

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

Energy Procedia 65 (2015) 264 – 273

Energy
ProcediaConference and Exhibition Indonesia - New, Renewable Energy and Energy Conservation
(The 3rd Indo-EBTKE ConEx 2014)

Characterization of *Jatropha curcas* Linn. Capsule Husk as Feedstock for Anaerobic Digestion

Praptiningsih G. Adinurani^a, Roy Hendroko S.^{b,c*}, Anggi Nindita^d,
S. K. Wahono^e, Mel Maizirwan^f, Andi Sasmito^g, Yogo A. Nugroho^g, Tony Liwang^g^aFaculty of Agrotechnology University of Merdeka, Jl. Serayu, PO. Box 12, Madiun 63131, Indonesia.^bMa Chung Research Center for Photosynthetic Pigments, Villa Puncak Tidar N-01 Malang 65151, East Java, Indonesia.^cIndonesian Association of Bioenergy Scientist and Technologist. BPPT Building II, 22nd floor Jl. MH. Thamrin No. 8 Jakarta 10340.^dDepartment of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University, Jl. Meranti, Kampus IPB Dramaga, Bogor 16680, Indonesia.^eTech. Implementation Unit for Development of Chemical Engineering Processes – Indonesian Institutes of Sciences, Yogyakarta 55861.^fFaculty of Engineering, International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM), Gombak, 50728 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.^gPlant Production and Biotechnology Division, PT SMART Tbk., Sinar Mas Land Plaza, 2nd Tower, 10th floor, Jakarta 10350, Indonesia.

Abstract

Jatropha curcas Linn. capsule husk (DH-JcL) is a residu from the manufacture of Crude *Jatropha* Oil. Biorefinery as part of the “four R’s” was required in DH-JcL for anaerobic digestion feedstock. Analysis result and literature study was concluded that DH-JcL is a material that can be managed as biogas substrate, though the nutrient levels relatively low, and a number of other material such as volatile solid, carbohydrates, cellulose ad lignin was relatively high. The establishment of DH-JcL required two phase digestion as anaerobic microbial pretreatment and pre-acidification. The efficiency of two-phase digestion was able to increase the number of technology i.e. additives; recycling of slurry; variation in operational parameters and fixed film or biofilters utilization

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license

[\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Peer-review under responsibility of the Scientific Committee of EBTKE ConEx 2014

Keywords: Biogas; biorefinery; capsule husk; *Jatropha curcas* Linn.; two-phase digestion

* Corresponding author. Tel +62 815 9555 028.

E-mail address: roy_hendroko@hotmail.com

Nomenclature			
AD	anaerobic digestion	CJO	crude jatropha oil
DH-JcL	dried husk <i>Jatropha curcas</i> Linn.	PM	partikulate matter
JcL	<i>Jatropha curcas</i> Linn.	VFA	volatile fatty acids
VS	volatile solid	FFB	fresh fruit bunches

1. Introduction

Air and water pollution, from car emissions, municipal, industrial and agricultural operations, have been growing around the world. The emission of CO₂ and other greenhouse gases (GHG) have become an important issue. *Jatropha curcas* Linn. (JcL) is one source of biodiesel which containing SO₂, CO, NO, and PM lower than petroleum-diesel [1,2]. In the other side, the facts show that the process of making Crude Jatropha Oil (CJO, the biodiesel raw materials) were not environmental friendly. There are a number of waste e.g. capsule husks (jatropha fruit coat, fruit husks, hulls, shell, fruit shell, peel, fruit encapsulation) as the subject of discussion in this paper.

The concept of the 'four R's', has been accepted generally as a useful principle for waste handling. Three R described as reduce, reuse, recycle respectively [3-10]. However, the 4th R was described in many explanation, such as rethink [3], replace [4], rot [5], reclaim [6], recover / recovery [7-9], renewable energy [10]. The principle of reuse and recycle, which called biorefinery, is recommended in the management of JcL cultivation [11-13]. The biorefinery is the zero waste process which involves waste from one process used as raw material and then transformed into other process for increasing efficiency and / or income [14,15]. CJO is only 17 % to 25 % of the dry seed weight [16,17], while the waste residue is called seed cake (*Jatropha curcas* press cake, *Jatropha curcas* defatted waste), sludge of CJO, and capsule husks [18]. Data volume of capsule husks is about 30 % to 80 % of fresh fruits weight [19,20] or 8 % to 15 % of dry weight [21].

The 4th R from the 'four R's' in references [6-10] were often called "waste to energy". Some experts recommended capsule husk JcL as bio-briquettes / pellets [17,22,23] or as feedstock gasifier [23-25]. However, these activity conducted reuse principle only, and small portion of recycle because there is only ash as residual combustion. Most essential nutrients burned and thrown into the air, so it can't recycle to the soil as organic fertilizer [16,26].

Recent study [3,6,7,9,10] were also recommended biological treatment, especially anaerobic digestion (AD) which declared as 'most environmentally friendly and suitable methods for the treatment of solid organic waste' [27] and supported by several experts [28,29]. AD produces biogas which stated as 'one of the most efficient and effective options among the various other alternative sources of renewable energy currently available' [30] and supported also by several experts [31-34].

Another recent study, Becker and Makkar [11,35]; Halford and Karp [36] stated that JcL husks 'was not suitable as substrates in biogas digesters because of very low digestibility'. It is also reported related to low density, high capacity buffer, and anti-nutrients (e.g. phorbol esters) which contained by JcL husks [37,38]. The literature reviews, Brittain and NeBambi [24]; Oosterkamp [39], report JcL husks as raw material for biogas only without details explanation. Laboratory-scale researchs are reported by Lopez [40] in Nicaragua and Dhanya [41] in India only.

The studies about JcL husk as a source of biogas has been reported by several authors in Indonesia during 2010 to 2014 [42-46]. This study complements previous studies to show that JcL capsule husk is appropriate for feedstock for small scale AD / household biogas digesters, but modification or special treatment is needed.

