Cell Reports



# Nuclear RNase P of *Trypanosoma brucei*: A Single Protein in Place of the Multicomponent RNA-Protein Complex

Andreas Taschner,<sup>1</sup> Christoph Weber,<sup>1</sup> Aurélie Buzet,<sup>1</sup> Roland K. Hartmann,<sup>2</sup> Andreas Hartig,<sup>3</sup> and Walter Rossmanith<sup>1,\*</sup> <sup>1</sup>Center for Anatomy & Cell Biology, Medical University of Vienna, Währinger Straße 13, 1090 Vienna, Austria

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Philipps-University Marburg, Marbacher Weg 6, 35037 Marburg, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Department of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Max F. Perutz Laboratories, University of Vienna, Dr. Bohr-Gasse 9, 1030 Vienna, Austria \*Correspondence: walter.rossmanith@meduniwien.ac.at

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2012.05.021

## SUMMARY

RNase P is the endonuclease that removes 5' extensions from tRNA precursors. In its best-known form. the enzyme is composed of a catalytic RNA and a protein moiety variable in number and mass. This ribonucleoprotein enzyme is widely considered ubiguitous and apparently reached its highest complexity in the eukaryal nucleus, where it is typically composed of at least ten subunits. Here, we show that in the protist Trypanosoma brucei, two proteins are the sole forms of RNase P. They localize to the nucleus and the mitochondrion, respectively, and have RNase P activity each on their own. The protein-RNase P is, moreover, capable of replacing nuclear RNase P in yeast cells. This shows that complex ribonucleoprotein structures and RNA catalysis are not necessarily required to support tRNA 5' end formation in eukaryal cells.

## INTRODUCTION

RNase P is the endonuclease responsible for the removal of extraneous nucleotides from the 5' end of tRNA precursors, an early and essential step in tRNA biogenesis (Phizicky and Hopper, 2010; Liu and Altman, 2010). Two basically different forms of RNase P have been identified. One, apparently more ancient and widespread, is based on a structurally conserved RNA molecule forming the catalytic core of the enzyme (reviewed by Ellis and Brown, 2009; Hartmann et al., 2009; Lai et al., 2010b; or Liu and Altman, 2010). This RNA is catalytically active on its own in vitro and hypothesized to be the relic of a prebiotic RNA world. In the evolved, modern RNase P enzymes, the RNA nevertheless depends on protein to fulfill its cellular function. This RNA-based form of RNase P is found in all domains of life, but there is an apparent trend from RNA to protein predominance in the overall composition and functioning of these ribonucleoproteins (RNPs) from Bacteria to Eukarya. RNase P of the former is built from a catalytically proficient RNA and a single small protein only. RNase P RNA of Archaea is a less-efficient catalyst in vitro and associates with five proteins, none of which is related to the bacterial protein. Yet, they are homologous to five of the ten proteins found in human nuclear RNase P, the RNA component of which is barely active on its own. By the mere number of components, the nuclear enzyme also appears to be the most complex form of RNase P, a fact that has been attributed to the need for increased flexibility in substrate recognition due to a presumably greater variety of additional, non-tRNA substrates, the possible need for coordination with other molecular machines, and/or the acquisition of further, unrelated functions (Marvin and Engelke, 2009; Jarrous and Gopalan, 2010; Walker et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2012). Unfortunately, this view of eukaryal nuclear RNase P is almost exclusively built on studies of yeast and human cells, and thus, little is known about nuclear RNase P in eukaryal branches other than opisthokonts/unikonts. In fact homologs of (some) yeast/human nuclear RNase P proteins seem to be absent from many eukaryal genomes, and an RNase P RNA has not been identified in the genome of plants, algae, and some protist groups (Hartmann and Hartmann, 2003; Piccinelli et al., 2005; Rosenblad et al., 2006).

Another entirely different form of RNase P, apparently not containing RNA, was initially observed in the organelles of different Eukarya (Wang et al., 1988; Thomas et al., 1995; Rossmanith and Karwan, 1998; Salavati et al., 2001). The identification of its components made clear that this form of RNase P does indeed not contain any RNA but is composed of protein only (Holzmann et al., 2008). Its key component is an  $\sim$ 60 kDa protein characterized by an NYN metallonuclease domain, a CXXC zinc finger-like motif, and two in-tandem pentatricopeptide repeats (Rossmanith, 2012). The first-identified, human protein was originally termed "mitochondrial RNase P protein 3" (MRPP3), but meanwhile, we have coined the more generally applicable name "proteinaceous RNase P" (PRORP). Although human PRORP makes use of two further proteins for mitochondrial RNase P function, its plant mitochondrial/plastidial homolog does not require a partner for RNase P activity (Gobert et al., 2010). PRORP homologs are not found in Archaea and Bacteria, but in a wide range of eukaryal branches, suggesting an origin at the root of the eukaryal tree.

