



# Innate Recognition of Viruses

Andreas Pichlmair<sup>1</sup> and Caetano Reis e Sousa<sup>1,\*</sup> <sup>1</sup>Immunobiology Laboratory, Cancer Research UK, London Research Institute, Lincoln's Inn Fields Laboratories, 44 Lincoln's Inn Fields, London WC2A 3PX, United Kingdom \*Correspondence: caetano@cancer.org.uk DOI 10.1016/j.immuni.2007.08.012

Virus infection elicits potent responses in all cells intended to contain virus spread before intervention by the adaptive immune system. Central to this process is the virus-elicited production of type I interferons (IFNs) and other cytokines. The sensors involved in coupling recognition of viruses to the induction of the type I IFN genes have only recently been uncovered and include endosomal and cytosolic receptors for RNA and DNA. Here, we review their properties and discuss how their ability to recognize the unusual presence of atypical nucleic acids in particular subcellular compartments is used by the body to detect virus presence.

Many immunologists think about immune responses to virus infection in terms of production of neutralizing antibodies and induction of cytotoxic activity in Tlymphocytes and natural killer (NK) cells. Although these are clearly essential for immunity to viruses that have coevolved with vertebrates (Hedrick, 2004), there are other layers of antiviral defense that operate independently of lymphocytes or NK cells. All metazoan cells possess intrinsic mechanisms to prevent viral replication and spread. In invertebrates such as Drosophila melanogaster, a prominent antiviral resistance mechanism is RNA interference (RNAi) (Galiana-Arnoux et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2006). In vertebrates, the RNAi pathway is preserved, but its role in antiviral defense appears to have been superseded by a panoply of other mechanisms (Cullen, 2006). These include intrinsic immunity proteins such as Fv or tripartite motif protein (TRIM) 5a, which target capsids of incoming retroviruses, as well as Mx proteins, which target nucleoproteins of bunya- and orthomyxoviruses, and apolipoprotein B mRNA-editing enzyme catalytic polypeptidelike editing complex (APOBEC) 3G, which deaminates retroviral genomes, causing mutations that impair subsequent virus replication (Bieniasz, 2004; Haller et al., 2007) (Figure 1). In addition, vertebrate cells possess antiviral mechanisms that are not constitutively active but can be rapidly mobilized by viral presence (Samuel, 2001). For example, viral RNAs activate the kinases protein kinase R (PKR) and/or general control nonderepressible-2 (GCN2), which phosphorylate the  $\alpha$  subunit of the translation initiator factor 2 (eIF2 $\alpha$ ), leading to the downregulation of mRNA translation (Berlanga et al., 2006; Williams, 2001). Similarly, viral RNA leads to activation of the 2'-5' oligoadenylate synthetase (2'-5' OAS), which activates RNase L, promoting RNA degradation (Player and Torrence, 1998). Finally, infection triggers the activity of adenosine deaminase acting on RNA (ADAR)-1, which deaminates RNA viral replication intermediates (Samuel, 2001) (Figure 1).

The shutdown of protein translation, RNA degradation, and RNA deamination are detrimental to cell viability and can result in induction of apoptosis (Samuel, 2001)

(Figure 1). Therefore, it is critical that such antiviral responses only be activated in infected cells. This inducibility implies that all cells possess sensitive, yet specific mechanisms to sense viral presence. In other words, there must be receptors and signaling pathways used to distinguish viral patterns from self. In that sense, PKR, GCN2, 2'-5' OAS, ADAR-1, and other initiators of antiviral immunity (Figure 1) can all be seen as pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) (Janeway, 1989) for viral RNA. However, the term PRR is generally reserved for those receptors that signal to regulate the transcriptome, for example, inducing expression of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines that coordinate innate and adaptive immunity (Janeway, 1989). Multiple cytokines are induced by virus infection, including interleukin-6 (IL-6), IL-12 p40, and tumor necrosis factor (TNF), but the hallmark of antiviral responses is the production of type I interferons (IFNs). Type I IFNs include multiple subtypes encoded by separate intronless genes: 1 IFN- $\beta$  and 13-14 IFN- $\alpha$  subtypes, depending on species, as well as the lesser-known IFN- $\varepsilon$ , IFN- $\kappa$ , IFN- $\omega$ , IFN-δ, IFN-τ, and IFN-ζ (Pestka et al., 2004). In contrast to type II IFN (IFN- $\gamma$ ), which is made predominantly by T lymphocytes and NK cells in response to T cell receptor (TCR) or NK cell receptor signals, type I IFNs can be produced by all nucleated cells in response to virus infection. In addition, all cells can respond to type I IFNs through the type I IFN receptor (IFNAR), which binds all subtypes (Stark et al., 1998). Recently, a distinct class of virus-induced IFNs has been identified. These are known as the type III IFNs and include IFN- $\lambda$ 1, IFN- $\lambda$ 2, and IFN- $\lambda$ 3, which are encoded by separate intron-containing genes (Kotenko et al., 2003). The type I, II, and III IFNs all signal via different receptors but share downstream signaling molecules and regulate many of the same genes (Stark et al., 1998). Studies of mice and humans lacking the signal transducer and activator of transcription 1 (STAT1), a signaling molecule common to all IFN receptors, have revealed that responsiveness to IFNs is absolutely critical for antiviral resistance (Dupuis et al., 2003; Durbin et al., 1996). This is because a major function of IFNs is to increase the expression of the eIF2 $\alpha$  kinases, 2'-5' OAS, ADAR1, Mx, APOBEC, Fv,



#### Figure 1. Innate Immunity to Viruses

Innate responses to viral infection can be focused on viral proteins or viral nucleic acids. Viral proteins are targeted by cytoplasmic restriction factors such as Fv (mouse), TRIM-5 $\alpha$  (human), or Mx proteins. Viral nucleic acids trigger PKR, GCN2, and other kinases that phosphorylate eIF2 $\alpha$ , which results in the downregulation of translation. In addition, viral RNA stimulates 2'-5'OAS to activate RNase L, resulting in the unspecific degradation of RNA. Both PKR and 2'-5'OAS can additionally signal for the induction of apoptosis. Finally, viral RNA is targeted by the deaminases ADAR1 and APOBEC3G, leading to mutations in viral genomes. Viral nucleic acids and, perhaps, some viral proteins also activate TLRs, RLRs, and DAI to initiate a signaling cascade that promotes activation of IRFs and transcription of IFN- $\alpha$  and - $\beta$  genes. IFN- $\alpha$  and - $\beta$  feed back through IFNAR to increase expression of antiviral proteins, thereby amplifying antiviral resistance.

and TRIM proteins (Samuel, 2001; Stark et al., 1998) (Figure 1). This, in turn, leads to induction of a heightened antiviral state and underlies the discovery of IFNs as virus interference factors 50 years ago (Isaacs and Lindenmann, 1957). In addition, IFNs act to stimulate NK cells, to amplify dendritic cell (DC) activation, and to facilitate the induction of adaptive immune responses (Le Bon and Tough, 2002) (Figure 1). Therefore, justifiably, the search for receptors and signaling pathways involved in the innate sensing of viruses has centered on those that culminate in transcription of the IFN- $\alpha$ , - $\beta$ , and - $\lambda$  genes. In recent years, there has been rapid progress in this field, and viral PRRs are now known to include members of the toll-like receptor (TLR) family, which also recognizes patterns found in bacteria, fungi, and protozoa (Takeda et al., 2003), and retinoic acid inducible gene I (RIG-I), melanoma differentiation factor-5 (MDA5), laboratory of genetics and physiology-2 (LGP-2), and DNA-dependent activator of IRFs (DAI), all cytosolic proteins which have evolved specifically to sense viruses (Takaoka et al., 2007; Yoneyama and Fujita, 2007). Here, we review our current state of understanding of these innate receptors for viruses and how they function in discriminating virus from self.

### Receptors and Signaling Pathways Involved in Type I IFN Induction *Virus Sensing in the Cytosol*

Many viruses carry out their entire infectious cycle in the cytosol, but even viruses such as influenza, which replicate in the nucleus, traverse the cytosol on their way in and out of the cell. Accordingly, cells possess receptors and signaling pathways to induce IFN- $\alpha$ , - $\beta$ , and - $\lambda$  gene expression in response to cytosolic viral presence. Notably, the genes encoding the cytosolic viral PRRs and the components of the downstream signaling pathway are themselves IFN inducible, like those of other antiviral proteins, leading to a positive-feedback loop that can greatly amplify innate antiviral responses (Figure 1).

It has long been thought that this loop is set in motion by the presence of double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) in cells. dsRNA fulfills the criteria for being a marker of virus infection: Long dsRNA molecules are absent from uninfected cells but can be formed by the complementary annealing of two strands of RNA produced during the replication of RNA viruses and as a result of the convergent transcription of tightly packed DNA virus genomes (Jacobs and Langland, 1996). The first cytoplasmic molecule reported to



couple the sensing of dsRNA to IFN- $\alpha$  and - $\beta$  synthesis was the serine and threonine kinase PKR (Williams, 2001). In some studies, cells lacking functional PKR produced lower amounts of IFN- $\alpha$  and - $\beta$  when treated with polyriboinosinic:polyribocytidylic acid (poly I:C), a synthetic dsRNA (Der and Lau, 1995; Diebold et al., 2003; Yang et al., 1995). However, this was not the case in response to infection with Newcastle disease virus (NDV) (Honda et al., 2003; Smith et al., 2001) or influenza virus (unpublished data). Furthermore, although PKR can promote the activation of nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB) (Kumar et al., 1994), its ability to activate interferon regulatory factors (IRF) 3 and 7, the critical transcription factors in induction of IFN- $\alpha$  and - $\beta$  via the cytosolic pathway (see below), has yet to be convincingly demonstrated. Therefore, the role of PKR in the induction of type I IFN remains controversial.

RIG-I was recently identified as an IFN-inducible DExD/ H box RNA-helicase that can signal for IRF3 and IRF7 activation and for the induction of IFN- $\alpha$ , - $\beta$ , and - $\lambda$  gene expression (Onoguchi et al., 2007; Yoneyama et al., 2004). RIG-I is a cytosolic protein containing an RNA-binding helicase domain and two caspase activation and recruitment domains (CARDs). The helicase domain has an ATP-binding site, which, when mutated, results in a lossof-function phenotype and dominant negative activity (Yoneyama et al., 2004). RIG-I lacking the CARD domains or bearing mutated CARDs also acts as a dominant negative protein (Yoneyama et al., 2004). Notably, the CARDs of RIG-I are ubiquitinated by the TRIM25 E3 ligase, a modification that is critical for downstream signaling and type I IFN induction (Gack et al., 2007). In contrast, ubiquitination by another E3 ligase, RNF125, promotes the proteasomal degradation of RIG-I and termination of signaling (Arimoto et al., 2007). Recently, it has been shown that a C-terminal domain within RIG-I acts as an internal repressor of activation (Saito et al., 2007), leading to the notion that RIG-I agonists induce protein multimerization and cause a conformational change that relieves autorepression and exposes the CARDs (Figure 2).

