

Volume 12 Number 1 January 2010 pp. 61–68 61

Regulation of G_1 Arrest and Apoptosis in Hypoxia by PERK and GCN2-Mediated eIF2 α Phosphorylation^{1,2} Yan Liu^{*,†}, Csaba László^{*,†}, Yi Liu^{†,‡,§}, Wei Liu^{*,†,‡}, Xiaozhuo Chen^{†,‡,¶}, Susan C. Evans^{*,†,‡} and Shiyong Wu^{*,†,‡}

*Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Ohio University, Athens, OH, USA; [†]Edison Biotechnology Institute, Ohio University, Athens, OH, USA; [‡]Molecular and Cellular Biology Program, Ohio University, Athens, OH, USA; [§]Department of Biological Sciences, Ohio University, Athens, OH, USA; [¶]Department of Biomedical Science, Ohio University, Athens, OH, USA

Abstract

Hypoxia is a common microenvironment in solid tumors and is correlated with tumor progression by regulating cancer cell survival. Recent studies suggest that activation of double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase–like endoplasmic reticulum–related kinase (PERK) and phosphorylation of α subunit of elF2 (elF2 α) confer cell adaptation to hypoxic stress. However, elF2 α is still phosphorylated at a lowered level in PERK knockout cells under hypoxic conditions. The mechanism for elF2 α kinase(s) (ElF2AK)–increased cell survival is not clear. In this report, we provide evidence that another ElF2AK, the amino acid starvation–dependent general control of amino acid biosynthesis kinase (GCN2), is also involved in hypoxia-induced elF2 α phosphorylation. We demonstrate that both GCN2 and PERK mediate the cell adaptation to hypoxic stress. High levels of elF2 α phosphorylation lead to G₁ arrest and protect cells from hypoxia-induced G₁ arrest and promotes apoptosis in accompany with activation of p53 signal cascade. However, totally abolishing phosphorylation of elF2 α inhibits G₁ arrest without promoting apoptosis. On the basis of our results, we propose that the levels of elF2 α phosphorylation serve as a "switch" in regulation of G₁ arrest or apoptosis under hypoxic conditions.

Neoplasia (2010) 12, 61-68

Introduction

Cells respond to external stimuli by rapid changes in their translational capacity. Stress, such as growth factor depletion, heat shock, and virus infection, rapidly inhibits protein synthesis through phosphorylation of the α -subunit of the eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 (eIF2 α) [1,2]. Four kinases, the double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase (PKR), the hemin-regulated inhibitor (HRI), the amino acid starvation–dependent general control of amino acid biosynthesis kinase (GCN2), and the PKR-like endoplasmic reticulum–related kinase (PERK), have been identified to phosphorylate eIF2 α and reduce translation initiation in response to stress [2]. Recently, PERK-mediated phosphorylation of eIF2 α has been shown to suppress protein synthesis and cell growth on hypoxia [3–6]. *In vivo* studies show that hypoxia-induced activation of PERK inhibits protein synthesis, decreases cell growth, and promotes tumor adaptation [2–6].

Adaptation to hypoxia could also be regulated by hypoxia-inducible factor 1 (HIF-1), which associates with tumor progression and resis-

tance to radiotherapy and chemotherapy [2,7,8]. HIF-1 is a key mediator in hypoxia [9] and regulates the expressions of more than 70 genes [10] that facilitate metabolic adaptation, cell proliferation, cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, angiogenesis, angioinvasion, and metastasis [11,12].

Abbreviations: eIF2 α , the α subunit of the eukaryotic initiation factor-2; EIF2AK, eIF2 α kinase; GCN2, amino acid starvation–dependent general control of amino acid biosynthesis kinase; HIF-1 α , hypoxia-inducible factor 1 α ; HRI, hemin-regulated inhibitor; Mdm2, murine double minute 2; MEF, mouse embryonic fibroblast; PERK, PKR-like endoplasmic reticulum–related kinase; PKR, double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase Address all correspondence to: Shiyong Wu, Ph.D., Edison Biotechnology Institute and Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Ohio University, Athens, OH 45701.

E-mail: wus1@ohio.edu

¹This work was partially supported by the National Institutes of Health grants RO1 CA86928 (to S.W.) and R56 CA086928 (to S.W.).

²This article refers to supplementary material, which is designated by Figure W1 and is available online at www.neoplasia.com.

Received 11 August 2009; Revised 30 September 2009; Accepted 30 September 2009

Copyright © 2010 Neoplasia Press, Inc. All rights reserved 1522-8002/10/\$25.00 DOI 10.1593/neo.91354

