



Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/akcej)



**AKCE** International Journal of Graphs and Combinatorics

[AKCE International Journal of Graphs and Combinatorics 13 \(2016\) 140–145](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.akcej.2016.06.007)

[www.elsevier.com/locate/akcej](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/akcej)

# Upper bounds for domination related parameters in graphs on surface[s](#page-0-0)

Vladimir Samodivkin

*Department of Mathematics, UACEG, Sofia, Bulgaria*

Received 16 June 2014; accepted 14 March 2016 Available online 6 July 2016

#### Abstract

In this paper we give tight upper bounds on the total domination number, the weakly connected domination number and the connected domination number of a graph in terms of order and Euler characteristic. We also present upper bounds for the restrained bondage number, the total restrained bondage number and the restricted edge connectivity of graphs in terms of the orientable/nonorientable genus and maximum degree.

⃝c 2016 Kalasalingam University. Publishing Services by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

*Keywords:* Total/(weakly) connected domination number; (Total) restrained/Roman bondage number; Euler characteristic; Orientable/nonorientable genus

# 1. Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are finite, undirected, loopless, and without multiple edges. We denote the vertex set and the edge set of a graph *G* by  $V(G)$  and  $E(G)$ , respectively. For a vertex *x* of *G*,  $N(x)$  denotes the set of all neighbors of x in G and the degree of x is deg( $x$ ) =  $|N(x)|$ . The minimum and maximum degrees among the vertices of *G* are denoted by  $\delta(G)$  and  $\Delta(G)$ , respectively. For  $e = xy \in E(G)$ , let  $\xi(e) = deg(x) + deg(y) - 2$  and  $\xi(G) = \min{\{\xi(e) : e \in E(G)\}}$ . The parameter  $\xi(G)$  is called the minimum edge-degree of *G*. We let  $\langle U \rangle$  denote the subgraph of *G* induced by a subset  $U \subseteq V(G)$ . The girth of a graph *G* is the length of a shortest cycle in *G*; the girth of a forest is  $\infty$ .

An orientable compact 2-manifold  $\mathcal{S}_h$  or orientable surface  $\mathcal{S}_h$  (see [\[1\]](#page-5-0)) of genus *h* is obtained from the sphere by adding *h* handles. Correspondingly, a non-orientable compact 2-manifold  $\mathbb{N}_k$  or non-orientable surface  $\mathbb{N}_k$  of genus *k* is obtained from the sphere by adding *k* crosscaps. Compact 2-manifolds are called simply surfaces throughout the paper. The Euler characteristic is defined by  $\chi(\mathbb{S}_h) = 2 - 2h$ ,  $h \ge 0$ , and  $\chi(\mathbb{N}_q) = 2 - q$ ,  $q \ge 1$ . A connected graph *G* is embeddable on a surface M if it admits a drawing on the surface with no crossing edges. Such a drawing of *G* on the surface M is called an embedding of *G* on M. Notice that there can be many different embeddings of the same

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.akcej.2016.06.007>

<span id="page-0-0"></span>Peer review under responsibility of Kalasalingam University.

*E-mail address:* [vl.samodivkin@gmail.com.](mailto:vl.samodivkin@gmail.com)

<sup>0972-8600/© 2016</sup> Kalasalingam University. Publishing Services by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

graph *G* on a particular surface M. An embedding of a graph *G* on surface M is said to be 2-cell if every face of the embedding is homeomorphic to a disc. The set of faces of a particular embedding of *G* on M is denoted by *F*(*G*). The orientable genus of a graph *G* is the smallest integer  $g = g(G)$  such that *G* admits an embedding on an orientable topological surface M of genus *g*. The non-orientable genus of *G* is the smallest integer  $\overline{g} = \overline{g}(G)$  such that *G* can be embedded on a non-orientable topological surface M of genus *g*. Note that (a) every embedding of a graph *G* on  $\mathbb{S}_{g(G)}$  is 2-cell [\[2\]](#page-5-1), and (b) if a graph *G* has non-orientable genus *h* then *G* has 2-cell embedding on  $\mathbb{N}_h$  [\[3\]](#page-5-2). Let a graph *G* be 2-cell embedded on a surface M. Set  $|G| = |V(G)|$ ,  $||G|| = |E(G)|$ , and  $f(G) = |F(G)|$ . The Euler's formula states

