]\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{0}^{T} C(T-\tau) g\left(\tau, x_{\tau}\right) d \tau-\int_{0}^{T} S(T-\tau) f\left(\tau, x_{\tau}, x^{\prime}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right](s) d s \\
& +\lambda \int_{0}^{t} C(t-s) f\left(s, x_{s}, x^{\prime}(s)\right) d s, \quad t \in J
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq M^{*}\|\phi\|+M\left\{\left|y_{0}\right|+c_{1}\|\phi\|+c_{2}\right\} & +c_{1}\left\|x_{t}\right\|+c_{2}+M^{*}\left\{c_{2} T+c_{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|x_{s}\right\| d s\right\} \\
& +M T N+M \int_{0}^{t} p(s) \Omega\left(\left\|x_{s}\right\|+\left|x^{\prime}(s)\right|\right) d s, \quad t \in J
\end{aligned}
$$

Denoting by $r(t)$ the right-hand side of the above inequality, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq r(t), \quad t \in J \\
r(0)=M^{*}\|\phi\|+M\left\{\left|y_{0}\right|+c_{1}\|\phi\|+c_{2}\right\}+c_{1}\|\phi\|+c_{2}+M^{*} c_{2} T+M T N
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
r^{\prime}(t) & \leq c_{1} v^{\prime}(t)+M^{*} c_{1} v(t)+M p(t) \Omega(v(t)+r(t)), & & t \in J \\
& \leq c_{1}\left\{M c_{1} v(t)+M T p(t) \Omega(v(t)+r(t))\right\}+M^{*} c_{1} v(t)+M p(t) \Omega(v(t)+r(t)), & & t \in J
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
w(t)=v(t)+r(t), \quad t \in J
$$

Then

$$
w(0)=c
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
w^{\prime}(t) & =v^{\prime}(t)+r^{\prime}(t) \\
& \leq c_{1}\left[M c_{1}+M+M^{*}\right] v(t)+M\left(c_{1} T+T+1\right) p(t) \Omega(v(t)+r(t)) \\
& \leq c_{1}\left[M c_{1}+M+M^{*}\right] w(t)+M\left(c_{1} T+T+\right) p(t) \Omega(w(t)), \quad t \in J
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies

$$
\int_{w(0)}^{w(t)} \frac{d s}{s+\Omega(s)} \leq \int_{0}^{T} m(s) d s<\int_{c}^{\infty} \frac{d s}{s+\Omega(s)}, \quad t \in J
$$

This inequality implies that there is a constant $K$ such that

$$
w(t)=v(t)+r(t) \leq K, \quad t \in J
$$

Then

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
|x(t)| & \leq v(t) & \leq K, & \\
\mid \in J \\
\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right| & \leq r(t) & \leq K, & \\
t \in J
\end{array}
$$

and hence,

$$
\|x\|^{*}=\max \left\{\|x\|_{r},\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{0}\right\} \leq K
$$

where $K$ depends only on $T$ and on the functions $m$ and $\Omega$.
We shall now prove that the operator $F: Z \rightarrow Z$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
(F x)(t)= & \phi(t), \quad-r \leq t \leq 0 \\
(F x)(t)= & C(t) \phi(0)+S(t)\left[y_{0}-g(0, \phi)\right]+\int_{0}^{t} C(t-s) g\left(s, x_{s}\right) d s+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) B \tilde{W}^{-1} \\
& \times\left[x_{1}-C(T) \phi(0)-S(T)\left[y_{0}-g(0, \phi)\right]-\int_{0}^{T} C(T-\tau) g\left(\tau, x_{\tau}\right) d \tau\right. \\
& \left.\times-\int_{0}^{T} S(T-\tau) f\left(\tau, x_{\tau}, x^{\prime}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right](s) d s+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) f\left(s, x_{s}, x^{\prime}(s)\right) d s, \quad t \in J
\end{aligned}
$$

is a completely continuous operator.