HIF-1 is a heterodimer composed of α and β subunits. The expression of HIF-1 β is constitutive, whereas the level of HIF-1 α is highly regulated by oxygen levels [9]. Whereas HIF-1 undergoes rapid degradation and is maintained at basal levels in normoxia, it accumulates through protein stabilization and/or increased expression under hypoxia [13,14]. HIF-1 α coordinates with p53, murine double minute 2 (Mdm2), and p21^{WAF1} in the regulation of cell cycle arrest and apoptosis under hypoxic conditions. However, the regulatory mechanism is controversial [15–17]. There are reports indicating that HIF-1 α forms a complex with p53 and stabilizes p53 [18], which promotes cell cycle arrest mediated by p21^{WAF1} and induces apoptosis [19]. Mdm2 negatively regulates p53 by promoting p53 degradation [20]. Other reports suggest that HIF-1 α does not directly associate with p53, but with Mdm2 [17,21], which upregulates HIF-1α levels [17,22,23]. The mechanism for hypoxia-mediated cell cycle arrest and apoptosis remains unclear [24–26]. In this report, we provide pieces of evidence that translation initiation plays a critical role in regulation of hypoxiainduced signaling circuit. Under hypoxic conditions, PERK and GCN2 are activated and coordinately phosphorylate eIF2a. Depending on the levels of eIF2 α phosphorylation, the expression and activity of HIF-1 α , p53, Mdm², and p21^{WAF1} are altered under hypoxic conditions. Our findings not only significantly advance the understanding of the mechanism for hypoxia/eIF2a phosphorylation-mediated G1 arrest and apoptosis signaling circuit but also lead to the discovery of a potential target for antitumor therapies.

Materials and Methods

Cell Culture and Hypoxic Treatments

Mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEF) wild type (MEF^{WT}), MEF PERK knockout (MEF^{PERK-/-}), MEF GCN2 knockout (MEF^{GCN2-/-}), and MEF S51A mutant (MEF^{A/A}), in which the wild type eIF2 α was replaced with a nonphosphorylatable S51A mutated eIF2 α , were kindly provided by Dr. RJ Kaufman (University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, MI). The cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM; Cellgro, Manassas, VA) supplemented with 1% penicillin and streptomycin and 10% fetal bovine serum (Cellgro) at 37°C with 5% CO₂. GasPak EZ Anaerobe Pouch System (BD Biosciences, VWR, S. Plainfield, NJ) was used to reduce the oxygen levels to less than 1% (mean, 0.7%) in 90 minutes.

Western Blot Analysis

The cells were washed with cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) twice and lysed in buffer with 50 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% EDTA, 0.5% IGEPAL CA-630, and a cocktail of protease inhibitors (Roche, Indianapolis, IN). An equal amount of total proteins was separated by SDS-PAGE. Protein was electroblotted onto Immobilon-P membrane (Millipore, Temecula, CA), which was then blocked with 5% milk in PBST (PBS with 0.1% Tween-20). The interested proteins were probed with the corresponding antibodies and visualized by LumiGLO reagent and peroxide (Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA). AntieIF2 α , anti–phosphorylated eIF2 α (Ser 51), and anti– β -actin were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). Anti-Mdm2 (N-20), anti-p53 (Bp53), anti–HIF-1 α , and secondary horseradish peroxidase–linked antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA).

Cell Viability Assay

The cells (1×10^5) were seeded in 12-well plates and were then exposed to normoxia or hypoxia. The viability was measured at dif-

Cell Cycle Analysis

The cells (2 × 10⁶) were seeded in 100-mm tissue culture plates and exposed to normoxia or hypoxia. The cells were harvested and washed twice with cold PBS. The cells were suspended in 200 µl of PBS and fixed in 4 ml of cold 70% ethanol at -20°C overnight. The cells were centrifuged at 4°C for 10 minutes. The cell pellets were stained by a propidium iodide mixture (100 µg/ml RNase and 50 µg/ml propidium iodide) at 37°C for 30 minutes. The amount of apoptotic cells in a total of 1 × 10⁴ cells was determined by a flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA) and analyzed by ModFit LT (Verity Software House, Topsham, ME).

Apoptosis Assay

The cells (2×10^5) were seeded in 12-well plates and exposed to normoxia or hypoxia for 2 days. The cells were analyzed by a Cell Death Detection ELISA kit (Roche Diagnostics), which measures cleaved histone and DNA complex. The assay was performed according to the manufacturer's manual. The absorbance at 405/590 nm was measured by a SPECTRA Max M2 multichannel fluorescence plate reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA).

Luciferase Assay

Cells were seeded in 24-well plates and cotransfected with an inducible luciferase expression vector and a Renilla luciferase expression vector (Panomics, Fremont, CA). At 24 hours after transfection, the cells were exposed to normoxia or hypoxia. The luciferase activities were measured by a Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay kit (Promega) according to the manufacturer's manual. Luciferase and Renilla luciferase activities were measured by a Lumat LB 9507 luminometer (Berthold Technologies). The Renilla luciferase activity was used to normalize the transfection efficiency.

Glucose Uptake Assay

The cells were washed with serum-free DMEM twice and then cultured under hypoxia with serum-free medium for 12 hours. The cells were washed with Kreb's Ringer phosphate (CRP) buffer (136 mM NaCl, 4.7 mM KCl, 1.25 mM MgSO₄, 1.25 mM CaCl₂, 8.1 mM Na₂HPO₄, 1.9 mM NaH₂PO₄) twice and then incubated with 450 µl of KRP buffer for 30 minutes. Fifty microliters of KRP supplemented with 1 µCi/ml [³H] 2-deoxy-D-glucose and 1 mM glucose was added to each sample and incubated for 30 minutes at 4°C. The buffer was removed, and the cells were washed twice with cold PBS. Cells were lysed with 350 µl of 0.2 M NaOH. Radioactivity was determined by LS 6500 multipurpose scintillation counter (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA).