$$
|G| - ||G|| + f(G) = \chi(\mathbb{M}).
$$

Let *G* be a non-trivial connected graph and  $S \subseteq E(G)$ . If  $G - S$  is disconnected and contains no isolated vertices, then *S* is called a restricted edge-cut of *G*. The restricted edge-connectivity of *G*, denoted by  $\lambda'(G)$ , is defined as the minimum cardinality over all restricted edge-cuts of *G*. Besides the classical edge-connectivity  $\lambda(G)$ , the parameter  $\lambda'(G)$  provides a more accurate measure of fault-tolerance of networks than the classical edge-connectivity (see [\[4\]](#page-5-3)).

A subset *D* of *V*(*G*) is dominating in *G* if every vertex of *V*(*G*)−*D* has at least one neighbor in *D*. The domination number of *G*, denoted by  $\gamma(G)$ , is the size of its smallest dominating set. When *G* is connected, we say *D* is a connected dominating set if ⟨*D*⟩ is connected. The connected domination number of *G* is the size of its smallest connected dominating set, and is denoted by  $\gamma_c(G)$ . For a connected graph *G* and any non-empty  $S \subseteq V(G)$ , *S* is called a weakly connected dominating set of *G* if the subgraph obtained from *G* by removing all edges each joining any two vertices in  $V(G) - S$  is connected. The weakly connected domination number  $\gamma_w(G)$  of *G* is the minimum cardinality among all weakly connected dominating sets in *G*. A total dominating set, abbreviated as *TDS*, of a graph *G* is a set *S* of vertices of *G* such that every vertex in *G* is adjacent to a vertex in *S*. Every graph without isolated vertices has a *TDS*, since  $V(G)$  is such a set. The total domination number of *G*, denoted by  $\gamma_t(G)$ , is the minimum cardinality of a *TDS* of *G*.

A set  $R \subseteq V(G)$  is a restrained dominating set if every vertex not in R is adjacent to a vertex in R and to a vertex in  $V(G) - R$ . Every graph *G* has a restrained dominating set, since  $R = V(G)$  is such a set. The restrained domination number of *G*, denoted by  $\gamma_r(G)$ , is the minimum cardinality of a restrained dominating set of *G*. One measure of the stability of the restrained domination number of *G* under edge removal is the restrained bondage number  $b_r(G)$ , defined in [\[5\]](#page-5-4) by Hattingh and Plummer as the smallest number of edges whose removal from *G* results in a graph with larger restrained domination number.

A set  $S \subseteq V(G)$  is a total restrained dominating set, denoted *TRDS*, if every vertex is adjacent to a vertex in *S* and every vertex in  $V(G) - S$  is also adjacent to a vertex in  $V(G) - S$ . The total restrained domination number of *G*, denoted by γ*tr*(*G*), is the minimum cardinality of a total restrained dominating set of *G*. Note that any isolate-free graph *G* has a TRDS, since  $V(G)$  is a TRDS. The total restrained bondage number  $b_{tr}(G)$  of a graph *G* with no isolated vertex, is the cardinality of a smallest set of edges  $E_1 \subseteq E(G)$  for which (1)  $G - E_1$  has no isolated vertex, and (2)  $\gamma_{tr}(G - E_1) > \gamma_{tr}(G)$ . In the case that there is no such subset  $E_1$ , we define  $b_{tr}(G) = \infty$ .

A labeling  $f : V(G) \to \{0, 1, 2\}$  is a Roman dominating function (or simply an RDF), if every vertex *u* with  $f(u) = 0$  has at least one neighbor v with  $f(v) = 2$ . Define the weight of an RDF *f* to be  $w(f) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v)$ . The Roman domination number of G is  $\gamma_R(G) = \min\{w(f) : f \text{ is an RDF}\}\.$  The Roman bondage number  $b_R(G)$  of a graph *G* is defined to be the minimum cardinality of all sets  $E \subseteq E(G)$  for which  $\gamma_R(G - E) > \gamma_R(G)$ .

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section [2](#page-1-0) contains known results. In Section [3](#page-3-0) we give tight upper bounds on the total domination number, the weakly connected domination number and the connected domination number of a graph in terms of order and Euler characteristic. In Section [4,](#page-4-0) we present upper bounds on the restrained bondage number, the total restrained bondage number and the restricted edge connectivity of graphs in terms of the orientable/nonorientable genus and maximum degree.