The constraints that preserved a catalytic RNA for RNase P function throughout evolution in a cellular biochemistry so much dominated by protein catalysts are not clear, and its presence in modern life is all the more surprising now that it is known

that a single protein is able to catalyze the same, specific hydrolytic reaction. Given that PRORP enzymes have so far only been found responsible for organellar tRNA processing, it was suggested that the RNA-based enzymes, for reasons yet unclear, would allow more flexibility with respect to, e.g., substrate recognition, and that PRORPs therefore remained restricted to the simpler organellar systems (Esakova and Krasilnikov, 2010; Marvin and Engelke, 2009; Walker and Engelke, 2008). Bioinformatic evidence for PRORP homologs in organisms lacking RNase P RNA has, however, challenged this view. Here, we report a study of RNase P in the model organism Trypanosoma brucei. Notably, the genomes of trypanosomatids lack evidence for genes related to RNA-based RNase P (Piccinelli et al., 2005; Rosenblad et al., 2006), but they encode two homologs of human and plant PRORP genes (Holzmann et al., 2008; Gobert et al., 2010). We were most intrigued whether a "simple" PRORP could accomplish the role of nuclear RNase P, traditionally ascribed to highly complex, multicomponent RNP enzymes.

# RESULTS

Two presumptive *PRORP* genes (*PRORP1*, *PRORP2*) were identified in all available trypanosomatid genomes. Although the overall similarity between the two (~30% amino acid identity) is no more than their similarity to plant PRORPs, they are nevertheless distinguished from plant and animal sequences by an insertion of 35–41 amino acids in the NYN metallonuclease domain (Figure S1). A second, distinctive insertion of 13–18 amino acids is found in the C-terminal part of the NYN domain of trypanosomatid PRORP2 only.

In *T. brucei* the transcript copy number of *PRORP1* (locus tag Tb09.211.0870) and *PRORP2* (Tb11.02.0510) was estimated to be  $\sim$ 2 mRNA molecules per cell (insect form) each in a recent transcriptome study by Kolev et al. (2010). Similar mRNA levels were reported for several genes encoding putative homologs of other tRNA-processing and -modification enzymes in the same study, though finally 75% of all *T. brucei* mRNAs were estimated in the range of one to ten (median three) molecules per cell. None of the two genes appears to be regulated at the RNA level in life-cycle development or during cell cycle (Archer et al., 2011; Jensen et al., 2009; Nilsson et al., 2010; Siegel et al., 2010; Veitch et al., 2010).

## T. brucei PRORP1 and PRORP2 Have RNase P Activity

Recombinant, tagged proteins were purified to near homogeneity by one- or two-step affinity chromatography and subsequent size exclusion chromatography (Figure 1A). Compared to standards, PRORP1 and PRORP2 eluted close to their calculated molecular weight at  $\sim$ 60–65 kDa, indicating that they do not form dimers or higher-order oligomers (Figure 1B). Both recombinant proteins cleaved different *T. brucei* tRNA precursors as well as two commonly used bacterial model substrates at the same site as *E. coli* RNase P (Figures 1C–1G; canonical cleavage, immediately upstream of the first nucleotide of the tRNA structure, was also confirmed by sizing along nuclease ladders in high-resolution gel electrophoresis [data not shown]). Thus, similar to *Arabidopsis* PRORP1 (Gobert et al., 2010) and despite their divergence in nuclease domain primary structure, both *T. brucei* PRORPs have RNase P activity on their own and, unlike human PRORP, do not require additional proteins (Holzmann et al., 2008).

## PRORP1 Localizes to the Nucleus/Nucleolus and PRORP2 to the Mitochondrion

Eukaryal cells require RNase P in any tRNA-synthesizing compartment, i.e., nucleus, mitochondria, and chloroplasts if applicable. An RNase P activity was previously purified from *T. brucei* mitochondria by Salavati et al. (2001). Although the responsible enzyme was not identified, its apparent lack of an RNA component and a molecular weight of  $\sim$ 70 kDa would both be consistent with this activity being derived from either PRORP1 or PRORP2. In fact PRORP2 is predicted to be mitochondrial and to have a cleavable N-terminal targeting sequence. PRORP1, conversely, seems to harbor a nuclear localization signal.