Two other poly I:C-binding proteins belong to the RIG-Ilike receptor (RLR) family (Creagh and O'Neill, 2006): MDA5 and LGP-2 (Rothenfusser et al., 2005; Yoneyama et al., 2005). Like RIG-I, MDA5 bears an RNA-helicase domain and two CARDs, but it lacks the C-terminal repression domain and, consequently, induces the production of IFN- $\alpha$  and - $\beta$  upon overexpression (Saito et al., 2007). However, in uninfected cells, the ability of MDA5 to couple to the IRF3 pathway is under negative regulation by dihydroxyacetone kinase (Diao et al., 2007). In contrast, LGP-2 lacks CARDs and blocks IFN-a and - \beta induction, much like the RIG-I helicase domain, which it resembles (Rothenfusser et al., 2005; Yoneyama et al., 2005). Therefore, LGP-2 has been thought to act as a negative regulator of the two other helicases, a notion that might need revisiting in light of recent analysis of LGP-2-deficient cells (see below).

The discovery of RLRs was rapidly followed by the identification of the downstream adaptor, interferon- $\beta$ 

promoter stimulator-1 (IPS-1), also called mitochondrial antiviral signaling protein (MAVS), CARD adaptor inducing IFN-β (CARDIF), or virus-induced signaling adaptor (VISA) (Kawai et al., 2005; Meylan et al., 2005; Seth et al., 2005; Xu et al., 2005). IPS-1 contains an N-terminal CARD that forms homotypic interactions with the CARDs of RIG-I and MDA5. This results in activation of the C-terminal catalytic domain and the initiation of a signaling cascade that culminates in the transcription of cytokine genes. In particular, IPS-1, together with TNF receptor-associated factor (TRAF) 3 and NAK-associated protein 1 (NAP1), promotes the activation of members of the IkB kinase (IKK) family, namely TANK-binding kinase 1 (TBK-1) and IKKE (Hacker et al., 2006; Kawai et al., 2005; Kumar et al., 2006; Meylan et al., 2005; Oganesyan et al., 2006; Sasai et al., 2006; Seth et al., 2005; Sun et al., 2006; Xu et al., 2005). The latter phosphorylate and activate IRF7 and/or IRF3 (Fitzgerald et al., 2003; Sharma et al., 2003), which induce the transcription of the IFN- $\alpha$  and IFN- $\beta$  genes (Honda et al., 2005b). IPS-1 also associates with the adaptor Fasassociated death domain (FADD) and the kinases receptorinteracting protein 1 (RIP1), transforming growth factor  $\beta$ -activated kinase 1 (TAK1), IKK $\alpha$ , and IKK $\beta$  to mediate the activation of NF-kB and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways (Balachandran et al., 2004; Takahashi et al., 2006). This is important for transcription of the gene encoding IFN- $\beta$ , whose promoter, unlike the promoters of the Ifna genes, contains NF-KB and activating protein 1 (AP-1) sites in addition to the IRF sites (Honda et al., 2006). Interestingly, IPS-1 is anchored to mitochondria through its C terminus, and the disruption of this association abrogates signaling (Seth et al., 2005) (Figure 2). The association with mitochondria and signaling through FADD, both of which are involved in apoptosis, suggests a possible crosstalk between IFN- $\alpha$  and - $\beta$  induction and cell death (Balachandran et al., 2004; Takahashi et al., 2006).

Given that both RIG-I and MDA5 bind poly I:C and signal via a common pathway, it was unclear whether they played redundant roles in recognition of viruses. The generation of MDA5- and RIG-I-deficient mice demonstrated a remarkable specificity for the two helicases (Gitlin et al., 2006; Kato et al., 2006). RIG-I-deficient cells were found to mount greatly diminished IFN- $\alpha$  and - $\beta$  responses to influenza A virus, vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV), Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV), and Sendai virus (SeV) (Kato et al., 2006), whereas MDA5-deficient cells were selectively unresponsive to picornaviruses, such as encephalomyocarditis virus (EMCV), Theiler's encephalomyelitis virus, and mengovirus (Gitlin et al., 2006; Kato et al., 2006). Interestingly, the two helicases also differentially affected responses to synthetic dsRNA: IFN- $\alpha$  and - $\beta$  induction in response to poly I:C was abrogated in cells lacking MDA5 (Gitlin et al., 2006; Kato et al., 2006), whereas RIG-Ideficient cells did not respond to a set of dsRNAs made by annealing complementary ssRNAs generated by in vitro transcription (Kato et al., 2006). Thus, RIG-I and MDA5 appear to recognize patterns from different RNA viruses. This distinction might not be absolute because responses



# Figure 2. Pathways Coupling Virus Recognition to IFN- $\alpha$ and - $\beta$ Gene Expression

There are two topologically distinct compartments within cells in which viruses can be recognized by PRRs that then signal to induce IFN- $\alpha$  and  $-\beta$  gene transcription. Endosomal pathway: The uptake of viruses or virusinfected cells delivers viral nucleic acids into endosomes, where they are detected by TLRs. In pDC, TLR7, 8, and 9 signal via the adaptor MyD88 and the kinase IKKa to phosphorylate and activate the transcription factor IRF7, which regulates expression of the IFN- $\alpha$  and IFN- $\beta$  genes; in cDC, TLR9 and MyD88 couple to IRF1 but not IRF7, resulting in the expression of IFN-B. TLR3 signals via TRIF, which couples to the kinases TBK-1 and IKK<sub>E</sub> and phosphorylates IRF3 to induce IFN- $\alpha$  and/or -β. Cytosolic pathway: Viral RNAs are recognized by MDA5 and RIG-I, which signal via the mitochondrion-associated adaptor IPS-1. IPS-1 activates the kinases TBK-1 and IKKs to phosphorylate IRF3 and IRF7 and induce IFN- $\alpha$  and - $\beta$  gene transcription. Cytosolic DNA receptor(s) such as DAI use an alternative adaptor to couple to TBK-1 and IKKs, and IRF3 and IRF7. Note that the endosomal and cytosolic pathways also couple to NF- $\kappa$ B and MAPK activation, which is important for the expression of the IFN- $\beta$  gene and regulates the expression of numerous other proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines (not depicted). Note also that there is likely to be extensive crosstalk between the endosomal and cvtosolic pathways (depicted by arrows): Nucleic

acids can "leak" from endosomes to activate cytosolic PRRs, whereas autophagy can provide cytosolic nucleic acids for endosomal recognition. The following abbreviations and labels are used: leucine-rich repeat (LRR), Toll/IL-1Receptor signaling domain (TIR), caspase activating recruitment domain (CARD), RNA-binding domain (Helicase); RD: repressor domain (RD), Z-DNA binding domains ( $Z\alpha$ ,  $Z\beta$ ), tentatively named region required for DNA binding (D3), and signaling domain (SD).

to JEV and SeV are decreased to a variable extent by MDA5 deficiency (Diao et al., 2007; Kato et al., 2006), suggesting that some viruses might be recognized by both MDA5 and RIG-I.

RIG-I can bind poly I:C and polyriboadenylic:polyribouridylic acid (poly A:U), another synthetic dsRNA, (Rothenfusser et al., 2005; Yoneyama et al., 2005) but is not activated by either (Gitlin et al., 2006; Kato et al., 2006), indicating that RNA binding to RLRs is not sufficient for agonist activity. The critical determinant in RIG-I stimulation by RNA was recently identified as the presence of phosphates at the 5' end independently of single or double strandedness (Hornung et al., 2006; Pichlmair et al., 2006). This has provided a partial explanation for the virus specificity of RIG-I. Thus, the RNA genomes of influenza, rabies virus, and VSV are single stranded but possess 5' triphosphates and therefore can bind RIG-I and promote its activation (Hornung et al., 2006; Pichlmair et al., 2006). Similarly, in vitro transcribed RNA used to make dsRNA (Kato et al., 2006) bears a triphosphate on the first ribonucleotide (Hornung et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2004). In contrast, commercial poly I:C does not generally contain free triphosphates and does not normally activate RIG-I. Notably, the 5' phosphate requirement also explains why RIG-I does not mediate responses to picornaviruses whose genomes are covalently linked at the 5' end to

a viral protein that acts as a primer for replication (Racaniello, 2001).

The ability of RIG-I to recognize single-stranded RNA (ssRNA) of viral origin challenges the dogma that antiviral responses all rely on the recognition of dsRNA and is consistent with the observation that the replication of negative ssRNA viruses leads to potent IFN responses yet is not accompanied by the formation of immunodetectable dsRNA (Pichlmair et al., 2006; Weber et al., 2006). Ironically, some of the first investigations into the inducibility of IFN-a and  $-\beta$  had employed ssRNA (Isaacs et al., 1963), although this was later abandoned in favor of the double-stranded version. This is not to say that double strandedness has no role: Intrastrand hybridization clearly contributes to RIG-I recognition, as noted for secondary structures present on the hepatitis C virus (HCV) genome (Saito et al., 2007). However, the notion that linear molecules of viral RNA can contain all the necessary attributes to activate IFN- $\alpha$  and  $-\beta$  responses means that innate detection need not be linked to the generation of complementary RNAs, and explains how viral entry into the cytoplasm can sometimes induce IFN- $\alpha$  and - $\beta$  responses in the absence of viral replication (Collins et al., 2004; Hidmark et al., 2005; Isaacs and Lindenmann, 1957). It is important to note that we still do not know which viral RNAs are actually sensed by RIG-I during infection. Influenza virus



genomic RNA can trigger RIG-I activation in an experimental setting, but it is difficult to envisage how this might happen during infection when the genome segments are coated by viral nucleoprotein and have the viral polymerase bound to their 5' ends (Lamb and Krug, 2001). Furthermore, influenza virus uncoating and replication takes place in the nucleus, a location not subject to surveillance by RIG-I. Therefore, one might expect that RIG-I senses in the influenza virus cycle an RNA intermediate that bears a 5' phosphate and becomes cytoplasmic during infection. Consistent with this notion, during measles infection, RIG-I is activated by gene products that are transcribed early in the virus life cycle (Plumet et al., 2007).

In contrast to RIG-I, the agonist for MDA5 remains uncharacterized. Picornaviruses produce abundant dsRNA during cell infection (Pichlmair et al., 2006; Weber et al., 2006), and MDA5 could therefore act simply as a dsRNA sensor, as per the dsRNA dogma. Consistent with this notion, MDA5 binds to and is activated by poly I:C (Gitlin et al., 2006; Kato et al., 2006; Rothenfusser et al., 2005; Yoneyama et al., 2005). However, MDA5 does not compensate for RIG-I deficiency in the response to in vitrotranscribed dsRNA (Kato et al., 2006), indicating that its activation requires more than RNA double strandedness. Notably, among the dsRNA homopolymers, only commercial poly I:C of undefined length induces a potent IFN- $\alpha$  and  $-\beta$  response (unpublished data). Therefore, poly I:C, rather than generic dsRNA, mimics the MDA5 agonist generated during picornavirus infection.

The role of the third member of the RLR family remains elusive at present. Consistent with an inhibitory role, cells from mice lacking LGP-2 show enhanced production of IFN- $\alpha$  and - $\beta$  in response to poly I:C treatment or VSV infection (Venkataraman et al., 2007). However, IFN- $\beta$  production after EMCV infection is actually impaired by LGP-2 deficiency (Venkataraman et al., 2007). Therefore, LGP-2 might play both a positive and negative role in responses initiated by the other two helicases.