Let $B_{k}=\left\{x \in Z,\|x\|^{*} \leq k\right\}$ for some $k \geq 1$. We first show that $F$ maps $B_{k}$ into an equicontinuous family. Let $x \in B_{k}$ and $t_{1}, t_{2} \in J$. Then if $0<t_{1}<t_{2} \leq T$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|(F x)\left(t_{1}\right)-(F x)\left(t_{2}\right)\right| \\
& \left.\leq\left|\left[C\left(t_{1}\right)-C\left(t_{2}\right)\right] \phi(0)\right|+\left|\left[S\left(t_{1}\right)-S\left(t_{2}\right)\right]\right| y_{0}-g(0, \phi)\right] \mid \\
& +\left|\int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left[C\left(t_{1}-s\right)-C\left(t_{2}-s\right)\right] g\left(s, x_{s}\right) d s\right|+\left|\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} C\left(t_{2}-s\right) g\left(s, x_{s}\right) d s\right| \\
& +\mid \int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left[S\left(t_{1}-s\right)-S\left(t_{2}-s\right)\right] B \tilde{W}^{-1}\left[x_{1}-C(T) \phi(0)-S(T)\left[y_{0}-g(0, \phi)\right]\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{0}^{T} C(T-\tau) g\left(\tau, x_{\tau}\right) d \tau-\int_{0}^{T} S(T-\tau) f\left(\tau, x_{\tau}, x^{\prime}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right](s) d s \\
& +\mid \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} S\left(t_{2}-s\right) B \tilde{W}^{-1}\left[x_{1}-C(T) \phi(0)-S(T)\left[y_{0}-g(0, \phi)\right]\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{0}^{T} S(T-\tau) f\left(\tau, x_{\tau}, x^{\prime}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right](s) d s \\
& +\left|\int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left[S\left(t_{1}-s\right)-S\left(t_{2}-s\right)\right] f\left(s, x_{s}, x^{\prime}(s)\right) d s\right| \\
& +\left|\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} S\left(t_{2}-s\right) f\left(s, x_{s}, x^{\prime}(s)\right) d s\right| \\
& \leq\left|C\left(t_{1}\right)-C\left(t_{2}\right)\right| \| \phi| |+\left|S\left(t_{1}\right)-S\left(t_{2}\right)\right|\left\{\left|y_{0}\right|+c_{1}\|\phi\|+c_{2}\right\}  \tag{4}\\
& +\int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left|C\left(t_{1}-s\right)-C\left(t_{2}-s\right)\right|\left\{c_{1}\left\|x_{s}\right\|+c_{2}\right\} d s \\
& +\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left|C\left(t_{2}-s\right)\right|\left\{c_{1}\left\|x_{s}\right\|+c_{2}\right\} d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left|S\left(t_{1}-s\right)-S\left(t_{2}-s\right)\right||B|\left|\tilde{W}^{-1}\right|\left[\left|x_{1}\right|+M\|\phi\|\right. \\
& \left.+M T\left\{\left|y_{0}\right|+c_{1}\|\phi\|+c_{2}\right\}+M \int_{0}^{T}\left\{c_{1}\left\|x_{\tau}\right\|+c_{2}\right\} d \tau+M T \int_{0}^{T} \alpha_{k}(\tau) d \tau\right] d s \\
& +\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left|S\left(t_{2}-s\right)\right||B|\left|\tilde{W}^{-1}\right|\left[\left|x_{1}\right|+M\|\phi\|+M T\left\{\left|y_{0}\right|+c_{1}\|\phi\|+c_{2}\right\}\right. \\
& \left.+M \int_{0}^{T}\left\{c_{1}\left\|x_{\tau}\right\|+c_{2}\right\} d \tau+M T \int_{0}^{T} \alpha_{k}(\tau) d \tau\right] d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left|S\left(t_{1}-s\right)-S\left(t_{2}-s\right)\right| \alpha_{k}(s) d s \\
& +\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left|S\left(t_{2}-s\right)\right| \alpha_{k}(s) d s,
\end{align*}
$$