To directly determine the localization of PRORP1 and PRORP2, we expressed both proteins with a C-terminal YFP tag in T. brucei cells (insect form) and alternatively also localized the endogenous proteins by immunofluorescence using antibodies raised against the recombinant proteins. PRORP1-YFP overexpression gave rise to nuclear fluorescence only (Figure 2A). In contrast to the apparently homogeneous nuclear distribution of PRORP1-YFP, immunostaining of endogenous PRORP1 was largely confined to the single central nucleolus of T. brucei nuclei and only weak in the nucleoplasm (Figure 2B), a discrepancy possibly due to the overexpression of PRORP1-YFP from the strong PARP promoter. PRORP2 was localized to the branched trypanosomatid mitochondrion by YFP tag or immunofluorescence, coinciding with MitoTracker staining (Figures 2C and 2D). Hence, like other eukaryal cells T. brucei has two RNase P activities: one present in the nucleus, and a different one in its mitochondrion.

# PRORP1 and PRORP2 Are the Sole Forms of RNase P in Trypanosomatids

With the exception of a parasitic archaeon that dispensed with RNase P by making leaderless tRNAs (Randau et al., 2008), the RNA-based form of RNase P is widely considered a ubiquitous RNP machine, indispensable for life, reminiscent of the ribosome (Altman, 2010). Previous bioinformatic studies did not find any possible RNase P RNA candidate sequences in trypanosomatid genomes (Piccinelli et al., 2005), nor the commonly associated protein subunits (with the exception of a possible RPP25/ POP6 homolog; Rosenblad et al., 2006). However, RNase P RNAs are notoriously difficult to identify, and structurally more divergent variants might have escaped bioinformatic analyses so far. The recent case of Pyrobaculum demonstrated that RNase P RNAs (and associated proteins) can diverge considerably from the structural consensus (Lai et al., 2010a). Likewise, the structurally degenerate fungal mitochondrial RNase P RNAs seem to have recruited an entirely new protein moiety even more than once during evolution (Rossmanith, 2012). Because life solely built on the proteinaceous form of RNase P is in fact unprecedented, we investigated the possibility of the simultaneous presence of a further, possibly RNA-based form of RNase P in T. brucei. We prepared whole-cell extracts by a





Figure 1. Purification and tRNA-Processing Activity of Recombinant *T. brucei* PRORP1 and PRORP2

(A) SDS-PAGE of recombinant PRORP1 and PRORP2 purified by affinity chromatography (AC), or affinity chromatography with subsequent size exclusion chromatography (SEC) is shown; molecular weight of marker proteins indicated in kDa.

(B) Size exclusion chromatography profile of purified recombinant PRORP1 (blue) and PRORP2 (red) is illustrated. The peak at about 8 ml represents aggregated protein eluting in the void volume. The peak positions of molecular weight marker proteins resolved under identical conditions are indicated.

(C) RNase P activity of recombinant PRORP1 and PRORP2 is presented. A *T. brucei* tRNA<sup>Met</sup> precursor was incubated with PRORP1, PRORP2, or *E. coli* RNase P. 5' end-labeled substrate RNA and cleavage product (indicated by icons to the right) were resolved by denaturing PAGE.

(D) Same as (C), but *T. brucei* tRNA<sup>Phe</sup> precursor used as substrate is shown.

(E) Same as (C), but *T. brucei* tRNA<sup>His</sup> precursor used as substrate is presented.

(F) Same as (C), but *E. coli* tRNA<sup>Tyr</sup>su<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> precursor used as substrate is illustrated.

(G) Same as (C), but tRNA<sup>Gly</sup> precursor from *Thermus thermophilus* used as substrate is demonstrated.

See Figure S1 for alignment of trypanosomatid PRORP sequences to human and plant PRORP.

combination of hypotonic swelling/mechanical disruption and lysis with a nonionic detergent. Similar extraction procedures have been used previously to isolate RNase P enzymes from various sources, including the fragile human nuclear and mitochondrial enzymes (Rossmanith et al., 1995). The *T. brucei*-cell extraction procedure was optimized to yield a maximal amount of RNase P activity in the crude whole-cell extract. We then subjected the extract to immunodepletion using PRORP1 and PRORP2 antisera. Depletion of either PRORP1 or PRORP2 led to a reduction of RNase P activity, but the depletion of both proteins eliminated all activity (Figures 3 and S2). Thus, apart from PRORP1 and PRORP2, *T. brucei* apparently contains no other kind of RNase P.

