

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS

J. Math. Anal. Appl. 338 (2008) 1020-1028

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa

Meromorphic functions that share a set with their derivatives

Jianming Chang^{a,1}, Lawrence Zalcman^{b,*,2}

^a Department of Mathematics, Changshu Institute of Technology, Changshu, Jiangsu 215500, PR China
 ^b Department of Mathematics, Bar-Ilan University, 52900 Ramat-Gan, Israel

Received 26 April 2007

Available online 8 June 2007

Submitted by Steven G. Krantz

Abstract

There exists a set *S* with three elements such that if a meromorphic function *f*, having at most finitely many simple poles, shares the set *S* CM with its derivative f', then $f' \equiv f$. © 2007 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Meromorphic functions; Shared values

1. Introduction

For f a nonconstant entire function in the plane domain D and S a set of complex numbers, let

$$E_D(S, f) = \bigcup_{a \in S} \{ z \in D: f(z) - a = 0 \}$$

where zero of multiplicity *m* is counted *m* times in the set $E_D(S, f)$. When $D = \mathbb{C}$, we simply write E(S, f).

In [2], Fang and Zalcman proved

Theorem A. There exists a finite set S containing 3 elements such that if f is a nonconstant entire function and E(S, f) = E(S, f'), then $f \equiv f'$.

It is natural to ask whether Theorem A remains valid for meromorphic functions. In this paper, we prove the following generalization of Theorem A.

Theorem 1. There exists a set S with three elements such that if f is a meromorphic function f with at most finitely many simple poles and E(S, f) = E(S, f'), then $f' \equiv f$.

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: jmwchang@pub.sz.jsinfo.net, jmchang@cslg.edu.cn (J. Chang), zalcman@macs.biu.ac.il (L. Zalcman).

¹ Research supported by the NNSF of China (Grants Nos. 10471065 and 10671093) and by the Fred and Barbara Kort Sino-Israel Post Doctoral Fellowship Program at Bar-Ilan University.

² Research supported by the German–Israeli Foundation for Scientific Research and Development, Grant G-809-234.6/2003.

⁰⁰²²⁻²⁴⁷X/\$ - see front matter © 2007 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2007.05.079

Theorem 1 follows from the following more precise result.

Theorem 2. Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function with at most finitely many simple poles; and let $S = \{0, a, b\}$, where a and b are distinct nonzero complex numbers. If f and its derivative f' satisfy E(S, f) = E(S, f'), then either

(i) $f(z) = Ce^{z}$; or (ii) $f(z) = Ce^{-z} + \frac{2}{3}(a+b)$ and either a+b=0 or $2a^{2} - 5ab + 2b^{2} = 0$; or (iii) $f(z) = Ce^{\frac{-1\pm i\sqrt{3}}{2}z} + \frac{3\pm i\sqrt{3}}{6}(a+b)$ and $a^{2} - ab + b^{2} = 0$,

where C is a nonzero constant.

Throughout this paper, we use the standard notions and notation of Nevanlinna theory [3,6]. In particular, the spherical derivative of a meromorphic function f is given by

$$f^{\#}(z) = \frac{|f'(z)|}{1 + |f(z)|^2}$$

and the order of f is defined by

$$\rho = \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log^+ T(r, f)}{\log r}.$$

2. Auxiliary results

Lemma 1. Let f be a meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} . If f has bounded spherical derivative on \mathbb{C} , f is of order at most 2. If, in addition, f is entire, then the order of f is at most 1.

Remark. The first part of the lemma follows from the formula for the Ahlfors-Shimizu characteristic

$$T_0(r, f) = \int_0^r \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{|z| \le t} \left[f^{\#}(z) \right]^2 dx \, dy \right) dt$$

and the fact that T(r, f) and $T_0(r, f)$ differ by a bounded quantity (independent of r). The result for entire functions is more subtle; it is a special case of Theorem 3 in [1].

It is not difficult to extend Lemma 1 as follows.

Lemma 2. Let f be a meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} with finitely many poles. If f has bounded spherical derivative on \mathbb{C} , f is of order at most 1.

