Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Discrete Mathematics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/disc

On the spread of the spectrum of a graph $\!\!\!\!^\star$

Bolian Liu^{a,*}, Liu Mu-huo^b

^a Department of Math., South China Normal University, Guangzhou, 510631, PR China ^b Department of Math., South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou, 510642, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 17 October 2007 Received in revised form 2 March 2008 Accepted 17 June 2008 Available online 26 July 2008

Keywords: Upper bound Spread Eigenvalues

1. Introduction

For an $n \times n$ matrix M, the spread, S(M), of M is defined as the diameter of its spectrum, i.e., $S(M) := \max_{i,j} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|$, where the maximum is taken over all pairs of eigenvalues of M. Suppose M is an adjacency matrix of a simple graph Gwith n vertices. Since M is real and symmetric, we always assume the eigenvalues of M are $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n$. Then, $S(M) = \lambda_1 - \lambda_n$. Let S(G) = S(M). The following theorem on S(G) is due to Gregory et al. [2].

Theorem A. For a graph G with n vertices and e edges,

$$S(G) \leq \lambda_1 + \sqrt{2e - \lambda_1^2} \leq 2\sqrt{e}.$$

If *G* has no isolated vertices, then equality holds throughout if and only if equality holds in the first inequality; equivalently, if and only if $G = K_{a,b}$ for some *a*, *b* with e = ab and $a + b \le n$.

In this note we obtain some new upper bounds and lower bounds of S(G), which are some improvements of Gregory's bound on S(G) for graphs with additional restrictions.

2. An upper bound on the spread of a graph

Let *G* be a simple graph of order *n* with degree sequence $d_1, d_2, ..., d_n$, specially let d(v) be the degree of vertex *v*. We call a graph *G* with *n* vertices and *e* edges an (n, e) graph. $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n$ denote the eigenvalues of A(G), where A(G) is an adjacency matrix of *G*. Let $M_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2$. Then we have

Corresponding author.





Some new upper bounds and lower bounds are obtained for the spread $\lambda_1 - \lambda_n$ of the eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n$ of the adjacency matrix of a simple graph.

© 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

^{*} The first author is supported by NNSF of China (No. 10771080) and SRFDP of China (No. 20070574006). The second author is supported by NNSF of China (No. 10526019) and NSF of Guangdong Province (No. 5300084).

E-mail address: liubl@scnu.edu.cn (B. Liu).

⁰⁰¹²⁻³⁶⁵X/\$ – see front matter 0 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.disc.2008.06.026

Theorem 2.1. For an (n, e) graph G with f4-cycles,

$$M_1 = \frac{1}{2}tr(A^4) + e - 4f.$$

Proof. Let $A(G) = (a_{i,j})$ and $A^k = (a_{ij}^k)$. It is known (see [1]) that a_{ii}^4 is the number of all closed walks of length 4 from vertex *i* to *i* in *G*. Let f_i denote the number of 4-cycles located at vertex *i*. We write $i \sim j$ if vertex *i* is adjacent to vertex *j*.

$$a_{ii}^{4} = d_{i}^{2} + \sum_{j \sim i} (d_{j} - 1) + 2f_{i}$$
$$= d_{i}^{2} + \sum_{j \sim i} d_{j} - d_{i} + 2f_{i}.$$

Thus,

$$\operatorname{tr} (A^4) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}^4$$

= $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \sim i} d_j - \sum_{i=1}^n d_i + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n f_i$
= $M_1 + M_1 - 2e + 8f$.

We have $M_1 = \frac{1}{2}tr(A^4) + e - 4f$.

Notice that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^4 = tr(A^4)$, trace of A^4 (see [1]), we have

Corollary 2.1. For an (n, e) graph *G* with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$,

$$M_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^4 + e - 4f$$

where f is the number of 4-cycles in G.

We call a graph without K'_4 s a K_4 -free graph.

Theorem 2.2. For a K_4 -free (n, e) graph G, $M_1 \leq e^2 + e - 4f$. If G is connected and the equality holds, then $|N(u) \cup N(v)| = n$ holds for any $\{u, v\} \in E(G)$.