#### <span id="page-1-0"></span>2. Known results

<span id="page-1-1"></span>We make use of the following results in this paper.

Theorem A (*Esfahanian and Hakimi [\[6\]](#page-5-5)*). *If G is a connected graph with at least four vertices and it is not a star graph, then*  $\lambda'(G) \leq \xi(G)$ *.* 

<span id="page-2-10"></span>**Theorem B** (*Hatting and Plummer* [\[5\]](#page-5-4)). *If*  $\delta(G) \geq 2$ , *then*  $b_r(G) \leq \xi(G)$ *.* 

<span id="page-2-3"></span>**Theorem C** (*Rad, Hasni, Raczek and Volkmann [\[7\]](#page-5-6)*). Let G be a connected graph of order n,  $n \geq 5$ . Assume *that* G has a path x, y, z such that  $deg(x) > 1$ ,  $deg(z) > 1$  and  $G - \{x, y, z\}$  has no isolated vertex. Then  $b_{tr}(G) \le deg(x) + deg(y) + deg(z) - 4$ .

<span id="page-2-6"></span>**Theorem D** (*Rad, Hasni, Raczek and Volkmann [\[7\]](#page-5-6)*).  $b_{tr}(K_n) = n - 1$  *for*  $n \geq 4$ .

<span id="page-2-11"></span>**Theorem E** (*Rad and Volkmann [\[8\]](#page-5-7)*). *If* G is a graph, and xyz a path of length 2 in G, then  $b_R(G) < deg(x)$  +  $deg(y) + deg(z) - 3$ .

Theorem F. *Let G be a connected graph of order n and size m.*

- <span id="page-2-0"></span>(i) *(Sanchis* [\[9\]](#page-5-8)*)* If  $\gamma_t(G) = \gamma_t \ge 5$ , then  $m \le {n-\gamma_t+1 \choose 2} + \lfloor \frac{\gamma_t}{2} \rfloor$ .
- (ii) *(Sanchis* [\[10\]](#page-5-9)*)* If  $\gamma_w(G) = \gamma_w \geq 3$ , then  $m \leq {n \gamma_w + 1 \choose 2}$ .
- (iii) *(Sanchis* [\[11\]](#page-5-10)*)* If  $\gamma_c(G) = \gamma_c \geq 3$ , then  $m \leq {n \gamma_c + 1 \choose 2} + \gamma_c 1$ .

**Theorem G** (*Dunbar et al.*  $[12]$ ). *If G is a connected graph with*  $n \geq 2$  *vertices then*  $\gamma_w(G) \leq n/2$ *.* 

<span id="page-2-7"></span><span id="page-2-2"></span>The average degree  $ad(G)$  of a graph *G* is defined as  $ad(G) = 2||G||/|G|$ .

Theorem H (*Hartnell and Rall [\[13\]](#page-5-12)*). *For any connected nontrivial graph G, there exists a pair of vertices, say u and v*, that are either adjacent or at distance 2 from each other, with the property that  $deg(u) + deg(v) \leq 2ad(G)$ .

Theorem I (*Samodivkin [\[14\]](#page-5-13)*). *Let G be a connected graph embeddable on a surface* M *whose Euler characteristic*  $\chi$  *is as large as possible and let the girth of G is k, k* <  $\infty$ *. Then:* 

<span id="page-2-8"></span>
$$
ad(G) \leq \frac{2k}{k-2}\left(1-\frac{\chi}{|G|}\right).
$$

Let

$$
h_1(x) = \begin{cases} 2x + 13 & \text{for } 0 \le x \le 3\\ 4x + 7 & \text{for } x \ge 3, \end{cases} \qquad h_2(x) = \begin{cases} 8 & \text{for } x = 0\\ 4x + 5 & \text{for } x \ge 1, \end{cases}
$$
\n
$$
k_1(x) = \begin{cases} 2x + 11 & \text{for } 1 \le x \le 2\\ 2x + 9 & \text{for } 3 \le x \le 5\\ 2x + 7 & \text{for } x \ge 6. \end{cases} \qquad \text{and} \quad k_2(x) = \begin{cases} 8 & \text{for } x = 1\\ 2x + 5 & \text{for } x \ge 2. \end{cases}
$$