# PRORP1 Is Able to Substitute for Yeast Nuclear RNase P In Vivo

In terms of number of components, the complexity of the trypanosomatid enzyme is reduced by one order of magnitude relative to yeast or human nuclear RNase P. In the tree of Eukarya, trypanosomatids (a kinetoplastid clade of euglenozoans) are placed in the supergroup of excavates and are thus only distantly related to animals and fungi (opisthokont/unikont supergroup). Notably, trypanosomatids differ from opisthokonts and most other Eukarya in several fundamental aspects of gene expression (Martínez-Calvillo et al., 2010). The seemingly simplified form of RNase P could be related to the peculiar genetic system of these protists, possibly being the result of reductive





## Figure 2. Subcellular Localization of PRORP1 and PRORP2

Analysis of the subcellular localization in procyclic *T. brucei* Lister 427 cells by expression of C-terminally YFP-tagged proteins (YFP) or by immunofluorescence (IF) of endogenous proteins is presented. Mitochondria were stained by MitoTracker red (MT), nuclei and kinetoplasts by Hoechst (H); cells are also shown in bright-field view (BF). Pictures were taken by epifluorescence microscopy. Scale bars, to 2 µm.

(A) Expression of YFP-tagged PRORP1 is shown.
 (B) Immunofluorescence of endogenous PRORP1 is demonstrated; overlay of immunofluorescence and Hoechst staining (IF/H) is illustrated.

(C) Expression of YFP-tagged PRORP2 is shown.
 (D) Immunofluorescence of endogenous PRORP2 is demonstrated.

# DISCUSSION

In contrast to the peculiarities found in the expression of trypanosomatid protein-coding genes, e.g., generalized

evolution, and accompanied by a reduced functionality of the enzyme. It seemed thus worthwhile to compare PRORP1, the nuclear RNase P of *T. brucei*, to the rather complex RNP form of RNase P found in the nucleus of animals or fungi.

Yeast nuclear RNase P is composed of nine proteins and an RNA considered to be the catalytic core (Walker et al., 2010). All subunits are essential for enzyme function in vivo, i.e., deletion of any of them is lethal. We tested whether T. brucei PRORP1 is able to rescue the deletion of RPR1, the gene encoding yeast nuclear RNase P RNA. First, RPR1 was confirmed to be essential using a standard gene disruption/tetrad analysis approach (Figure 4A). When we transformed the diploid RPR1/ rpr1 *A*::kanMX strain (one allele of RPR1 replaced by the selectable marker kanMX) with a plasmid for PRORP1 expression, meiotic tetrads could be dissected into three or four viable spores frequently (Figure 4B). Replica plating confirmed haploidy and the expected kanMX segregation among the spores. Analysis of plasmid-encoded leucine prototrophy revealed that the plasmid was frequently lost from RPR1 wild-type spores, but never from the rpr1 d::kanMX mutant spores. Even after long-term culture in leucine-containing medium, rpr1 d::kanMX cells invariably remained leucine prototroph, i.e., had kept the plasmid with the actual dispensable LEU2 marker; by providing vital RNase P function to the cells, plasmid-encoded T. brucei PRORP1 obviously became an essential gene in rpr1 d::kanMX yeasts. The genotype of rpr1 A::kanMX [PRORP1] strains was also verified at the molecular level, and multiple PCR analyses confirmed the loss of RPR1 from their genome (Figure 4C). Compared to the RPR1 wild-type, PRORP1 strains gave rise to smaller colonies indicating a slower growth rate (Figure 4D). Nevertheless, we have been able to perpetuate these RNase P-engineered yeast strains for an as yet unlimited number of generations, demonstrating that even in an entirely different cellular environment, T. brucei PRORP1 sufficiently supports all RNase P functions required for vitality.

polycistronic transcription and *trans*-splicing (Martínez-Calvillo et al., 2010), trypanosomatid tRNA biology appears generally orthodox. Gene organization, transcription, processing, and modification, and tRNA structure and function, appear all similar as in other eukaryal systems. Their genome encodes a homolog of RNase Z and a CCA-adding enzyme, and only their tRNA 5' end-processing machinery seems to be unusual. So far, trypanosomatids are the sole eukaryal group identified that has lost all genes associated with or related to the RNA-based form of RNase P and, instead, uses the protein-only form of RNase P (PRORP) to process its tRNAs.