2. Material and method

The study was conducted at the research farm of PT Bumimas Ekapersada, Bekasi, West Java, during 2010 to 2014 as part of the research data that has been reported [18,21,26,37,38,42-46]. JcL husk collected from toxic JatroMas cultivars which dried on direct sunlight, until the moisture content of approximately 5 %. Utilization of Dry Husk (DH-JcL) was aimed to provide storage efficiency so there is a continuity of biogas raw materials (JcL fruit production decrease in certain months); to increase the efficiency of entry (feeding) into the digester; and also

to increase biogas production because dried samples pineapple peeling produce three times higher yields of biogas than fresh pineapple peelings [47].

Nutrient analysis on DH-JcL samples was conducted at analytical chemistry laboratory of PT Sinar Mas Aggroresources and Technology Tbk., Bogor. Analysis of carbohydrates, proteins, fats, and proximat determined at Integrated Laboratory of Bogor University; Laboratory of Indonesian Livestock Research Center, Bogor; and Indonesian Center for Agricultural Post Harvest Research and Development in Bogor, West Java, Indonesia.

3. Results and discussions

3.1. The main nutrient concentrations

Kalia et al. [48]; Zhang and Zhang [49] said that the quantity and quality of biogas depends on the characteristics of organic matter feed which processed. Related to this statement, the DH-JcL nutrient analysis is determined.

Table 1. The comparison of DH-JcL nutrients content than JcL seed cake and cow dung [18].

Material	Moisture content	Ca (%)	K (%)	Mg (%)	P (%)	B ($\text{mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$)	Cu ($\text{mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$)	Fe ($\text{mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$)
JcL Seed cake	6.3	0.14	0.08	0.21	0.258	6.58	4.99	140
DH-JcL	3.49	0.03	1.05	0.03	0.012	3.99	0.27	23.5
Cow dung	1.65	1.07	1.35	0.41	0.201	8.76	11.2	29 102

Mn ($\text{mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$)	Na ($\text{mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$)	Zn ($\text{mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$)	Cl (%)	C (%)	N (%)	C / N ratio	VS (%)	Density
31.3	39.8	18.1	0.47	51	3.06	17	84.5	1.20
28.9	199	1.88	0.52	48.9	1.01	49	78.6	0.59
983	330	199	0.24	19	0.9	21	32.8	1.01

Table 1 elucidated that the density of the DH-JcL is the lowest by 0.59. The low density of DH-JcL have the impact for this material, it will float on the substrate and clog intake channel [50], so degradation process is not optimal. Additional information about the essential nutrients was shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Nutrient content of C, N, P, and K on the DH-JcL.

DH-JcL	C (%)	N (%)	P (%)	K (%)	C / N ratio	Conclusion
¹⁾ A. Reference	100	5	1		20-30	
²⁾ B. Analysis (%)	49	1.01	0.012	1.05	49	
C. The first alternative (Content based on A and B)	1.2	0.06	0.012			N and C <<
D. The second alternative (Content based on A and B)	49	2.45	0.49			N and P <<

Note : ¹⁾ [51]; ²⁾ Table 1

Table 2 elucidated that the reference [51] required the ideal nutrient ratio C:N:P is 100:5:1. On point B, the results of analysis are listed in Table 1 consist of C = 49 %, N = 1.01 %, P = 0.012 %. Based on point A and B, point C is calculated as the first alternative and point D as the second alternative. On the conclusion column, the DH-JcL contents of N, C, and P are not appropriate as a biogas substrate because the nutrient contents is far lower than the requirements. Table 2 supports the opinion of Zhang and Zhang [49] and Parawira [51] which declaring the crop residues may lack some of the nutritional requirements than cow dung which derived from animal manure was contains large quantities of well-balanced nutrient supply. Patulasa [52] stated the substrate, which containing far lower N and P than C, was not converted perfectly elements C into CH₄. However, compared to the requirements of Malina and Pohland [53], the least number of N content is 0.4 % to 0.6 %, so the DH-JcL content still meet the requirements.

Table 2 also showed that C / N ratio of DH-JcL value of 49. C / N ratio value is higher than the requirements of

20 to 30 [54]. DH-JcL C / N ratio value was also higher than the threshold of 45 which is required by Deublein and Steinhauser [34] and Marti [55]. The high C / N ratio indicated a nitrogen deficiency on the substrate, which resulting protein deficiency for microbial growth [54,55]. Barik and Murugan [56] and Shakya [57] said that the high C / N ratio value is indication for raw materials which producing low biogas. The high C / N ratio value produces low capacity buffer [58], the sensitive process [51,58], because the significant impact on fatty acids production and also which fatty acids are formed [59,60].

Another disadvantage of DH-JcL is the C / P ratio value. The study [54] required the C / P ratio value of 150 to 200, but Table 2 showed that the C / P ratio value was 4 083. The high number of C / P ratio value due to P content did not meet the requirements Speece [61] and / or Rahmadani [62]. Phosphate is macro-mineral which required by microorganisms for microbial population growth and maintaining pH stability. Phosphate deficiency will lead disruption of microbial growth, so biogas production was decreasing [52]. It is happened because the phosphate associated with ATP (adenosine triphosphate) and NADP (nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate) as an energy carrier [63] and the synthesis of nucleic acids [64]. The similar condition for the C / K ratio value of DH-JcL which not eligible too. Reference required the C / K ratio value of 40 to 100, with an optimal number of 70 [65], but Table 2 showed the value of 47.

