

JOURNAL OF NUMBER THEORY 6, 261-263 (1974)

## A Note on the Hecke Hypothesis and the Determination of Imaginary Quadratic Fields with Class-Number 1

S. CHOWLA

*Department of Mathematics, Pennsylvania State University,  
University Park, Pennsylvania 16802*

AND

M. J. DELEON

*Department of Mathematics, Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton, Florida 33432*

*Communicated July 19, 1971*

The authors propose a hypothesis whose proof would provide, in particular, a solution of the "class-number 1" problem, recently solved by Stark and Baker.

Let  $\chi$  be a non-principal character (mod  $k$ ),  $k > 1$ . The unproved Hecke hypothesis

$$L(1, \chi) = \sum_1^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n} > \frac{c}{\log k},$$

where  $c$  is an absolute constant, is considered in this note. In this paper we formulate a hypothesis  $H$  which implies the Hecke hypothesis for  $k$  a square-free number  $\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , and indeed with a computable constant  $c$ . Thus, in particular,  $H$  would imply a solution of the following problem. Find a computable constant  $c$ , such that

$$h(-p) > 1 \quad \text{for } p > c_1 \ (p : \text{prime}).$$

Here  $h(-k)$  denotes the class-number of the imaginary quadratic field  $Q(\sqrt{-k})$ . This problem was solved recently by Stark and Baker (1967). Now let  $k$  be a square-free number  $\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Let  $\chi(n) = (n/k)$ , the Jacobi symbol. Let  $k^*$  be the product of a subset  $T$  of the set of prime numbers  $p$  with  $\chi(p) = -1$  and  $p < k$ . Let  $\chi^*(n) = \chi_T^*(n)$  be the real non-principal character with  $\chi^*(n) = 1$  if  $(n, k^*) = 1$  and  $\chi^*(n) = 0$

if  $(n, k^*) > 1$ . Then  $X(n) = X_T(n) = \chi(n) \chi^*(n)$  is a non-primitive character (mod  $k k^*$ ).

The hypothesis is as follows:

There exists a subset  $T$  such that

$$S(w) = \sum_1^w X_T(n) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } w \geq 1.$$

To give an example of this hypothesis we take  $k^* = 2$  when  $k = 19$  i.e.,  $T$  consists of the single prime 2, which is a quadratic nonresidue (mod  $k$ ). We leave the details of the verification to the reader. We can also verify the hypothesis when  $k = 19$  by taking  $k^* = 6$  (i.e.,  $T$  consists of the primes 2 and 3, both quadratic non-residues (mod  $k$ )). A possible choice of  $T$  in the general case when  $k$  is a prime  $\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  is the set of primes  $p_1, \dots, p_{s-2}$  where  $p_m$  is the  $m$ -th prime and

$$\left(\frac{p_t}{k}\right) = -1 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq t \leq s-1, \quad \text{but } \left(\frac{p_s}{k}\right) = 1.$$

As is well known we have

$$h(-k) = \frac{\sqrt{k}}{\pi} \sum_1^\infty \frac{\chi(n)}{n} = \frac{\sqrt{k}}{\pi} L(1, \chi) \text{ where}$$

$\chi(n) = (n/k)$  and  $k$  is a prime  $\equiv 3(4)$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1^\infty \frac{X(n)}{n} &= \frac{S(1)}{1} + \frac{S(2) - S(1)}{2} + \frac{S(3) - S(2)}{3} + \dots \\ &= S(1)\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) + S(2)\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3}\right) + S(3)\left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4}\right) + \dots \\ &> \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $S(1) = 1$  and  $S(w) \geq 0$  for  $w \geq 1$  by hypothesis  $H$ . In what follows we specialize  $T$  to be the set of prime numbers  $p$  between 0 and  $k$  with  $\chi(p) = -1$ . Then

$$L(1, \chi) \prod_{\substack{p < k \\ \chi(p) = -1}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) = \sum_1^\infty \frac{X(n)}{n} > \frac{1}{2}.$$

Hence

$$L(1, \chi) > \frac{1}{2} \prod_{p < k} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1}.$$

The latter product is, as is well known, greater than  $c_2/\log k$  ( $c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots$  etc. denote computable constants). Thus we have

$$h(-k) = \frac{\sqrt{k}}{\pi} L(1, \chi) > \frac{c_3 \sqrt{k}}{\log k}.$$

Since  $c_3$  is a computable constant it follows that, for instance, there are only finitely many square-free  $k \equiv 3(4)$  for which  $h(-k) = 1$ , and these square-free are computable.

*Postscript.* Our hypothesis  $H$  also implies that for primes  $k \equiv 3(4)$  we have

$$* \quad L(s) = \sum_1^{\infty} \frac{x(n)}{n^s} > 0 \quad \text{for } 0 < s < 1.$$

It is well known that \*, certainly true under the extended Riemann hypothesis, is still unproved.