Recently, using Zalcman's Lemma [5] (cf. [7]), Liu and Pang obtained the following normality criterion [4].

Lemma 3. (See [4].) Let \mathcal{F} be a family of functions meromorphic on the unit disk Δ . If there exists a set S with three elements such that $E_{\Delta}(S, f) = E_{\Delta}(f', S)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$, then \mathcal{F} is normal on Δ .

As an almost immediate consequence, we have

Lemma 4. Let f be a function meromorphic on \mathbb{C} . If there exists a set S with three elements such that E(S, f) = E(S, f'), then $f^{\#}(z)$ is bounded on \mathbb{C} .

Proof. Set $\mathcal{F} = \{f_w: w \in \mathbb{C}\}$, where $f_w(z) = f(z + w)$. By Lemma 3, \mathcal{F} is normal on Δ ; so by Marty's Theorem, $f^{\#}(w) = f_w^{\#}(0) \leq M$ for some M > 0 and all $w \in \mathbb{C}$. \Box

Lemma 5. (See [3, p. 56].) Let f be a meromorphic function of finite order on the plane \mathbb{C} . Then

$$m\left(r,\frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right) = O(\log r)$$

for each positive integer k.

Lemma 6. Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function of finite order, and let A, B be two constants satisfying $A^2 - 4B \neq 0$ and $B \neq 0$. Then

$$m\left(r, \frac{(f')^3 + A(f')^2 + Bf'}{f^3 + Af^2 + Bf}\right) = O(\log r).$$

Proof. Since $A^2 - 4B \neq 0$ and $B \neq 0$, we have

 $f^3 + Af^2 + Bf = f(f + \alpha)(f + \beta),$

where α and β are two distinct nonzero constants. Then

$$\frac{1}{f^3 + Af^2 + Bf} = \frac{1}{f(f+\alpha)(f+\beta)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha} \left(\frac{1}{f(f+\alpha)} - \frac{1}{f(f+\beta)} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} \cdot \frac{1}{f} - \frac{1}{\alpha(\beta - \alpha)} \cdot \frac{1}{f+\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta(\beta - \alpha)} \cdot \frac{1}{f+\beta}.$$

Thus

$$\frac{(f')^3 + A(f')^2 + Bf'}{f^3 + Af^2 + Bf} = \frac{(f')^3}{f(f+\alpha)(f+\beta)} + \frac{A}{\beta-\alpha} \left(\frac{(f')^2}{f(f+\alpha)} - \frac{(f')^2}{f(f+\beta)}\right) + \frac{B}{\alpha\beta} \cdot \frac{f'}{f}$$
$$-\frac{B}{\alpha(\beta-\alpha)} \cdot \frac{f'}{f+\alpha} + \frac{B}{\beta(\beta-\alpha)} \cdot \frac{f'}{f+\beta}.$$

Lemma 6 now follows from Lemma 5. \Box

Lemma 7. Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function satisfying the equation

$$a_0 f^n + a_1 f^{n-1} + \dots + a_n = 0,$$

where a_i are meromorphic functions with $a_0 \neq 0$. Then

$$m(r, f) \leq m\left(r, \frac{1}{a_0}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^n m(r, a_j) + O(1).$$

Proof. By the equation,

$$f^{n} = -\frac{1}{a_{0}}(a_{1}f^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n}).$$

So

$$nm(r, f) = m(r, f^{n}) \leq m\left(r, \frac{1}{a_{0}}\right) + m(r, a_{1}f^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n})$$
$$\leq m\left(r, \frac{1}{a_{0}}\right) + m(r, a_{1}f^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1}f) + m(r, a_{n}) + O(1)$$
$$\leq m\left(r, \frac{1}{a_{0}}\right) + m(r, f) + m(r, a_{1}f^{n-2} + \dots + a_{n-1}) + m(r, a_{n}) + O(1)$$

$$\leq m\left(r, \frac{1}{a_0}\right) + m(r, f) + m\left(r, a_1 f^{n-2} + \dots + a_{n-2} f\right) + m(r, a_{n-1}) + m(r, a_n) + O(1)$$

$$\leq \dots$$

$$\leq m\left(r, \frac{1}{a_0}\right) + (n-1)m(r, f) + m(r, a_1) + \dots + m(r, a_n) + O(1).$$