Table 1 also showed the number of VS (volatile solids). Several researchers [66,67] stated that VS is an important parameter in forecasting the amount of methane which produced on a substrate. Goswami [68] stated that cow dung with higher ratios of VS will have greater methane productivity. It is shown in Table 1, VS DH-JcL of 78.6 % is higher than cow dung of 32.8 %. Martin [69,70] reported cow dung VS value of 29.7 % to 39.6 %, Oleszkiewicz and Poggi-Varaldo [71] stated 27.8 % to 29 %, and Singh, et al [17] discovered DH-VS JcL of 68.73 %. However, methane potential related with VS of DH-JcL, Gerradi [67] have pointed that the higher volatile solids feed to the digester the amount of volatile acids formed in the digester also large. The larger amount of volatile acids in the digester will effect to the greater impact of volatile acids on digester alkalinity and pH.

3.2. Carbohydrates, proteins, fats, and proximate analysis

Anunputtikul [72] stated organic wastes, which including domestic, industry, and agriculture wastes, can be treated using the biogas production process. The related research [51,73] confirmed that all organic material can be digested in anaerobic, as long as they contain carbohydrates, proteins, fats, cellulose, hemicelluloses, and lignin as the main components [31]. Related to this statement, analysis of carbohydrates, proteins, fats, and proximate contents was conducted as presented in Table 3 and Table 4.

Table 3. Comparison of carbohydrates, proteins, and fats content of DH-JcL than the cow dung.

Material	Carbohydrate (%)	Protein (%)	Fat (%)
DH-JcL toksik	64.59	7.51	4.51
Cowdung*)	41.15	9.55	0.4

Note *) [18]

Table 2 shows that the largest component of the toxic categories of DH-JcL is carbohydrates. DH-JcL carbohydrate content of 156.96 % is higher than cow dung. It supports the results of Schnürer and Jarvis [74] which stated that the agricultural wastes are rich in carbohydrates. However, it has disadvantage of low buffer capacity [51,75] so it was assume that may have problem in the alkalinity of the substrate. Table 4 and Table 5 showed the approximate biogas volume, which can be formed from carbohydrates, compared to protein and fat.

Tabel 4. Theoretical methane yield of three type of organic matter.

Source	Unit	Carbohydrat (%)	Protein (%)	Fat (%)
Roediger et al. [76]	$\text{Nm}^3 \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \text{odm}_{\text{rounded}}$	0.79	0.70	1.27
Angelidaki, Ellegaard [77]	$\text{L CH}_4 \cdot \text{g}^{-1} \text{VS}_{\text{rem}}$	0.415	0.496	1.014
Berglund, Börjesson [78]	$\text{m}^3 \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \text{VS}$	0.38	0.53	1.0

Table 3 showed the fat content of DH-JcL potential as biogas feedstock compared with cow dung is relatively high that is 4.51 % compared to 0.4 %. Several study [34,74,76-79] stated that high fat content of raw material will

increase the quantity and also the quality of biogas, as shown in Table 5.

Table 5. Theoretical comparison of CH₄ : CO₂ and methane content of three type of organic matter.

Source		Carbohydrat (%)	Protein (%)	Fat (%)
Roediger et al. [76]	CH ₄ : CO ₂	50 : 50	71 : 29	68 : 32
	Methane content	50 %	71 %	88 %
Angelidaki, Ellegaard. [77]	CH ₄ : CO ₂	50 %	50 %	70 %
	Methane content	50 %	50 %	70 %
Berglund, Börjesson [78]	CH ₄ : CO ₂	50 : 50	60 : 40	70 : 30
Krich et al [79]	CH ₄ : CO ₂	50 : 50	55 : 45	70 : 30
	Methane content	50 %	63 %	72 %

Carbohydrates can be divided into monosaccharide, disaccharides, oligosaccharides, and polysaccharides with different characteristics which influence on the degradation in the biogas digester. Polysaccharides can be divided into homo and hetero polysaccharides. Homo polysaccharides has major role in the biomass waste/crop residue. Table 6 lists the homo polysaccharides content of DH-JcL which compared with cow dung.

Table 6. The comparison of DH-JcL crude fiber content than cow dung.

Material	DH-JcL *) (A)	Cowdung**) (B)	A/B (%)	Total of ^{1&2} A/ ^{1&2&3} B or ^{1&2} B/ ^{1&2&3} B (%)
¹)Cellulose	30.38	22.28	136.36	
²)Hemicellulose	8.50	23.55	(36.09)	
³)Lignin	20.43	12.67	161.25	
Total of ¹) and ²)	38.88	45.83		(84.84)
Total of ^{1,2}) and ³)	59.31	60.28		(98.39)

*) Mean several researchers [17,26,41]

**) Mean several researchers [41, 80,81]

Table 6 showed that the cellulose content of DH-JcL is 36 % higher than cow dung, but the hemicellulose content is 36 % of cow dung only; lignin content is 61 % higher. Cellulose is the main component of plant cell wall composer. It is found in small amount of pure state in nature but found in form of lignocellulose from lignin and hemicellulose [82]. Table 6 supports the study from Chen et al. [83] that stated the agricultural waste contain high lignin and high C / N ratio, producing low yield of biogas.

Cellulose is a long chain carbohydrate which consisting of 15 to 14 000 units of glucose molecules [84] or approximately 5 000 glucose per chain [74]. Hemicellulose is also similar to cellulose which is a polymer of sugar. However, in contrast to cellulose which is only composed of glucose, hemicellulose is composed of various types of sugar [74] which is easier hydrolyzed than cellulose [85,86]. With a higher content of hemicellulose, the cow dung has advantages compared to DH-JcL. The higher content of cellulose than hemicellulose in DH-JcL is proper because cellulose is usually the dominant structural polysaccharide of plant cell walls [87]. Table 7 showed the lignocellulose content in several residues.