RNA has been the focus of much attention, but it is not the only nucleic acid that can elicit IFN- $\alpha$  and - $\beta$  production. Despite thousands of man-years worth of DNA transfections, it was only recently noticed that the introduction of the B helical form of dsDNA into the cytoplasm leads to IFN- $\alpha$  and - $\beta$  induction (Ishii et al., 2006; Okabe et al., 2005; Stetson and Medzhitov, 2006; Yasuda et al., 2005). The cytosolic dsDNA-sensing pathway converges with the RLR pathway at the point of TBK-1 and IKK $\varepsilon$  activation (Ishii et al., 2006; Stetson and Medzhitov, 2006) but does not require the activity of IPS-1, at least in the mouse (Kumar et al., 2006; Sun et al., 2006). One candidate cytosolic dsDNA sensor has recently emerged as the IFN-inducible protein DLM-1 or Z-DNA binding protein 1, now renamed DAI for DNA-dependent activator of IRFs (Takaoka et al., 2007). The binding of DAI to dsDNA increases its ability to interact with TBK-1 and IRF3, and the overexpression of DAI in cells potentiates the response to DNA transfection, whereas the knockdown of endogenous DAI decreases it (Takaoka et al., 2007). It is widely expected that the DNA-sensing pathway will be

involved in the detection of DNA viruses and bacteria that access the cytoplasmic compartment.

### Virus Sensing in Endosomes

Most viruses encounter the endocytic pathway on their way in and out of cells, either because they infect cells via endosomes or because they bud into those compartments after the completion of their replication cycle (Brandenburg and Zhuang, 2007). Accordingly, a subset of TLRs appears to be dedicated to surveying endosomes for viral presence. The endosomal TLRs all share the property of being activated by nucleic acids and include TLR3, TLR7, TLR8, and TLR9 (Figure 2). Their expression can be increased by IFN- $\alpha$  and - $\beta$ , much like that of RLRs and DAI, but endosomal TLRs have a more restricted cellular distribution. TLR7 and 9 are highly expressed by DCs and, in humans but not mice, by principally those of the plasmacytoid subset (pDC), but can also be expressed by other hematopoietic cells, such as B cells (Iwasaki and Medzhitov, 2004; Reis e Sousa, 2004). TLR3 is expressed more widely, including on nonhematopoietic cells, but it shows preferential expression in nonplasmacytoid (conventional) DCs (cDCs) (Iwasaki and Medzhitov, 2004; Reis e Sousa, 2004). Less is known about TLR8, which, in the mouse, may be nonfunctional or have a nonimmune role (Jurk et al., 2002; Ma et al., 2006). Notably, although TLR3, 7, and 9 recognize viral agonists in endosomes, the bulk of the receptors at any given time is found in the endoplasmic reticulum in association with the 12 transmembrane spanning protein Unc93b (Latz et al., 2004) (Brinkmann et al., 2007; Tabeta et al., 2006). The latter plays an essential role in responses mediated by all the endosomal TLRs, possibly by regulating their recruitment to endosomes (Brinkmann et al., 2007; Casrouge et al., 2006; Tabeta et al., 2006).

All TLRs can signal for the activation of NF-kB and MAPK cascades and promote the transcription of multiple cytokine and chemokine genes, but endosomal TLRs have additional pathways to activate IRF1, 3, or 7 and induce IFN- $\alpha$  and - $\beta$  expression. TLR3 signals via the adaptor TRIF, which can activate the TBK-1 and IKK $\epsilon$  kinases together with TRAF3 and NAP1, much like IPS-1 (Uematsu and Akira, 2007). This allows TLR3 to couple to the IRF3 pathway in a manner similar to the RLRs and DAI (Figure 2). In contrast, TLR7 and 9 use a different adaptor, MyD88. The pathways coupling MyD88 to type I IFN gene induction have been studied mostly in the context of TLR9 signaling. TLR9 can be activated by ssDNA oligonucleotides containing unmethylated CpG motifs (Krieg, 2002; Latz et al., 2007). Such oligonucleotides (CpG DNA) induce type I IFN production by pDC through a pathway involving MyD88, IRAK1, and TRAF6 (Uematsu and Akira, 2007). This leads to the activation of IKK $\alpha$ , which, in this setting, substitutes for TBK-1 or IKKE in phosphorylating and activating IRF7 (Hoshino et al., 2006) (Figure 2). In cell types other than pDCs, this pathway is not operative, and TLR9 (or TLR7) stimulation only couples to the activation of NF-kB and MAPK, leading to production of cytokines such as IL-6 or IL-12 but not IFN-α. The fact that TLR9 (and TLR7) signaling induces IFN- $\alpha$  only in pDCs has led

to the belief that these cells uniquely produce high amounts of type I IFNs when, in fact, cDCs and other cell types also produce large amounts of IFN-a and IFN- $\beta$  through the activation of the cytosolic virus-sensing pathway (Diebold et al., 2003). In addition, mouse cDCs produce IFN- $\beta$  but not IFN- $\alpha$  in response to TLR9 stimulation through a pathway dependent on IRF1 rather than IRF3 or IRF7 (Figure 2) (Negishi et al., 2006; Schmitz et al., 2007). Therefore, the defining feature of pDCs is the ability to couple TLR9 and TLR7 signaling to IFN-a gene expression, rather than an ontogenetically determined ability to produce high levels of type I IFNs. Why pDCs couple TLR9 activation to IRF7 whereas cDCs couple the same receptor to IRF1 remains poorly understood, but it might reflect the differential use of cofactors such as osteopontin (Shinohara et al., 2006) and/or the markedly different endosomal routing of CpG in the two cell types. Indeed, the ability of pDC to produce IFN- $\alpha$  in response to TLR9 signaling correlates with their ability to retain CpG DNA in an early endosomal compartment (Guiducci et al., 2006; Honda et al., 2005a).

Perhaps because the specialized endosomal traffic in pDCs has evolved to maximize TLR-mediated responses to incoming viruses, these cells have been useful in defining the role of TLR9 and TLR7 in virus recognition. Thus, TLR9 allows pDCs to respond to DNA viruses such as adenovirus, herpes simplex virus (HSV)-1 and -2 or murine cytomegalovirus (MCMV) (Hochrein et al., 2004; lacobelli-Martinez and Nemerow, 2007; Krug et al., 2004a; Krug et al., 2004b; Lund et al., 2003). Similarly, TLR7 mediates pDC responses to ssRNA viruses such as influenza, VSV, and Sendai virus (Diebold et al., 2004; Lund et al., 2004) and to genomic ssRNA purified from influenza virions or synthetic ssRNA oligonucleotides containing U or GU repeats (Diebold et al., 2004; Diebold et al., 2006; Heil et al., 2004). The latter can also act as agonists for human TLR8 (Heil et al., 2004). Together, these observations have led to a model in which viruses are taken up by pDCs (or other cells) and are subjected to proteolytic degradation in the endosomal compartment, exposing their RNA or DNA genomes for recognition by TLR7, 8, or 9 (Crozat and Beutler, 2004). The process is independent of infection, thereby allowing responses to viruses that do not normally replicate in DCs, as well as to defective viral particles or inactive viruses. The latter could be particularly relevant during infection because viruses neutralized by antibody or complement can be taken up via Fc or complement receptors, thereby potentiating TLR stimulation within endosomes (Wang et al., 2007). Such a mechanism could be especially important to allow the endosomal recognition of viruses that fuse at the plasma membrane.

It should be said that there is at present no in vivo evidence that virus recognition by TLR7 and TLR9 operates as per this model. Therefore, it is possible that the actual role of TLR recognition is not in detecting extracellular virus sampled from the external milieu but, perhaps, progeny virions as they bud from the cell or even captured fragments of lysed cells bearing viral particles. An unexpected role for endosomal TLR recognition in viral infection has been found recently, whereby autophagy in pDC allows capture of VSV RNA replication intermediates from the cytosol and recognition via TLR7 (Lee et al., 2007). Autophagosomes fuse with endosomes, effectively bridging the endosomal compartment to the cytosol. Therefore, through autophagy, endosomal TLRs are no longer restricted to sampling the extracellular compartment and can contribute to cytosolic recognition of viruses (Figure 2).

It has been argued that pDCs rely on TLR-mediated recognition of viruses, whereas other cells such as cDCs use the cytosolic pathway (Kato et al., 2005). This is not entirely correct; cDCs can use TLR7 and/or 9 to recognize viruses even if this does not result in IFN- $\alpha$  production (see above). Conversely, it is possible that pDCs can use the cytosolic pathway, but this has not been observed in all studies because pDCs are difficult to infect. Notably, Myd88<sup>-/-</sup> pDCs defective in TLR7 and 9 signaling behave like cDCs in that they do not produce IFN- $\alpha$  in response to infection with wild-type influenza virus but do so upon infection with a mutant lacking the NS1 viral protein, which, if present, blocks RIG-I activation (see below) (Diebold et al., 2004). Similarly, Tlr9-/- pDCs from murine bone marrow (although not pDCs from spleen) produce IFN-a and  $-\beta$  in response to HSV-1 (Hochrein et al., 2004), and human pDCs produce IFN- $\alpha$  in response to respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) via a cytosolic rather than an endosomal pathway (Hornung et al., 2004). On the other hand, TLR7-deficient murine pDCs do not respond to VSV, perhaps because a high rate of autophagy in those cells sequesters viral RNAs from RIG-I and MDA5 (Lee et al., 2007).

TLR3 is the final member of the endosomal TLR family, although it can also be expressed at the plasma membrane in some cell types (Matsumoto et al., 2002). It was originally identified as a receptor for dsRNA on the basis of its ability to mediate responses to poly I:C and to purified reoviral dsRNA (Alexopoulou et al., 2001; Matsumoto et al., 2002). More recently, it has been reported that TLR3 also responds to poly I (Marshall-Clarke et al., 2007), raising the question of whether (as for MDA5) it is truly and simply a receptor for dsRNA. CD14 has been shown to facilitate poly I:C uptake and TLR3 activation (Lee et al., 2006), but the role of TLR3 might not be in recognizing exogenous naked dsRNA, which is unlikely to be found as a free-floating species in the extracellular milieu. Rather, TLR3 might act like TLR7 and 9 in recognizing incoming viruses, such as reoviruses, which have a dsRNA genome, or, through autophagy, it might recognize dsRNA produced by viruses replicating in the cytosol. An additional scenario in which TLR3 has been implicated is in the recognition by DCs of phagocytosed virus-infected cells containing dsRNA (Schulz et al., 2005).

In summary, there is a striking parallel between the cytoplasmic and endosomal pathways for the innate sensing of viruses (Figure 2). They both recognize DNA, ssRNA, and dsRNA and signal via parallel pathways for induction of IFN- $\alpha$  and - $\beta$ . This suggests that the innate immune system focuses on viral nucleic acids as an invariant



determinant of viral presence, much like the way in which we use the same determinants for virus classification.