<span id="page-2-4"></span>Theorem J (*Ivanco [ ˇ [15\]](#page-5-14)*). *If G is a connected graph of orientable genus g and minimum degree at least* 3*, then G contains an edge e* = *xy* such that  $deg(x) + deg(y) \leq h_1(g)$ . Furthermore, if G does not contain 3-cycles, then G *contains an edge e* = *xy such that deg*(*x*) + *deg*(*y*)  $\leq h_2(g)$ *.* 

<span id="page-2-5"></span>Theorem K (*Jendrol' and Tuharsky [ ´ [16\]](#page-5-15)*). *If G is a connected graph of minimum degree at least* 3 *on a nonorientable*  $surface of genus \overline{g} \geq 1$ , then G contains an edge  $e = xy$  such that  $deg(x) + deg(y) \leq k_1(\overline{g})$ . Furthermore, if G does *not contain* 3*-cycles, then*  $deg(x) + deg(y) \leq k_2(\overline{g})$ .

<span id="page-2-9"></span>A path *uvw* is a path of type  $(i, j, k)$  if  $deg(u) \leq i$ ,  $deg(v) \leq j$ , and  $deg(w) \leq k$ .

**Theorem L** (*Borodin, Ivanova, Jensen, Kostochka and Yancey [\[17\]](#page-5-16)). Let G be a planar graph with*  $\delta(G) \geq 3$ . If *no* 2 *adjacent vertices have degree* 3 *then G has a* 3*-path of one of the following types:*

<span id="page-2-1"></span> $(3, 4, 11)$   $(3, 7, 5)$   $(3, 10, 4)$   $(3, 15, 3)$   $(4, 4, 9)$   $(6, 4, 8)$   $(7, 4, 7)$   $(6, 5, 6)$ .

Theorem M. *Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and q edges.*

- (i) *(Ringel* [\[1\]](#page-5-0)*, Stahl* [\[18\]](#page-5-17)*) If G is not a tree then G can be* 2*-cell embedded on*  $\mathbb{N}_{q-n+1}$ *.*
- (ii) *(Jungerman* [\[19\]](#page-5-18)*) If G is a 4-edge connected, then G can be 2-cell embedded on*  $\mathbb{S}_{\left[\frac{q-n+1}{2}\right]}$ .

## <span id="page-3-0"></span>3. Connected, weakly connected and total domination

<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Theorem 1.** Let G be a connected graph of order n and total domination number  $\gamma_t \geq 5$ , which is 2-cell embedded *on a surface* M*. Then:*

(i)  $n \geq \gamma_t + (1 + \sqrt{9 + 8(\lceil \gamma_t/2 \rceil - \chi(\mathbb{M})))})/2;$ (i)  $n \geq \gamma_t + (1 + \sqrt{9 + 8(1\gamma_t/2)} - \chi(\mathbb{W}_t))/2;$ <br>
(ii)  $\gamma_t \leq n - \sqrt{n+2} - 2\chi(\mathbb{M})$  *when*  $\gamma_t$  *is even and*  $\gamma_t \leq n - \sqrt{n+3} - 2\chi(\mathbb{M})$  *when*  $\gamma_t$  *is odd.* 

**Proof.** Note that  $n > \gamma_t \ge 5$  and  $\chi(\mathbb{M}) \le 2$ . Since  $f(G) \ge 1$ , Euler's formula implies  $n - ||G|| + 1 \le \chi(\mathbb{M})$ . By [Theorem F\(](#page-2-0)i) we have  $||G|| \leq (n - \gamma_t + 1)(n - \gamma_t)/2 + |\gamma_t/2|$ . Hence

$$
2\chi(\mathbb{M}) \geq 2n + 2 - (n - \gamma_t + 1)(n - \gamma_t) - 2\left[\gamma_t/2\right],
$$

or equivalently

$$
n^{2} - (2\gamma_{t} + 1)n + \gamma_{t}^{2} - \gamma_{t} + 2 \lfloor \gamma_{t}/2 \rfloor - 2 + 2\chi(\mathbb{M}) \ge 0, \text{ and}
$$
  

$$
\gamma_{t}^{2} - 2n\gamma_{t} + n^{2} - n - \alpha + 2\chi(\mathbb{M}) \ge 0,
$$

where  $\alpha = 2$  when  $\gamma_t$  is even and  $\alpha = 3$  when  $\gamma_t$  is odd. Solving these inequalities we respectively obtain the bounds stated in (i) and (ii).