Hence

$$m(r, f) \leq m\left(r, \frac{1}{a_0}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^n m(r, a_j) + O(1).$$

Lemma 7 is proved. \Box

Lemma 8. Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function. Set

$$\gamma = \frac{f''}{f'}.$$
(1)

Then

$$\begin{split} f'' &= \gamma f', \qquad f''' = (\gamma' + \gamma^2) f', \qquad f^{(4)} = (\gamma'' + 3\gamma'\gamma + \gamma^3) f', \\ \left(\frac{1}{f'}\right)' &= -\frac{\gamma}{f'}, \qquad \left(\frac{f''}{f'}\right)' = \gamma', \qquad \frac{f''}{(f')^2} = \frac{\gamma}{f'}, \\ \left(\frac{f''}{(f')^2}\right)' &= \frac{\gamma' - \gamma^2}{f'}, \qquad \frac{(f'')^2}{f'} = \gamma^2 f', \qquad \left(\frac{(f'')^2}{f'}\right)' = (2\gamma\gamma' + \gamma^3) f', \\ \frac{f'''}{f'} &= \gamma' + \gamma^2, \qquad \left(\frac{f'''}{f'}\right)' = \gamma'' + 2\gamma\gamma', \qquad \left(\frac{1}{f'}\left(\frac{f''}{f'}\right)'\right)' = \frac{\gamma'' - \gamma\gamma'}{f'}. \end{split}$$

Proof. Straightforward calculation. \Box

Lemma 9. Let f, h be meromorphic functions such that f is nonconstant, and let A, B be two constants. Assume that

$$f^{3} + Af^{2} + Bf = h[(f')^{3} + A(f')^{2} + Bf'].$$
(2)

Then

$$6f' = h''' \left(f' + A + \frac{B}{f'} \right) + h'' \left(6f'' + 3A \frac{f''}{f'} \right) + h' \left[8f''' + 3A \left(\frac{f''}{f'} \right)' + B \left(\frac{f''}{(f')^2} \right)' + \frac{3(f'')^2}{f'} + 2A \frac{f'''}{f'} + \frac{B}{f'} \left(\frac{f''}{f'} \right)' \right] + h \left[3 \left(\frac{(f'')^2}{f'} \right)' + 3f^{(4)} + 2A \left(\frac{f''}{f'} \right)' + B \left(\frac{1}{f'} \left(\frac{f''}{f'} \right)' \right)' \right].$$
(3)

Proof. Differentiating (2), we get

$$(3f^{2} + 2Af + B)f' = h'[(f')^{3} + A(f')^{2} + Bf'] + h[3(f')^{2} + 2Af' + B]f'',$$

so that

$$3f^{2} + 2Af + B = h' [(f')^{2} + Af' + B] + h \left(3f'f'' + 2Af'' + B\frac{f''}{f'} \right).$$
(4)

Differentiating (4), we obtain a new equality. Dividing both sides of the new equality by f' shows that

$$6f + A = h'' \left(f' + A + \frac{B}{f'} \right) + h' \left(5f'' + 3A\frac{f''}{f'} + B\frac{f''}{(f')^2} \right) + h \left[3f''' + \frac{3(f'')^2}{f'} + 2A\frac{f'''}{f'} + \frac{B}{f'} \left(\frac{f''}{f'} \right)' \right].$$
(5)

Finally, differentiating (5), we get (3). \Box

Lemma 10. Let f, h be meromorphic functions such that f is nonconstant, and let A, B be constants such that (2) holds. Then we have

$$P + \frac{AQ}{f'} + \frac{BR}{(f')^2} = 0,$$
(6)

where

$$P = h''' + 6\gamma h'' + (8\gamma' + 11\gamma^2)h' + (3\gamma'' + 15\gamma\gamma' + 6\gamma^3)h - 6,$$
(7)

$$Q = h''' + 3\gamma h'' + (5\gamma' + 2\gamma^2)h' + (2\gamma'' + 4\gamma\gamma')h,$$
(8)

$$R = h''' + (2\gamma' - \gamma^2)h' + (\gamma'' - \gamma\gamma')h,$$
(9)

and γ is defined in (1).