### Virus Sensing at the Cell Surface?

In addition to the endosomal TLRs, TLR2 and TLR4 have also been suggested to play a role in sensing of viruses. These TLRs are expressed at the cell surface but can be recruited to the endocytic compartment by internalized ligands (Underhill et al., 1999). TLR2 signaling does not couple to IFN- $\alpha$  and - $\beta$  induction, although TLR4 does so via the TRIF pathway (Uematsu and Akira, 2007). TLR2 has been shown to respond to components of measles, HCV, MCMV, and HSV (Bieback et al., 2002) (Compton et al., 2003; Duesberg et al., 2002; Kurt-Jones et al., 2004), whereas TLR4 can respond to RSV, retroviruses, and coxsackie B virus (Kurt-Jones et al., 2000; Rassa et al., 2002; Richer et al., 2006). However, when examined closely, the TLR2 and 4 dependence of virus recognition is often restricted to a few virus isolates (Sato et al., 2006). In addition, many examples of TLR2 and TLR4 "recognition" involve viral surface proteins. These often mutate in order to escape adaptive immune recognition by antibodies (Hangartner et al., 2006), so it is hard to envisage why they would not do so to escape TLR recognition. Interestingly, the ability of measles virus to activate TLR2 maps to a single amino acid on the viral hemagglutinin, and this amino acid is preserved in wild-type but not in vaccine strains, suggesting that the wild-type virus actively targets TLR2 (Bieback et al., 2002). Similarly, the analysis of mouse mammary tumor virus infection in TLR4-sufficient and -deficient mice indicates that the virus targets TLR4 to replicate in B cells and to suppress CTL responses (Jude et al., 2003; Rassa et al., 2002). Therefore, some examples of TLR engagement by viruses represent viral exploitation of the TLR system rather than the innate sensing of viruses (Rassa and Ross, 2003).

### Innate Discrimination between Viral and Self Nucleic Acids

The innate recognition pathways described above are exquisitely sensitive to the presence of viral nucleic acids but are generally silent in the absence of infection. However, the reasons for this robust virus versus self discrimination are still not fully understood. Clearly, some viral nucleic acids have features of PAMPs, which allow for the distinction of potential pathogens from the host (Janeway, 1989). For example, the 5' triphosphate on many ssRNAs of viral origin is absent from mRNA, which bears a 5' methylguanosine cap (Alberts et al., 2002) that does not allow RIG-I activation (Hornung et al., 2006). A 5' triphosphate is also absent from transfer RNA (tRNA), which, although uncapped, is processed to yield a 5' monophosphate (Alberts et al., 2002). However, a 5' triphosphate is found on 5S ribosomal RNA (rRNA), an abundant species of cellular RNA. Why this does not lead to RIG-I activation is unclear, but it might have to do with the fact that the 5' end of the rRNA is obscured by ribosomal proteins (Ramakrishnan, 2002). A similar argument applies to the 7S RNA component of the signal recognition particle, which also contains a 5' triphosphate (Wild et al., 2002).

In addition, rRNA, tRNA, and other structural RNAs are heavily modified by conversion of uridine to pseudouridine, 2-thiouridine, and 2-O-methyluridine, all of which decrease RIG-I stimulatory activity when incorporated into 5' triphosphate RNA oligonucleotides (Hornung et al., 2006). Finally, as discussed above, RIG-I binding and activation can also be favored by structural elements that are more prevalent in viral RNAs, such as the panhandle structures at the 5' ends of influenza genomic RNA segments (Lamb and Krug, 2001) or the untranslated portions of the HCV genome (Saito et al., 2007).

These considerations highlight the fact that innate recognition of viruses is not as simple as the recognition of bacterial PAMPs such as LPS. Strictly speaking, there are no viral PAMPs because, to some extent, they are all shared by the host. In some cases, this could actually be used to amplify antiviral immunity: A recent report suggests that RNase L activation in virus-infected cells generates small self RNAs that potentiate the activation of RIG-I and MDA5 (Malathi et al., 2007) (Figure 1). Therefore, there is no absolute sense in which the innate immune system can be said to discriminate virus from self. The robustness of innate responses to viral infection appears to come from coupling sensing of quantitative differences in prevalence of certain patterns, e.g., high abundance of unmodified uridine in viral genomes, to another important parameter, that of abnormal localization. For example, the cytosolic pathway effectively relies on the principle that certain species of nucleic acids are only found in the nucleus. The cytosolic presence of DNA or 5' triphosphate-bearing RNA must therefore reflect an abnormal situation, such as virus infection, that requires response.

Nowhere is the importance of localization better exemplified than in TLR-mediated virus recognition. As for the cytosolic pathway, there is a quantitative component to endosomal TLR activation in that the dearth of CpG motifs in self DNA or the presence of modified uridine in self RNA raise the threshold for TLR9 or 7 activation, respectively (Karikó et al., 2005; Latz et al., 2007). However, this is not sufficient for absolute discrimination, as indicated by the fact that the increased delivery of self DNA or self mRNA to endosomes can lead to TLR9 or 7 activation (Diebold et al., 2006; Lau et al., 2005; Leadbetter et al., 2002). Most notably, the mislocalization of TLR9 to the plasma membrane allows it to respond to self DNA present in the extracellular space (Barton et al., 2006). In other words, the TLRs involved in viral nucleic acid recognition are restricted to endosomes because self DNA and self RNA have limited access to those compartments (Marshak-Rothstein and Rifkin, 2007).

In this scenario, the biggest challenge to self versus virus discrimination is the clearance of apoptotic cells. It is perhaps no coincidence that phagocytes taking up apoptotic cells, including macrophages and, in the mouse, the CD8 $\alpha^+$  subset of cDC, do not express TLR7 (Reis e Sousa, 2004). It is also perhaps so that innate responses can be diminished that DNA degradation is initiated in cells undergoing apoptosis and is completed in the phagolysosomes of scavenger cells (Nagata, 2005). Notably,

a failure of the latter process leads to IFN- $\alpha$  and - $\beta$  production via the cytoplasmic pathway, resulting in autoimmunity (Kawane et al., 2006; Okabe et al., 2005; Yoshida et al., 2005). In addition, defects in apoptotic cell clearance are often associated with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) or other autoimmune diseases (Gaipl et al., 2005). This suggests that the system is carefully titrated to deal with the normal load of apoptotic cells and that phagocytic scavengers rapidly dispose of cell corpses before secondary necrosis allows the release of internal nucleic acids. It further suggests that although phagocytes can be alerted to the presence of viruses within dying cells (Schulz et al., 2005), they have efficient pathways to avoid responding to nucleic acids within uninfected apopotic bodies, through lack of sensors and/or the presence of active nucleases. Changes in this balance, by the increase of apoptotic cell load, the decrease of clearance or digestion by scavengers, or the increase of innate detection [e.g., through TLR7 duplication (Pisitkun et al., 2006)] can allow antiviral detection mechanisms to begin to react to self, often with devastating consequences.

# Innate Sensing Pathways in Resistance to Viral Infection

With multiple pathways for virus sensing, an important question is to what extent they are redundant for protection from viral infection. The availability of mice in which single TLRs, RLRs, or downstream signaling components have been genetically ablated offers an opportunity to address this question.  $Tlr3^{-/-}$  mice do not show increased susceptibility to infection with VSV, LCMV, or reovirus (Edelmann et al., 2004; Johansson et al., 2007) and show either unchanged or only slightly lower resistance to MCMV (Edelmann et al., 2004; Tabeta et al., 2004). Nevertheless, a role for TLR3 has been found in the regulation of immunopathology associated with infection. For instance,  $Tlr3^{-/-}$  mice are paradoxically more resistant to infection with lethal doses of West Nile virus because TLR3 contributes to the inflammatory response to the virus, resulting in leakiness of the blood-brain barrier and allowing the infection of the brain (Wang et al., 2004). Similarly, in a mouse model of acute pneumonia induced by influenza virus, the lack of TLR3 promotes increased survival despite higher virus titers in the lungs (Le Goffic et al., 2006). Finally,  $Tlr3^{-/-}$  mice are also more resistant to infection with Punta Toro virus, perhaps because TLR3 deficiency restricts production of proinflammatory mediators, such as IL-6, which contribute to immunopathology (Gowen et al., 2006).

The role of TLR9 in responses to DNA viruses is also unsettled. TLR9-, MyD88-, or Unc93b-deficient mice show greater mortality and increased virus titers in response to MCMV infection, in part because of a role of the TLR9 and MyD88 pathway in promoting cytokine production, leading to the activation of NK cells (Krug et al., 2004a; Tabeta et al., 2004; Tabeta et al., 2006). TLR9 is also important for protection from intravaginal HSV-2 infection, mediating early production of IFN- $\alpha$  and - $\beta$  by locally recruited pDCs and thereby limiting virus spread (Lund et al., 2006). However, TLR9 or MyD88 are not required for protection from HSV-1 infection in a footpad injection or corneal scarification model (Krug et al., 2004b). The susceptibility of  $Tlr7^{-/-}$  mice to infection has not been analyzed although MyD88-deficient mice are susceptible to intranasal infection with VSV (Zhou et al., 2007), a virus that is recognized in part via TLR7.

The lack of a consistent role for individual TLRs in protection from viral infection should not come as a surprise given the more restricted distribution of these receptors compared to the RLR family, the possibility of redundancy among distinct TLRs, and the overlap between the endosomal and cytosolic pathways (Figure 2). However, the latter might be a lot less redundant: Preliminary evidence indicates that RIG-I-deficient mice are highly susceptible to infection with JEV, whereas mice lacking MDA5 succumb to EMCV as early as those lacking IFNAR (Kato et al., 2006). Similarly, LGP2-deficient mice show enhanced susceptibility to EMCV (Venkataraman et al., 2007).

The experimental infection of mice is a highly contrived situation that does not mimic exposure to natural inoculating routes and virus doses and is markedly affected by genetic background, including the absence of certain antiviral pathways in most inbred mouse strains (Pichlmair et al., 2004). In contrast, analysis of primary immunodeficiencies in patients is more informative in determining the relative importance of innate recognition pathways in human populations naturally exposed to the repertoire of human viruses. So far, patients lacking IRAK4 have been found to possess normal resistance to viruses even though they cannot couple TLR7 and 9 recognition to IFN-α and - $\beta$  or IFN- $\lambda$  induction (Yang et al., 2005). Similarly, patients with an autosomal recessive defect in UNC93B are resistant to most viral infections, although they suffer from sporadic HSV encephalitis (Casrouge et al., 2006). Thus, the TLR pathway might be dispensable for responses to many viruses in human populations. Patients with defects in the cytosolic pathway have not been reported.

#### Viral Evasion of Innate Immunity

Viruses have to multiply extensively in infected hosts to ensure successful transmission, a challenging task in the face of immune attack. Therefore, it is not surprising that viruses that infect vertebrates have developed a myriad of immune evasions strategies, a significant number of which target the IFN system. Viruses can inhibit IFN- $\alpha$  and - $\beta$  synthesis, inactivate secreted IFN molecules, interfere with IFNAR signaling, and/or block the activation of antiviral effector proteins upregulated by IFNs (Weber et al., 2004). Here, we focus exclusively on the strategies used by viruses to block the PRR pathways discussed above.