and similarly,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|(F x)^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)-(F x)^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)\right| \\
& \left.\quad \leq\left|A\left(S\left(t_{1}\right)-S\left(t_{2}\right)\right) \phi(0)\right|+| | C\left(t_{1}\right)-C\left(t_{2}\right)\right]\left[y_{0}-g(0, \phi)\right] \mid \\
& \quad+\left|g\left(t_{1}, x_{t_{1}}\right)-g\left(t_{2}, x_{t_{2}}\right)\right|+\left|\int_{0}^{t_{1}} A\left(S\left(t_{1}-s\right)-S\left(t_{2}-s\right)\right) g\left(s, x_{s}\right) d s\right|  \tag{5}\\
& \quad+\left|\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} A S\left(t_{2}-s\right) g\left(s, x_{s}\right) d s\right|
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\mid \int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left[C\left(t_{1}-s\right)-C\left(t_{2}-s\right)\right] B \tilde{W}^{-1}\left[x_{1}-C(T) \phi(0)-S(T)\left[y_{0}-g(0, \phi)\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{T} C(T-\tau) g\left(\tau, x_{\tau}\right) d \tau-\int_{0}^{T} S(T-\tau) f\left(\tau, x_{\tau}, x^{\prime}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right](s) d s \mid \\
& +\mid \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} C\left(t_{2}-s\right) B \tilde{W}^{-1}\left[x_{1}-C(T) \phi(0)-S(T)\left[y_{0}-g(0, \phi)\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{T} C(T-\tau) g\left(\tau, x_{\tau}\right) d \tau-\int_{0}^{T} S(T-\tau) f\left(\tau, x_{\tau}, x^{\prime}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right](s) d s \mid \\
& +\left|\int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left[C\left(t_{1}-s\right)-C\left(t_{2}-s\right)\right] f\left(s, x_{s}, x^{\prime}(s)\right) d s\right| \\
& +\left|\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} C\left(t_{2}-s\right) f\left(s, x_{s}, x^{\prime}(s)\right) d s\right| \\
& \left.+\left|A\left(S\left(t_{1}\right)-S\left(t_{2}\right)\right)\right|\|\phi\|+| | C\left(t_{1}\right)-C\left(t_{2}\right)\right] \mid\left\{\left|y_{0}\right|+c_{1}\|\phi\|+c_{2}\right\} \\
& +\left|g\left(t_{1}, x_{t_{1}}\right)-g\left(t_{2}, x_{t_{2}}\right)\right|+\int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left|A\left(S\left(t_{1}-s\right)-S\left(t_{2}-s\right)\right)\right|\left\{c_{1}\left\|x_{s}\right\|+c_{2}\right\} d s  \tag{5}\\
& +\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left|A S\left(t_{2}-s\right)\right|\left\{c_{1}\left\|x_{s}\right\|+c_{2}\right\} d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left|C\left(t_{1}-s\right)-C\left(t_{2}-s\right)\right||B|\left|\tilde{W}^{-1}\right|\left[\left|x_{1}\right|+M\|\phi\|\right. \\
& +\int_{0}\left|C\left(t_{1}-s\right)-C\left(t_{2}-s\right)\right| \alpha_{k}(s) d s+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left|C\left(t_{2}-s\right)\right| \alpha_{k}(s) d s \\
& \left.+M T\left\{\left|y_{0}\right|+c_{1}\|\phi\|+c_{2}\right\}+M \int_{0}^{T}\left\{c_{1}\left\|x_{\tau}\right\|+c_{2}\right\} d \tau+M T \int_{0}^{T} \alpha_{k}(\tau) d \tau\right] d s \\
& +\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left|C\left(t_{2}-s\right)\right||B|\left|\tilde{W}^{-1}\right|\left[x_{1} \mid+M\|\phi\|+M T\left\{\left\|y_{0}\right\|+c_{1}\|\phi\|+c_{2}\right\}\right. \\
& \left.+M \int_{0}^{T}\left\{c_{1}\left\|x_{\tau}\right\|+c_{2}\right\} d \tau+M T \int_{0}^{T} \alpha_{k}(\tau) d \tau\right] d s \\
& +t_{0} \\
& +
\end{align*}
$$

The right-hand sides of (4) and (5) are independent of $y \in B_{k}$ and tend to zero as $t_{2}-t_{1} \rightarrow 0$, since $C(t), S(t)$ are uniformly continuous for $t \in J$. The compactness of $C(t), S(t)$ for $t>0$ implies the continuity in the uniform operator topology. The compactness of $S(t)$ follows from that of $C(t)$.