Table 7. lignocellulose content in several residues

Material	Corn cobs ¹)	Corn stalks ²)	Rice straw ³)	News papers ³)	Jute fibre ⁴)	FFB- Palm Oil ⁵)
Cellulose (%)	45	29.80	35 to 45	40 to 55	60 to 65	44.2
Hemicellulose (%)	35	33.30	18 to 25	25 to 40	--	33.5
Lignin (%)	15	16.65	10 to 25	15 to 30	15 to 16	20.4

Note ¹[88], ²[89], ³[90], ⁴[91], ⁵[92]

Table 7 showed that several residues, especially high crop residue, contain cellulose. References [93] stated cellulose-containing materials are good substrates, but their full-scale utilization encounters a number of problems, including improvement of the quality and amount of biogas produced and maintenance of the stability and high efficiency of microbial communities. The data showed 59 % of biogas in Germany produced from crop residue which containing high cellulose [31]

However, the potential of cellulose and hemicellulose (holocellulose) as a biogas substrate is inhibited and prevented by lignin. Lignin is complex non-carbohydrate macromolecules. Lignin compose matrix surrounding the

cellulose and hemicellulose, which providing protective force of biomass from pests / diseases and biodegradation. Lignin is resistant highly to biological degradation, enzymatic, or chemical [85]. Several researchers [74,94,95] supported the opinion of Mussatto [85] by stating that lignin is not decomposed in the biogas digesters.

3.3. Integration of discussion

Recent study [72,74,96] recommended give longer period of fermentation due to the slow degradation of cellulose, so hydrolysis was shown to be a rate limiting step [34,97,98]. However, the application of this advice is difficult because digesters are generally designed with pH is typically maintained at more optimal conditions for methanogens to prevent the predominance of acid-forming bacteria which causing the accumulation of VFA [34,51]. Matrix of discussion 3.1 and 3.2, are listed in Table 8. Several researchers suggest to conduct pretreatment for solving the problems in Table 8, particularly points (vi) and points (vii). There are several pretreatments methods have been proposed in recent years. These are physical (mechanical and non-mechanical), chemical (acid or alkaline hydrolysis, oxidative delignification and solvent extraction), physico-chemical (ammonia fiber explosion and CO₂ and steam explosion), and biological (microbial treatment) pretreatments [99]. But this technology is relatively expensive [100] so inefficient for small scale AD / household biogas digesters.

Table 8. Matrix of discussion 3.1 and 3.2.

No	Crop residue	Obstacles	Results
i	Nutrition < [49,51]	Buffer capacity < [51,58,74,75]	Biogas production <
ii	Density < [50]	Process sensitifity [51,58]	[52,56,76-79]
iii	VS > [67]	VFA formed [59,60]	
iv	C > [74]	Microbial growth < [54,55]	
v	CN ratio > [83]		
vi	Cellulose > [88-92]		
vii	Lignin > [83]		

Montgomery and Bochmann [100] suggested anaerobic microbial pretreatment, also known as pre-acidification, two phase digestion or dark fermentation, is a simple kind of pretreatment technology in the which the first steps of AD (hydrolysis and acid production) are separated from methane production. Unger PW [101] supported that this technology is appropriate for agricultural residues containing large ammounts of slowly hydrolyzable lignocellulosics. Parawira [51] recomended for all waste with unbalanced ratio of C : N : P, such as agro-industrial residues. Pavan et al. [102] stated to the substrate with a high VFA. Colussia et al. [103] argued that this technology is suitable for substrates with high toxic, organic loading rate and pH unstable in methanogenesis.

Two-phase AD technology with modifications to apply in household biogas digesters using DH-JcL as feedstocks has been reviewed [18,21,26,37,38,42-46,50]. Therefore no single pretreatment technology is suitable for all AD systems and substrates [100], it has been also reviewed several combination techniques for enhancing biogas production [104] made from DH-JcL, i.e : use of additives [18,21,26,42,45,46]; recycling of slurry and slurry filtrate [18,37]; variation in operational parameters [37,38,42,43,44,50]; and use of fixed film / biofilters [44].

4. Conclusion

Based on analysis of the nutrients, carbohydrates, protein, fat, and crude fiber content is concluded DH-JcL does not qualify as a biogas feedstock. However for supporting application of 'four R's', DH-JcL weakness can be overcome by anaerobic microbial pretreatment, i.e two-phase digestion. This pretreatment efficiency can be improved by several combination techniques i.e additives (urea, CJO, co-digestion), recycling of slurry, variations in operational (retention time and ballasts in hydrolytic digester, concentration of soaking water in hydrolytic digester), use of fixed film / biofilters (palm fiber, glass woll, and plastic).

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank PT Sinar Mas Agro Resources and Technology (PT SMART Tbk.) Jakarta, Indonesia for supporting this study. Special thanks to Yosephianus Sakri, Solehudin, and also to the research technicians, Ata Atmaja WKD, Acam Are Hikman, and Dewi Tiara Sagita for their contribution.