A number of viruses might inhibit IFN- $\alpha$  and - $\beta$  induction by sequestering viral agonists from PRRs. For example, escape from cytosolic recognition could be accomplished by replication within membrane-bound compartments known as virus factories (Novoa et al., 2005). Other viruses encode dsRNA-binding proteins, such as the vaccinia virus E3L protein, which are believed to prevent IFN- $\alpha$  and



 $-\beta$  induction by sequestering dsRNA (Xiang et al., 2002). Notably, E3L also possesses a DNA-binding site (Kim et al., 2003), raising the possibility that it might additionally sequester viral DNA from the DAI recognition pathway. The nonstructural protein 1 (NS1) of some strains of influenza virus also possesses a dsRNA-binding site and was thought to inhibit IFN- $\alpha$  and - $\beta$  production through dsRNA sequestration (Garcia-Sastre, 2001). However, this site also allows binding to ssRNA and the formation of a complex with RIG-I in the presence of 5' triphosphate RNA (Pichlmair et al., 2006). This suggests that the NS1 protein might in fact block IFN- $\alpha$  and  $-\beta$  induction by targeting RIG-I (Guo et al., 2007; Mibayashi et al., 2007; Opitz et al., 2007; Pichlmair et al., 2006), although it might also use additional mechanisms, some of which are virusstrain specific (Kochs et al., 2007).

Like influenza virus, other viruses may inhibit IFN- $\alpha$  and - $\beta$  induction through targeting PRRs or downstream signaling molecules. The V proteins of paramyxoviruses can block MDA5 activation (Andrejeva et al., 2004), perhaps explaining why Sendai virus is recognized primarily via the RIG-I pathway (Kato et al., 2006). The NS3-4A protein of HCV can cleave both IPS-1 and TRIF, thereby antagonizing both the RLR and TLR3 pathway (Foy et al., 2005; Li et al., 2005; Meylan et al., 2005). Similarly, vaccinia virus A52R protein interferes with TLR signaling (Harte et al., 2003). Finally, several viruses belonging to different classes have been reported to interfere with the activation of IRF3, suggesting either direct interaction with IRFs or inhibition of upstream kinases (Weber et al., 2004).

In sum, viruses target the IFN system at multiple points of the innate response, including blocking IFN- $\alpha$  and - $\beta$  gene induction. Viruses that have lost their ability to block the IFN system have reduced pathogenicity and are often used as vaccine strains in experimental model systems. However, it should be noted that suppression of the IFN system by viruses is never as severe as, for example, that seen upon experimental ablation of IFNAR or the STAT proteins. This might reflect the coevolution of virus and host, leading to an equilibrium that preserves both organisms.

#### Conclusion

In 1957, Isaacs and Lindenmann reported the discovery of IFNs (Isaacs and Lindenmann, 1957). In the 50 years since, much has been learned about IFN receptor signaling and IFN-dependent antiviral immunity. However, the molecular mechanisms coupling detection of viruses to induction of the IFN- $\alpha$  and - $\beta$  genes have only recently begun to be understood. The vertebrate strategy for the innate sensing of viruses is similar to that used for detection of bacteria and fungi and relies on detection of PAMP-like nucleic acids prevalent in viruses. However, because such nucleic acids are, to a greater or lesser extent, also found in the host, sensing virus presence requires an additional strategy. Hence, a fundamental aspect of innate recognition of viruses is to monitor the

presence of nucleic acids in locations where the self equivalents are not normally found.

The compartmentalization of innate recognition of viruses has interesting parallels in the strategy used by the adaptive immune system. Both systems employ specialized molecules to survey the two cellular compartments where potential pathogens might be found. TLRs and MHC class II molecules sample the endosomal compartment, whereas RLRs, DAI, and MHC class I perform an analogous function in the cytosol. RLRs and MHC class I are ubiquitously expressed by all nucleated cells, whereas MHC class II and endosomal TLRs have a more restricted distribution, primarily in immune cells. Like MHC molecules, virus PRRs can be upregulated by exposure to IFN- $\alpha$  and  $-\beta$  and/or IFN- $\gamma$ . Finally, the two systems are separate but in constant communication. The delivery of endosomal contents to the cytoplasm allows both MHC class I crosspresentation and RLR or DAI activation. Conversely, autophagy provides cytoplasmic proteins for MHC class II presentation (Deretic, 2006) and viral nucleic acids for TLR recognition. The notion that innate pathogen recognition and MHC presentation are intimately related is further suggested by the observation that Unc93b controls both endosomal TLR delivery and crosspresentation (Tabeta et al., 2006) and that TLR signaling can regulate MHC class II presentation (Blander and Medzhitov, 2006). The membrane biology aspects of immune recognition and of viral infection are therefore fertile ground for future discoveries. The next fifty years of IFN research might be marked by the intimate merging of innate immunity and cell biology research.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to C. Johansson, O. Schulz, and C.-P. Tan for critical review of the manuscript. Work in the authors' laboratory is funded by Cancer Research UK.

#### REFERENCES

Alberts, B., Johnson, A., Lewis, J., Raff, M., Roberts, K., and Walter, P. (2002). Molecular Biology of the Cell, Fourth Edition (New York: Garland Publishing).

Alexopoulou, L., Holt, A.C., Medzhitov, R., and Flavell, R.A. (2001). Recognition of double-stranded RNA and activation of NF-kappaB by Toll-like receptor 3. Nature *413*, 732–738.

Andrejeva, J., Childs, K.S., Young, D.F., Carlos, T.S., Stock, N., Goodbourn, S., and Randall, R.E. (2004). The V proteins of paramyxoviruses bind the IFN-inducible RNA helicase, mda-5, and inhibit its activation of the IFN-beta promoter. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *101*, 17264– 17269.

Arimoto, K., Takahashi, H., Hishiki, T., Konishi, H., Fujita, T., and Shimotohno, K. (2007). Negative regulation of the RIG-I signaling by the ubiquitin ligase RNF125. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *104*, 7500–7505.

Balachandran, S., Thomas, E., and Barber, G.N. (2004). A FADDdependent innate immune mechanism in mammalian cells. Nature 432, 401–405.

Barton, G.M., Kagan, J.C., and Medzhitov, R. (2006). Intracellular localization of Toll-like receptor 9 prevents recognition of self DNA but facilitates access to viral DNA. Nat. Immunol. 7, 49–56.

Berlanga, J.J., Ventoso, I., Harding, H.P., Deng, J., Ron, D., Sonenberg, N., Carrasco, L., and de Haro, C. (2006). Antiviral effect of the



mammalian translation initiation factor 2alpha kinase GCN2 against RNA viruses. EMBO J. 25, 1730–1740.

Bieback, K., Lien, E., Klagge, I.M., Avota, E., Schneider-Schaulies, J., Duprex, W.P., Wagner, H., Kirschning, C.J., Ter Meulen, V., and Schneider-Schaulies, S. (2002). Hemagglutinin protein of wild-type measles virus activates toll-like receptor 2 signaling. J. Virol. 76, 8729–8736.

Bieniasz, P.D. (2004). Intrinsic immunity: A front-line defense against viral attack. Nat. Immunol. 5, 1109–1115.

Blander, J., and Medzhitov, R. (2006). Toll-dependent selection of microbial antigens for presentation by dendritic cells. Nature 440, 808–812.

Brandenburg, B., and Zhuang, X. (2007). Virus trafficking - learning from single-virus tracking. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 5, 197–208.

Brinkmann, M.M., Spooner, E., Hoebe, K., Beutler, B., Ploegh, H.L., and Kim, Y.M. (2007). The interaction between the ER membrane protein UNC93B and TLR3, 7, and 9 is crucial for TLR signaling. J. Cell Biol. *177*, 265–275.

Casrouge, A., Zhang, S.Y., Eidenschenk, C., Jouanguy, E., Puel, A., Yang, K., Alcais, A., Picard, C., Mahfoufi, N., Nicolas, N., et al. (2006). Herpes simplex virus encephalitis in human UNC-93B deficiency. Science *314*, 308–312.

Collins, S.E., Noyce, R.S., and Mossman, K.L. (2004). Innate cellular response to virus particle entry requires IRF3 but not virus replication. J. Virol. *78*, 1706–1717.

Compton, T., Kurt-Jones, E.A., Boehme, K.W., Belko, J., Latz, E., Golenbock, D.T., and Finberg, R.W. (2003). Human cytomegalovirus activates inflammatory cytokine responses via CD14 and Toll-like receptor 2. J. Virol. 77, 4588–4596.

Creagh, E., and O'Neill, L. (2006). TLRs, NLRs and RLRs: A trinity of pathogen sensors that co-operate in innate immunity. Trends Immunol. 27, 352–357.

Crozat, K., and Beutler, B. (2004). TLR7: A new sensor of viral infection. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *101*, 6835–6836.

Cullen, B.R. (2006). Is RNA interference involved in intrinsic antiviral immunity in mammals? Nat. Immunol. 7, 563–567.

Der, S.D., and Lau, A.S. (1995). Involvement of the double-stranded-RNA-dependent kinase PKR in interferon expression and interferonmediated antiviral activity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 92, 8841–8845.

Deretic, V. (2006). Autophagy as an immune defense mechanism. Curr. Opin. Immunol. 18, 375–382.

Diao, F., Li, S., Tian, Y., Zhang, M., Xu, L.G., Zhang, Y., Wang, R.P., Chen, D., Zhai, Z., Zhong, B., et al. (2007). Negative regulation of MDA5- but not RIG-I-mediated innate antiviral signaling by the dihydroxyacetone kinase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *104*, 11706–11711.

Diebold, S.S., Kaisho, T., Hemmi, H., Akira, S., and Reis e Sousa, C. (2004). Innate antiviral responses by means of TLR7-mediated recognition of single-stranded RNA. Science *303*, 1529–1531.

Diebold, S.S., Massacrier, C., Akira, S., Paturel, C., Morel, Y., and Reis e Sousa, C. (2006). Nucleic acid agonists for Toll-like receptor 7 are defined by the presence of uridine ribonucleotides. Eur. J. Immunol. *36*, 3256–3267.

Diebold, S.S., Montoya, M., Unger, H., Alexopoulou, L., Roy, P., Haswell, L.E., Al-Shamkhani, A., Flavell, R., Borrow, P., and Reis e Sousa, C. (2003). Viral infection switches non-plasmacytoid dendritic cells into high interferon producers. Nature *424*, 324–328.

Duesberg, U., von dem Bussche, A., Kirschning, C., Miyake, K., Sauerbruch, T., and Spengler, U. (2002). Cell activation by synthetic lipopeptides of the hepatitis C virus (HCV)–core protein is mediated by toll like receptors (TLRs) 2 and 4. Immunol. Lett. *84*, 89–95.

Dupuis, S., Jouanguy, E., Al-Hajjar, S., Fieschi, C., Al-Mohsen, I.Z., Al-Jumaah, S., Yang, K., Chapgier, A., Eidenschenk, C., Eid, P., et al. (2003). Impaired response to interferon-alpha/beta and lethal viral disease in human STAT1 deficiency. Nat. Genet. *33*, 388–391.

Durbin, J.E., Hackenmiller, R., Simon, M.C., and Levy, D.E. (1996). Targeted disruption of the mouse Stat1 gene results in compromised innate immunity to viral disease. Cell *84*, 443–450.

Edelmann, K.H., Richardson-Burns, S., Alexopoulou, L., Tyler, K.L., Flavell, R.A., and Oldstone, M.B. (2004). Does Toll-like receptor 3 play a biological role in virus infections? Virology *322*, 231–238.