Clonogenic Assay

The cells (5×10^3) were seeded in six-well plates and cultured in 95% air and 5% CO₂ at 37°C for 6 days. The cells were then washed with PBS twice and fixed by cold methanol for 10 minutes at -20°C. The fixed cells were stained by 1% crystal violet in 25% methanol for 10 minutes

at room temperature. The cells were finally rinsed with distilled water, and the colonies with a size more than 0.5 mm were counted.

Statistical Analysis

Student's *t* test was used to analyze the significance of data. P < .05 was considered significant.

Results

Hypoxia-Induced Cell Death Is Protected by PERK and GCN2 in an eIF2 α Phosphorylation-Independent Manner

PERK has been suggested to mediate the hypoxia-induced phosphorylation of $eIF2\alpha$ and increase tolerance of tumor cells to hypoxic stress [3,4,26]. However, whereas the thapsigargin-induced eIF2 α phosphorylation is totally inhibited in MEF^{PERK-/-} cells, the hypoxiainduced phosphorylation is only partially inhibited in the same cells [26]. The results suggested that other eIF2 α kinase (EIF2AK) besides PERK might also be involved in the hypoxia-induced eIF2 α phosphorylation. Our recent study demonstrated that GCN2 coordinates with PERK in regulation of UVB-induced phosphorylation of eIF2 α [27]. To determine whether GCN2 is also involved in hypoxia-induced phosphorylation of eIF2 α , we analyzed time-dependent phosphorylation of eIF2 α in MEF^{WT}, MEF^{PERK-/-}, MEF^{GCN2-/-}, and MEF^{A/A} cells after modest hypoxia treatment. As expected, whereas eIF2 α was not phosphorylated in MEF^{A/A} cells, an increased phosphorylation of eIF2 α was detected at 2 hours after treatment in the other cell lines.

A. Hypoxia-induced elF2α phosphorylation







Figure 1. Both PERK and GCN2 mediate hypoxia-induced elF2 α phosphorylation and cell death. MEF^{WT}, MEF^{PERK-/-}, MEF^{GCN2-/-}, and MEF^{A/A} cells were used in the experiments as indicated. (A) The cells were exposed to hypoxia for the indicated time points before immunoblot analysis with phosphorylated elF2 α (Ser 51) and total elF2 α antibodies. (B) The cells were exposed to normoxia or hypoxia for the indicated time points and then viability assays were performed. The bars represent the means of three independent experiments. (C) The cells were exposed to hypoxia for 12 hours with serum-free DMEM and were then fed with glucose supplemented with [³H] 2-deoxy-D-glucose. Total protein was collected, and the radioactivity was measured by liquid scintillation counter. The bars represent the means of three independent experiments. **P* < .05 mutant *versus* wild type. (D) The cells were exposed to normoxia or hypoxia for indicated time points and photographed using microscopy equipped with a Nikon digital camera (Nikon, West Chester, OH).





D. Morphology



Figure 1. (continued).

Compared with MEF^{WT} cells, the hypoxia-induced phosphorylation of eIF2 α was delayed and reduced in MEF^{PERK-/-} cells (Figure 1*A*), which agreed with previous reports [26,28]. Moreover, our data also showed that the phosphorylation of eIF2 α was similarly delayed and reduced in MEF^{GCN2-/-} cells (Figure 1*A*). These results demonstrate that both PERK and GCN2 are involved in the hypoxia-induced phosphorylation of eIF2 α .

It has been found that hypoxia-induced PERK activation protects cells from death by increasing eIF2α phosphorylation [3]. To determine whether GCN2 can also protect cells from hypoxia-induced death, we analyzed viabilities of MEF^{WT}, MEF^{PERK-/-}, MEF^{GCN2-/-}, and MEF^{A/A} cells under normoxic or hypoxic conditions. Our data showed that, under normoxic conditions, the growth rates of MEF^{WT}, MEF^{PERK-/-}, MEF^{GCN2-/-}, and MEF^{A/A} were within 10% differences (Figure 1*B*, *Normoxia*), but under hypoxic conditions, viabilities of MEF^{WT} and MEF^{A/A} cells (Figure 1*B*, *Hypoxia*). After correcting the survival rates in hypoxia with the growth rates in normoxia, our data demonstrated

that the survival rates for MEF^{GCN2-/-} and MEF^{PERK-/-} cells were decreased in 48 hours to $2.4 \pm 0.1\%$ and $5.1 \pm 0.3\%$, respectively (Figure 1*B*, *Hypoxia*/*Normoxia*). Surprisingly, the survival rate of MEF^{A/A} cells was 47.3 ± 3.2\%, which was similar to the 44.9 ± 2.1% survival rate of MEF^{WT} cells (Figure 1*B*, *Hypoxia*/*Normoxia*).

To further confirm the above results, we analyzed cell viabilities using metabolic and morphologic analyses. Glucose uptake assay was used to measure the metabolic activities of the cells in hypoxia. Our data showed that whereas the metabolic activities of MEF^{WT} and MEF^{A/A} cells were maintained at 75.4 ± 0.3% and 83.5 ± 0.4%, respectively, the activities in MEF^{GCN2-/-} and MEF^{PERK-/-} cells were decreased to 36.0 ± 0.2% and 42.3 ± 0.2%, respectively (Figure 1*C*). In addition, the morphologic analysis also showed that the MEF^{GCN2-/-} and MEF^{PERK-/-} cells were more sensitive to hypoxia than MEF^{WT} and MEF^{A/A} cells (Figure 1*D*). These results confirmed that the hypoxia-induced cell death depended on but not linearly related to the levels of eIF2 α phosphorylation.

