Improving outcomes for women after coronary artery bypass grafting: A case for prevention
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Editorial Note: The gender initiative, a provocative series addressing gender differences in the surgical treatment of cardiac, vascular, and thoracic disease, continues with editorials addressing long-term outcomes of coronary artery surgery in women. Suzanne Oparil, MD, a cardiologist renowned for clinical and laboratory studies of women with cardiovascular disease, provides an excellent overview. Viola Vaccarino, MD, PhD, and Colleen Koch, MD, address specific issues involving cardiac rehabilitation, quality of life, relief of symptoms, and long-term survival after cardiac surgery. The series continues next month with editorials addressing valvular heart disease in women.
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In this issue of the Journal, Vaccarino and Koch and Koch and associates come to grips with the knotty and contentious problem of why women have poorer outcomes than men after coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG). They use a database of 15,597 patients, including 3596 women, who underwent isolated CABG surgery at a single institution between 1993 and 2002 to address the question: “Is female gender a marker or a cause of increased risk of poor outcomes after coronary revascularization?” They observe an increased burden of cardiovascular disease risk factors and comorbid conditions, including hypertension, insulin-treated diabetes, heart failure, renal disease, peripheral vascular disease, and elevated low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and triglyceride levels, in women compared with men. Further, women had a more unstable presentation, including a higher prevalence of unstable angina, preoperative intra-aortic balloon pump usage, and emergency surgery, compared with men. When propensity modeling techniques were used, only 26% of women could be matched on propensity scores with men because of the greater prevalence of risk factors and comorbidities among the women. While unadjusted postoperative morbidity and mortality outcomes were worse for women than for men overall, in well-matched patients, female gender was not a risk factor for in-hospital mortality and had minimal impact on postoperative morbidity.

The authors go on to discuss gender disparities in the long-term outcomes of CABG surgery, which include less relief of angina, more dyspnea, and lower functional status in women, without a reduction in survival. They point out an encouraging trend toward improved CABG outcomes in women, which they relate to technical advancements in surgical and myocardial protection techniques. The cardiovascular surgeons and their colleagues in anesthesiology deserve kudos for the major advances that they have made in this area. To achieve further gains in CABG outcomes for women, however, it is apparent that more needs to be done to facilitate the earlier diagnosis of clinically important coronary artery disease and related comorbid conditions in women. This approach should improve their cardiovascular risk profiles at the time of presentation for surgery, as well as their perioperative and postoperative outcomes. Even more important, aggressive strategies of lifestyle modification and cardiovascular risk factor reduction are urgently needed to reduce both the risk of CABG surgery and the need for the procedure in older women.

Effective methods for reducing cardiovascular risk by both lifestyle modification and pharmacologic means are clearly available, but there is evidence that these are underused in the very women who are at highest risk for cardiovascular disease and therefore become candidates for CABG surgery. For example, hypertension, the most common modifiable cardiovascular risk factor in women, is clearly inadequately managed in older women. The majority of women over age 65 years in the United States are hypertensive, and the prevalence of hypertension tracks closely with the prevalence of cardiovascular disease. Abundant clinical trial evidence indicates that antihypertensive therapy is highly effective in preventing target organ damage, including heart attack, heart failure, and stroke, in women, as well as in men. Nevertheless, as shown in data from the Women’s Health Initiative (WHI), hypertension is undertreated and inadequately controlled in women, particularly in high-risk elderly women. In the WHI cohort, blood pressure control rates were
inversely related to age, declining from 41% in women in their 50s to 29% in those older than 70 years, despite similar rates of antihypertensive drug treatment in the three decades. The majority of treated hypertensive women received only a single drug, an approach that has been repeatedly shown to be ineffective in controlling blood pressure, particularly in older, high-risk patients.9 WHI data are further revealing that hypertensive women had a constellation of risk factors, including overweight and sedentary lifestyle, that are susceptible to prevention and treatment by lifestyle modification.

Thresholds for instituting antihypertensive treatment, blood pressure goals, and choices of antihypertensive drugs are generally the same for women as for men.9 Lifestyle modification is clearly indicated in women with clinical hypertension or with blood pressure in the “prehypertensive” range because of its potential for preventing the progression to higher blood pressures and cardiovascular disease outcomes and for increasing the efficacy of pharmacologic treatment. Of the lifestyle interventions, weight loss and aerobic exercise are the most efficacious in reducing blood pressure and related cardiovascular disease risks such as dyslipidemia.

Similar to blood pressure, lipid levels are strong predictors of risk for coronary heart disease in women, who have an age-dependent unfavorable trend in lipid levels. Further, high-risk women derive similar or greater benefit than men from treatment with 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase inhibitors (statins).10-12 Accordingly, it is important to assess lipid levels and global cardiovascular risk and consider instituting both lifestyle modification and pharmacologic therapy for dyslipidemia in all women at menopause. A combination of prudent diet and exercise can improve levels of triglycerides and high- and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol.13 Detailed guidelines for thresholds and goals for pharmacologic treatment are published in the Third Report of the Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III or ATP III).14 Although treatment recommendations are generally similar for women and men, some gender-specific issues play a role in the evaluation and management of dyslipidemia in women. For example, triglyceride levels are more powerful predictors of coronary heart disease risk in women than men and require particularly aggressive treatment.

Type 2 diabetes mellitus is a particularly powerful cardiovascular risk factor in women, equivalent to a history of myocardial infarction in predicting future coronary events. The Nurses’ Health Study identified a 3-fold to 7-fold increase in cardiovascular events in women with type 2 diabetes compared with nondiabetic women.15 The presence of diabetes is also associated with less favorable outcomes of percutaneous coronary revascularization,16 and CABG is the preferred therapy in diabetic patients when invasive management is required. Accordingly, optimal diabetes management is a particularly critical component of efforts to improve outcomes in women undergoing CABG surgery. Women with diabetes should be managed with aggressive risk factor modification emphasizing weight control and physical activity, as well as use of pharmacologic therapy to achieve glucose control (goal hemoglobin A1C < 7%), reduction of blood pressure to less than 130/80 mm Hg, and reduction of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol to less than 100 mg/dL and non–high-density lipoprotein cholesterol to less than 130 mg/dL.

Clearly, the incisive analysis of Koch and colleagues reveals that the poorer outcomes observed for women undergoing CABG surgery are not inevitable results of female gender. Rather, they result from a burden of comorbid conditions and modifiable risk factors that could be relieved by greater attention to lifestyle modification and more aggressive management of critical comorbid conditions, particularly diabetes, earlier in life. The hope for the future is that improved lifestyles and more diligent medical management may even the propensity scores and obliterate the gender disadvantage of older women undergoing CABG.
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