Next we show that the bounds in [Theorem 1](#page-3-1) are tight. Let *n*, *d* and *t* be integers such that  $n = d + 4t + 1$ ,  $t \ge d \ge 6$ and  $d \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . Let us consider any graph *G* which has the following form:

(*P*<sub>1</sub>) *G* is obtained from  $K_{n-d} \cup \frac{d}{2} K_2$  by adding edges between the clique and the graph  $\frac{d}{2} K_2$  in such a way that each vertex in the clique is adjacent to exactly one vertex in  $\frac{d}{2}K_2$  and each component of  $\frac{d}{2}K_2$  has at least one vertex adjacent to a vertex in the clique.

Clearly,  $|G| = n$ ,  $\gamma_t(G) = d$  and  $||G|| = \binom{n-d+1}{2}$  $\binom{d+1}{2} + \frac{d}{2}$ . Hence  $p = (\|G\| - |G| + 1)/2 = 4t^2 + t + (2 - d)/4$  is an integer and *G* can be 2-cell embedded in  $\mathbb{N}_{2p}$  (by [Theorem M\(](#page-2-1)i)). Now, let in addition,  $\delta(G) \geq 5$ . Then since *G* is clearly 4-edge connected, [Theorem M\(](#page-2-1)ii) implies that *G* can be embedded in  $\mathbb{S}_p$ . It is easy to see that, in both cases, the inequalities in [Theorem 1](#page-3-1) become equalities.

**Theorem 2.** Let G be a connected graph of order n which is 2-cell embedded on a surface M. If  $\gamma_w(G) = \gamma_w \geq 4$ *then*

<span id="page-3-4"></span>
$$
n \ge \gamma_w + (1 + \sqrt{9 + 8\gamma_w - 8\chi(\mathbb{M})})/2, \quad \text{and} \tag{1}
$$

<span id="page-3-3"></span><span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
\gamma_w \le n + (1 - \sqrt{8n + 9 - 8\chi(\mathbb{M})})/2. \tag{2}
$$

**Proof.** Since  $f(G) \ge 1$ , Euler's formula implies  $n - ||G|| + 1 \le \chi(\mathbb{M})$ . Since  $||G|| \le (n - \gamma_w + 1)(n - \gamma_w)/2$  (by [Theorem F\(](#page-2-0)ii)), we have  $2\chi(\mathbb{M}) \ge 2n - (n - \gamma_w + 1)(n - \gamma_w) + 2$ , or equivalently

$$
n^{2} - (2\gamma_{w} + 1)n + \gamma_{w}^{2} - \gamma_{w} - 2 + 2\chi(\mathbb{M}) \ge 0 \text{ and}
$$
  
\n
$$
\gamma_{w}^{2} - (2n + 1)\gamma_{w} + n^{2} - n - 2 + 2\chi(\mathbb{M}) \ge 0.
$$

Solving these inequalities we respectively obtain [\(1\)](#page-3-2) and [\(2\),](#page-3-3) because  $n \ge 2\gamma_w$  (by [Theorem G\)](#page-2-2).

The bounds in [Theorem 2](#page-3-4) are attainable. Let *n*, *d* and *t* be integers such that  $t \ge d \ge 4$  and  $n = d + 4t + i$ , where  $i = 1$  when *d* is odd, and  $i = 2$  when *d* is even. We consider an arbitrary graph *G* which has the following form:

(*P*2) *G* is the union of a clique of *n* − *d* vertices, and an independent set of size *d*, such that each of the vertices in the (*n* − *d*)-clique is adjacent to exactly one of the vertices in the independent set, and such that each of these *d* vertices has at least one vertex adjacent to it.