To determine whether PERK or GCN2 influences cell survival in hypoxia independently of eIF2 α , we tried to knockout PERK or GCN2 in the MEF^{A/A} cells using a siRNA method. Our results showed that when PERK or GCN2 siRNA reduced the viability of MEF^{WT} cells under hypoxia, they did not affect the viability of MEF^{A/A} cells (Figure W1*A*). The preliminary result suggests that PERK- and GCN2-mediated hypoxia-induced cell death is eIF2 α -dependent. However, our data are not conclusive because the transfection efficiency is less than 20% based on the control FITC-labeled scramble siRNA (Figure W1*B*).

Hypoxia-Induced Cell Cycle Arrest Is Dependent on PERK and GCN2 by Regulating HIF-1 α and p21^{WAF1}

Both PERK and GCN2 contribute to cell cycle arrest on endoplasmic reticulum stress [29]. To determine whether both PERK and GCN2 play a role in the regulation of cell cycle arrest in hypoxia, we performed cell cycle analysis in MEF^{WT}, MEF^{GCN2-/-}, MEF^{PERK-/-}, and MEF^{A/A} cells. Our data showed that hypoxia induced G₁ arrest in MEF^{WT} cells but not in MEF^{PERK-/-}, MEF^{GCN2-/-}, or MEF^{A/A} cells (Figure 2*A*). These data demonstrate that the activation of PERK/GCN2 and the phosphorylation of eIF2 α are essential for hypoxia-induced cell cycle arrest.

To assess the molecular mechanism for PERK/GCN2–mediated eIF2 α phosphorylation in regulation of cell cycle arrest, we analyzed the expressions of HIF-1 α and p21^{WAF1}, the key cell cycle regulators in hypoxia [25,30]. Our data showed that HIF-1 α expression was induced in MEF^{WT} (Figure 2*B*). The hypoxia-inducibility of HIF-1 α expression was eliminated or reduced in MEF^{PERK-/-} and MEF^{GCN2-/-} cells (Figure 2*B*). HIF-1 α was not detected in MEF^{A/A} cells in either normoxia or hypoxia (Figure 2*B*). In contrast to HIF-1 α , the maximal inducibility of p21^{WAF1} in hypoxia was achieved in MEF^{WT} cells (Figure 2*B*). The inducibility, but not the expression levels of p21^{WAF1}, was correlated to the hypoxia-induced cell cycle arrest. These results suggest that the hypoxia-induced cell cycle arrest is mediated by the inductions of HIF-1 α and p21^{WAF1}, which is regulated by PERK/GCN2–mediated eIF2 α phosphorylation.

PERK and GCN2 Protect Cells from Hypoxia-Induced Apoptosis

To determine whether GCN2, like PERK, protects cells from hypoxiainduced apoptosis, we assessed the roles of PERK/GCN2–mediated eIF2 α phosphorylation in regulation of apoptosis under hypoxia. MEF^{WT}, MEF^{PERK-/-}, MEF^{GCN2-/-}, and MEF^{A/A} cells were exposed



Figure 2. Hypoxia induces G_1 arrest in MEF^{WT} but not in MEF^{PERK-/-}, MEF^{GCN2-/-}, and MEF^{A/A} cells. (A) The cells were treated with hypoxia for 12 hours before the cell cycle analysis using flow cytometry. The data were analyzed by ModFit software. The bars represent the means of three independent experiments. **P* < .05 hypoxia *versus* normoxia. (B) The cells were treated with hypoxia for the time points as indicated, and the protein levels of HIF-1 α and p21^{WAF1} were analyzed by Western blot.



Figure 3. Both PERK and GCN2 regulate p53 signaling cascade and apoptosis in hypoxia. MEF^{WT}, MEF^{PERK-/-}, MEF^{GCN2-/-}, and MEF^{A/A} cells were used in the experiments. (A) The cells were exposed to normoxia or hypoxia for 36 hours before apoptotic assays by detecting cleaved histone/DNA complex. The bars represent the means of three independent experiments. *P < .05 mutant *versus* wild type under normoxia or hypoxia. (B) The cells were treated with hypoxia for the time points as indicated and the protein level of Mdm2 was analyzed by Western blot. (C and D) The cells were cotransfected with p53 luciferase reporter plasmid or Bax luciferase reporter plasmid. Renilla luciferase reporter plasmid was used to normalize the transfection efficiency. Twenty-four hours after transfection, the cells were exposed to normoxia or hypoxia or hypoxia for 24 hours, and the activities of p53 and Bax were analyzed by luciferase assay. The bars represent the means of three independent experiments. *P < .05 mutant *versus* wild type.

to hypoxic stress, and then apoptotic cell death was analyzed by examining the cleavage of histone-DNA complex. Our data showed that higher levels of nucleosome contents were displayed in MEF^{PERK-/-} and MEF^{GCN2-/-} cells than the levels in MEF^{WT} and MEF^{A/A} cells (Figure 3*A*). The result indicates that both PERK and GCN2 are involved in the regulation of apoptotic cell death in hypoxia.