Fitzgerald, K., McWhirter, S., Faia, K., Rowe, D., Latz, E., Golenbock, D., Coyle, A., Liao, S., and Maniatis, T. (2003). IKKepsilon and TBK1 are essential components of the IRF3 signaling pathway. Nat. Immunol. *4*, 491–496.

Foy, E., Li, K., Sumpter, R., Loo, Y., Johnson, C., Wang, C., Fish, P., Yoneyama, M., Fujita, T., Lemon, S., and Gale, M. (2005). Control of antiviral defenses through hepatitis C virus disruption of retinoic acid-inducible gene-I signaling. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 102, 2986–2991.

Gack, M.U., Shin, Y.C., Joo, C.H., Urano, T., Liang, C., Sun, L., Takeuchi, O., Akira, S., Chen, Z., Inoue, S., and Jung, J.U. (2007). TRIM25 RING-finger E3 ubiquitin ligase is essential for RIG-I-mediated antiviral activity. Nature 446, 916–920.

Gaipl, U.S., Voll, R.E., Sheriff, A., Franz, S., Kalden, J.R., and Herrmann, M. (2005). Impaired clearance of dying cells in systemic lupus erythematosus. Autoimmun. Rev. *4*, 189–194.

Galiana-Arnoux, D., Dostert, C., Schneemann, A., Hoffmann, J.A., and Imler, J.L. (2006). Essential function in vivo for Dicer-2 in host defense against RNA viruses in drosophila. Nat. Immunol. 7, 590–597.

Garcia-Sastre, A. (2001). Inhibition of interferon-mediated antiviral responses by influenza A viruses and other negative-strand RNA viruses. Virology 279, 375–384.

Gitlin, L., Barchet, W., Gilfillan, S., Cella, M., Beutler, B., Flavell, R.A., Diamond, M.S., and Colonna, M. (2006). Essential role of mda-5 in type I IFN responses to polyriboinosinic:polyribocytidylic acid and encephalomyocarditis picornavirus. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *103*, 8459–8464.

Gowen, B.B., Hoopes, J.D., Wong, M.H., Jung, K.H., Isakson, K.C., Alexopoulou, L., Flavell, R.A., and Sidwell, R.W. (2006). TLR3 deletion limits mortality and disease severity due to Phlebovirus infection. J. Immunol. *177*, 6301–6307.

Guiducci, C., Ott, G., Chan, J., Damon, E., Calacsan, C., Matray, T., Lee, K., Coffman, R., and Barrat, F. (2006). Properties regulating the nature of the plasmacytoid dendritic cell response to Toll-like receptor 9 activation. J. Exp. Med. 203, 1999–2008.

Guo, Z., Chen, L.M., Zeng, H., Gomez, J.A., Plowden, J., Fujita, T., Katz, J.M., Donis, R.O., and Sambhara, S. (2007). NS1 protein of influenza A virus inhibits the function of intracytoplasmic pathogen sensor, RIG-I. Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol. *36*, 263–269.

Hacker, H., Redecke, V., Blagoev, B., Kratchmarova, I., Hsu, L.C., Wang, G.G., Kamps, M.P., Raz, E., Wagner, H., Hacker, G., et al. (2006). Specificity in Toll-like receptor signalling through distinct effector functions of TRAF3 and TRAF6. Nature *439*, 204–207.

Haller, O., Staeheli, P., and Kochs, G. (2007). Interferon-induced Mx proteins in antiviral host defense. Biochimie *89*, 812–818.

Hangartner, L., Zinkernagel, R., and Hengartner, H. (2006). Antiviral antibody responses: The two extremes of a wide spectrum. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 6, 231–243.

Harte, M.T., Haga, I.R., Maloney, G., Gray, P., Reading, P.C., Bartlett, N.W., Smith, G.L., Bowie, A., and O'Neill, L.A. (2003). The poxvirus protein A52R targets Toll-like receptor signaling complexes to suppress host defense. J. Exp. Med. *197*, 343–351.

Hedrick, S.M. (2004). The acquired immune system: A vantage from beneath. Immunity *21*, 607–615.

Heil, F., Hemmi, H., Hochrein, H., Ampenberger, F., Kirschning, C., Akira, S., Lipford, G., Wagner, H., and Bauer, S. (2004). Species-specific recognition of single-stranded RNA via toll-like receptor 7 and 8. Science 303, 1526–1529.



Hidmark, A.S., McInerney, G.M., Nordstrom, E.K., Douagi, I., Werner, K.M., Liljestrom, P., and Karlsson Hedestam, G.B. (2005). Early alpha/ beta interferon production by myeloid dendritic cells in response to UV-inactivated virus requires viral entry and interferon regulatory factor 3 but not MyD88. J. Virol. 79, 10376–10385.

Hochrein, H., Schlatter, B., O'Keeffe, M., Wagner, C., Schmitz, F., Schiemann, M., Bauer, S., Suter, M., and Wagner, H. (2004). Herpes simplex virus type-1 induces IFN-alpha production via Toll-like receptor 9-dependent and -independent pathways. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *101*, 11416–11421.

Honda, K., Ohba, Y., Yanai, H., Negishi, H., Mizutani, T., Takaoka, A., Taya, C., and Taniguchi, T. (2005a). Spatiotemporal regulation of MyD88-IRF-7 signalling for robust type-I interferon induction. Nature 434, 1035–1040.

Honda, K., Sakaguchi, S., Nakajima, C., Watanabe, A., Yanai, H., Matsumoto, M., Ohteki, T., Kaisho, T., Takaoka, A., Akira, S., et al. (2003). Selective contribution of IFN-alpha/beta signaling to the maturation of dendritic cells induced by double-stranded RNA or viral infection. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *100*, 10872–10877.

Honda, K., Takaoka, A., and Taniguchi, T. (2006). Type I interferon gene induction by the interferon regulatory factor family of transcription factors. Immunity *25*, 349–360.

Honda, K., Yanai, H., Negishi, H., Asagiri, M., Sato, M., Mizutani, T., Shimada, N., Ohba, Y., Takaoka, A., Yoshida, N., and Taniguchi, T. (2005b). IRF-7 is the master regulator of type-I interferon-dependent immune responses. Nature *434*, 772–777.

Hornung, V., Ellegast, J., Kim, S., Brzozka, K., Jung, A., Kato, H., Poeck, H., Akira, S., Conzelmann, K.K., Schlee, M., et al. (2006). 5'-Triphosphate RNA is the ligand for RIG-I. Science *314*, 994–997.

Hornung, V., Schlender, J., Guenthner-Biller, M., Rothenfusser, S., Endres, S., Conzelmann, K.K., and Hartmann, G. (2004). Replicationdependent potent IFN-alpha induction in human plasmacytoid dendritic cells by a single-stranded RNA virus. J. Immunol. *173*, 5935–5943.

Hoshino, K., Sugiyama, T., Matsumoto, M., Tanaka, T., Saito, M., Hemmi, H., Ohara, O., Akira, S., and Kaisho, T. (2006). IkappaB kinase-alpha is critical for interferon-alpha production induced by Toll-like receptors 7 and 9. Nature *440*, 949–953.

lacobelli-Martinez, M., and Nemerow, G. (2007). Preferential activation of Toll-like receptor nine by CD46-utilizing adenoviruses. J. Virol. *81*, 1305–1312.

Isaacs, A., Cox, R.A., and Rotem, Z. (1963). Foreign nucleic acids as the stimulus to make interferon. Lancet 2, 113–116.

Isaacs, A., and Lindenmann, J. (1957). Virus interference. I. The interferon. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 147, 258–267.

Ishii, K.J., Coban, C., Kato, H., Takahashi, K., Torii, Y., Takeshita, F., Ludwig, H., Sutter, G., Suzuki, K., Hemmi, H., et al. (2006). A Toll-like receptor-independent antiviral response induced by double-stranded B-form DNA. Nat. Immunol. 7, 40–48.

Iwasaki, A., and Medzhitov, R. (2004). Toll-like receptor control of the adaptive immune responses. Nat. Immunol. 5, 987–995.

Jacobs, B.L., and Langland, J.O. (1996). When two strands are better than one: The mediators and modulators of the cellular responses to double-stranded RNA. Virology *219*, 339–349.

Janeway, C.A., Jr. (1989). Approaching the asymptote? Evolution and revolution in immunology. Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol. *54*, 1–13.

Johansson, C., Wetzel, J., He, J., Mikacenic, C., Dermody, T., and Kelsall, B. (2007). Type I interferons produced by hematopoietic cells protect mice against lethal infection by mammalian reovirus. J. Exp. Med. 204, 1349–1358.

Jude, B.A., Pobezinskaya, Y., Bishop, J., Parke, S., Medzhitov, R.M., Chervonsky, A.V., and Golovkina, T.V. (2003). Subversion of the innate immune system by a retrovirus. Nat. Immunol. *4*, 573–578. Jurk, M., Heil, F., Vollmer, J., Schetter, C., Krieg, A.M., Wagner, H., Lipford, G., and Bauer, S. (2002). Human TLR7 or TLR8 independently confer responsiveness to the antiviral compound R-848. Nat. Immunol. 3. 499.

Karikó, K., Buckstein, M., Ni, H., and Weissman, D. (2005). Suppression of RNA recognition by Toll-like receptors: The impact of nucleoside modification and the evolutionary origin of RNA. Immunity *23*, 165–175.

Kato, H., Sato, S., Yoneyama, M., Yamamoto, M., Uematsu, S., Matsui, K., Tsujimura, T., Takeda, K., Fujita, T., Takeuchi, O., and Akira, S. (2005). Cell type-specific involvement of RIG-I in antiviral response. Immunity *23*, 19–28.

Kato, H., Takeuchi, O., Sato, S., Yoneyama, M., Yamamoto, M., Matsui, K., Uematsu, S., Jung, A., Kawai, T., Ishii, K.J., et al. (2006). Differential roles of MDA5 and RIG-I helicases in the recognition of RNA viruses. Nature 441, 101–105.

Kawai, T., Takahashi, K., Sato, S., Coban, C., Kumar, H., Kato, H., Ishii, K.J., Takeuchi, O., and Akira, S. (2005). IPS-1, an adaptor triggering RIG-I- and Mda5-mediated type I interferon induction. Nat. Immunol. 6, 981–988.

Kawane, K., Ohtani, M., Miwa, K., Kizawa, T., Kanbara, Y., Yoshioka, Y., Yoshikawa, H., and Nagata, S. (2006). Chronic polyarthritis caused by mammalian DNA that escapes from degradation in macrophages. Nature *443*, 998–1002.

Kim, D.H., Longo, M., Han, Y., Lundberg, P., Cantin, E., and Rossi, J.J. (2004). Interferon induction by siRNAs and ssRNAs synthesized by phage polymerase. Nat. Biotechnol. *22*, 321–325.

Kim, Y.G., Muralinath, M., Brandt, T., Pearcy, M., Hauns, K., Lowenhaupt, K., Jacobs, B.L., and Rich, A. (2003). A role for Z-DNA binding in vaccinia virus pathogenesis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *100*, 6974– 6979.

Kochs, G., Garcia-Sastre, A., and Martinez-Sobrido, L. (2007). Multiple anti-interferon actions of the influenza A virus NS1 protein. J. Virol. *81*, 7011–7021.

