DISpatch

understanding of cytokinesis will require that we think 'outside of the cell' and incorporate a role for the ECM in cell division.

References

- Hynes, R.O. (2009), The extracellular matrix: not just pretty fibrils. Science 326, 1216-1219.
- Pollard, T.D. (2010). Mechanics of cytokinesis in eukaryotes. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 22, 50-56.
- Timpl, R., Sasaki, T., Kostka, G., and Chu, M.L. (2003). Fibulins: a versatile family of extracellular matrix proteins. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 4, 479-489.
- Dong, C., Muriel, J.M., Ramirez, S., Hutter, H., Hedgecock, E.M., Breydo, L., Baskakov, I.V., and Vogel, B.E. (2006). Hemicentin assembly in the extracellular matrix is mediated by distinct structural modules. J. Biol. Chem. 281, 23606-23610.
- Vogel, B.E., and Hedgecock, E.M. (2001). Hemicentin, a conserved extracellular member of the immunoglobulin superfamily, organizes epithelial and other cell attachments into oriented line-shaped junctions. Development 128, 883-894,
- Hodgkin, J., Horvitz, H.R., and Brenner, S. (1979). Nondisjunction mutants of the

- nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 91, 67-94.
- Xu. X., and Vogel, B.E. (2011), A secreted protein promotes cleavage furrow maturation during cytokinesis. Curr. Biol. 21, 114-119.
- Hubbard, E.J., and Greenstein, D. (2000). The Caenorhabditis elegans gonad: a test tube for cell and developmental biology. Dev. Dyn. 218,
- Schultz, D.W., Weleber, R.G., Lawrence, G., Barral, S., Majewski, J., Acott, T.S., and Klein, M.L. (2005). HEMICENTIN-1 (FIBULIN-6) and the 1q31 AMD locus in the context of complex disease: review and perspective. Ophthalmic Genet. 26, 101-105.
- Dastgheib, K., and Green, W.R. (1994). 10. Granulomatous reaction to Bruch's membrane in age-related macular degeneration. Arch. Ophthalmol. 112, 813-818.
- 11. Shaw, J.A., Mol, P.C., Bowers, B., Silverman, S.J., Valdivieso, M.H., Duran, A., and Cabib, E. (1991). The function of chitin synthases 2 and 3 in the Saccharomyces cerevisiae cell cycle. J. Cell Biol. 114, 111-123.
- Schmidt, M. (2004). Survival and cytokinesis of Saccharomyces cerevisiae in the absence of chitin. Microbiology 150, 3253-3260.
- Olson, S.K., Bishop, J.R., Yates, J.R., Oegema, K., and Esko, J.D. (2006). Identification of novel chondroitin

- proteoglycans in Caenorhabditis elegans: embryonic cell division depends on CPG-1 and CPG-2, J. Cell Biol, 173, 985-994
- Xu, X., Rongali, S.C., Miles, J.P., Lee, K.D., and Lee, M. (2006). pat-4/ILK and unc-112/Mig-2 are required for gonad function in Caenorhabditis elegans. Exp. Cell Res. 312, 1475-1483.
- 15. Reverte, C.G., Benware, A., Jones, C.W., and LaFlamme, S.E. (2006). Perturbing integrin function inhibits microtubule growth from centrosomes, spindle assembly, and cytokinesis. J. Cell Biol. 174, 491-497.
- Pellinen, T., Tuomi, S., Arjonen, A., Wolf, M., Edgren, H., Meyer, H., Grosse, R., Kitzing, T., Rantala, J.K., Kallioniemi, O., et al. (2008). Integrin trafficking regulated by Rab21 is necessary for cytokinesis. Dev. Cell 15, 371-385.

¹Columbia University, Department of Pathology and Cell Biology, New York, NY 10032, USA. ²University of California at San Diego, Cellular and Molecular Medicine, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA.

*E-mail: jcc2210@columbia.edu

DOI: 10.1016/i.cub.2010.12.040

Cell-Cell Fusion: A New Function for Invadosomes

Podosomes are cytoskeletal-based structures involved in extracellular matrix remodeling and cellular motility. A new study now implicates podosomes in pore formation during myoblast fusion.

Bong Hwan Sung and Alissa Weaver*

Cell-cell fusion is a highly regulated event that is critical for many physiological and pathological events, including fertilization, muscle development, and immune response. During skeletal muscle development, fusion of muscle cells generates multinucleate and functional muscle fibers and aberrant fusion has been implicated in dystrophic muscle diseases [1,2]. Recently, a number of groups have studied myoblast fusion during body wall muscle formation in Drosophila melanogaster as a genetically tractable in vivo model system to study cell-cell fusion [1]. In a new study published in the Journal of Cell Biology, Sens et al. [3] investigated the role of actin assembly in formation of the fusion pore during Drosophila myoblast fusion. Interestingly, they find that an invasive, actin-rich, podosome-like structure is used by fusion-competent myoblasts (FCMs) to adhere to and fuse with muscle founder cells.