Because p53 plays a central role in regulation of apoptosis during hypoxia [31-34], we determined whether PERK and GCN2 would protect cells from hypoxia-induced apoptosis via regulating p53 signaling pathway. Our data demonstrated that p53 was increased in the four cell lines on hypoxic stress (Figure 3B). However, the maximal inducibility of p53 was achieved in MEFPERK-/- and MEFGCN2-/cells (Figure 3B). At the same time, the expression of a p53 negative regulator, Mdm2, was decreased in all cell lines independent of eIF2 α phosphorylation levels (Figure 3B). These results suggest that activation of PERK and GCN2 inhibits hypoxia-induced activation of p53 signaling pathway independent of Mdm2. To confirm the results that the maximal inducibility of p53 was achieved in MEFPERK-/- and MEF^{GCN2-/-} cells, we used p53 transcriptional activity-regulated luciferase expression system to determine p53 DNA binding ability. Our data showed that p53 transcriptional activity was up to 4.0 ± 0.5 - and 4.4 \pm 0.8-folds in MEF^{PERK-/-} and MEF^{GCN2-/-} cells, whereas the activity was only up to 1.4 \pm 0.1- and 1.7 \pm 0.1-folds in MEF^{WT} and $MEF^{A/A}$ cells, respectively, in hypoxia (Figure 3C). The promoter activity of a p53 downstream gene, Bax, was also upregulated more in MEF^{GCN2-/-} and MEF^{PERK-/-} cells than in MEF^{WT} and MEF^{A/A} cells (Figure 3D). These results suggest that the lowered viability in MEFPERK-7and MEF^{GCN2-/-} cells was due to the upregulated apoptosis, which was mediated by p53 signaling pathways.

PERK, GCN2, and Phosphorylated eIF2 α Promote Recovery of Cells from Hypoxia

The ability to recover from stress is also an important property to measure the regulation of survival and growth of cells after exposure to a stress. We determined whether PERK/GCN2–mediated eIF2 α phosphorylation affects the ability of cells to recover from hypoxia. Clonogenic assay was used to measure survival and recovery of cells from hypoxic stress. The MEF^{WT}, MEF^{PERK-/-}, MEF^{GCN2-/-}, and MEF^{A/A} cells were exposed to hypoxia for 24 hours and then cultured under normal conditions for 6 days. Our data showed that, compared with MEF^{WT} cells, the survival rates after hypoxia were reduced 50.5 ± 8.4% and 50.0 ± 2.9% for MEF^{PERK-/-} and MEF^{A/A} cells was reduced 50.5 ± spectively (Figure 4*A*). The survival rate of MEF^{A/A} cells was reduced

A. Percentage of colony formation.



B. Colony formation after hypoxia-reoxygenation.



Figure 4. Both PERK and GCN2 mediate recovery of cells from hypoxic stress. MEF^{WT}, MEF^{PERK-/-}, MEF^{GCN2-/-}, and MEF^{A/A} cells were exposed to hypoxia for 24 hours. Cells were collected and stained by Trypan blue. Nonapoptotic cells were counted. Five thousand cells were replated and cultured under normoxia for 6 days. Cells were then fixed by methanol for 10 minutes at -20° C and stained by 1% crystal violet (25% methanol) for 10 minutes, washed by distilled water, and dried. (A) The colonies with a size greater than 0.5 mm were counted. The degree of colony formation was expressed as percentage of MEF^{WT} cells. The bars represent the means of three independent experiments. **P* < .05 mutant *versus* wild type. (B) The plates were photographed using microscopy equipped with a Nikon digital camera.

only by 5.9 ± 5.9% (Figure 4*A*). Noticeably, the sizes of MEF^{A/A} colonies were much smaller than the other three cell lines (Figure 4*B*), indicating that eIF2 α phosphorylation was required for cell growth during recovery but not required for cell survival on hypoxia. These data demonstrate that partial reduction of eIF2 α phosphorylation (PERK or GCN2 knockout) decreases cell recovery by lowering survival rate, whereas total elimination of eIF2 α phosphorylation (eIF2 α S51A mutation) decreases cell recovery by lowering cell growth rate



Figure 5. The model for the roles of $elF2\alpha$ phosphorylation in cell fate determination.

67

during reoxygenation after hypoxic stress. These results suggest that the hypoxia-induced activation of PERK/GCN2 and eIF2 α phosphorylation coordinatively regulate cell growth and apoptosis after hypoxic stress.

Discussion

A hypoxic microenvironment in solid tumors often correlates with tumor progression and therapy resistance [35]. HIF-1 α is a key regulator in response to hypoxia and has been shown to regulate angiogenesis, metabolic adaptation, proliferation, apoptosis, and invasion [9-12,36-39]. Hypoxia increases cancer cell-induced lymphatic endothelial cell invasion and migration [40], whereas it decreases macromolecular synthesis and slows down cell proliferation [2,41]. Prolonged hypoxia also induces an energy-depleting response that activates mammalian target of rapamycin-signaling network [42]. Recent studies show that hypoxia activates PERK, which phosphorylates eIF2a, reduces protein synthesis, and contributes to hypoxic adaptation [3-6,26,28]. However, in hypoxic cells lacking PERK, the phosphorylation of eIF2 α on Ser 51 is still upregulated [26], which indicates that there could be other EIF2AKs activated in hypoxia. In this study, we demonstrate that similar to MEF^{PERK-/-} cells, the phosphorylation of eIF2 α in MEF^{GCN2-/-} cells was also delayed in hypoxia (Figure 1A), suggesting that GCN2 is also involved in the phosphorylation of eIF2 α in response to hypoxia. Besides PERK and GCN2, HRI and PKR can also phosphorylate eIF2a. Although PKR has been shown not to induce eIF2 phosphorylation under hypoxia [26], we cannot rule out that HRI is also involved in hypoxiainduced eIF2 α phosphorylation.