Proof. By Lemma 9, we have (3). Substituting the formulae obtained in Lemma 8 into (3), we obtain (6). \Box

Lemma 11. Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function, and let A and $B \neq 0$ be constants. Assume that $f^3 + Af^2 + Bf$ and $(f')^3 + A(f')^2 + Bf'$ have the same zeros with the same multiplicity. Then $f' \neq 0$, so that 1/f' is an entire function.

Proof. Since $f^3 + Af^2 + Bf$ and $(f')^3 + A(f')^2 + Bf'$ have the same zeros with the same multiplicity, we see that

$$h = \frac{f^3 + Af^2 + Bf}{(f')^3 + A(f')^2 + Bf'}$$
(10)

is an entire function, and h(z) = 0 if and only if $f(z) = \infty$. By (10), we have (2) and hence (4). It follows that $f' \neq 0$. Indeed, at any zero of f', the left side of (4) is holomorphic while the right side fails to be holomorphic since h(z) = 0 if and only if $f(z) = \infty$. The lemma is proved. \Box

Lemma 12. Let f be a nonconstant rational function satisfying $f' \neq 0$. Then f(z) = az + b or $f(z) = \frac{a}{(z+c)^n} + b$, where $a \ (\neq 0)$, b, c are constants and n is a positive integer.

Proof. If f is a polynomial, then clearly f(z) = az + b for some constants $a \neq 0$ and b.

If f is not a polynomial, it has at least one pole in \mathbb{C} ; moreover, since $f' \neq 0$, all zeros of f - C are simple for any $C \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus

$$f(z) = b + a \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m} (z - w_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^{n} (z - z_j)^{p_j}},$$

where $a \neq 0$ and b are constants, $m \ge 0$ and n, $p_j \ge 1$ are integers, and all z_j and w_j are distinct complex numbers. Furthermore, we may assume that $m \neq \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j$, since if $m = \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j$, we can consider the function f(z) - a instead of f(z).

Now direct calculation shows that $f'(z) = aP(z) / \prod_{j=1}^{n} (z - z_j)^{p_j+1}$, where

$$P(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} (z - z_j) \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{m} \prod_{l \neq j} (z - w_l) - \prod_{j=1}^{m} (z - w_j) \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \prod_{s \neq j} (z - z_s).$$

1024

We claim that n + m - 1 = 0. Suppose that $n + m - 1 \neq 0$. Then since the coefficient of the leading term z^{n+m-1} of P is $m - \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \neq 0$, we see that P has at least one zero. However, $f' \neq 0$, so each zero of P must be one of the z_j . Suppose $P(z_1) = 0$. Then $\prod_{j=1}^{m} (z_1 - w_j) \prod_{s \geq 2} (z_1 - z_s) = 0$. But this is impossible, as all z_j and w_j are distinct. Thus n + m - 1 = 0. Since $n \geq 1$ and $m \geq 0$, m = 0 and n = 1. The lemma is proved. \Box

3. Proof of Theorem 2

Since f and f' share the set $S = \{0, a, b\}$ CM, by Lemma 4, f is of order ≤ 2 . We also see that $f^3 + Af^2 + Bf$ and $(f')^3 + A(f')^2 + Bf'$ have the same zeros with the same multiplicity, where A = -(a + b) and $B = ab \neq 0$. Note $A^2 - 4B \neq 0$. So by Lemma 11, 1/f' is an entire function, and there exists an entire function h, whose zeros are the poles of f and have multiplicity 3, such that

$$f^{3} + Af^{2} + Bf = h[(f')^{3} + A(f')^{2} + Bf'].$$
(11)

By Lemma 6,

$$m\left(r,\frac{1}{h}\right) = O(\log r),\tag{12}$$

and by Lemma 10,

$$P + \frac{AQ}{f'} + \frac{BR}{(f')^2} = 0,$$
(13)

where P, Q, R are defined in (7)–(9). We claim that P, Q and R are entire functions. Note that the possible poles of P, Q and R must be poles of f since $f' \neq 0$. So we only need to show that P, Q and R are holomorphic at every pole of f.