Previously, it was known that actin filaments accumulate transiently at the site of myoblast fusion [4-6], dependent on signaling from heterotypic adhesion molecules and downstream regulators of branched actin assembly, including Rac, SCAR and WASP [7]. Furthermore, both the SCAR and WASP complex activators of the branched-actin-nucleating Arp2/3 complex were known to be essential for myoblast fusion [5,6,8-10]. However, the nature of the fusion structure and the roles of individual actin regulators were poorly understood.

To determine whether the prominent actin accumulations at pre-fusion sites were unique to a muscle cell subtype, Sens et al. [3] expressed GFP-actin under the control of FCM-specific or founder-cell-specific promoters and costained for all actin filaments in embryos with fluorescent phalloidin. Interestingly, the large actin foci were exclusively found in FCM cells and were associated with a deformation in the founder cell membrane.

Transmission electron microscopy studies showed finger-like FCM cell protrusions apparently invading into the founder cells at the site of cell-cell fusion.

Invasive, actin-rich, finger-like protrusions have been well characterized in cells that invade or remodel tissue and are termed invadopodia in cancer cells and podosomes in normal cells (or collectively, invadosomes) [11,12]. However, a role in cell-cell fusion has not been previously described, and their main function is thought to be degradation of extracellular matrix (ECM), in part due to active trafficking of ECM-degrading proteinases to sites of protrusion formation (Figure 1). The myoblast structures observed by Sens et al. [3] seemed to be a potential variation of podosomes, as they were morphologically similar by electron microscopy and even had adhesion ring structures, albeit cell-cell rather than cell-ECM adhesions. If these structures really were podosomes, the new data revealed that podosomes might be more versatile than previously appreciated and also that they are formed in vivo during developmental 'invasions'.

To determine whether the FCM actin-rich protrusions resembled podosomes at the molecular level, Sens et al. [3] manipulated the

A Myoblast podosome B ECM-degrading podosome Fusioncompetent myoblast WASP N-WASP Sns Fusogen-containing Duf vesicles Founder cell M **ECM** degradation **MMP-containing** Extracellular matrix Ν **SCAR** Ν vesicles

Figure 1. Comparison of myoblast podosome with ECM-degrading podosome.

(A) Actin assembly is required on both the fusion-competent myoblast and founder cell membranes for initiation of fusion pore formation. Although WASP and SCAR have redundant functions in the formation of actin foci in FCM podosome structures, WASP is essential for invasion of FCM podosomes into founder cells and SCAR induces the formation of a thin actin layer at the pre-fusion site in founder cells. Similar to traditional podosomes (B), myoblast podosomes have an adhesion ring; however, the molecular components are immunoglobulin (Ig) superfamily cell–cell adhesion receptors found in the FCM (Sns ring) and founder cells (Duf ring). Fusogen-containing vesicles may be trafficked to podosomes to promote cell fusion. (B) WASP/N-WASP promotes formation of branched-actin-rich, ECM-degrading podosomes. Rings containing integrins and focal adhesion proteins are formed around ECM-degrading podosomes. Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) are embedded in vesicles, transported to podosomes, and secreted to induce ECM degradation. N, nucleus.

SCAR and WASP regulators of branched-actin assembly and determined the effects on F-actin foci formation and myoblast fusion. Loss of both protein complexes in scar,sltr double mutants led to loss of the FCM actin focus, verifying that it is a branched-actin-based structure. However, the actin focus was present in single scar or sltr mutants, suggesting compensation of one branched-actin regulator for the other. More interesting, however, was the finding that, despite continued formation of the F-actin foci on the FCM side of the pre-fusion site, loss of WASP but not SCAR complexes led to defective formation of invasive protrusions and lack of FCM invasion into founder cells. By contrast, loss of SCAR affected actin assembly at the founder cell membrane side of the pre-fusion site. As WASP, but not SCAR, homologues are known to be essential for podosome formation and function in mammalian cells, these data support the concept that the invasive myoblast protrusions are similar or identical to podosomes.