References

- [1] Forson FK, Oduro EK, Hammond-Donkoh E. Technical note : Performance of jatropha oil blends in a diesel engine. *Renewable Energy* 2004;29: 1135–1145.
- [2] Anwar C, Abdul HA, Herzal, Kristadi J. Biodiesel sebagai bahan bakar alternatif menghadapi perubahan iklim [Biodiesel as alternative fuels for dealing with climate change]. Prosiding PPIS. Banjarmasin; 2010. [Bahasa Indonesia].
- [3] Stony Brook University. The 4 R's of recycling. [Internet]. accessed on April 5th, 2014, from <http://www.stonybrook.edu/com/recycling/4rs.shtml>; (n.d.)
- [4] Shafiqul A. Waste management: The 4R option. [Internet] accessed on April 5th, 2014, from http://www.thefinancialexpress-bd.com/old/more.php?news_id=134738&date=2012-06-29;2012.
- [5] Castro Valley Sanitary District (CV San). The 4Rs: reduce, reuse, recycle & rot. [Internet] accessed on April 5th, 2014, from <http://www.cvsan.org/content/4rs-reduce-reuse-recycle-rot>; (n.d.)
- [6] Canadian Museums Association. Waste Management. [Internet] accessed on April 5th, 2014, from http://www.museums.ca/Sustainable_Development/Chapter_8_Waste_Management/2_Reduce_reuse_recycle_and_reclaim_%284Rs%29/?n=30-41-196;2008.
- [7] US Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). Energy recovery from waste [Internet] accessed on April 5th, 2014, from <http://www.epa.gov/waste/nonhaz/municipal/wte>. (n.d.)
- [8] International Institute for Sustainable Development (IISD). The 4Rs - reduction, reuse, recycling and recovery. [Internet] accessed on April 5th, 2014, from http://www.iisd.org/business/tools/bt_4r.aspx. 2013.
- [9] Mustaffa KMF. Reduce, reuse, sustainable recycle and recovery : Technique in construction waste management. [Thesis]. University of Technology Malaysia. 2009.
- [10] Adewumi AAJ, Obajemu O, Oyeleke SB. Biogas technology as a means of solid waste management. The Maiden Annual Conference. Nigerian Environmental Society. Kaduna Chapter, 3–5 June 2010.
- [11] Makkar HPS, Becker K. *Jatropha curcas* : A promising crop for the generation of biodiesel and value-added co-products. *Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol.* 2009; 111:773–787.
- [12] Manurung R, Heeres HJ. Valorization of *Jatropha curcas* using the bio-refinery concept: Latest status of our research and development. *Biofuels & Jatropha Markets Asia, Evolving the Next Generation*, 18th Event Global Bio-fuels Series, June 29th – July 1st, 2009. Jakarta, Indonesia.
- [13] Popluechai S. 2010. Molecular characterization of *Jatropha curcas*: Towards an understanding of its potential as a non-edible oilseed-based source of biodiesel. [Dissertation] Faculty of SAgE, School of Biology, Newcastle University. UK.
- [14] Kaparaju P, Serrano M, Thomsen AB, Kongjan P, Angelidaki I, 2009. Bioethanol, biohydrogen and biogas production from wheat straw in a biorefinery concept. *Bioresource Technology* 2009; 100:2562–2568.
- [15] Omolola AM. 2007. Anaerobic digestion of ethanol distillery waste stillage for biogas production. [Thesis] Hogskolan I Boras, Institutionen Ingenjorshogskolan Sweden.
- [16] Jongschaap REE, Corré WJ, Bindraban PS, Brandenburg WA. Claims and facts on *Jatropha curcas* L. Global *Jatropha curcas* evaluation, breeding and propagation programme. Plant Research International BV, Wageningen, the Netherlands. Report 158.2007. p.42.
- [17] Singh RN, Vyas DK, Srivastana NSL, Narra M. SPRERI experience on holistic approach to utilize all parts of *Jatropha curcas* fruit for energy. *Renewable Energy* 2008; 33:1868–1873.
- [18] Salafudin, Adinurani PG, Liwang T, Nelwan LO, Sakri Y, Hendroko R. Study bio-refinery capsule husk from *Jatropha curcas* L. waste crude jatropha oil as source for biogas. World Renewable Energy Congress Indonesia, International Conference and Exhibition on Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency, Nusa Dua, Bali, October 17th – 19th, 2011.
- [19] Sotolongo JA, Beatón P, Diaz A, et al. *Jatropha curcas* L. as a source for the production of biodiesel : A Cuban experience. [Internet] accessed on April 5th, 2010, from <http://hem.fyrlistorg.com/zanzi/paper/W2257.pdf>. 2009.
- [20] Hasanudin U, Haryanto A. Sustainability assesment of biomass utilization for bioenergy case study in Lampung Indonesia. The 7th Biomass Workshop Asia, Jakarta. November 29 – December 1, 2010.
- [21] Hendroko R, Wahyudi A, Wahono SK. et al. Bio-refinery study in the crude jatropha oil process : Co-digestion sludge of crude jatropha oil and capsule husk *Jatropha curcas* Linn. as biogas feedstocks. *International Journal of Technology* 2013;3: 202–208.
- [22] Reinhardt G, Gartner S, Rettenmaier N, Munch J, von Falkenstein E. Screening life cycle assessment of jatropha biodiesel. Institute for Energy and Environmental Research Heidelberg. 2007.
- [23] Becker K. Biofuels from *Jatropha curcas* oil – Perspectives for tropical regions. *OCL* 2009 ; 16(4) : 236–240.
- [24] Brittain R, NeBambi L. *Jatropha*: A smallholder bioenergy crop, the potential for pro-poor. *Development Integrated Crop Management* 2010; 8: 1–95. FAO, The United Nations. Rome.
- [25] Vyas DK, Singh RN. Feasibility study of *Jatropha* seed husk as an open core gasifier feedstock. *Renewable Energy* 2007;32: 512–517.
- [26] Adinurani PG, Fajar E, Hendroko R, Liwang T, Pengelolaan terpadu biogas berbahan baku limbah dan peningkatan produksi tanaman padi (*Oryza sativa*) dalam menunjang kemandirian pangan dan energi berbasis pertanian [Integrated management of biogas from