Trypanosomatid PRORP1 differs from any previously characterized nuclear RNase P. Still, the basic pathway of tRNA processing seems preserved in T. brucei, and like in yeast (Walker et al., 2010), 5' end maturation primarily occurs in the nucleolus. Moreover, PRORP1's ability to replace yeast nuclear RNase P suggests that the inherently different physical qualities of the two enzyme forms are not reflected in a basically different functionality. The "protein-only" pathway of nuclear tRNA processing actually seems to be more widespread than hitherto anticipated. RNase P RNA appears to be absent from the entire plant supergroup (land plants, green and red algae) and from stramenopiles (Piccinelli et al., 2005), all of which have one or more PRORP homologs that could serve as nuclear RNase P (Holzmann et al., 2008; Gobert et al., 2010). Indeed, Gutmann et al. (2012) just recently reported that Arabidopsis PRORP2 and PRORP3 function as nuclear RNase P. Thus, also in plants, all cellular tRNA 5' end maturation appears to be exclusively protein dependent (Gobert et al., 2010; Gutmann et al., 2012). Still, another recent report claimed the purification of a plant nuclear RNP complex with RNase P activity, resembling the unikont nuclear enzyme (Chen et al., 2012). However, none of the components of this presumptive RNP enzyme was identified, and it remains to be clarified if plant cells contain an as yet unrecognized RNase P-RNP in addition to PRORPs.





Figure 3. Immunodepletion of RNase P Activity from *T. brucei* Whole-Cell Extract by PRORP1 and PRORP2 Antibodies

RNase P activity in procyclic *T. brucei* Lister 427 whole-cell extract (lane 3) and extract depleted of PRORP1 or/and PRORP2 by immunoprecipitation (lanes 5–8) is presented. Control immunodepletions were carried out with mixed PRORP1 and PRORP2 preimmune sera (lanes 4 and 9). Double immunodepletion was carried out either in sequence, i.e., PRORP2 depletion of a PRORP1-depleted extract (lane 7), or simultaneously by mixing the two antisera (lane 8). RNase P activity was assayed using the *T. brucei* tRNA<sup>Phe</sup> precursor as substrate. *E. coli* RNase P was used to verify the cleavage site (lane 1). 5' end-labeled substrate RNA and cleavage product (indicated by icons to the right) were resolved by denaturing PAGE. Note that a few nucleotides were removed from the 3' trailer of the tRNA precursor by incubation in the whole-cell extract.

See also Figure S2.

In mitochondria (and plastids), PRORP seems to be present in a majority of Eukarya, more frequently than the ancient RNA enzyme (Rossmanith, 2012). Paradoxically, it is for mitochondria that trypanosomatid tRNA biology becomes perplexing again: trypanosomatid mitochondrial genomes do not encode any tRNA genes, but a complete set of tRNAs is imported from the cytosol (Hancock and Hajduk, 1990; Tan et al., 2002). Although early in vitro studies suggested that tRNAs are translocated as precursors (Hancock et al., 1992), later work showed that tRNA import in vivo is independent of flanking sequences (Hauser and Schneider, 1995; Tan et al., 2002), suggesting that mature tRNAs are imported into the organelle. This appears also plausible in light of the nuclear localization of T. brucei PRORP1 and an essentially identical set of mitochondrial and cytosolic tRNAs. However, it also implies that PRORP2 might be the unique case of an RNase P activity not employed for its original role in tRNA 5' end maturation but, instead, possibly in the processing of other mitochondrial RNA species only. Future genetic studies should allow determining the mitochondrial substrates and could also clarify if any unprocessed tRNAs are imported into the mitochondrion of T. brucei.

The apparent simplicity of *T. brucei* nuclear RNase P (a monomeric 64 kDa protein) is in striking contrast to the complexity of the ten-component RNP found in yeast nuclei (~400 kDa; Walker et al., 2010). Finding that PRORP1 is able to functionally replace yeast nuclear RNase P was indeed most surprising. Although the enzyme swap resulted in a somewhat reduced growth rate, it nevertheless demonstrated that at least under standard growth conditions, PRORP1 is able to fulfill all the vital functions of yeast nuclear RNase P, including any essential, non-tRNA-processing functions. Considering this apparent exchangeability and the possibly more widespread use of PRORPs as nuclear RNase P in plants and algae, it is surprising that the RNP complex has not generally been replaced with PRORP in Eukarya. The wide phylogenetic distribution of PRORP orthologs suggests its emergence before the last common ancestor of Eukarya, where it must have been present together with the RNase P-RNP and RNase MRP. Reducing the number of enzyme subunits from ten to one should not only save costs in itself but also costs related to the coordination of expression and complex assembly. A one-protein enzyme might be physically more robust than a noncovalent ten-subunit assemblage in conditions of cellular stress and might have fewer constraints on its evolutionary adaptability. On the other hand the greater number of components might expand enzyme flexibility, provide more options of regulation, and could permit a more intricate integration with other cellular processes. In the end the reasons that prompted the final loss of the RNA-world relic in several eukaryal branches, while preventing its loss in others, are currently unclear. Our yeast RNase P complementation model, however, should allow to compare RNA-based and PRORP function in vivo in more detail to possibly find out what limited the spread of PRORP as nuclear RNase P and thereby shed light on the exceptional evolution of this highly diverse enzyme family.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