Sens et al. [3] suggest that actin assembly is required on both the FCM and founder cell membranes for initiation of fusion pore formation. In FCM cells, WASP activity promotes formation of actin-rich, invasive podosomes that protrude into founder cells and allow extensive membrane contact at the pre-fusion site. In founder cells, SCAR promotes formation of a thin actin layer at the pre-fusion site and is necessary for cell fusion. These two actin structures may allow close enough apposition and/or curvature of the membranes for fusion to initiate. They may also serve as docking sites for vesicles containing fusogenic factors, such as lipid rafts (Figure 1) [13,14]. Notably, Golgi-derived vesicles with electron-dense rims have been observed to move toward muscle-cell contact sites in Drosophila embryos [5]. Moreover, invadopodia in cancer cells have been shown to be dependent on the lipid raft protein caveolin-1 [15], and both podosomes and invadopodia are sites of active vesicle trafficking [11,12]. Since both WASP and SCAR are essential for myoblast fusion, the authors were not able to dissect further the individual roles of these actin regulators in later events. However, they did analyze the structure of the fusion site in wild-type embryos by a high-pressure freezing/ freeze substitution electron microscopy preparation and found a single macrofusion pore filled with

ribosomes and other organelles but not actin. Thus, after fusion pore initiation, rapid disassembly of actin and pore expansion is likely to occur.

Current Biology

Overall, the study by Sens et al. [3] provides an elegant example of the versatility of actin-based structures for cellular invasion processes in vivo. Although a previous study had shown that leukocytes use podosomes as adhesion and invasion structures during transcytosis of endothelial cells [16], podosomes had not been previously identified as mediators of direct fusion of two cells. Indeed, the dogma in the field has been that podosomes are structures that mediate ECM adhesion and degradation. A final novel contribution of this paper is the identification of podosomes in an in vivo setting. evidence for which has so far been limited [17,18], potentially due to their small size and transient nature [11,19]. Future studies should shed further light upon the adaptability of these invasive structures.

References

 Chen, E.H., and Olson, E.N. (2004). Towards a molecular pathway for myoblast fusion in Drosophila. Trends Cell Biol. 14, 452–460.

- Volonte, D., Peoples, A.J., and Galbiati, F. (2003). Modulation of myoblast fusion by caveolin-3 in dystrophic skeletal muscle cells: implications for Duchenne muscular dystrophy and limb-girdle muscular dystrophy-1C. Mol. Biol. Cell 14, 4075-4088.
- Sens, K.L., Zhang, S., Jin, P., Duan, R., Zhang, G., Luo, F., Parachini, L., and Chen, E.H. (2010). An invasive podosome-like structure promotes fusion pore formation during myoblast fusion. J. Cell Biol. 191, 1013–1027.
- Kesper, D.A., Stute, C., Buttgereit, D., Kreiskother, N., Vishnu, S., Fischbach, K.F., and Renkawitz-Pohl, R. (2007). Myoblast fusion in Drosophila melanogaster is mediated through a fusion-restricted myogenic-adhesive structure (FuRMAS). Dev. Dyn. 236, 404–415.
- structure (FuRMAS). Dev. Dyn. 236, 404–415.

 Kim, S., Shilagardi, K., Zhang, S., Hong, S.N., Sens, K.L., Bo, J., Gonzalez, G.A., and Chen, E.H. (2007). A critical function for the actin cytoskeleton in targeted exocytosis of prefusion vesicles during myoblast fusion. Dev. Cell 12. 571–586.
- Richardson, B.E., Beckett, K., Nowak, S.J., and Baylies, M.K. (2007). SCAR/WAVE and Arp2/3 are crucial for cytoskeletal remodeling at the site of myoblast fusion. Development 134, 4357–4367.
- Rochlin, K., Yu, S., Roy, S., and Baylies, M.K. (2010). Myoblast fusion: when it takes more to make one. Dev. Biol. 341, 66–83.
- Berger, S., Schafer, G., Kesper, D.A., Holz, A., Eriksson, T., Palmer, R.H., Beck, L., Klambt, C., Renkawitz-Pohl, R., and Onel, S.F. (2008).