Obviously,  $|G| = n$ ,  $\gamma_w(G) = d$  and  $||G|| = \binom{n-d+1}{2}$  $\binom{d+1}{2}$ . If  $p = (\|G\| - |G| + 1)/2$  then  $p = 4t^2 + t + (1 - d)/2$ when *d* is odd, and  $p = 4t^2 + 3t + 1 - d/2$  when *d* is even. Hence *p* is an integer and *G* can be 2-cell embedded in  $\mathbb{N}_{2p}$ , which follows by [Theorem M\(](#page-2-1)i). Now, let in addition,  $\delta(G) \geq 4$ . Then since *G* is clearly 4-edge connected, *G* can be embedded in  $\mathcal{S}_p$ (by [Theorem M\(](#page-2-1)ii)). It is easy to see that, in both cases, we have equalities in [\(1\)](#page-3-2) and [\(2\).](#page-3-3)

**Theorem 3.** Let G be a connected graph of order n which is 2-cell embedded on a surface M. If  $\gamma_c(G) = \gamma_c \geq 3$ *then*

<span id="page-4-2"></span><span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
\gamma_c \le n - (1 + \sqrt{17 - 8\chi(\mathbb{M})})/2. \tag{3}
$$

**Proof.** Note that  $\gamma_c \geq 3$  implies  $\gamma_c < n$ . By [Theorem F\(](#page-2-0)iii) we have  $2||G|| \leq (n - \gamma_c + 1)(n - \gamma_c) + 2\gamma_c - 2$ . Hence by Euler's formula

$$
2\chi(\mathbb{M}) \ge 2n - 2\|G\| + 2 \ge 2n + 2 - (n - \gamma_c + 1)(n - \gamma_c) - 2\gamma_c + 2,
$$

or equivalently

$$
\gamma_c^2 - (2n - 1)\gamma_c + n^2 - n - 4 + 2\chi(M) \ge 0.
$$

Since  $\gamma_c < n$ , it immediately follows [\(3\).](#page-4-1)

The bound in [Theorem 3](#page-4-2) is sharp. Let *n*, *d* and *t* be integers such that  $t \ge d \ge 4$  and  $n = d + t$ . We consider any graph *G* which has the following form:

 $(P_3)$  *G* is the union of a clique of *n* − *d* vertices, and a path of *d* vertices, where each vertex in the clique is adjacent to exactly one of the endpoints of the path, and each endpoint has at least one clique vertex adjacent to it.

Clearly  $|G| = n$ ,  $\gamma_c(G) = d$ ,  $||G|| = \binom{n-d+1}{2}$  $\binom{d+1}{2} + d - 1$  and  $k = ||G|| - |G| + 1 = \binom{n}{2}$  $\binom{t}{2}$ . Now [Theorem M\(](#page-2-1)i) implies that *G* can be 2-cell embedded in  $\mathbb{N}_k$ . Finally, it is easy to check that  $\gamma_c(G) = n - (1 + \sqrt{17 - 8\chi(\mathbb{N}_k)})/2$ .

In ending this section we note that in [\[20\]](#page-5-19), the present author proved analogous results for the ordinary domination number.

## <span id="page-4-0"></span>4. Bondage numbers and restricted edge connectivity

An excellent survey on bondage numbers can be found in [\[21\]](#page-5-20).

**Theorem 4.** Let G be a connected graph with  $\delta(G) \geq 4$ .

- (i) *Then*  $b_{tr}(G) \leq \xi(G) + \Delta(G) 2$ .
- (ii) If G is of orientable genus g, then  $b_{tr}(G) \leq h_1(g) + \Delta(G) 4$ . Furthermore, if G does not contain 3-cycles, *then*  $b_{tr}(G) \leq h_2(g) + \Delta(G) - 4$ .
- (iii) *If G is of nonorientable genus*  $\overline{g}$ , then  $b_{tr}(G) \leq k_1(\overline{g}) + \Delta(G) 4$ . Furthermore, if G does not contain 3*-cycles*, *then*  $b_{tr}(G) \leq k_2(\overline{g}) + \Delta(G) - 4$ *.*
- (iv) *Then*  $b_{tr}(G) \leq 2ad(G) + \Delta(G) 4$ *.*
- (v) *Let G be embeddable on a surface* M *whose Euler characteristic* χ *is as large as possible and let the girth of G is k, k* <  $\infty$ *. Then:*

$$
b_{tr}(G) \le \frac{4k}{k-2} \left( 1 - \frac{\chi}{|G|} \right) + \Delta(G) - 4 \le -\frac{12\chi}{|G|} + \Delta(G) + 8.
$$

**Proof.** (i) Since  $\delta(G) \geq 4$ , there is a path *x*, *y*, *z* in *G* such that  $G - \{x, y, z\}$  has no isolated vertices and  $\xi(xy) =$  $\xi(G)$ . Now, by [Theorem C](#page-2-3) we have  $b_{tr}(G) \leq deg(x) + deg(y) + deg(z) - 4 \leq \xi(G) + deg(z) - 2 \leq \xi(G) + \Delta(G) - 2$ .