#### **Expression and Purification of Recombinant Proteins**

*T. brucei* PRORP1 fused to a C-terminal 6×His tag was expressed in *E. coli* and purified by immobilized-metal affinity chromatography (IMAC). PRORP2 (putative mitochondrial form) was fused to an N-terminal GST tag via a protease cleavage site, and to a C-terminal 6×His tag. The fusion protein was expressed in *E. coli* and purified by IMAC. After protease cleavage, uncleaved fusion protein and GST were removed by chromatography on gluta-thione Sepharose. Affinity-purified proteins were separated on a Superdex 200 gel filtration column. See Extended Experimental Procedures for details of constructs, expression, and purification.

#### tRNA Precursor Substrates and RNase P Activity Assay

Synthesis of labeled tRNA precursors and RNase P-processing reactions were carried out essentially as previously described by Holzmann et al. (2008) and Rossmanith et al. (1995). See Extended Experimental Procedures for details of constructs and processing reactions.

## Subcellular Localization by YFP Tagging and Immunofluorescence

Stable cell lines overexpressing PRORP1 or PRORP2 fused to YFP at their C terminus were generated. Polyclonal antibodies were raised against purified recombinant PRORP1 and PRORP2. PRORP1 antibodies were affinity purified on immobilized recombinant PRORP1. Cells were stained with MitoTracker, fixed with paraformaldehyde (detergent permeabilized, subjected to standard immunofluorescent staining), and counterstained with Hoechst 33342. See Extended Experimental Procedures for details of tissue culture, YFP constructs, antibody production, immunofluorescence, and staining.

## Preparation of Whole-Cell Extracts and Immunodepletion

Cells were swollen in a hypotonic buffer, mechanically broken by pushing through a needle, and extracted with 1% Triton X-100. The cleared lysate

# PRESS Open ACCESS



## Figure 4. Rescuing the Deletion of Yeast Nuclear RNase P RNA by Expression of T. brucei PRORP1

(A) Cartoon of *RPR1* gene disruption and tetrad analysis in the budding yeast *S. cerevisiae* is depicted. One copy of *RPR1* was replaced by the selectable marker *kanMX* by homologous recombination (*rpr1Δ::kanMX*). Because *RPR1* is an essential gene, dissection of meiotic tetrads yields only two viable spores.
(B) Rescue of *RPR1* gene disruption by a plasmid expressing *T. brucei PRORP1* is illustrated. Without selection for *LEU2* the plasmid is frequently lost from wild-type *RPR1* spores, but never from *rpr1Δ::kanMX* [*PRORP1*] complementation spores.

(C) Genotyping of haploid strains derived from tetrad dissections of BY4743 *RPR1/rpr1Δ*::*kanMX* [*PRORP1*] is detailed. The analysis of a wild-type (*RPR1*) and two complementation (*PRORP1*; genotype *rpr1Δ*::*kanMX* [*PRORP1*]) strains is shown. PCR screening for *RPR1* by primer pairs spanning different parts of the gene, for correct integration of the *kanMX* disruption cassette into *RPR1*, for *T. brucei PRORP1*, and for *NME1* (the gene encoding the RNA component of RNase MRP, unaffected by the genetic experiments, used as a control for DNA quality) is shown. The part of the gene interrogated by the genotyping PCR is indicated by a black bar in the gene cartoon to the right of each agarose gel panel. PCR primers are listed in Table S1.

(D) Growth of haploid strains derived from tetrad dissections of BY4743 *RPR1/rpr1Δ::kanMX* [*PRORP1*] as analyzed in (C) is presented. Log<sub>10</sub> dilutions of a wild-type (*RPR1*) and two complementation (*PRORP1*; genotype *rpr1Δ::kanMX* [*PRORP1*]) strains were spotted on a YPD plate and incubated for 2 days at 30°C. Note the smaller colony size of *PRORP1* strains.

was subjected to immunodepletion essentially as previously described by Rossmanith and Karwan (1993). See Extended Experimental Procedures for details of antibody production and immunodepletion.