- waste and increased rice (*Oryza sativa*) production on supporting independence in food and agriculture-based energy]. Seminar Nasional Fakultas Pertanian Universitas Sebelas Maret, Solo. 17 April 2013; 51–59. [Bahasa Indonesia].
- [27] Kerroum D, Bencheikh LHM, Hassen MA. Production of biogas from sludge waste and organic fraction of municipal solid waste In: Kumar S. editor. Biogas. InTech, 2012;8:151–170 [Internet] accessed on May 20th, 2013 from <http://www.intechopen.com/books/biogas/production-of-biogas-from-sludge-and-organic-fraction-of-municipal-solid-waste>.
- [28] Tafdrup S. Viable energy production and waste recycling from anaerobic digestion of manure and other biomass. Biomass and Bioenergy 1995; 9(1-5): 303–314.
- [29] Suh YJ, et Roussaux P. An LCA of alternative wastewater sludge treatment scenarios, Resources, Conservation and Recycling 2002; 35:191–200.
- [30] Fang C. Biogas production from food-processing industrial wastes by anaerobic digestion. [PhD Thesis]. DTU Environment Department of Environmental Engineering, Technical University of Denmark. 2010.
- [31] Weiland P. Biogas production: Current state and perspectives. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 2010; 85(4): 849–860.
- [32] Demirbas MF, Balat M, Balat H. Biowastes-to-biofuels. Energy Conversion and Management 2011; 52(4):1815–1828.
- [33] Arumugam S, Zinoviev S, Foransiero P. et al. Bio fuels technology status and future trends. Technology Assessment and Decision Support Tools. ICS-UNIDO. Trieste, Italy. 2007.p.142.
- [34] Deublein D, Steinhauser A. Biogas from waste and renewable resources. Wiley Online Library: Weinheim, Germany. 2008. p.443.
- [35] Becker K, Makkar HPS. *Jatropha curcas* : A potential source for tomorrow's oil and biodiesel. Lipid Tech. 2008; 20(5):104–107.
- [36] Halford NG, Karp A. Energy crops. Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge. 2010. p. 213.
- [37] Adinurani PG, Liwang T, Salafudin, Nelwan OL, Sakri Y, Hendroko R. Study optimization of *jatropha* fruit coat hydrolysis phase in two stage anaerobic digestion. Proceeding International Conference and Exhibition on Sustainable Energy and Advanced Materials. Solo Indonesia, October 3–4, 2011; 32–38.
- [38] Hendroko R, Liwang T, Salafudin. et al. Sinergi bio-metana berbahan baku limbah *Jatropha curcas* L. dan pangan dalam penerapan Program Kawasan Rumah Pangan Lestari [Synergy of bio-methane from *Jatropha curcas* L. waste and food on program application in sustainable food house areas]. In: Maya M, Sandra AZ, Darda E., editors. Prosiding Simposium dan Seminar Bersama PERAGI-PERHORTI-PERIPi-HIGI. Bogor. 1–2 Mei 2012; 437–443. [Bahasa Indonesia].
- [39] Oosterkamp WJ. Biogas from maize stalks and other biomass. [Internet] accessed on April 6th, 2012, from <http://ebookbrowse.net/biogas-from-maize-stalks-pdf-d162810950>. 2010.
- [40] Lopez O, Foidl G, Foidl N. Production of biogas from *Jatropha curcas* fruit shells. In: Gubitzi GM, Mittelbach M, Trabi M, editors. Biofuels and industrial products from *J. curcas*. Nicaragua/Austria: Dbv-Verlag; 1997. p. 118–122.
- [41] Dhanya M, Gupta SN, Joshi HC, Lata. Biogas potentiality of agro-wastes *jatropha* fruit coat. International Journal of Civil and Environmental Engineering 2009;1(3):136–140.
- [42] Hendroko R, Liwang T, Salafudin. et al. The modification for increasing productivity at hydrolysis reactor with *Jatropha curcas* Linn. capsule husk as bio-methane feedstocks at two stage digestion. In: Froome C, Abu Bakar R, Hendrana S. editors. International Conference on Sustainable Energy Engineering and Application (ICSEEA) 2012. Energy Procedia 2013; 32:47–54.
- [43] Hendroko R, Wahono SK, Adinurani, PG. et al. The study of optimization hydrolysis substrate retention time and augmentation as an effort to increasing biogas productivity from *Jatropha curcas* Linn. capsule husk at two stage digestion. In : Praptiningsih GA, Anggi N, Agus SY, Andi S, editors. Conf. and Exhibition Indonesia Renewable Energy & Energy Conservation 2013. Energy Procedia 2014;47:255–262.
- [44] Adinurani PG, Liwang T, Salafudin. et al. The study of two stages anaerobic digestion application and suitable bio-film as an effort to improve bio-gas productivity from *Jatropha curcas* Linn. capsule husk. In: Froome C, Abu Bakar R, Hendrana S. editors. International Conference on Sustainable Energy Engineering and Application (ICSEEA) 2012. Energy Procedia 2013; 32:84–89.
- [45] Adinurani PG, Hendroko, R, Wahono SK. et al. Optimization of concentration and EM4 augmentation for improving biogas productivity from *Jatropha curcas* Linn. capsule husk. Int. Journal of Renewable Energy Development 2014; 3(1):73–78.
- [46] Adinurani PG, Wahyudi A, Wahono SK, Hendroko R, Salafudin, Liwang T. Enhancement of biogas production from capsule husk *Jatropha curcas* Linn. substrate using urea and crude *jatropha* oil as additive. In: Proceeding of the 13th International Quality in Research, International Symposium on Chemical and Bioprocess Engineering. Yogya, Indonesia. June 25th–28th;2013; 399–404.
- [47] Vicenta M, Pacheco G, Alamis MLA, Anglo PG, Tan BV, Silverio CM. A study of some factors affecting biogas production from pineapple peelings. In: Bidin R, Chong CN, Wang CW., editors. Proceedings of the Second ASEAN Workshop on biogas Technology Applied to the Management and Utilization of Food Waste Materials Kaula Terengganu, Malaysia 1984; 189–202.
- [48] Kalia VC, Sonakya V, Raizada N. Anaerobic digestion of banana stem waste. Bioresource Technology 2000; 73: 191–193.
- [49] Zhang R, Zhang Z. Biogasification of rice straw with an anaerobicphased solids digester system. Bioresour Technology 1999; 68:235–245.
- [50] Hendroko R, Adinurani PG, Liwang T, Salafudin, Nelwan OL, Sakri Y. Kajian pemanfaatan daging buah *Jatropha curcas* L. sebagai pembangkit bioetana di pencernaan anaerobik dua tahap [Study on the utilization of *Jatropha curcas* L. husk as biomethane plant in two phase anaerobic digestion]. Prosiding Seminar Semester Genap 2010/2011, 13 Juli 2011. Universitas Darma Persada. Jakarta 2011; 42–51. [Bahasa Indonesia].
- [51] Parawira W. Anaerobic treatment of agricultural residues and wastewater application of high-rate reactors [Doctoral Dissertation] Lund University Sweden 2004.
- [52] Patulasa P. Biogas. 2011 [Internet] Accessed on April 6th, 2012 from <http://nunulasa.wordpress.com/2011/03/12/biogas/>
- [53] Malina JF, Pohland FG. Design of anaerobic processes for the treatment of industrial and municipal waste. Tehnomic Publishing Company, Inc Lancaster, USA 1992
- [54] Zupancic GD, Grilc V. Anaerobic treatment and biogas production from organic waste, In: Sunil K. editor. Management of Organic Waste. InTech. Shanghai China 2012. p.28.
- [55] Marti IF. Study of the effect of process parameters on the thermophilic anaerobic digestion of sewage sludge, evaluation of thermal sludge pre-treatment and overall energetic assessment. [PhD Thesis] Universitat Autònoma De Barcelona 2008.