Thus, $F$ maps $B_{k}$ into an equicontinuous family of functions. It is easy to see that the family $F B_{k}$ is uniformly bounded.

Next we show $\overline{F B_{k}}$ is compact. Since we have shown $F B_{k}$ is an equicontinuous collection, it suffices by the Arzela-Ascoli theorem to show that $F$ maps $B_{k}$ into a precompact set in $X$.

Let $0<t \leq T$ be fixed and $\epsilon$ a real number satisfying $0<\epsilon<t$. For $x \in B_{k}$, we define

$$
\begin{gathered}
\quad\left(F_{\epsilon} x\right)(t)=C(t) \phi(0)+S(t)\left[y_{0}-g(0, \phi)\right]+\int_{0}^{t-\epsilon} C(t-s) g\left(s, x_{s}\right) d s \\
+\int_{0}^{t-\epsilon} S(t-s) B \tilde{W}^{-1}\left[x_{1}-C(T) \phi(0)-S(T)\left[y_{0}-g(0, \phi)\right]-\int_{0}^{T} C(T-\tau) g\left(s, x_{\tau}\right) d \tau\right. \\
\left.-\int_{0}^{T} S(T-\tau) f\left(\tau, x_{\tau}, x^{\prime}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right](s) d s+\int_{0}^{t-\epsilon} S(t-s) f\left(s, x_{s}, x^{\prime}(s)\right) d s, \quad t \in J
\end{gathered}
$$
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for each $t \in J$, and since

$$
\left|f\left(t, x_{n t}, x_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right)-f\left(t, x_{t}, x^{\prime}(t)\right)\right| \leq 2 \alpha_{q}(t)
$$