- WASP and SCAR have distinct roles in activating the Arp2/3 complex during myoblast fusion. J. Cell Sci. 121, 1303–1313.
- Massarwa, R., Carmon, S., Shilo, B.Z., and Schejter, E.D. (2007). WIP/WASp-based actin-polymerization machinery is essential for myoblast fusion in Drosophila. Dev. Cell 12, 557-569.
- Schafer, G., Weber, S., Holz, A., Bogdan, S., Schumacher, S., Muller, A., Renkawitz-Pohl, R., and Onel, S.F. (2007). The Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein (WASP) is essential for myoblast fusion in Drosophila. Dev. Biol. 304, 664-674.
- Gimona, M., Buccione, R., Courtneidge, S.A., and Linder, S. (2008). Assembly and biological role of podosomes and invadopodia. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 20, 235–241.
- Weaver, A.M. (2006). Invadopodia: specialized cell structures for cancer invasion. Clin. Exp. Metastasis 23, 97–105.
- Martens, S., and McMahon, H.T. (2008). Mechanisms of membrane fusion: disparate players and common principles. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 9, 543–556.
- Mukai, A., Kurisaki, T., Sato, S.B., Kobayashi, T., Kondoh, G., and Hashimoto, N. (2009). Dynamic clustering and dispersion of lipid rafts contribute to fusion competence of myogenic cells. Exp. Cell Res. 315, 3052–3063.
- Yamaguchi, H., Takeo, Y., Yoshida, S., Kouchi, Z., Nakamura, Y., and Fukami, K. (2009). Lipid rafts and caveolin-1 are required for invadopodia formation and extracellular

- matrix degradation by human breast cancer cells. Cancer Res. 69, 8594–8602.
- Carman, C.V., Sage, P.T., Sciuto, T.E., de la Fuente, M.A., Geha, R.S., Ochs, H.D., Dvorak, H.F., Dvorak, A.M., and Springer, T.A. (2007). Transcellular diapedesis is initiated by invasive podosomes. Immunity 26, 784–797.
- Quintavalle, M., Elia, L., Condorelli, G., and Courtneidge, S.A. (2010). MicroRNA control of podosome formation in vascular smooth muscle cells in vivo and in vitro. J. Cell Biol. 189, 13–22.
- Rottiers, P., Saltel, F., Daubon, T., Chaigne-Delalande, B., Tridon, V., Billottet, C., Reuzeau, E., and Genot, E. (2009). TGFbetainduced endothelial podosomes mediate basement membrane collagen degradation in arterial vessels. J. Cell Sci. 122, 4311–4318.
- Destaing, O., Saltel, F., Geminard, J.C., Jurdic, P., and Bard, F. (2003). Podosomes display actin turnover and dynamic self-organization in osteoclasts expressing actin-green fluorescent protein. Mol. Biol. Cell 14. 407–416.

Department of Cancer Biology, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN 37232, USA.

*E-mail: alissa.weaver@vanderbilt.edu

DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2010.12.024

Neuroscience: What We Cannot Model, We Do Not Understand

To understand computations in neuronal circuits, a model of a small patch of cortex has been developed that can describe the firing regime in the visual system remarkably well.

William S. Anderson¹ and Gabriel Kreiman^{2,3,4,*}

Circuits of neurons in the brain are very complicated: because of the multiple non-linearities, different types of neurons, complex dendritic geometries, diverse connectivity patterns and dependencies on learning and development, the cerebral cortex and other neuronal circuits constitute the most complex systems ever studied by science. Perhaps not surprisingly, the computational power that emerges from such circuits is astounding; neuronal networks are responsible for diverse cognitive phenomena such as seeing, smelling, remembering, planning and so on.

To understand how function emerges from ensembles of neurons and their interactions, we need a rigorous interplay of theoretical work and experimental approaches capable of listening to the activity of neurons. This synergy of theory and neurophysiology is beautifully illustrated in recent work by Rasch et al. [1]. These authors took a courageous approach using computational models to describe the activity in a local 5 x 5 mm patch of neocortex with an impressive set of 35,000 neurons and ~4 million synapses. They focused on primary visual cortex, one of the most studied parts of cortex and the first stage in the hierarchical cascade of processes that convert the retinal input into our visual perceptions. The Logothetis lab used multiple microwire electrodes to measure the activity of neurons in primary visual cortex of anesthetized monkeys while the monkeys watched a natural scene movie. The authors then 'presented' the same movie to their model to explore its fidelity and quantitatively compare the computational output and the neurophysiological one.

To compare the circuit in silico and in vivo, one must consider what

aspects of the complex neuronal ensemble responses one aims to explain. Instead of trying to predict the detailed spiking activity of every single neuron as done in many other studies (for example [2,3]), Rasch et al. [1] defined a 'firing regime' that is characterized by several properties of the neuronal responses. These properties included the firing rate, distribution of interspike intervals, variability in spike counts over time, degree of burst firing and degree of synchronization in the network. The authors use these inter-related properties to define the state of the network.

Another important aspect that the theorist must consider when thinking about such network models is the large number of parameters that arise as a consequence of the complexity in the circuitry. The modeler needs to make decisions about the number and type of neurons, their distribution and connectivity, the type of ionic channels they are embedded with and their corresponding characteristics. Some of these decisions may be constrained by experimental data; others may require more guesswork. Parameters are our enemies. It is extremely difficult from a computational viewpoint to systematically characterize the