Proof. For any edge $\{u, v\} \in E(G)$, let f_{uv} denote the number of 4-cycles containing edge $\{u, v\}$. Since G is a K_4 -free graph, then $d(u) + d(v) \le e + 1 - f_{uv}$. Thus,

$$\sum_{u \sim v} (d(u) + d(v)) \le \sum_{u \sim v} e + \sum_{u \sim v} 1 - \sum_{u \sim v} f_{uv}.$$
(1)

It follows that $M_1 \le e^2 + e - 4f$.

If equality holds in this theorem, by inequality (1), it follows that $d(u) + d(v) = e + 1 - f_{uv}$ holds for any $\{u, v\} \in E(G)$. Let G' denote the subgraph induced by $N(u) \cup N(v)$, and e' denote the number of edges of G'. Since G (and then G') is K₄-free, then $e + 1 - f_{uv} = d(u) + d(v) \le e' + 1 - f_{uv} \le e + 1 - f_{uv}$, implies that e = e'. Moreover, since G is connected, then $|N(u) \cup N(v)| = n.$

A result by E. Nosal [1] asserts that if $\lambda_1^2 > \sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i^2$, equivalently, if $\lambda_1 > \sqrt{e}$ then G contains at least one K_3 . The following Corollary implies that if $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^4 > 2e^2$ then G contains at least one K_4 .

Corollary 2.2. For a K_4 -free (n, e) graph, $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^4 \leq 2e^2$,

where equality holds for $G \cong K_{a,b}$ or $G \cong K_3$.

Proof. Combining Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.1, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^4 + e - 4f \le e^2 + e - 4f.$$

Hence, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^4 \leq 2e^2$. If $G \cong K_{a,b}$ or $G \cong K_3$, it is easy to check that $d(u) + d(v) = e + 1 - f_{uv}$ holds for any $\{u, v\} \in E(G)$. Thus, equality holds in inequality (1), implies that equality holds in this corollary.

We now prove one of our main results.

Theorem 2.3. For an (n, e) graph *G* with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$,

$$S(G) \le \lambda_1 + \sqrt[4]{2M_1 - 2e + 8f - \lambda_1^4} \le 2\sqrt[4]{M_1 - e + 4f}$$

If G has no isolated vertices, then equality holds throughout if and only if equality holds in the first inequality; equivalently, if and only if $G = K_{a,b}$ for some a, b with e = ab and $a + b \le n$.

Proof. By Corollary 2.1, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^4 = 2M_1 - 2e + 8f$. Thus, $\lambda_1^4 + \lambda_n^4 \le 2M_1 - 2e + 8f$ and

$$-\sqrt[4]{2M_1-2e+8f-\lambda_1^4} \le \lambda_n \le \sqrt[4]{2M_1-2e+8f-\lambda_1^4}.$$

Therefore,

$$S(G) = \lambda_1 - \lambda_n \leq \lambda_1 + \sqrt[4]{2M_1 - 2e + 8f - \lambda_1^4}.$$

Equality holds if and only if $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \cdots = \lambda_{n-1} = 0$, that is, if and only if A(G) = 0 or rank(A) = 2, equivalently, if and only if the non-isolated vertices of *G* have at most two distinct neighborhood sets. Thus, equality holds if and only if e = 0 or $G = K_{a,b}$ for some *a*, *b* with e = ab and $a + b \le n$. If e = ab, then $\lambda_1 = -\lambda_n = \sqrt{ab}$. Note that $\lambda_1 + \sqrt[4]{2M_1 - 2e + 8f - \lambda_1^4}$ is a strictly increasing function of λ_1 when $\lambda_1 \le \sqrt[4]{M_1 - e + 4f}$, it is strictly decreasing when $\lambda_1 \ge \sqrt[4]{M_1 - e + 4f}$, we have

$$S(G) \leq \lambda_1 + \sqrt[4]{2M_1 - 2e + 8f - \lambda_1^4} \leq 2\sqrt[4]{M_1 - e + 4f}.$$

All equalities hold when $\lambda_1 = -\lambda_n = \sqrt{ab}$.