#### **Yeast Genetics**

S. cerevisiae strain BY4743 and standard methods of yeast genetics were used. *RPR1* was disrupted using a *kanMX* PCR cassette. *PRORP1* was expressed from a  $2\mu$  plasmid under the control of the yeast *ADH1* promoter. See Extended Experimental Procedures for details of constructs and strain genotyping.

### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes Extended Experimental Procedures, two figures, and one table and can be found with this article online at http://dx. doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2012.05.021.

### LICENSING INFORMATION

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 3.0 Unported License (CC-BY-NC-ND; http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/ 3.0/legalcode).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Christopher L. de Graffenried and Graham Warren for materials, advice, and support concerning work with *T. brucei*, and Elisa Vilardo for discussions and comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), Grant I299 to W.R., and the German Research Foundation (DFG), Grant HA 1672/17-1 to R.K.H.

Received: April 8, 2012 Revised: May 22, 2012 Accepted: May 29, 2012 Published online: June 28, 2012

#### REFERENCES

Altman, S. (2010). History of RNase P and overview of its catalytic activity. In Ribonuclease P, F. Liu and S. Altman, eds. (New York: Springer), pp. 1–15.

Archer, S.K., Inchaustegui, D., Queiroz, R., and Clayton, C. (2011). The cell cycle regulated transcriptome of *Trypanosoma brucei*. PLoS One 6, e18425.

Chen, W.Y., Singh, D., Lai, L.B., Stiffler, M.A., Lai, H.D., Foster, M.P., and Gopalan, V. (2012). Fidelity of tRNA 5'-maturation: a possible basis for the functional dependence of archaeal and eukaryal RNase P on multiple protein cofactors. Nucleic Acids Res. *40*, 4666–4680. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks013.

Ellis, J.C., and Brown, J.W. (2009). The RNase P family. RNA Biol. *6*, 362–369. Esakova, O., and Krasilnikov, A.S. (2010). Of proteins and RNA: the RNase P/ MRP family. RNA *16*, 1725–1747.

Gobert, A., Gutmann, B., Taschner, A., Gössringer, M., Holzmann, J., Hartmann, R.K., Rossmanith, W., and Giegé, P. (2010). A single *Arabidopsis* organellar protein has RNase P activity. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. *17*, 740–744.

Gutmann, B., Gobert, A., and Giegé, P. (2012). PRORP proteins support RNase P activity in both organelles and the nucleus in *Arabidopsis*. Genes Dev. 26, 1022–1027.

Hancock, K., and Hajduk, S.L. (1990). The mitochondrial tRNAs of *Trypanosoma brucei* are nuclear encoded. J. Biol. Chem. 265, 19208–19215.

Hancock, K., LeBlanc, A.J., Donze, D., and Hajduk, S.L. (1992). Identification of nuclear encoded precursor tRNAs within the mitochondrion of *Trypanosoma brucei*. J. Biol. Chem. 267, 23963–23971.

Hartmann, E., and Hartmann, R.K. (2003). The enigma of ribonuclease P evolution. Trends Genet. 19, 561–569.

Hartmann, R.K., Gössringer, M., Späth, B., Fischer, S., and Marchfelder, A. (2009). The making of tRNAs and more—RNase P and tRNase Z. Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci *85*, 319–368.

Hauser, R., and Schneider, A. (1995). tRNAs are imported into mitochondria of *Trypanosoma brucei* independently of their genomic context and genetic origin. EMBO J. *14*, 4212–4220.

Holzmann, J., Frank, P., Löffler, E., Bennett, K.L., Gerner, C., and Rossmanith, W. (2008). RNase P without RNA: identification and functional reconstitution of the human mitochondrial tRNA processing enzyme. Cell *135*, 462–474.

Jarrous, N., and Gopalan, V. (2010). Archaeal/eukaryal RNase P: subunits, functions and RNA diversification. Nucleic Acids Res. 38, 7885–7894.

Jensen, B.C., Sivam, D., Kifer, C.T., Myler, P.J., and Parsons, M. (2009). Widespread variation in transcript abundance within and across developmental stages of *Trypanosoma brucei*. BMC Genomics *10*, 482.

Kolev, N.G., Franklin, J.B., Carmi, S., Shi, H., Michaeli, S., and Tschudi, C. (2010). The transcriptome of the human pathogen *Trypanosoma brucei* at single-nucleotide resolution. PLoS Pathog. *6*, e1001090.

Lai, L.B., Chan, P.P., Cozen, A.E., Bernick, D.L., Brown, J.W., Gopalan, V., and Lowe, T.M. (2010a). Discovery of a minimal form of RNase P in *Pyrobaculum*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *107*, 22493–22498.