- (ii) Combining (i) and [Theorem J](#page-2-4) we obtain the required.
- (iii) The result follows by combining Theorem  $K$  and (i).

(iv) By [Theorem D](#page-2-6) we know that  $b_{tr}(K_n) = n - 1$  whenever  $n \geq 4$ . Hence we may assume *G* has nonadjacent vertices. [Theorem H](#page-2-7) implies that there are 2 vertices, say *x* and *y*, that are either adjacent or at distance 2 from each other, with the property that  $deg(x) + deg(y) \leq 2ad(G)$ . Since *G* is connected and  $\delta(G) \geq 4$ , there is a vertex *z* such that *xyz* or *xzy* is a path. In either case by [Theorem C](#page-2-3) we have  $b_{tr}(G) \leq deg(x) + deg(y) + deg(z) - 4 \leq$  $2ad(G) + \Delta(G) - 4.$ 

(v) [Theorem I](#page-2-8) and (iv) together imply the result.

It is well known that the minimum degree of any planar graph is at most 5.

**Theorem 5.** Let G be a planar graph with minimum degree  $\delta(G) = 4 + i$ ,  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ . Then  $b_{tr}(G) \leq 14 - i$ .

**Proof.** There is a path *x*, *y*, *z* such that  $deg(x) + deg(y) + deg(z) \le 18 - i$ , because of [Theorem L.](#page-2-9) Since  $\delta(G) \ge 4$ ,  $G - \{x, y, z\}$  has no isolated vertices. The result now follows by [Theorem C.](#page-2-3)

Problem 1. Find a sharp constant upper bound for the total restrained bondage number of a planar graph.

Theorem 6. *Let G be a nontrivial connected graph of orientable genus g, non-orientable genus g and minimum degree at least* 3*. Then*

(i)  $\max{\{\lambda'(G), b_r(G)\}} \le \min{\{h_1(g), k_1(\overline{g})\}} - 2$ *, and* (ii)  $\max{\{\lambda'(G), b_r(G)\}} \le \min{\{h_2(g), k_2(\overline{g})\}} - 2$ , provided G does not contain 3-cycles.

**Proof.** By combining [Theorems A](#page-1-1) and [B](#page-2-10) with [Theorems J](#page-2-4) and [K](#page-2-5) we obtain the required inequalities.

Akbari, Khatirinejad and Qajar [\[22\]](#page-5-21) recently showed that the Roman bondage number of any planar graph is not more than 15. In case when the planar graph has minimum degree 5, we improve this bound by 1.

**Theorem 7.** Let G be a planar graph with  $\delta(G) = 5$ . Then  $b_R(G) \leq 14$ .

**Proof.** By [Theorem L](#page-2-9) there is a path *x*, *y*, *z* such that  $deg(x) + deg(y) + deg(z) \le 17$ . Since  $b_R(G) \le deg(x) +$  $deg(y) + deg(z) - 3$  [\(Theorem E\)](#page-2-11), the result follows.

Results on the Roman bondage number of graphs on surfaces may be found in [\[23\]](#page-5-22).