- [56] Barik D, Murugan S. 2012. Production and application of biogas as a gaseous fuel for internal combustion engines. *International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)* 2012; 1(7)
- [57] Shakya S. Two stage anaerobic digestion for the treatment of dissolved organic fraction of municipal solid waste. [Thesis] Asian Institute of Technology. Thailand. 2010.
- [58] Nyns EJ. Biomethanation processes. In: Schonborn W. *Microbial degradations*. Wiley-VCH Weinheim, Berlin 1986; 207–267.
- [59] Yen HW, Brune D. Anaerobic co-digestion of algal sludge and waste paper to produce methane. *Bioresource Technology* 2007; 98: 130–134.
- [60] Liu X, Chen Y, Du G, Chen J. Effects of organic matter and initial carbon:nitrogen ratio on the bioconversion of volatile fatty acids from sewage sludge. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology* 2008; 83:1049–1055.
- [61] Speece RE. *Anaerobic biotechnology for industrial wastewaters*. Archae Press, Nashville, TN, 1996.p.394.
- [62] Rahmadani A. Pembuatan dan pencairan biogas dari limbah cair kelapa sawit dengan kapasitas produksi 18 750 kg/hari [Preparation and liquefaction of biogas from palm oil effluent with production capacity of 18 750 kg/day] [Bachelor Final Assignment] Univ Sumatera Utara. 2010 [Bahasa Indonesia].
- [63] Paterson M, Werner K. Guide to biogas from production to use. [biogasportal.info](http://mediathek.fnr.de/media/downloadable/files/samples/g/u/guide_biogas_engl_2012.pdf). Fachagentur Nachwachsende Rohstoffe e. V. (FNR). German 2010. Accessed on May 20, 2012 from http://mediathek.fnr.de/media/downloadable/files/samples/g/u/guide_biogas_engl_2012.pdf
- [64] Juanga JP. Optimizing dry anaerobic digestion of organic fraction of municipal solid waste. [Thesis] Asian Institute of Technology. Thailand 2005.
- [65] Kayhanian M, Tchobanoglous G, Brown RC. Biomass conversion processes for energy recovery. In: Kreith, Goswami DY editors. *Handbook of energy efficiency and renewable energy*. Taylor and Francis Group. 2007:25.4 – 25.8
- [66] Wilkie AC. Anaerobic digestion of flushed dairy manure. In: Christensen T, Payseur T editors. *Proceedings anaerobic digester technology applications in animal agriculture*. A National Summit from the Water Environment Federation. June 2 – 4, 2003. Hilton North Raleigh Hotel. Raleigh, North Carolina. USA. p.350-354.
- [67] Gerardi MH. *The microbiology of anaerobic digesters*. Wastewater Microbiology Series. A John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New Jersey. USA. 2003
- [68] Goswami S. Optimization of methane production from solid organic waste [Internet] accessed on May 20, 2012 from <http://home.eng.iastate.edu/~tge/ce421-521/ShyamGoswami.pdf>. 2004.
- [69] Martin JH. Comparison of dairy cattle manure management with and without anaerobic digestion and biogas utilization. Final Report submitted by the Eastern Research Group, Inc., Boston, Massachusetts to the AgSTAR Program, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC. 2003.
- [70] Martin JH. An evaluation of a mesophilic, modified plug flow anaerobic digester for dairy cattle manure. Final Report submitted by the Eastern Research Group, Inc., Boston, Massachusetts to the AgSTAR Program, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC. 2005.
- [71] Oleszkiewicz JA, Poggi-Valardo HM. High solids anaerobic digestion of mixed municipal and industrial waste. *Journal of Environmental Engineering*. ASCE 1997;1087.
- [72] Anunputtikul W. Biogas production from cassava tubers. [Dissertation] Suranaree University of Technology. 2004.
- [73] Bond T, Templeton MR. History and future of domestic biogas plants in the developing world. *Energy Sustain Dev*. 2011; 15:347–354.
- [74] Schnürer A, Jarvis A. *Microbiological handbook for biogas plants*. Swedish Waste Management U2009:03 Swedish Gas Centre Report 207. 2010.
- [75] Demirel B, Scherer P. (2008). Production of methane from sugarcane beet silage without manure addition by a single-stage anaerobic digestion process. *Biomass and Engineering* 2008; 32:203–209.
- [76] Roediger H, Roediger M, Kapp H. *Anaerobe alkalische Schlammfäulung*, Oldenbourg Verlag München, 4. Auflage, 1990. In: Bidlingmaier W., Schmelz J. *Basics of the anaerobic degradation*. Workshop Biogas-Plant-technology planning. Beijing, 22nd – 24th of November 2009. p 1–49.
- [77] Angelidaki I, Ellegaard L. Co-digestion of manure and organic wastes in centralized biogas plants, status and future trends. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology* 2003;109: 95–105.
- [78] Berglund M, Börjesson P. *Energianalys av biogassystem*. Report no 44. 2003. In: Schnürer A, Jarvis A. *Microbiological handbook for biogas plants*. Swedish Waste Management U2009:03. Swedish Gas Centre Report 207. 2010.
- [79] Krich K, Don Augenstein, Batmale JP, Benemann J, Rutledge B, Salour D. *Biomethane from dairy waste: A sourcebook for the production and use of renewable natural gas in California*. USDA Rural Development. 2005.
- [80] Joaquim da Costa. Optimasi produksi biogas pada anaerobic digester biogas type horizontal berbahan baku kotoran sapi dengan pengaturan suhu dan pengadukan. [Optimization of biogas production in horizontal type of anaerobic biogas digester made from cow dung with temperature setting and stirring] [Thesis] ITS, Surabaya. 2011. [Bahasa Indonesia].
- [81] Stafford DA, Hawkes DL, Horton T. Methane production from waste organic matter. In: *Environmental Conditions for Control of Digester Performances*. CRC Press Inc., Boca Raton, FL, 1980. p. 149.
- [82] Lynd LR, Weimer PJ, van Zyl WH, Pretorius IS. *Microbial cellulose utilization: Fundamentals and biotechnology*. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 2002; 66(3):506–577.
- [83] Chen Y, Cheng JJ, Creamer KS. Inhibition of anaerobic digestion process: A review. *Bioresource Tech.* 2008; 99: 4044–4064.
- [84] Fauzi R. Pemanfaatan limbah pod kakao menjadi bioetanol dengan metode simultan sacherifikasi and fermentation SSF. [Utilization of cocoa pod waste for converting into bioethanol using simultaneous sacherification and fermentation SSF (SSF) metode]. Universitas Diponegoro [Internet] accessed on May 20, 2012 from <http://brownengineer.wordpress.com/2011/09/28/>. 2011. [Bahasa Indonesia].