For a K_4 -free (n, e) graph, by Corollaries 2.1 and 2.2, we have $2M_1 - 2e + 8f - \lambda_1^4 = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^4 - \lambda_1^4 \le 2e^2 - \lambda_1^4 \le 4e^2 - 4e\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_1^4$. Thus, $\sqrt[4]{2M_1 - 2e + 8f - \lambda_1^4} \le \sqrt{2e - \lambda_1^2}$ holds for K_4 -free (n, e) graph. Hence we can conclude that if *G* is a K_4 -free (n, e) graph, the bound of Theorem 2.3 is finer than that of Theorem A.

3. Lower bounds on the spread of a graph

Consider two sequence of real numbers: $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n$, and $\mu_1 \ge \mu_2 \ge \cdots \ge \mu_m$ with m < n. The second sequence is said to interlace the first one whenever $\lambda_i \ge \mu_i \ge \lambda_{n-m+i}$ for $i = 1, 2 \cdots m$. The interlacing is called tight if there exists an integer $k \in [1, m]$ such that $\lambda_i = \mu_i$ hold for $1 \le i \le k$ and $\lambda_{n-m+i} = \mu_i$ hold for $k + 1 \le i \le m$. Suppose rows and columns of

$$A_{n\times n} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1} & \cdots & A_{1,m} \\ \cdots & & \cdots \\ A_{m,1} & \cdots & A_{m,m} \end{pmatrix}$$

are partitioned by a partitioning $X_1 \cdots X_m$ of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. The quotient matrix is the matrix $B_{m \times m}$ whose entries are the average row sums of the blocks of $A_{n \times n}$, namely the entry $x_{i,j}$ equals the quotient of row sums of $A_{i,j}$ and the $|X_i|$, where $1 \le i, j \le m$. The partition is called regular if each block $A_{i,j}$ of A has constant row (and column) sum.

Lemma 3.1 ([3]). Suppose B is the quotient matrix of a symmetric partitioned matrix A. Then the eigenvalues of B interlace the eigenvalues of A. If the interlacing is tight, then the partition is regular.

Interlacing also occurs when one matrix is a principal submatrix of another.

Lemma 3.2 ([3]). If B is a principal submatrix of a symmetric matrix A, then the eigenvalues of B interlace the eigenvalues of A.

By Lemma 3.2, we immediately have the following result.

Proposition 3.1 ([2]). If *H* is an induced subgraph of *G*, then $S(G) \ge S(H)$.

In the following, let α_1 be the maximum size of the independent subset of neighborhoods of vertices of *G*, namely, $\alpha_1 = \max\{k : K_{1,k} \text{ is an induced subgraph of } G\}$

Corollary 3.1. Let ω denote the size of the largest clique of &G&, then $S(G) \ge \omega + \sqrt{\alpha_1} - 1$. Moreover, if G is connected, then equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_{\omega}$.

Proof. Suppose *G* contains a clique $H \cong K_{\omega}$, the size of which is ω . Note that each vertex in *H* has degree $\omega - 1$. Then by Lemma 3.2, $\lambda_1(G) \ge \lambda_1(H) = \omega - 1$. Bearing in mind that K_{1,α_1} is an induced subgraph of *G*, then by Lemma 3.2 it follows that $\lambda_n(G) \leq \lambda_n(K_{1,\alpha_1}) = -\sqrt{\alpha_1}$. Thus, $S(G) = \lambda_1(G) - \lambda_n(G) \geq w + \sqrt{\alpha_1} - 1$. Moreover, if $S(G) = \omega + \sqrt{\alpha_1} - 1$ holds, then $\lambda_1(G) = \lambda_1(H) = \omega - 1$. This implies that $G \cong H = K_{\omega}$ because G is

connected (see [4], p 17). On converse, if $G \cong K_{\omega}$, then $S(G) = \omega$. Note that α_1 of K_{ω} equals to 1, the equality follows.

In the following, if not specially indicated, we assume that G is a connected (n, e) graph having an adjacency matrix A(G), short for *A*, with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n$. The matrix $B_{m \times m}$, with eigenvalues $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_m$, will denote a quotient matrix of A.

