Acupressure Bead in the Eustachian Tube
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Abstract
In this article, we aim to enlighten practitioners and patients involved with acupressure beads and to contribute to their safer use by reporting a unique case of insidious intrusion of an acupressure bead into the eustachian tube. A metallic object was found in the eustachian tube of a patient while conducting a magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) examination. The object was later confirmed to be an auricular acupressure bead, and was successfully removed by performing a tympanoplasty and a canal wall down mastoidectomy. The bead was assumed to have passed through an existing perforation of the tympanic membrane. According to previously published literature, tympanic membrane perforations exist in ~1% of the population. Therefore, middle-ear foreign bodies are relatively common occurrences for otolaryngologists. However, metallic objects such as acupressure beads are especially important in the sense that they can cause severe burns during MRI. To avoid potential complications, acupressure-bead practitioners should be aware of the possibility that intrusions through the tympanic membrane could go unnoticed.

1. Introduction
The therapeutic use of auricular acupressure beads as an alternative to medicine is considered a safe, noninvasive approach to treating various medical conditions [1,2]. The process involves the placement of a small gold-plated ball onto a specific auricular point using a tape. Here, we present a case with a unique presentation and an intrinsic risk due to an acupressure bead becoming lodged in the eustachian tube. To our knowledge, no previous reports concerning the discovery of an acupressure bead as a foreign body in the middle ear have been published.

2. Case Presentation
A 55-year-old woman was scheduled for a cranial magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) as part of an annual routine checkup. During the scanning procedure, the MRI technician detected a small metallic object in the left middle ear...
and stopped the procedure immediately. Computed tomography (CT) imaging revealed a foreign body at the tympanic opening of the left eustachian tube (Fig. 1). The patient reported a history of tympanic membrane perforation at the age of 23 years, but denied any medical treatment. She had recently been trained as an acupressure therapist and admitted to self-administering bilateral auricular point acupressure beads to facilitate weight loss. Multiple attempts at removal through the tympanic membrane perforation under local anaesthesia and through the transmeatal approach under general anaesthesia failed to locate the foreign body.

Postoperative cranial CT confirmed that the residual foreign body had been displaced deeper into the eustachian tube (Fig. 2). The patient was asymptomatic for 1 month after the first operation, at which time she began to suffer left-side hearing loss, intermittent left otalgia, and numbness of the left side of the tongue. A second operation was performed. A canal wall down mastoidectomy and temporary removal of the incus provided access to the deeper area of the eustachian tube. Ultimately, a 2-mm-diameter spherical object was recovered. The foreign body was a gold metallic ball covered with brown, sludged tissue (Fig. 3). A tympanoplasty type IIIC was performed using the autologous incus. The patient affirmed that the foreign body was the acupressure bead (Fig. 4). Postoperative cranial CT confirmed complete removal of the foreign body, and all symptoms were relieved after the second operation.

3. Discussion

Nowadays, acupressure beads are considered a safe, noninvasive way to treat certain conditions such as anxiety, depression, and obesity [1,2]. Because of their convenience, they are appreciated by and accessible not only to specialists but also to members of the general public who have little or no experience with their use. Therefore, acupressure beads are widely used internationally. Here, we report an instance of an acupressure bead entering the middle ear through an existing perforation of the tympanic membrane and becoming lodged in the tympanic orifice of the eustachian tube.

A review of the literature revealed that foreign bodies commonly found in the middle ear are tympanostomy tubes, impression material used to make ear molds, and sludge from welding [3–5]. Compared with other middle-ear foreign bodies, acupressure beads are important for two reasons. First, acupressure beads can cause burns during MRI because they are made of a metallic material. In
Middle-ear foreign bodies are relatively common occurrences for otolaryngologists. Indeed, cases in which tympanostomy tubes, sludge, and ear-mold impression material were identified as middle-ear foreign bodies have repeatedly been reported [3–7]. In addition, the prevalence of chronic tympanic membrane perforations is high [8]. Given the severity of the potential harm that middle-ear acupressure beads can cause, acupressure-bead practitioners, either professional or amateur, should be aware of this characteristic.

We propose some measures to prevent such cases. First, examining the patient’s ear canals at the initiation of treatment with acupressure beads to detect tympanic membrane perforation should be encouraged. Because acupressure-bead practitioners are normally not accustomed to performing ear examinations, we recommend that acupressure-bead practitioners refer patients to otolaryngologists for such examinations. Second, a history of acupressure-bead use should be obtained before MRI is conducted. Third, a nonmetallic and/or nonspherical bead might be less harmful and less mobile. For example, Chinese Vaccaria seeds are likely to be more acceptable. Fourth, we propose modifying the fragile structure of the bead. Most popular acupressure beads consist of a bead that is attached to the auricle using double-sided tape, with the bead on one side of the tape and a piece of fashionable jewelry on the other side (Fig. 4). Attaching the bead to the tape in a stronger manner or sealing the bead between two pieces of tape would be preferable. Last, but not least, patients should be instructed to check the position of the bead on the skin and its adherence to the skin every time it is uncovered.

Given the vast popularity of auricular acupressure beads, restricting their use would be unrealistic. Rather, disclosing the potential complications associated with their use and educating users about measures that can be taken to avoid those complications would be much more realistic. We believe this case could enlighten those involved with the use of acupressure beads and contribute to their safer use.
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