Our results suggest that reduction of eIF2 α phosphorylation by PERK or GCN2 knockout sensitized the cells to hypoxia-induced cell death (Figures 1 and 4), but elimination of eIF2a phosphorylation by eIF2 S51A knock-in had no effects on the cell survival under the same conditions (Figures 1 and 4). These results disagree with the previous *in vitro* study indicating that abolishing $eIF2\alpha$ phosphorylation reduces cell survival in hypoxia [3]. However, our results agree with the in vivo data in the same report, which shows that the tumor volume from MEF^{A/A} cells is much larger than the tumors from MEF^{PERK-/-} cells but is smaller than the tumors from MEF^{WT} cells. Because tumor hypoxia is transient *in vivo* and reoxygenation also affects tumor survival and growth, we further confirm our in vitro data by investigating the survival and recovery on hypoxia-reoxygenation in the four cell lines. Our data from the viability and colonogenic assays indicate that partial reduction of $eIF2\alpha$ phosphorylation by knocking out either PERK- or GCN2-promoted cell death but did not affect cell growth on hypoxia-reoxygenation (Figure 4). In contrast, totally abolished $eIF2\alpha$ phosphorylation did not influence cell survival but promoted cell growth on hypoxiareoxygenation (Figure 4). These results suggest that PERK/GCN2 knockout affects cell growth and death on hypoxia-reoxygenation through differential mechanism as $eIF2\alpha$ S51A mutation does. The controversial observation with the previous in vitro data [3] may be due to the experimental conditions. We used moderate hypoxia (~1% oxygen), which is close to the physiological condition in solid tumor [43,44]. However, the previous study was performed under extreme hypoxia (<0.02% oxygen) [3].

To further analyze the mechanism for EIF2AKs and eIF2 α phosphorylation–regulated tumor progression in hypoxia, we analyzed their roles in regulating the expressions of several key hypoxia-induced factors, such as HIF-1 α and p53 [25,31–34,39,45]. The correlations

among the gene expression patterns, cell cycle arrest, and apoptosis were also studied. Our data showed that the hypoxia-induced $eIF2\alpha$ phosphorylation is critical in the regulation of the inducibility and expression of HIF-1 α and p21^{WAF1} (Figure 2). However, only the inducibilities, but not the levels, of HIF-1 α and p21^{WAF1} expression were correlated to hypoxia-induced cell cycle arrest (Figure 2). Whereas PERK and GCN2 are required for hypoxia-induced HIF-1 α /p21^{WAF1} expression, they suppress hypoxia-induced activation of p53 (Figure 3). Elimination of PERK or GCN2 activity also sensitizes the cells to hypoxia-induced apoptosis (Figure 3). Interestingly, totally abolishing eIF2\alpha phosphorylation has no effects on p53 activation and apoptosis (Figure 3), but affects HIF-1 α /p21^{WAF1} inducibility and G₁ arrest after hypoxic stress (Figure 2). The mechanism could be due to the extremely low expression of HIF-1a in both normoxia and hypoxia (Figure 2*B*), which plays a critical role in regulation of hypoxia-induced cell cycle arrest [46]. On the basis of these results, we propose that PERK/GCN2-mediated eIF2a phosphorylation serves as a "switch" that determines the fate of cells after hypoxic stress (Figure 5). A lower level of eIF2 α phosphorylation "turns on" a death signal by inhibiting G1 arrest and promoting apoptosis through activating p53 signal cascade. In contrast, higher levels of eIF2 phosphorylation "switch" the death signal to an adaptive signal by promoting G1 arrest and reducing apoptosis through activating the HIF-1 α /p21^{WAF1} signaling pathway. Our proposed model has the potential to be used to develop new therapeutics for cancer treatment by targeting PERK/GCN2-meidated eIF2α phosphorylation.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Andrea Gibson and O. Luke Carpenter for editorial assistance.