The size of the largest coclique (independent set of vertices) of *G* is denoted by $\alpha(G)$, short for α . Let Δ and δ denote the maximum vertex degree and the minimum vertex degree, respectively. Given a graph G, if $V_1 \subseteq V(G)$, by the average degree of V_1 , say d_0 , we mean that $d_0 = \sum_{v \in V_1} d(v) / |V_1|$. A vertex of degree k will be referred to as a k-vertex. The number of *k*-vertices in *G* will be denoted by n_k . In particular, n_1 is the number of pendant vertices. Given a graph *G*, if d(v) is a constant for each $v \in V(G)$, then we call *G* a regular graph. Moreover, if the constant is *k*, we call *G* a *k*-regular graph or we say that G is k-regular.

Proposition 3.2. If G has two induced subgraphs G_1 and G_2 , where G_i has n_i vertices and e_i edges for $i = 1, 2, V(G_1) \cap V(G_2) = \emptyset$ and $n_1 + n_2 = n$, then

$$S(G) \ge 2\sqrt{\left(\frac{e_1}{n_1} - \frac{e_2}{n_2}\right)^2 + \frac{(e - e_1 - e_2)^2}{n_1 n_2}}.$$

Moreover, if equality holds, then each vertex in G_i is adjacent to the same number of vertices in G_i , where 1 < i < j < 2.

Proof. Note that *A* has *B* as its quotient matrix, where $B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2e_1}{n_1} & \frac{e-e_1-e_2}{n_1} \\ \frac{e-e_1-e_2}{n_2} & \frac{2e_2}{n_2} \end{pmatrix}$. Obviously, *B* has two eigenvalues $\mu_1 = \frac{e_1}{n_1} + \frac{e_2}{n_2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{e_1}{n_1} - \frac{e_2}{n_2}\right)^2 + \frac{(e-e_1-e_2)^2}{n_1n_2}}$ and $\mu_2 = \frac{e_1}{n_1} + \frac{e_2}{n_2} - \frac{e_1}{n_1} + \frac{e_2}{n_2} = \frac{e_1}{n_1} = \frac{e_1}{n_1} + \frac{e_2}{n_2} = \frac{e_1}{n_1} = \frac{e_1}{n_1} = \frac{e_1}{n_2} = \frac{e_1}{n_1} = \frac{e_1}{n_2} = \frac{e_1}{n_1} = \frac{e_1}{n_2} = \frac{e_1}{n_1} = \frac{e_1}{n_1} = \frac{e_1}{n_2} = \frac{e_1}{n_1} = \frac{e_1}{n_2} = \frac{e_1}{n_1} = \frac{e_1}{n_2} = \frac{e_1}{n_1} = \frac{e_1}{n_1} = \frac{e_1}{n_2} = \frac{e_1}{n_1} = \frac{e_1}{n_1} = \frac{e_1}{n_1} = \frac{e_1}{n_1} = \frac{e_1}{n_2} = \frac{e_1}{n_1} = \frac{e_1}{n_1} = \frac{e_1}{n_2} = \frac{e_1}{n_1} = \frac{e_1}{n_1$

 $\sqrt{\left(\frac{e_1}{n_1}-\frac{e_2}{n_2}\right)^2+\frac{(e-e_1-e_2)^2}{n_1n_2}}$. Then Lemma 3.1 yields the results.

The join of two vertex disjoint graphs G_1, G_2 is the graph $G_1 \vee G_2$ obtained from their union by including all edges between the vertices in G_1 and the vertices in G_2 .

Corollary 3.2 ([2]). Suppose $G = G_1 \vee G_2$, where each G_i is a graph with n_i vertices and e_i edges for i = 1, 2. Then, $S(G) \ge \sqrt{\left(\frac{2e_1}{n_1} - \frac{2e_2}{n_2}\right)^2 + 4n_1n_2}$. If equality holds, then G_1 and G_2 are both regular graphs.

Proof. Note that $G = G_1 \vee G_2$, then $e - e_1 - e_2 = n_1 n_2$. By Proposition 3.2, the conclusions follow.