Let z_0 be a pole of f. Then elementary computation shows

$$P = O(z - z_0),$$

$$Q = -\frac{(n-1)(2n-1)}{n^3} + O(z - z_0),$$

$$R = \frac{2(n-2)(n+2)}{n^3} + O(z - z_0),$$
(14)
(15)

as $z \rightarrow z_0$. Thus P, Q and R are entire functions.

Next we consider two cases.

Case 1. We have $R \neq 0$. Then by (13), we have

$$\frac{P}{h} + \frac{AQ}{h} \cdot \frac{1}{f'} + \frac{BR}{h} \left(\frac{1}{f'}\right)^2 = 0.$$

Thus, by Lemma 7,

$$m\left(r,\frac{1}{f'}\right) \leq m\left(r,\frac{h}{R}\right) + m\left(r,\frac{Q}{h}\right) + m\left(r,\frac{P}{h}\right) + O(1).$$

By Lemma 5, we have $m(r, Q/h) = O(\log r)$ and $m(r, R/h) = O(\log r)$; and by Lemma 5 and (12), $m(r, P/h) = O(\log r)$. Thus

$$m\left(r,\frac{1}{f'}\right) \leq m\left(r,\frac{h}{R}\right) + O(\log r).$$

It follows that

$$N(r, f') \leq T\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) + O(1) = m\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) + O(1) \leq m\left(r, \frac{h}{R}\right) + O(\log r)$$
$$\leq T\left(r, \frac{h}{R}\right) + O(\log r) \leq T\left(r, \frac{R}{h}\right) + O(\log r) \leq N\left(r, \frac{R}{h}\right) + O(\log r).$$
(16)

Let $N_p(r, f)$ for each $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be the counting function of the poles of f with multiplicity exact p, each pole counted only once. Then by (15), we have

$$N\left(r,\frac{R}{h}\right) \leqslant 3N_1(r,f) + 2N_2(r,f) + 3\sum_{p \ge 3} N_p(r,f).$$
(17)

We also have

$$N(r, f') = \sum_{p \ge 1} (p+1)N_p(r, f).$$
(18)

By hypothesis, $N_1(r, f) = O(\log r)$. Then by (16)–(18)

$$N_2(r, f) + \sum_{p \ge 3} (p-2)N_p(r, f) \le O(\log r).$$
(19)

It follows that f has finitely many poles. Thus by Lemmas 4 and 2, the order of f satisfies $\rho(f) \leq 1$, and we can write

$$f'(z) = \frac{e^{cz}}{M(z)},\tag{20}$$

where *c* is a constant and $M(z) \ (\neq 0)$ is a polynomial.

Since all zeros of h are poles of f, h has finitely many zeros. Thus by (12), h is a polynomial.

If c = 0, then f is a rational function. By Lemma 12, this case cannot occur.

Thus $c \neq 0$. We claim that

$$f(z) = R_1(z)e^{cz} + R_2(z)e^{-cz} + R_3(z),$$
(21)

where $R_j(z)$ are rational functions. Indeed, by (20), $f' = e^{cz}/M$. Thus $1/f' = Me^{-cz}$, $f'' = M_1e^{cz}$, $f''' = M_2e^{cz}$, f'''/f' = c - M'/M, $f'''/f' = MM_2$ and (f''/f')' = -(M'/M)', where M_1 and M_2 are rational functions. Since *h* is a polynomial, (21) follows from (5), proving the claim.