References

- Koritzinsky M, Magagnin MG, van den Beucken T, Seigneuric R, Savelkouls K, Dostie J, Pyronnet S, Kaufman RJ, Weppler SA, Voncken JW, et al. (2006). Gene expression during acute and prolonged hypoxia is regulated by distinct mechanisms of translational control. *EMBO J* 25, 1114–1125.
- [2] Koumenis C and Wouters BG (2006). "Translating" tumor hypoxia: unfolded protein response (UPR)-dependent and UPR-independent pathways. *Mol Cancer Res* 4, 423–436.
- [3] Bi M, Naczki C, Koritzinsky M, Fels D, Blais J, Hu N, Harding H, Novoa I, Varia M, Raleigh J, et al. (2005). ER stress–regulated translation increases tolerance to extreme hypoxia and promotes tumor growth. *EMBO J* 24, 3470–3481.
- [4] Blais JD, Addison CL, Edge R, Falls T, Zhao H, Wary K, Koumenis C, Harding HP, Ron D, Holcik M, et al. (2006). PERK-dependent translational regulation promotes tumor cell adaptation and angiogenesis in response to hypoxic stress. *Mol Cell Biol* 26, 9517–9532.
- [5] Koritzinsky M, Rouschop KM, van den Beucken T, Magagnin MG, Savelkouls K, Lambin P, and Wouters BG (2007). Phosphorylation of eIF2α is required for mRNA translation inhibition and survival during moderate hypoxia. *Radiother Oncol* 83, 353–361.
- [6] Liu L, Cash TP, Jones RG, Keith B, Thompson CB, and Simon MC (2006). Hypoxia-induced energy stress regulates mRNA translation and cell growth. *Mol Cell* 21, 521–531.
- [7] Hockel M and Vaupel P (2001). Tumor hypoxia: definitions and current clinical, biologic, and molecular aspects. J Natl Cancer Inst 93, 266–276.
- [8] Vaupel P and Mayer A (2005). Hypoxia and anemia: effects on tumor biology and treatment resistance. *Transfus Clin Biol* 12, 5–10.
- [9] Wang GL, Jiang BH, Rue EA, and Semenza GL (1995). Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 is a basic-helix-loop-helix-PAS heterodimer regulated by cellular O₂ tension. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 92, 5510–5514.
- [10] Zhou J, Schmid T, Schnitzer S, and Brüne B (2006). Tumor hypoxia and cancer progression. *Cancer Lett* 237, 10–21.

- [11] Semenza GL (2003). Targeting HIF-1 for cancer therapy. Nat Rev Cancer 3, 721–732.
- [12] Buchler P, Reber HA, Tomlinson JS, Hankinson O, Kallifatidis G, Friess H, Herr I, and Hines OJ (2009). Transcriptional regulation of urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor by hypoxia-inducible factor 1 is crucial for invasion of pancreatic and liver cancer. *Neoplasia* 11, 196–206.
- [13] Arriola EL, Lopez AR, and Chresta CM (1999). Differential regulation of p21^{waf-1/cip-1} and Mdm2 by etoposide: etoposide inhibits the p53-Mdm2 autoregulatory feedback loop. *Oncogene* 18, 1081–1091.
- [14] Salceda S and Caro J (1997). Hypoxia-inducible factor 1α (HIF- 1α) protein is rapidly degraded by the ubiquitin-proteasome system under normoxic conditions. Its stabilization by hypoxia depends on redox-induced changes. *J Biol Chem* **272**, 22642–22647.
- [15] Alarcon R, Koumenis C, Geyer RK, Maki CG, and Giaccia AJ (1999). Hypoxia induces p53 accumulation through MDM2 down-regulation and inhibition of E6-mediated degradation. *Cancer Res* 59, 6046–6051.
- [16] Zhang L and Hill RP (2004). Hypoxia enhances metastatic efficiency by upregulating Mdm2 in KHT cells and increasing resistance to apoptosis. *Cancer Res* 64, 4180–4189.
- [17] Nieminen AL, Qanungo S, Schneider EA, Jiang BH, and Agani FH (2005). Mdm2 and HIF-1α interaction in tumor cells during hypoxia. *J Cell Physiol* 204, 364–369.
- [18] An WG, Kanekal M, Simon MC, Maltepe E, Blagosklonny MV, and Neckers LM (1998). Stabilization of wild-type p53 by hypoxia-inducible factor 1α. *Nature* **392**, 405–408.
- [19] Fisher DE (2001). The p53 tumor suppressor: critical regulator of life & death in cancer. *Apoptosis* **6**, 7–15.
- [20] Freedman DA, Wu L, and Levine AJ (1999). Functions of the MDM2 oncoprotein. Cell Mol Life Sci 55, 96–107.
- [21] Chen D, Li M, Luo J, and Gu W (2003). Direct interactions between HIF-1 α and Mdm2 modulate p53 function. J Biol Chem 278, 13595–13598.
- [22] Bardos JI, Chau NM, and Ashcroft M (2004). Growth factor–mediated induction of HDM2 positively regulates hypoxia-inducible factor 1α expression. *Mol Cell Biol* 24, 2905–2914.
- [23] LaRusch GA, Jackson MW, Dunbar JD, Warren RS, Donner DB, and Mayo LD (2007). Nutlin3 blocks vascular endothelial growth factor induction by preventing the interaction between hypoxia inducible factor 1α and Hdm2. *Cancer Res* 67, 450–454.
- [24] Carmeliet P, Dor Y, Herbert JM, Fukumura D, Brusselmans K, Dewerchin M, Neeman M, Bono F, Abramovitch R, Maxwell P, et al. (1998). Role of HIF-1α in hypoxia-mediated apoptosis, cell proliferation and tumour angiogenesis. *Nature* **394**, 485–490.
- [25] Koshiji M, Kageyama Y, Pete EA, Horikawa I, Barrett JC, and Huang LE (2004). HIF-1α induces cell cycle arrest by functionally counteracting Myc. *EMBO J* 23, 1949–1956.
- [26] Koumenis C, Naczki C, Koritzinsky M, Rastani S, Diehl A, Sonenberg N, Koromilas A, and Wouters BG (2002). Regulation of protein synthesis by hypoxia via activation of the endoplasmic reticulum kinase PERK and phosphorylation of the translation initiation factor eIF2α. *Mol Cell Biol* 22, 7405–7416.
- [27] Lu W, Laszlo CF, Miao Z, Chen H, and Wu S (2009). The role of nitric oxide synthase in regulation of ultraviolet light–induced phosphorylation of the α -subunit of eukaryotic initiation factor 2. *J Biol Chem* **284**, 24281–24288.
- [28] Liu L, Wise DR, Diehl JA, and Simon MC (2008). Hypoxic reactive oxygen

species regulate the integrated stress response and cell survival. *J Biol Chem* 283, 31153–31162.