Proposition 3.3. Suppose G contains $t(t \ge 1)$ independent vertices, say T, the average degree of which is d_0 , then

$$S(G) \geq 2\sqrt{\left(\frac{e-td_0}{n-t}\right)^2 + \frac{td_0^2}{n-t}} \geq 2d_0\sqrt{\frac{t}{n-t}}.$$

If equality holds between the first two expressions, then the vertex degrees are constant on T and also on V \setminus T and each vertex in $V \setminus T$ is adjacent to the same number of vertices in T. If equality holds between the last two expressions, then G is bipartite with *vertex parts* T *and* $V \setminus T$ *.*

Proof. The *t* independent vertices give rise to a partition of *A* with quotient matrix $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ td_0 \\ n-t \end{pmatrix}^2 \begin{pmatrix} d_0 \\ 2(e-td_0) \\ n-t \end{pmatrix}$. Then *B* has two eigenvalues $\mu_1 = \frac{e-td_0}{n-t} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{e-td_0}{n-t}\right)^2 + \frac{td_0^2}{n-t}}$ and $\mu_2 = \frac{e-td_0}{n-t} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{e-td_0}{n-t}\right)^2 + \frac{td_0^2}{n-t}}$. By Lemma 3.1, $\lambda_1 \ge \mu_1 \ge \mu_2 \ge \lambda_n$, which

implies the first inequality. The second inequality is obvious to the first one.

If equality holds between the first two expressions, then $\lambda_1 = \mu_1$ and $\lambda_n = \mu_2$, this implies that the interlacing is tight. By Lemma 3.1, the corresponding statement follows.

If equality holds between the last two expressions, then $e = td_0$, therefore G is bipartite with vertex parts T and $V \setminus T$.

Here we will give an illustration of the use of Proposition 3.3.

Example 3.1. Let G_0 be the graph, which is depicted in Fig. 1. Here we choose the two 4-vertices and the four 1-vertices as V_1 . Obviously, V_1 are the independent vertices set with $d_0 = 2$, where d_0 is the average degree of V_1 . Note that e = 12, n = 10and $|V_1| = 6$. By Proposition 3.3, we have $S(G_0) > 2\sqrt{6}$ because the conditions necessary for equality are not satisfied.



Corollary 3.3. If $n > n_1 \ge 1$ in *G*, then $S(G) \ge 2\sqrt{(\frac{e-n_1}{n-n_1})^2 + \frac{n_1}{n-n_1}}$. Moreover, if equality holds, then each non-pendant vertex has the same degree and is adjacent to the same number of pendant vertices.

By a semi-regular bipartite graph, we mean a bipartite graph G = (X, Y) with the vertex degree being constant on X and also on Y.

Corollary 3.4. $S(G) \ge 2\delta \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{n-\alpha}}$. If equality holds, then the graph is a semi-regular bipartite graph.

In the proof of Proposition 3.3 we show that $\lambda_1 \ge \mu_1$, the greatest eigenvalue of the matrix *B*. If we replace the matrix *B* with the similar matrix $\widetilde{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{d_0\sqrt{\frac{t}{n-t}}}{\frac{d_0\sqrt{\frac{t}{n-t}}}{n-t}} \end{pmatrix}$. Then $\mu_1(B) = \mu_1(\widetilde{B}) \ge \frac{x^T\widetilde{B}x}{x^Tx} = \frac{2e}{n}$, where $x^T = [\sqrt{t}, \sqrt{n-t}]$. It turns

out that $\mu_1 \geq \frac{2e}{n}$. Thus, we have the following remark

Remark 3.1. Suppose *G* contains $t(t \ge 0)$ independent vertices, the average degree of which is d_0 , then

$$\lambda_1 \ge \frac{e - td_0}{n - t} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{e - td_0}{n - t}\right)^2 + \frac{td_0^2}{n - t}} \ge \frac{2e}{n}$$

Proposition 3.4. If *G* (not necessary connected) has $k(1 \le k \le n - 1)$ positive eigenvalues and $2e \ge k\lambda_1^2$, then

$$S(G) \geq \lambda_1 + \sqrt{\frac{2e - k\lambda_1^2}{n - k}}.$$

Equality holds if and only if G has two distinct eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_n , where λ_1 has multiplicity k and λ_n has multiplicity n - k.