we have by dominated convergence theorem,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|F x_{n}-F x\right\|= & \sup _{t \in J} \mid \int_{0}^{t} C(t-s)\left[g\left(s, x_{n_{s}}\right)-g\left(s, x_{s}\right)\right] d s \\
& -\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) B \tilde{W}^{-1}\left[\int_{0}^{T} C(T-\tau)\left[g\left(\tau, x_{n_{\tau}}\right)-g\left(\tau, x_{\tau}\right)\right] d \tau\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{T} S(T-\tau)\left[f\left(\tau, x_{n_{\tau}}, x_{n}{ }^{\prime}(\tau)\right)-f\left(\tau, x_{\tau}, x^{\prime}(\tau)\right)\right] d \tau\right](s) d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)\left[f\left(s, x_{n s}, x_{n}^{\prime}(s)\right)-f\left(s, x_{s}, x^{\prime}(s)\right)\right] d s \mid \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{T}\left|C(t-s)\left[g\left(s, x_{n s}\right)-g\left(s, x_{s}\right)\right]\right| d s \\
& -\int_{0}^{T} \mid S(t-s) B \tilde{W}^{-1}\left[\int_{0}^{T} C(T-\tau)\left[g\left(\tau, x_{n \tau}\right)-g\left(\tau, x_{\tau}\right)\right] d \tau\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{T} S(T-\tau)\left[f\left(\tau, x_{n \tau}, x_{n}^{\prime}(\tau)\right)-f\left(\tau, x_{\tau}, x^{\prime}(\tau)\right)\right] d \tau\right] \mid d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{T}\left|S(t-s)\left[f\left(s, x_{n_{s}, x_{n}}{ }^{\prime}(s)\right)-f\left(s, x_{s}, x^{\prime}(s)\right)\right] d s\right| \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(F x_{n}\right)^{\prime}-(F x)^{\prime}\right\|= & \sup _{t \in J} \mid\left[g\left(t, x_{n t}\right)-g\left(t, x_{t}\right)\right]+\int_{0}^{t} A S(t-s)\left[g\left(s, x_{n s}\right)-g\left(s, x_{s}\right)\right] d s \\
& -\int_{0}^{t} C(t-s) B \tilde{W}^{-1}\left[\int_{0}^{T} C(T-\tau)\left[g\left(\tau, x_{n \tau}\right)-g\left(\tau, x_{\tau}\right)\right] d \tau\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{T} S(T-\tau)\left[f\left(\tau, x_{n_{\tau}}, x_{n}{ }^{\prime}(\tau)\right)-f\left(\tau, x_{\tau}, x^{\prime}(\tau)\right)\right] d \tau\right](s) d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} C(t-s)\left[f\left(s, x_{n s}, x_{n}{ }^{\prime}(s)\right)-f\left(s, x_{s}, x^{\prime}(s)\right)\right] d s \mid \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{T}\left|g\left(t, x_{n t}\right)-g\left(t, x_{t}\right)\right| d s+\int_{0}^{t}\left|A S(t-s)\left[g\left(s, x_{n s}\right)-g\left(s, x_{s}\right)\right]\right| d s \\
& -\int_{0}^{T} \mid C(t-s) B \tilde{W}^{-1}\left[\int_{0}^{T} C(T-\tau)\left[g\left(\tau, x_{n \tau}\right)-g\left(\tau, x_{\tau}\right)\right] d \tau\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{T} S(T-\tau)\left[f\left(\tau, x_{n_{\tau}}, x_{n}{ }^{\prime}(\tau)\right)-f\left(\tau, x_{\tau}, x^{\prime}(\tau)\right)\right] d \tau\right] \mid d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{T}\left|C(t-s)\left[f\left(s, x_{n s}, x_{n}{ }^{\prime}(s)\right)-f\left(s, x_{s}, x^{\prime}(s)\right)\right] d s\right| \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $F$ is continuous. This completes the proof that $F$ is completely continuous.
Finally, the set $\xi(F)=\{x \in Z: x=\lambda F x, \lambda \in(0,1)\}$ is bounded, as we proved in the first step. Consequently, by Leray-Schauder alternative, the operator $F$ has a fixed point in $Z$. This means that any fixed point of $F$ is a mild solution of (1) on $J$ satisfying $(F x)(t)=x(t)$. Thus, system (1) is controllable on $J$.

## 4. EXAMPLE

Consider the following partial delay differential equation:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial t}(y, t)-\eta(t, z(y, t-r))=z_{y y}(y, t)+\sigma\left(t, z(y, t-r), \frac{\partial z}{\partial t}(y, t)\right)+\mu(y, t),\right. \\
z(0, t)=z(\pi, t)=0, \quad \text { for } t>0,  \tag{6}\\
z(y, t)=\phi(y, t), \quad \text { for }-r \leq t \leq 0, \\
\frac{\partial z}{\partial t}(y, 0)=z_{0}(y), \quad t \in J=-[0, T], \quad \text { for } 0<y<\pi
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\phi$ is continuous, $\eta: J \times(0, \pi) \rightarrow(0, \pi)$ is continuous and strongly measurable, $\sigma: J \times$ $(0, \pi) \times(0, \pi) \rightarrow(0, \pi)$ is continuous and strongly measurable, and $\mu:(0, \pi) \times J \rightarrow(0, \pi)$ is continuous in $t$.
Let $X=L^{2}[0, \pi]$ and let $A: X \rightarrow X$ be defined by

$$
A w=w^{\prime \prime}, \quad w \in D(A),
$$

where $D(A)=\left\{w \in X: w, w^{\prime}\right.$ are absolutely continuous, $\left.w^{\prime \prime} \in X, w(0)=w(\pi)=0\right\}$. Then

$$
A w=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}-n^{2}\left(w, w_{n}\right) w_{n}, \quad w \in D(A)
$$

where $w_{n}(s)=\sqrt{2 / \pi} \sin n s, n=1,2,3, \ldots$ is the orthogonal set of eigenfunctions of $A$.
It can be easily shown that $A$ is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous cosine family $C(t), t \in R$, in $X$ and is given by [ 9 ]

$$
C(t) w=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cos n t\left(w, w_{n}\right) w_{n}, \quad w \in X .
$$