Kotenko, S.V., Gallagher, G., Baurin, V.V., Lewis-Antes, A., Shen, M., Shah, N.K., Langer, J.A., Sheikh, F., Dickensheets, H., and Donnelly, R.P. (2003). IFN-lambdas mediate antiviral protection through a distinct class II cytokine receptor complex. Nat. Immunol. *4*, 69–77.

Krieg, A.M. (2002). CpG motifs in bacterial DNA and their immune effects. Annu. Rev. Immunol. 20, 709-760.

Krug, A., French, A.R., Barchet, W., Fischer, J.A., Dzionek, A., Pingel, J.T., Orihuela, M.M., Akira, S., Yokoyama, W.M., and Colonna, M. (2004a). TLR9-dependent recognition of MCMV by IPC and DC generates coordinated cytokine responses that activate antiviral NK cell function. Immunity *21*, 107–119.

Krug, A., Luker, G.D., Barchet, W., Leib, D.A., Akira, S., and Colonna, M. (2004b). Herpes simplex virus type 1 activates murine natural interferon-producing cells through toll-like receptor 9. Blood *103*, 1433– 1437.

Kumar, A., Haque, J., Lacoste, J., Hiscott, J., and Williams, B. (1994). Double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase activates transcription factor NF-kappa B by phosphorylating I kappa B. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97, 6288–6292.

Kumar, H., Kawai, T., Kato, H., Sato, S., Takahashi, K., Coban, C., Yamamoto, M., Uematsu, S., Ishii, K.J., Takeuchi, O., and Akira, S. (2006). Essential role of IPS-1 in innate immune responses against RNA viruses. J. Exp. Med. *203*, 1795–1803.

Kurt-Jones, E.A., Chan, M., Zhou, S., Wang, J., Reed, G., Bronson, R., Arnold, M.M., Knipe, D.M., and Finberg, R.W. (2004). Herpes simplex virus 1 interaction with Toll-like receptor 2 contributes to lethal encephalitis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101, 1315–1320.

Kurt-Jones, E.A., Popova, L., Kwinn, L., Haynes, L.M., Jones, L.P., Tripp, R.A., Walsh, E.E., Freeman, M.W., Golenbock, D.T., Anderson, L.J., and Finberg, R.W. (2000). Pattern recognition receptors TLR4 and CD14 mediate response to respiratory syncytial virus. Nat. Immunol. 1, 398–401.



Lamb, R.A., and Krug, R.M. (2001). Orthomyxoviridae: The viruses and their replication. In Fields Virology, D. Knipe, P.M. Howley, D.E. Griffin, R.A. Lamb, M.A. Martin, B. Roizman, and S.E. Straus, eds. (Philadel-phia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins), pp. 1487–1532.

Latz, E., Schoenemeyer, A., Visintin, A., Fitzgerald, K.A., Monks, B.G., Knetter, C.F., Lien, E., Nilsen, N.J., Espevik, T., and Golenbock, D.T. (2004). TLR9 signals after translocating from the ER to CpG DNA in the lysosome. Nat. Immunol. *5*, 190–198.

Latz, E., Verma, A., Visintin, A., Gong, M., Sirois, C., Klein, D., Monks, B., McKnight, C., Lamphier, M., Duprex, W., et al. (2007). Ligandinduced conformational changes allosterically activate Toll-like receptor 9. Nat. Immunol. 8, 772–779.

Lau, C.M., Broughton, C., Tabor, A.S., Akira, S., Flavell, R.A., Mamula, M.J., Christensen, S.R., Shlomchik, M.J., Viglianti, G.A., Rifkin, I.R., and Marshak-Rothstein, A. (2005). RNA-associated autoantigens activate B cells by combined B cell antigen receptor/Toll-like receptor 7 engagement. J. Exp. Med. 202, 1171–1177.

Le Bon, A., and Tough, D.F. (2002). Links between innate and adaptive immunity via type I interferon. Curr. Opin. Immunol. *14*, 432–436.

Le Goffic, R., Balloy, V., Lagranderie, M., Alexopoulou, L., Escriou, N., Flavell, R., Chignard, M., and Si-Tahar, M. (2006). Detrimental contribution of the Toll-like receptor (TLR)3 to influenza A virus-induced acute pneumonia. PLoS Pathog. 2, e53.

Leadbetter, E.A., Rifkin, I.R., Hohlbaum, A.M., Beaudette, B.C., Shlomchik, M.J., and Marshak-Rothstein, A. (2002). Chromatin-IgG complexes activate B cells by dual engagement of IgM and Toll-like receptors. Nature *416*, 603–607.

Lee, H.K., Dunzendorfer, S., Soldau, K., and Tobias, P.S. (2006). Double-stranded RNA-mediated TLR3 activation is enhanced by CD14. Immunity *24*, 153–163.

Lee, H.K., Lund, J.M., Ramanathan, B., Mizushima, N., and Iwasaki, A. (2007). Autophagy-dependent viral recognition by plasmacytoid dendritic cells. Science *315*, 1398–1401.

Li, K., Foy, E., Ferreon, J., Nakamura, M., Ferreon, A., Ikeda, M., Ray, S., Gale, M., and Lemon, S. (2005). Immune evasion by hepatitis C virus NS3/4A protease-mediated cleavage of the Toll-like receptor 3 adaptor protein TRIF. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *102*, 2992–2997.

Lund, J., Alexopoulou, L., Sato, A., Karow, M., Adams, N., Gale, N., Iwasaki, A., and Flavell, R. (2004). Recognition of single-stranded RNA viruses by Toll-like receptor 7. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *101*, 5598–5603.

Lund, J., Sato, A., Akira, S., Medzhitov, R., and Iwasaki, A. (2003). Tolllike receptor 9-mediated recognition of Herpes simplex virus-2 by plasmacytoid dendritic cells. J. Exp. Med. 198, 513–520.

Lund, J.M., Linehan, M.M., Iijima, N., and Iwasaki, A. (2006). Cutting Edge: Plasmacytoid dendritic cells provide innate immune protection against mucosal viral infection in situ. J. Immunol. *177*, 7510–7514.

Ma, Y., Li, J., Chiu, I., Wang, Y., Sloane, J.A., Lu, J., Kosaras, B., Sidman, R.L., Volpe, J.J., and Vartanian, T. (2006). Toll-like receptor 8 functions as a negative regulator of neurite outgrowth and inducer of neuronal apoptosis. J. Cell Biol. *175*, 209–215.

Malathi, K., Dong, B., Gale, M., and Silverman, R. (2007). Small self-RNA generated by RNase L amplifies antiviral innate immunity. Nature *448*, 816–819.

Marshak-Rothstein, A., and Rifkin, I. (2007). Immunologically active autoantigens: The role of toll-like receptors in the development of chronic inflammatory disease. Annu. Rev. Immunol. 25, 419–441.

Marshall-Clarke, S., Downes, J.E., Haga, I.R., Bowie, A.G., Borrow, P., Pennock, J.E., Grencis, R.K., and Rothwell, P. (2007). Polyinosinic acid is a ligand for toll-like receptor 3. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 24759–24766.

Matsumoto, M., Kikkawa, S., Kohase, M., Miyake, K., and Seya, T. (2002). Establishment of a monoclonal antibody against human Tolllike receptor 3 that blocks double-stranded RNA-mediated signaling. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. *293*, 1364–1369. Meylan, E., Curran, J., Hofmann, K., Moradpour, D., Binder, M., Bartenschlager, R., and Tschopp, J. (2005). Cardif is an adaptor protein in the RIG-I antiviral pathway and is targeted by hepatitis C virus. Nature *437*, 1167–1172.

Mibayashi, M., Martinez-Sobrido, L., Loo, Y.M., Cardenas, W.B., Gale, M., Jr., and Garcia-Sastre, A. (2007). Inhibition of retinoic acidinducible gene I-mediated induction of beta interferon by the NS1 protein of influenza A virus. J. Virol. *81*, 514–524.

Nagata, S. (2005). DNA degradation in development and programmed cell death. Annu. Rev. Immunol. *23*, 853–875.

Negishi, H., Fujita, Y., Yanai, H., Sakaguchi, S., Ouyang, X., Shinohara, M., Takayanagi, H., Ohba, Y., Taniguchi, T., and Honda, K. (2006). Evidence for licensing of IFN-gamma-induced IFN regulatory factor 1 transcription factor by MyD88 in Toll-like receptor-dependent gene induction program. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *103*, 15136–15141.

Novoa, R.R., Calderita, G., Arranz, R., Fontana, J., Granzow, H., and Risco, C. (2005). Virus factories: Associations of cell organelles for viral replication and morphogenesis. Biol. Cell 97, 147–172.

Oganesyan, G., Saha, S.K., Guo, B., He, J.Q., Shahangian, A., Zarnegar, B., Perry, A., and Cheng, G. (2006). Critical role of TRAF3 in the Toll-like receptor-dependent and -independent antiviral response. Nature 439, 208–211.

Okabe, Y., Kawane, K., Akira, S., Taniguchi, T., and Nagata, S. (2005). Toll-like receptor-independent gene induction program activated by mammalian DNA escaped from apoptotic DNA degradation. J. Exp. Med. 202, 1333–1339.

Onoguchi, K., Yoneyama, M., Takemura, A., Akira, S., Taniguchi, T., Namiki, H., and Fujita, T. (2007). Viral infections activate types I and III interferon genes through a common mechanism. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 7576–7581.

Opitz, B., Rejaibi, A., Dauber, B., Eckhard, J., Vinzing, M., Schmeck, B., Hippenstiel, S., Suttorp, N., and Wolff, T. (2007). IFNbeta induction by influenza A virus is mediated by RIG-I which is regulated by the viral NS1 protein. Cell. Microbiol. *9*, 930–938.

Pestka, S., Krause, C.D., and Walter, M.R. (2004). Interferons, interferon-like cytokines, and their receptors. Immunol. Rev. 202, 8–32.

Pichlmair, A., Buse, J., Jennings, S., Haller, O., Kochs, G., and Staeheli, P. (2004). Thogoto virus lacking interferon-antagonistic protein ML is strongly attenuated in newborn Mx1-positive but not Mx1negative mice. J. Virol. 78, 11422–11424.

Pichlmair, A., Schulz, O., Tan, C.P., Naslund, T.I., Liljestrom, P., Weber, F., and Reis e Sousa, C. (2006). RIG-I-mediated antiviral responses to single-stranded RNA bearing 5'-phosphates. Science *314*, 997–1001.

Pisitkun, P., Deane, J.A., Difilippantonio, M.J., Tarasenko, T., Satterthwaite, A.B., and Bolland, S. (2006). Autoreactive B cell responses to RNA-related antigens due to TLR7 gene duplication. Science *312*, 1669–1672.

Player, M., and Torrence, P. (1998). The 2–5A system: Modulation of viral and cellular processes through acceleration of RNA degradation. Pharmacol. Ther. 78, 55–113.

Plumet, S., Herschke, F., Bourhis, J.M., Valentin, H., Longhi, S., and Gerlier, D. (2007). Cytosolic 5'-triphosphate ended viral leader transcript of measles virus as activator of the RIG I-mediated interferon response. PLoS ONE 2, e279.