#### References

- <span id="page-5-0"></span>[1] G. [Ringel, Map Color Theorem, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0972-8600(16)30090-1/sbref1)
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>[2] J.[W.T. Youngs, Minimal imbeddings and the genus of a graph, J. Math. Mech. 12 \(2\) \(1963\) 303–315.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0972-8600(16)30090-1/sbref2)
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>[3] T.[D. Parsons, G. Pica, T. Pisanski, A.G.S. Ventre, Orientably simple graphs, Math. Sovaca. 37 \(1987\) 391–394.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0972-8600(16)30090-1/sbref3)
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>[4] A.[H. Esfahanian, Generalized measures of fault tolerance with application to n-cube networks, IEEE Trans. Comput. 38 \(11\) \(1989\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0972-8600(16)30090-1/sbref4) 1586–1591.
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>[5] J.[H. Hatting, A.R. Plummer, Restrained bondage in graphs, Discrete Math. 308 \(2008\) 5446–5453.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0972-8600(16)30090-1/sbref5)
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>[6] A.[H. Esfahanian, S.L. Hakimi, On computing a conditional edge-connectivity of a graph, Inform. Process. Lett. 27 \(1988\) 195–199.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0972-8600(16)30090-1/sbref6)
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>[7] N.[J. Rad, R. Hasni, J. Raczek, L. Volkmann, Total restrained bondage in graphs, Acta Math. Sin. \(Engl. Ser.\) 29 \(6\) \(2013\) 1033–1042.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0972-8600(16)30090-1/sbref7)
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>[8] N.[J. Rad, L. Volkmann, On the roman bondage number of planar graphs, Graphs Combin. 27 \(2011\) 531–538.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0972-8600(16)30090-1/sbref8)
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>[9] L.[A. Sanchis, Relating the size of a connected graph to its total and restricted domination numbers, Discrete Math. 283 \(2004\) 205–216.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0972-8600(16)30090-1/sbref9)
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>[10] L.[A. Sanchis, On the number of edges in graphs with a given weakly connected domination number, Discrete Math. 257 \(2002\) 111–124.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0972-8600(16)30090-1/sbref10)
- <span id="page-5-10"></span>[11] L.[A. Sanchis, On the number of edges in graphs with a given connected domination number, Discrete Math. 214 \(2000\) 193–210.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0972-8600(16)30090-1/sbref11)
- <span id="page-5-11"></span>[12] J.E[. Dunbar, J.W. Grossman, J.H. Hattingh, S.T. Hedetniemi, A.A. McRae, On weakly connected domination in graphs, Discrete Math.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0972-8600(16)30090-1/sbref12) 167/168 (1997) 261–269.
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>[13] B.[L. Hartnell, D.F. Rall, A bound on the size of a graph with given order and bondage number, Discrete Math. 197/198 \(1999\) 409–413.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0972-8600(16)30090-1/sbref13)
- <span id="page-5-13"></span>[14] V. [Samodivkin, Note on the bondage number of graphs on topological surfaces, Australas. J. Combin. 56 \(2013\) 183–186.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0972-8600(16)30090-1/sbref14)
- <span id="page-5-14"></span>[15] J. Ivančo, The weight of a graph, Ann. Discrete Math.  $51$  (1992) 113–116.
- <span id="page-5-15"></span>[16] S. [Jendrol', M. Tuharsky, A Kotzig type theorem for large maps on surfaces, Math. Slovaca. 56 \(2006\) 245–253.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0972-8600(16)30090-1/sbref16) ´
- <span id="page-5-16"></span>[17] O.[V. Borodin, A.O. Ivanova, T.R. Jensen, A.V. Kostochka, M.P. Yancey, Describing 3-paths in normal plane maps, Discrete Math. 313 \(2013\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0972-8600(16)30090-1/sbref17) 2702–2711.
- <span id="page-5-17"></span>[18] S. [Stahl, Generalized embedding schemes, J. Graph Theory 2 \(1978\) 41–52.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0972-8600(16)30090-1/sbref18)
- <span id="page-5-18"></span>[19] M. [Jungerman, A characterization of upper-embeddable graphs, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 241 \(1978\) 401–406. 459.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0972-8600(16)30090-1/sbref19)
- <span id="page-5-19"></span>[20] V. Samodivkin, The bondage numbers of graphs on topological surfaces: degree s-vertices and the average degree, 2013. [http://arxiv.org/pdf/1305.5692.pdf.](http://arxiv.org//pdf/1305.5692.pdf)
- <span id="page-5-20"></span>[21] Ju[n-Ming Xu, On bondage numbers of graphs: a survey with some comments, Int. J. Comb. \(2013\) Article ID 595210, 34 pages.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0972-8600(16)30090-1/sbref21)
- <span id="page-5-21"></span>[22] S. [Akbari, M. Khatirinejad, S. Qajar, A note on the Roman bondage number of planar graphs, Graphs Combin. 29 \(2013\) 327–331.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0972-8600(16)30090-1/sbref22)
- <span id="page-5-22"></span>[23] V. [Samodivkin, Upper bounds for the domination subdivision and bondage numbers of graphs on topological surfaces, Czechoslovak Math.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0972-8600(16)30090-1/sbref23) J. 63 (138) (2013) 191–204.