The associated sine family is given by

$$
S(t) w=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sin n t\left(w, w_{n}\right) w_{n}, \quad w \in X .
$$

Let $g: J \times C \rightarrow X$ be defined by

$$
g(t, \phi)(y)=\eta(t, \phi(y,-r)), \quad \phi \in C, \quad y \in(0, \pi) .
$$

Also there exist positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ such that

$$
\|\eta(t, \phi)\| \leq c_{1}\|\phi\|+c_{2} .
$$

Let $f: J \times C \times X \rightarrow X$ be defined by

$$
f(t, \phi, w)(y)=\sigma(t, \phi(y,-r), w(y)), \quad \phi \in C, \quad w \in X, \quad y \in(0, \pi) .
$$

Further, the function $\sigma$ satisfies the following condition.
There exists a continuous function $p: J \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\|\sigma(t, \phi, w)\| \leq p(t) \Omega(\|\phi\|+|w|), \quad t \in J, \quad \phi \in C, \quad w \in X
$$

where $\Omega:[0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is a continuous nondecreasing function such that

$$
\int_{0}^{T} p(s) d s<\int_{c}^{\infty} \frac{d s}{s+\Omega(s)}
$$

and $c$ is a known constant. Let $B: U \subset J \rightarrow X$ be defined by

$$
(B u)(t)(y)=\mu(y, t), \quad y \in(0, \pi),
$$

such that it satisfies condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$. Hence, by the above theorem, system (6) is controllable on $J$.
Remark. Construction of $\tilde{W}^{-1}$. (See [4].) Let

$$
Y=\frac{L^{2}[J, U]}{\operatorname{ker} W}
$$

Since ker $W$ is closed, $Y$ is a Banach space under the norm

$$
\|[u]\|_{Y}=\inf _{u \in[u]}\|u\|_{\left.L^{2} \mid J, U\right]}=\inf _{W \hat{u}=0}\|u+\hat{u}\|_{\left.L^{2} \mid J, U\right]},
$$

where $[u]$ are the equivalence classes of $u$.
Define $\tilde{W}: Y \rightarrow X$ by

$$
\tilde{W}[u]=W u, \quad u \in[u] .
$$

Now $\tilde{W}$ is one-to-one and

$$
\|\tilde{W}[u]\|_{X} \leq\|W\|\|[u]\|_{Y}
$$

We claim that $V=$ Range $W$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$
\|v\|_{V}=\left\|\tilde{W}^{-1} v\right\|_{Y}
$$

This norm is equivalent to the graph norm on $D\left(\tilde{W}^{-1}\right)=$ Range $W, \tilde{W}$ is bounded and since $D(\tilde{W})=Y$ is closed, $\tilde{W}^{-1}$ is closed, and so the above norm makes Range $W=V$ a Banach space.
Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|W u\|_{V} & =\left\|\tilde{W}^{-1} W u\right\|_{Y}=\left\|\tilde{W}^{-1} \tilde{W}[u]\right\| \\
& =\|[u]\|=\inf _{u \in[u]}\|u\| \leq\|u\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

so

$$
W \in £\left(L^{2}[J, U], V\right)
$$

Since $L^{2}[J, U]$ is reflexive and $\operatorname{ker} W$ is weakly closed, the infimum in the definition of the norm on $Y$ is attained. For any $v \in V$, we can therefore choose a control $u \in L^{2}[J, U]$ such that $u=\tilde{W}^{-1} v$.
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