Ramakrishnan, V. (2002). Ribosome structure and the mechanism of translation. Cell *108*, 557–572.

Racaniello, V.R. (2001). Picornaviridae: The viruses and their replication. In Fields Virology., D. Knipe, P.M. Howley, D.E. Griffin, R.A. Lamb, M.A. Martin, B. Roizman, and S.E. Straus, eds. (Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins), pp. 685–722.

Rassa, J., and Ross, S. (2003). Viruses and Toll-like receptors. Microbes Infect. 5, 961–968.



Rassa, J.C., Meyers, J.L., Zhang, Y., Kudaravalli, R., and Ross, S.R. (2002). Murine retroviruses activate B cells via interaction with tolllike receptor 4. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 99, 2281–2286.

Reis e Sousa, C. (2004). Toll-like receptors and dendritic cells: For whom the bug tolls. Semin. Immunol. *16*, 27–34.

Richer, M.J., Fang, D., Shanina, I., and Horwitz, M.S. (2006). Toll-like receptor 4-induced cytokine production circumvents protection conferred by TGF-beta in coxsackievirus-mediated autoimmune myocarditis. Clin. Immunol. *121*, 339–349.

Rothenfusser, S., Goutagny, N., DiPerna, G., Gong, M., Monks, B.G., Schoenemeyer, A., Yamamoto, M., Akira, S., and Fitzgerald, K.A. (2005). The RNA helicase Lgp2 inhibits TLR-independent sensing of viral replication by retinoic acid-inducible gene-I. J. Immunol. *175*, 5260–5268.

Saito, T., Hirai, R., Loo, Y.M., Owen, D., Johnson, C.L., Sinha, S.C., Akira, S., Fujita, T., and Gale, M., Jr. (2007). Regulation of innate antiviral defenses through a shared repressor domain in RIG-I and LGP2. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *104*, 582–587.

Samuel, C.E. (2001). Antiviral actions of interferons. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 14, 778-809.

Sasai, M., Shingai, M., Funami, K., Yoneyama, M., Fujita, T., Matsumoto, M., and Seya, T. (2006). NAK-associated protein 1 participates in both the TLR3 and the cytoplasmic pathways in type I IFN induction. J. Immunol. 177, 8676–8683.

Sato, A., Linehan, M.M., and Iwasaki, A. (2006). Dual recognition of herpes simplex viruses by TLR2 and TLR9 in dendritic cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *103*, 17343–17348.

Schmitz, F., Heit, A., Guggemoos, S., Krug, A., Mages, J., Schiemann, M., Adler, H., Drexler, I., Haas, T., Lang, R., and Wagner, H. (2007). Interferon-regulatory-factor 1 controls Toll-like receptor 9-mediated IFN-beta production in myeloid dendritic cells. Eur. J. Immunol. *37*, 315–327.

Schulz, O., Diebold, S.S., Chen, M., Naslund, T.I., Nolte, M.A., Alexopoulou, L., Azuma, Y.T., Flavell, R.A., Liljestrom, P., and Reis e Sousa, C. (2005). Toll-like receptor 3 promotes cross-priming to virus-infected cells. Nature *433*, 887–892.

Seth, R., Sun, L., Ea, C., and Chen, Z. (2005). Identification and characterization of MAVS, a mitochondrial antiviral signaling protein that activates NF-kappaB and IRF 3. Cell *122*, 669–682.

Sharma, S., tenOever, B., Grandvaux, N., Zhou, G., Lin, R., and Hiscott, J. (2003). Triggering the interferon antiviral response through an IKK-related pathway. Science *300*, 1148–1151.

Shinohara, M.L., Lu, L., Bu, J., Werneck, M.B., Kobayashi, K.S., Glimcher, L.H., and Cantor, H. (2006). Osteopontin expression is essential for interferon-alpha production by plasmacytoid dendritic cells. Nat. Immunol. 7, 498–506.

Smith, E.J., Marie, I., Prakash, A., Garcia-Sastre, A., and Levy, D.E. (2001). IRF3 and IRF7 phosphorylation in virus-infected cells does not require double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase R or Ikappa B kinase but is blocked by Vaccinia virus E3L protein. J. Biol. Chem. *276*, 8951–8957.

Stark, G.R., Kerr, I.M., Williams, B.R., Silverman, R.H., and Schreiber, R.D. (1998). How cells respond to interferons. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 67, 227–264.

Stetson, D.B., and Medzhitov, R. (2006). Recognition of cytosolic DNA activates an IRF3-dependent innate immune response. Immunity 24, 93–103.

Sun, Q., Sun, L., Liu, H., Chen, X., Seth, R., Forman, J., and Chen, Z. (2006). The specific and essential role of MAVS in antiviral innate immune responses. Immunity *24*, 633–642.

Tabeta, K., Georgel, P., Janssen, E., Du, X., Hoebe, K., Crozat, K., Mudd, S., Shamel, L., Sovath, S., Goode, J., et al. (2004). Toll-like receptors 9 and 3 as essential components of innate immune defense against mouse cytomegalovirus infection. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *101*, 3516–3521. Tabeta, K., Hoebe, K., Janssen, E.M., Du, X., Georgel, P., Crozat, K., Mudd, S., Mann, N., Sovath, S., Goode, J., et al. (2006). The Unc93b1 mutation 3d disrupts exogenous antigen presentation and signaling via Toll-like receptors 3, 7 and 9. Nat. Immunol. 7, 156–164.

Takahashi, K., Kawai, T., Kumar, H., Sato, S., Yonehara, S., and Akira, S. (2006). Roles of caspase-8 and caspase-10 in innate immune responses to double-stranded RNA. J. Immunol. *176*, 4520–4524.

Takaoka, A., Wang, Z., Choi, M.K., Yanai, H., Negishi, H., Ban, T., Lu, Y., Miyagishi, M., Kodama, T., Honda, K., et al. (2007). DAI (DLM-1/ZBP1) is a cytosolic DNA sensor and an activator of innate immune response. Nature 448, 501–505.

Takeda, K., Kaisho, T., and Akira, S. (2003). Toll-like receptors. Annu. Rev. Immunol. *21*, 335–376.

Uematsu, S., and Akira, S. (2007). Toll-like receptors and Type I interferons. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 15319–15323.

Underhill, D.M., Ozinsky, A., Hajjar, A.M., Stevens, A., Wilson, C.B., Bassetti, M., and Aderem, A. (1999). The Toll-like receptor 2 is recruited to macrophage phagosomes and discriminates between pathogens. Nature *401*, 811–815.

Venkataraman, T., Valdes, M., Elsby, R., Kakuta, S., Caceres, G., Saijo, S., Iwakura, Y., and Barber, G.N. (2007). Loss of DExD/H box RNA helicase LGP2 manifests disparate antiviral responses. J. Immunol. *178*, 6444–6455.

Wang, J., Asher, D., Chan, M., Kurt-Jones, E., and Finberg, R. (2007). Cutting edge: Antibody-mediated TLR7-dependent recognition of viral RNA. J. Immunol. *178*, 3363–3367.

Wang, T., Town, T., Alexopoulou, L., Anderson, J.F., Fikrig, E., and Flavell, R.A. (2004). Toll-like receptor 3 mediates West Nile virus entry into the brain causing lethal encephalitis. Nat. Med. *10*, 1366–1373.

Wang, X.H., Aliyari, R., Li, W.X., Li, H.W., Kim, K., Carthew, R., Atkinson, P., and Ding, S.W. (2006). RNA interference directs innate immunity against viruses in adult Drosophila. Science 312, 452–454.

Weber, F., Kochs, G., and Haller, O. (2004). Inverse interference: How viruses fight the interferon system. Viral Immunol. *17*, 498–515.

Weber, F., Wagner, V., Rasmussen, S.B., Hartmann, R., and Paludan, S.R. (2006). Double-stranded RNA is produced by positive-strand RNA viruses and DNA viruses but not in detectable amounts by negative-strand RNA viruses. J. Virol. *80*, 5059–5064.

Wild, K., Weichenrieder, O., Strub, K., Sinning, I., and Cusack, S. (2002). Towards the structure of the mammalian signal recognition particle. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. *12*, 72–81.

Williams, B.R. (2001). Signal integration via PKR. Sci. STKE 2001, RE2.

Xiang, Y., Condit, R.C., Vijaysri, S., Jacobs, B., Williams, B.R., and Silverman, R.H. (2002). Blockade of interferon induction and action by the E3L double-stranded RNA binding proteins of vaccinia virus. J. Virol. *76*, 5251–5259.

Xu, L.G., Wang, Y.Y., Han, K.J., Li, L.Y., Zhai, Z., and Shu, H.B. (2005). VISA is an adapter protein required for virus-triggered IFN-beta signaling. Mol. Cell *19*, 727–740.

Yang, K., Puel, A., Zhang, S., Eidenschenk, C., Ku, C.L., Casrouge, A., Picard, C., von Bernuth, H., Senechal, B., Plancoulaine, S., et al. (2005). Human TLR-7-, -8-, and -9-mediated induction of IFN-alpha/ beta and -lambda Is IRAK-4 dependent and redundant for protective immunity to viruses. Immunity 23, 465–478.

Yang, Y.L., Reis, L.F., Pavlovic, J., Aguzzi, A., Schafer, R., Kumar, A., Williams, B.R., Aguet, M., and Weissmann, C. (1995). Deficient signaling in mice devoid of double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase. EMBO J. *14*, 6095–6106.

Yasuda, K., Yu, P., Kirschning, C.J., Schlatter, B., Schmitz, F., Heit, A., Bauer, S., Hochrein, H., and Wagner, H. (2005). Endosomal translocation of vertebrate DNA activates dendritic cells via TLR9dependent and -independent pathways. J. Immunol. *174*, 6129– 6136.

382 Immunity 27, September 2007 ©2007 Elsevier Inc.



Yoneyama, M., and Fujita, T. (2007). Function of RIG-I-like receptors in antiviral innate immunity. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 15315–15318.

Yoneyama, M., Kikuchi, M., Matsumoto, K., Imaizumi, T., Miyagishi, M., Taira, K., Foy, E., Loo, Y.M., Gale, M., Jr., Akira, S., et al. (2005). Shared and unique functions of the DExD/H-box helicases RIG-I, MDA5, and LGP2 in antiviral innate immunity. J. Immunol. *175*, 2851–2858.

Yoneyama, M., Kikuchi, M., Natsukawa, T., Shinobu, N., Imaizumi, T., Miyagishi, M., Taira, K., Akira, S., and Fujita, T. (2004). The RNA heli-

case RIG-I has an essential function in double-stranded RNA-induced innate antiviral responses. Nat. Immunol. *5*, 730–737.

Yoshida, H., Okabe, Y., Kawane, K., Fukuyama, H., and Nagata, S. (2005). Lethal anemia caused by interferon-beta produced in mouse embryos carrying undigested DNA. Nat. Immunol. *6*, 49–56.

Zhou, S., Kurt-Jones, E.A., Fitzgerald, K.A., Wang, J.P., Cerny, A.M., Chan, M., and Finberg, R.W. (2007). Role of MyD88 in route-dependent susceptibility to vesicular stomatitis virus infection. J. Immunol. *178*, 5173–5181.