- [29] Hamanaka RB, Bennett BS, Cullinan SB, and Diehl JA (2005). PERK and GCN2 contribute to eIF2α phosphorylation and cell cycle arrest after activation of the unfolded protein response pathway. *Mol Biol Cell* 16, 5493–5501.
- [30] Goda N, Ryan HE, Khadivi B, McNulty W, Rickert RC, and Johnson RS (2003). Hypoxia-inducible factor 1α is essential for cell cycle arrest during hypoxia. *Mol Cell Biol* 23, 359–369.
- [31] Ravi R, Mookerjee B, Bhujwalla ZM, Sutter CH, Artemov D, Zeng Q, Dillehay LE, Madan A, Semenza GL, and Bedi A (2000). Regulation of tumor angiogenesis by p53-induced degradation of hypoxia-inducible factor 1α. *Genes Dev* 14, 34–44.
- [32] Suzuki H, Tomida A, and Tsuruo T (2001). Dephosphorylated hypoxia-inducible factor 1α as a mediator of p53-dependent apoptosis during hypoxia. Oncogene 20, 5779–5788.
- [33] Wang J, Biju MP, Wang MH, Haase VH, and Dong Z (2006). Cytoprotective effects of hypoxia against cisplatin-induced tubular cell apoptosis: involvement of mitochondrial inhibition and p53 suppression. J Am Soc Nephrol 17, 1875–1885.
- [34] Yang J, Ahmed A, Poon E, Perusinghe N, de Haven Brandon A, Box G, Valenti M, Eccles S, Rouschop K, Wouters B, et al. (2009). Small-molecule activation of p53 blocks hypoxia-inducible factor 1α and vascular endothelial growth factor expression *in vivo* and leads to tumor cell apoptosis in normoxia and hypoxia. *Mol Cell Biol* 29, 2243–2253.
- [35] Hockel M, Schlenger K, Aral B, Mitze M, Schaffer U, and Vaupel P (1996). Association between tumor hypoxia and malignant progression in advanced cancer of the uterine cervix. *Cancer Res* 56, 4509–4515.
- [36] Green SL and Giaccia AJ (1998). Tumor hypoxia and the cell cycle: implications for malignant progression and response to therapy. *Cancer J Sci Am* 4, 218–223.
- [37] Semenza GL (2000). Surviving ischemia: adaptive responses mediated by hypoxiainducible factor 1. J Clin Invest 106, 809–812.
- [38] Semenza GL (2004). Hydroxylation of HIF-1: oxygen sensing at the molecular level. *Physiology (Bethesda)* 19, 176–182.
- [39] Goda N, Dozier SJ, and Johnson RS (2003). HIF-1 in cell cycle regulation, apoptosis, and tumor progression. *Antioxid Redox Signal* 5, 467–473.
- [40] Mikhaylova M, Mori N, Wildes FB, Walczak P, Gimi B, and Bhujwalla ZM (2008). Hypoxia increases breast cancer cell–induced lymphatic endothelial cell migration. *Neoplasia* 10, 380–389.
- [41] Koumenis C (2006). ER stress, hypoxia tolerance and tumor progression. Curr Mol Med 6, 55–69.
- [42] Schneider A, Younis RH, and Gutkind JS (2008). Hypoxia-induced energy stress inhibits the mTOR pathway by activating an AMPK/REDD1 signaling axis in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Neoplasia* 10, 1295–1302.
- [43] Vaupel P, Kelleher DK, and Hockel M (2001). Oxygen status of malignant tumors: pathogenesis of hypoxia and significance for tumor therapy. *Semin Oncol* 28, 29–35.
- [44] Vaupel P (2004). The role of hypoxia-induced factors in tumor progression. Oncologist 9 (Suppl 5), 10–17.
- [45] Cosse JP, Ronvaux M, Ninane N, Raes MJ, and Michiels C (2009). Hypoxiainduced decrease in p53 protein level and increase in c-jun DNA binding activity results in cancer cell resistance to etoposide. *Neoplasia* 11, 976–986.
- [46] Greijer AE and van der Wall E (2004). The role of hypoxia inducible factor 1 (HIF-1) in hypoxia induced apoptosis. J Clin Pathol 57, 1009–1014.



A: Cell viability at 24 h under hypoxia



Figure W1. (A) siRNAs were transfected into MEF^{WT} and MEF^{A/A} cells to knock down PERK or GCN2. The transfected cells were exposed to hypoxia for 24 hours and viability was measured. (B) GFP vector was transfected into MEF^{WT} and MEF^{A/A} cells to show the transfection efficiency.