By (20) and (21), we have

$$\left(R_1' + cR_1 - \frac{1}{M}\right)e^{2cz} + R_3'e^{cz} + R_2' - cR_2 = 0.$$
(22)

It follows that $R'_3 = 0$, $R'_2 - cR_2 = 0$ and

$$R_1' + cR_1 - \frac{1}{M} = 0. (23)$$

Thus $R_2 = 0$ and R_3 is a constant, say $R_3 = d$. So

$$f(z) = R_1(z)e^{cz} + d.$$
 (24)

Substituting (20) and (24) into (11), we get

$$\left((R_1)^3 - \frac{h}{M^3}\right) + \left([3d+A](R_1)^2 - \frac{Ah}{M^2}\right)e^{2cz} + \left(\left[3d^2 + 2dA + B\right]R_1 - \frac{Bh}{M}\right)e^{cz} + d^3 + Ad^2 + Bd = 0.$$
(25)

It follows that

$$(R_1)^3 - \frac{h}{M^3} = 0, (26)$$

$$(3d+A)(R_1)^2 - \frac{Ah}{M^2} = 0, (27)$$

$$(3d^2 + 2dA + B)R_1 - \frac{hB}{M} = 0,$$
(28)

$$d^3 + Ad^2 + Bd = 0. (29)$$

A tedious calculation, which we defer to Appendix A, then shows that f assumes one of the following forms:

(i) $f(z) = Ce^{z}$;

(ii) $f(z) = Ce^{-z} - \frac{2}{3}A$ and either A = 0 or $B = \frac{2}{9}A^2$; (iii) $f(z) = Ce^{\frac{-1\pm i\sqrt{3}}{2}z} - \frac{3\pm i\sqrt{3}}{6}A$ and $B = \frac{1}{3}A^2$,

where *C* is a nonzero constant. Since A = -(a + b) and B = ab, this completes the proof of Theorem 2 in Case 1. *Case* 2. We have $R \equiv 0$. Then by (15), all poles of *f* are double. Thus

$$f'(z)h(z) = e^{\alpha(z)},\tag{30}$$

where α is a polynomial of degree ≤ 2 . Set

$$\beta = \frac{h'}{h}.$$
(31)

Then by (30) and (31),

$$\gamma = \frac{f''}{f'} = \alpha' - \beta. \tag{32}$$

By (31), we also have

$$h' = \beta h, \qquad h'' = (\beta' + \beta^2)h, \qquad h''' = (\beta'' + 3\beta\beta' + \beta^3)h.$$
 (33)

Substituting (32) and (33) into (9) and setting $R \equiv 0$, we obtain

$$(2\beta^2 + \beta')\alpha' + [3\alpha'' - (\alpha')^2]\beta + \alpha''' - \alpha'\alpha'' = 0.$$
(34)

Let z_0 be a pole of f. Then some computation shows that near z_0 ,

$$2\beta^2 + \beta' = \frac{15}{(z - z_0)^2} \Big[1 + O(z - z_0) \Big]. \tag{35}$$

From (34) and (35), it follows that $\alpha'(z_0) = 0$. Thus if $\alpha' \neq 0$, then since α is a polynomial of degree at most 2, f has at most one pole. Thus by Lemma 4, f is of order at most 1 and hence h has at most a single zero. Thus $N(r, 1/h) = O(\log r)$; so by (12) and Nevanlinna's First Fundamental Theorem,

$$T(r,h) = T\left(r,\frac{1}{h}\right) + O(1) = O(\log r).$$

Since h is entire, it must be a polynomial. An argument similar to that in Case 1 now shows that f must have one of the forms listed at the end of Case 1.

So we consider the case that $\alpha' \equiv 0$, i.e., α constant. Set $e^{\alpha} = c$. Then by (30),

$$f(z) = \frac{c}{h(z)}.$$
(36)

So $\gamma = -\beta$. In this case, (13) becomes

$$A(\beta\beta' + \beta'')h^2 - 2c(2\beta\beta' - \beta'')h + 6c = 0.$$
(37)

Differentiating (37), we obtain

$$A[(\beta')^{2} + 3\beta\beta'' + 2\beta^{2}\beta' + \beta''']h - 2c[2(\beta')^{2} + \beta\beta'' + 2\beta^{2}\beta' - \beta'''] = 0.$$
(38)

However, near a zero z_0 of h, we have

$$(\beta')^2 + 3\beta\beta'' + 2\beta^2\beta' + \beta''' = \frac{27}{(z-z_0)^4} \Big[1 + O(z-z_0) \Big],$$
(39)

$$2(\beta')^2 + \beta\beta'' + 2\beta^2\beta' - \beta''' = -\frac{9}{(z-z_0)^4} \Big[1 + O(z-z_0) \Big].$$
(40)

It follows from (38)–(40) that c = 0. But then f' = 0, so f is constant, which contradicts the assumptions of Theorem 2. Thus h does not vanish. By (12), $T(r, h) = T(r, 1/h) + O(1) = m(r, 1/h) + O(1) = O(\log r)$, so that h is a polynomial, and hence constant. Thus f is a linear function. Again, this contradicts the assumptions of Theorem 2.