Lai, L.B., Vioque, A., Kirsebom, L.A., and Gopalan, V. (2010b). Unexpected diversity of RNase P, an ancient tRNA processing enzyme: challenges and prospects. FEBS Lett. *584*, 287–296.

Liu F. and Altman S., eds. (2010). Ribonuclease P (New York: Springer).

Martínez-Calvillo, S., Vizuet-de-Rueda, J.C., Florencio-Martínez, L.E., Manning-Cela, R.G., and Figueroa-Angulo, E.E. (2010). Gene expression in trypanosomatid parasites. J. Biomed. Biotechnol. 2010, 525241.

Marvin, M.C., and Engelke, D.R. (2009). RNase P: increased versatility through protein complexity? RNA Biol. 6, 40–42.

Nilsson, D., Gunasekera, K., Mani, J., Osteras, M., Farinelli, L., Baerlocher, L., Roditi, I., and Ochsenreiter, T. (2010). Spliced leader trapping reveals widespread alternative splicing patterns in the highly dynamic transcriptome of *Trypanosoma brucei*. PLoS Pathog. 6, e1001037.

Phizicky, E.M., and Hopper, A.K. (2010). tRNA biology charges to the front. Genes Dev. 24, 1832–1860.

Piccinelli, P., Rosenblad, M.A., and Samuelsson, T. (2005). Identification and analysis of ribonuclease P and MRP RNA in a broad range of eukaryotes. Nucleic Acids Res. 33, 4485–4495.

Randau, L., Schröder, I., and Söll, D. (2008). Life without RNase P. Nature 453, 120–123.

Rosenblad, M.A., López, M.D., Piccinelli, P., and Samuelsson, T. (2006). Inventory and analysis of the protein subunits of the ribonucleases P and MRP provides further evidence of homology between the yeast and human enzymes. Nucleic Acids Res. 34, 5145–5156.

Rossmanith, W. (2012). Of P and Z: mitochondrial tRNA processing enzymes. Biochim. Biophys. Acta, in press. Published online November 23, 2011. 10. 1016/j.bbagrm.2011.11.003.

Rossmanith, W., and Karwan, R. (1993). Definition of the Th/To ribonucleoprotein by RNase P and RNase MRP. Mol. Biol. Rep. *18*, 29–35.

Rossmanith, W., and Karwan, R.M. (1998). Characterization of human mitochondrial RNase P: novel aspects in tRNA processing. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 247, 234–241.

Rossmanith, W., Tullo, A., Potuschak, T., Karwan, R., and Sbisà, E. (1995). Human mitochondrial tRNA processing. J. Biol. Chem. 270, 12885–12891.

Salavati, R., Panigrahi, A.K., and Stuart, K.D. (2001). Mitochondrial ribonuclease P activity of *Trypanosoma brucei*. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol. *115*, 109–117.

Siegel, T.N., Hekstra, D.R., Wang, X., Dewell, S., and Cross, G.A.M. (2010). Genome-wide analysis of mRNA abundance in two life-cycle stages of *Trypa-nosoma brucei* and identification of splicing and polyadenylation sites. Nucleic Acids Res. 38, 4946–4957.

Tan, T.H.P., Pach, R., Crausaz, A., Ivens, A., and Schneider, A. (2002). tRNAs in *Trypanosoma brucei*: genomic organization, expression, and mitochondrial import. Mol. Cell. Biol. *22*, 3707–3717.

Thomas, B.C., Gao, L., Stomp, D., Li, X., and Gegenheimer, P.A. (1995). Spinach chloroplast RNase P: a putative protein enzyme. Nucleic Acids Symp. Ser. 33, 95–98.

Veitch, N.J., Johnson, P.C.D., Trivedi, U., Terry, S., Wildridge, D., and MacLeod, A. (2010). Digital gene expression analysis of two life cycle stages of the human-infective parasite, *Trypanosoma brucei gambiense* reveals differentially expressed clusters of co-regulated genes. BMC Genomics *11*, 124.

Walker, S.C., and Engelke, D.R. (2008). A protein-only RNase P in human mitochondria. Cell 135, 412–414.

Walker, S.C., Marvin, M.C., and Engelke, D.R. (2010). Eukaryote RNase P and RNase MRP. In Ribonuclease P, F. Liu and S. Altman, eds. (New York: Springer), pp. 173–202.

Wang, M.J., Davis, N.W., and Gegenheimer, P. (1988). Novel mechanisms for maturation of chloroplast transfer RNA precursors. EMBO J. 7, 1567–1574.