Proof. Note that $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_k > 0 \geq \lambda_{k+1} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n$. Then, $\lambda_i^2 \leq \lambda_1^2$ hold for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $\lambda_i^2 \leq \lambda_n^2$ hold for $k + 1 \leq i \leq n$. Bearing in mind that $2e = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2$ (see [4],p10), then $2e \leq k\lambda_1^2 + (n-k)\lambda_n^2$, which implies that $\lambda_n \leq -\sqrt{\frac{2e-k\lambda_1^2}{n-k}}$. The required inequality follows.

 $\lambda_n \leq -\sqrt{\frac{2e-k\lambda_1^2}{n-k}}$. The required inequality follows. If equality holds, then $\lambda_i = \lambda_1$ hold for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $\lambda_i = \lambda_n$ hold for $k + 1 \leq i \leq n$, i.e. *G* has two distinct eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_n , where λ_1 has multiplicity *k* and λ_n has multiplicity n - k. Conversely, if $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_k$ and $\lambda_{k+1} = \lambda_{k+2} = \cdots = \lambda_n$, then $2e = k\lambda_1^2 + (n-k)\lambda_n^2$, which implies that $\lambda_n = -\sqrt{\frac{2e-k\lambda_1^2}{n-k}}$, then the equality holds.

With some observation to the proof of Proposition 3.4, we also can obtain another form of lower bound with respect to λ_n .

Corollary 3.5. If G (not necessary connected) has $k(1 \le k \le n-1)$ negative eigenvalues and $2e \ge k\lambda_n^2$, then

$$S(G) \geq \sqrt{\frac{2e-k\lambda_n^2}{n-k}} - \lambda_n.$$

Equality holds if and only if G has two distinct eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_n , where λ_1 has multiplicity n - k and λ_n has multiplicity k.

In [2], the upper bound for regular graph is given. Here we will give some lower bounds with the same methods present in the former discussion.

Proposition 3.5. If G is a k-regular graph, then $S(G) \ge \frac{nk}{n-\alpha}$. Moreover, if equality holds, then there exists a largest coclique C such that every vertex not in C is adjacent to precisely $\frac{k\alpha}{n-\alpha}$ vertices of C.

Proof. Note that *A* has *B* as its quotient matrix, where $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & k \\ \frac{k\alpha}{n-\alpha} & k - \frac{k\alpha}{n-\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$. Since *B* has two eigenvalues $\mu_1 = k$ and $\mu_2 = -\frac{k\alpha}{n-\alpha}$, then Lemma 3.1 gives the required inequality. If equality holds, then $\lambda_1 = \mu_1$ and $\lambda_n = \mu_2$. The interlacing is tight and hence the partition is regular from Lemma 3.1.

Proposition 3.6. If G is a k-regular graph with two induced subgraphs G_1 and G_2 , where G_i has n_i vertices and e_i edges for $i = 1, 2, V(G_1) \cap V(G_2) = \emptyset$ and $n_1 + n_2 = n$, then

$$S(G) \geq 2\left(k - \frac{e_1}{n_1} - \frac{e_2}{n_2}\right).$$

If the equality holds, then G_1 and G_2 are both regular graphs.

Proof. Note that *A* has *B* as its quotient matrix, where $B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2e_1}{n_1} & k - \frac{2e_1}{n_1} \\ k - \frac{2e_2}{n_2} & \frac{2e_2}{n_2} \end{pmatrix}$. Since *B* has two eigenvalues $\mu_1 = k$ and $\mu_2 = 2\frac{e_1}{n_1} + 2\frac{e_2}{n_2} - k$, then Lemma 3.1 implies the required inequality. If equality holds, then $\lambda_1 = \mu_1$ and $\lambda_n = \mu_2$. The interlacing is tight and hence the partition is regular from Lemma 3.1.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the referees for their valuable comments, corrections and suggestions, which led to an improved vision of the original manuscript.

References

- [1] D. Cvetković, M. Doob, H. Sachs, Spectra of Graphs, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- [2] D.A. Gregory, D. Hershkowitz, S.J. Kirkland, The spread of the spectrum of a graph, Linear Algebra and its Applications 332–334 (2001) 23–35.
- [3] W.H. Haemers, Interlacing eigenvalues and graphs, Linear Algebra and its Applications 227-228 (1995) 593-616.
- [4] Bolian Liu, Combinatorial Matrix Theory, Science Press, Beijing, 2005 (in Chinese).