This completes the proof.

Appendix A

$$AR_1M = 3d + A.$$

If A = 0, then by (*), d = 0. Thus by (28), $h = MR_1$; and hence $M^2(R_1)^2 = 1$ by (26). So $\frac{1}{M} = \pm R_1$. Thus by (23), we get $R'_1 + (c \pm 1)R_1 = 0$. Since R_1 is a rational function, R_1 is a constant and $c = \pm 1$. Set $R_1 = C$. If c = 1, we have $f(z) = Ce^z$; and if c = -1, $f(z) = Ce^{-z}$.

(*)

If $A \neq 0$, then by (*), $3d + A \neq 0$ and $\frac{1}{M} = \frac{A}{3d+A}R_1$. Thus by (23),

$$R_1' + \left(c - \frac{A}{3d+A}\right)R_1 = 0.$$

Since R_1 is a rational function, R_1 is a constant and c = A/(3d + A). Set $R_1 = C$. Then $\frac{1}{M} = cC$ and $h = 1/c^3$ by (26).

From (27), we obtain

$$3d + \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right)A = 0\tag{**}$$

and from (28),

$$3d^2 + 2Ad + \left(1 - \frac{1}{c^2}\right)B = 0. \tag{***}$$

Case 1: c = 1. Then by (**), d = 0. So $f(z) = Ce^{z}$. *Case* 2: c = -1. Then by (**), $d = -\frac{2}{3}A$. So $f(z) = Ce^{-z} - \frac{2}{3}A$. By (29), we have

$$-\frac{8}{27}A^3 + \frac{4}{9}A^3 - \frac{2}{3}AB = 0.$$

It follows that either A = 0 or $B = \frac{2}{9}A^2$.

Case 3: $c \neq \pm 1$. Then by (**), $A = \frac{3cd}{1-c}$; and then by (***),

$$B = \frac{c^2}{1 - c^2} \left(3d^2 + 2Ad \right) = \frac{3c^2 d^2}{(1 - c)^2}.$$

Since $B \neq 0$, we have $d \neq 0$. Thus by (29), we get

$$d^{3} + \frac{3cd^{3}}{1-c} + \frac{3c^{2}d^{3}}{(1-c)^{2}} = 0.$$

It follows that $1 + c + c^2 = 0$. Thus $c = \frac{-1 \pm i\sqrt{3}}{2}$, and hence $d = \frac{1-c}{3c}A = -\frac{3\pm i\sqrt{3}}{6}A$ and $B = \frac{1}{3}A^2$. So

$$f(z) = Ce^{\frac{-1\pm i\sqrt{3}}{2}z} - \frac{3\pm i\sqrt{3}}{6}A.$$

References

- [1] J. Clunie, W.K. Hayman, The spherical derivative of integral and meromorphic functions, Comment. Math. Helv. 40 (1966) 117–148.
- [2] M.L. Fang, L. Zalcman, Normal families and uniqueness theorems for entire functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 280 (2003) 273-283.
- [3] W.K. Hayman, Meromorphic Functions, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [4] X.J. Liu, X.C. Pang, Shared values and normal function, Acta Math. Sin. (Chin. Ser.) 50 (2007) 409-412.
- [5] X.C. Pang, L. Zalcman, Normal families and shared values, Bull. London Math. Soc. 32 (2000) 325-331.
- [6] L. Yang, Value Distribution Theory, Springer, Berlin, 1993.
- [7] L. Zalcman, Normal families: New perspectives, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 35 (1998) 215-230.