- [85] Mussatto SI, Teixeira JA. Lignocellulose as raw material in fermentation processes. In: Méndez-VilasA editor. Current research, technology and education topics in applied microbiology and microbial biotechnology, vol 2. Formatex Research Center, Badajoz, Spain, 2010;897–907
- [86] Fengel D, Wegener G. Wood: chemistry, ultrastructure, reactions. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin and New York, 1984.p. 613.
- [87] Saha BC. Hemicellulose bioconversion. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology*. 2003;30:279–291.
- [88] Sun Y, Cheng J. Hydrolysis of lignocellulosic material from ethanol production. A review. *Bioresour. Technol* 2002; 83:1–11
- [89] Shawky BT, Manal GM, Eman AG, Mohsen MSA, Gada SI. Enzymatic hydrolysis of rice straw and corn stalks for monosugars production *Journal of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology* 2011; 9:59–63.
- [90] Banik S. Jute cadis – A new substrate for biogas production. *Journal of Scientific & Industrial Research* 2004; 63:747-751.
- [91] Galletti AMR, Antonetti C. Biomass pre-treatment: separation of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin. Existing technologies and perspectives. Utilization of Biomass for the Production of Chemicals or Fuels. The Concept of Biorefinery comes into Operation. Castro Marina, September 19th 2011.
- [92] Aziz AB, Husin M, Mokhtar A. Preparation of cellulose from oil palm fruit bunches via ethanol digestion : Effect of acid and alkali catalysts. *Journal of Oil Palm Research* 2002; 14 (1): 9–14.
- [93] Tsavkelova EA, Netrusov AI. Biogas production from cellulose-containing substrates: A review. *Applied Biochemistry and Microbiology* 2012; 48:421–433.
- [94] Gunaseelan VN. Regression models of ultimate methane yields of fruits and vegetable solid wastes, sorghum and napiergrass on chemical composition. *Bioresource Technology* 2007;98:1270–1277.
- [95] Zang B, Pin-Jing H, Lü F, Sha L, Wanf P. Extracellular enzyme activities during regulated hydrolysis of high solid organic wastes. *Water Research* 2007; 41: 4468–4478.
- [96] Sorensen B, Ireeze P, Suppes GJ. Renewable energy focus e-mega hand book. Academic Press 2008.p.528.
- [97] Björnsson L, Murto M, Jantsch, TG, Mattiasson B. Evaluation of new methods for the monitoring of alkalinity, dissolved hydrogen and the microbial community in anaerobic digestion. *Water Research*, 2001;35(12):2833–2840.
- [98] Liu T, Ghosh S. Phase separation during anaerobic fermentation of solid substrates in an innovative plug-flow reactor. In: Proc. 8th Int. Conf. on Anaerobic Dig. Sendai, May 25-29, 1997; 2:17–24. Int. Assoc. Wat. Qual.
- [99] Divya D, Gopinath LR, Merlin CP. A review on trends issues and prospects for biogas production in developing countries. *International Research Journal of Environment Sciences* 2014; 3(1):62–69.
- [100] Montgomery LFR, Bochmann G. Pretreatment of feedstock for enhanced biogas production. IEA Bioenergy. Ireland. 2014
- [101] Unger PW. Managing agricultural residues. 1994. CRC Press USA. 464 p.
- [102] Pavan P, Battistoni P, Cecchi F, Mata-Alvarez J. Two-phase anaerobic digestion of source sorted OFMSW (organic fraction of municipal solid wastes): performance and kinetic study. *Water Sci. Technol* 2000; 41(3):111–118.
- [103] Colussi I, Cortesi A, del Piccolo C, Gallo V, Fernandez ASR, Vitanza R. Improvement of methane yield from maize silage by a two-stage anaerobic process. *Chemical Engineering Transactions* 2013; 32:151–156.
- [104] Yadvika, Santosh, Sreekrishnan TR, Kohli S, Rana V. Enhancement of biogas production from solid substrates using different techniques – a review. *Bioresource Technology* 2004; 95:1–10.