metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk



provided by Elsevier - Publis

in CNS Development

Andrea Meredith and Jane E. Johnson

Center for Basic Neuroscience, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas, 5323 Harry Hines Boulevard, Dallas, Texas 75235-9111

Mash1, a neural-specific bHLH transcription factor, is essential for the formation of multiple CNS and PNS neural lineages. Transcription from the *Mash1* locus is elevated in mice null for *Mash1*, suggesting that MASH1 normally acts to repress its own transcription. This activity is contrary to the positive autoregulation of other proneural bHLH proteins. To investigate the mechanisms involved in this process, sequences flanking the *Mash1* gene were tested for the ability to mediate negative autoregulation. A *Mash1/lacZ* transgene containing 36 kb of *cis*-regulatory sequence exhibits an increase in *lacZ* expression in the *Mash1* mutant background, which phenocopies the observation of transcriptional autoregulation at the endogenous *Mash1* locus. Using *Mash1/lacZ* lines with progressively less *cis*-acting sequence, autoregulatory responsive elements were demonstrated to colocalize with a previously characterized 1.2-kb CNS enhancer. Mutations of E-box sites within this enhancer did not result in an apparent loss of autoregulation, suggesting that MASH1 does not directly repress its own transcription. Interestingly, these mutations did not indicate any underlying positive auto- or cross-regulation of *Mash1*. Furthermore, the loss of autoregulation in the *Mash1* mutant background is reminiscent of a loss of lateral inhibitory signaling. However, mutations in HES consensus sites, the likely purveyors of Notch-mediated lateral inhibitory signaling. However, mutations in HES consensus sites, the likely purveyors or through novel HES binding sites. © 2000 Academic Press

Key Words: bHLH; transcription factor; lateral inhibition; HES; transgenic mice; gene regulation.

INTRODUCTION

Members of the basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) family of transcription factors have been demonstrated to play essential roles in the differentiation of hematopoietic, muscle, and neural lineages (Arnold and Winter, 1998; Lee, 1997). The proneural achaete-scute complex (AS-C) and atonal genes in Drosophila have been studied extensively, along with their homologs in Xenopus, chicken, and mouse (Jan and Jan, 1993; Kageyama et al., 1995; Lee, 1997). A neuralspecific subclass of these bHLHs, including Mash1, Math1, Neurogenin1 (Ngn1), and Neurogenin2 (Ngn2), is expressed in populations of proliferating and early differentiating neural precursors in complementary domains of the developing vertebrate nervous system (Lee, 1997). Loss-offunction studies of these genes demonstrated their essential roles in the formation of subsets of neurons in the CNS and PNS (Ben-Arie et al., 1997; Bermingham et al., 1999; Fode et al., 1998; Guillemot et al., 1993; Ma et al., 1998). For example, embryos mutant for Mash1 (mammalian achaetescute homolog-1) exhibit loss of cells in multiple neural lineages, including olfactory and autonomic systems, neuroendocrine cells in the thyroid and lung, noradrenergic cells in the locus coeruleus, bipolar cells in the retina, and neurons in the ventral telencephalon (Borges *et al.*, 1997; Casarosa *et al.*, 1999; Guillemot *et al.*, 1993; Hirsch *et al.*, 1998; Horton *et al.*, 1999; Lanigan *et al.*, 1998; Lo *et al.*, 1998; Tomita *et al.*, 1996; Torii *et al.*, 1999; Tuttle *et al.*, 1999).

As evidenced by the diverse array of neurons lost in the *Mash1* mutant, *Mash1* is expressed in a complex temporal and spatial pattern of the developing mouse nervous system. Little is known about the direct upstream regulators of *Mash1* expression; however, transgenic studies have identified *cis*-regulatory elements spanning >36 kb that are important for expression in the CNS and PNS (Verma-Kurvari *et al.*, 1996). Further delineation of these sequences led to the identification of a 1.2-kb CNS enhancer located 7 kb 5' of the coding region, and the information contained within this relatively small region supports the correct

temporal and spatial expression of reporter transgenes in several domains of the endogenous *Mash1* CNS pattern (Verma-Kurvari *et al.*, 1998). Mutations and deletions within the 1.2-kb region demonstrated the presence of multiple enhancer and repressor elements.

By comparison, the AS-C locus in *Drosophila* has >100 kb of associated regulatory sequence and contains numerous discrete enhancers that control expression in specific proneural clusters (Modolell, 1997). A handful of upstream regulators of achaete and scute have been identified including pannier (Ramain et al., 1993), ventral nervous system defective (Skeath and Carroll, 1994), hairy (Ohsako et al., 1994: van Doren et al., 1994), araucan and caupolican (Gomez-Skarmeta et al., 1996), U-shaped (Cubadda et al., 1997), and the enhancer of split (Heitzler et al., 1996; Nakao and Campos-Ortega, 1996) genes. Only some of these proteins have been demonstrated to directly bind achaete sequence. Additionally, achaete and scute have been shown to directly auto- and cross-regulate each other's expression, although the relevance of cross-activation in vivo has been questioned (Ruiz-Gomez and Ghysen, 1993). Positive autoregulation has been proposed to be important for emergence of the sensory mother cell (SMC) from a proneural cluster, and accumulation of high levels of the proneural bHLH protein is the first signal of commitment of the SMC (van Doren et al., 1992). Direct positive autoregulation of expression of another Drosophila proneural gene, atonal, and one of its mammalian homologs, Math1, has been demonstrated by the loss of enhancer/promoter transgene expression in mutant backgrounds (Helms et al., 2000; Sun et al., 1998). These experiments clearly reveal a large component of positive autoregulation in the control of neural bHLH expression.

In addition to the direct positive autoregulation of neural bHLH factor expression, a role for indirect inhibition of proneural gene expression mediated by the Notch pathway has also been described. This pathway is also important in choosing the SMC from equipotent cells within the proneural cluster (Artavanis-Tsakonas et al., 1999; Hassan and Vaessin, 1996). Notch mediates repression of achaete and scute expression in the cells neighboring the presumptive SMC, a process dependent on the *enhancer of split* (E/spl) genes as well as the activity of the proneural genes themselves (Heitzler et al., 1996). A cell that is expressing high levels of achaete or scute overcomes this repression and subsequently delivers more inhibition to a neighboring cell by direct activation of Delta (Kunisch et al., 1994). DELTA stimulation of the NOTCH receptor on adjacent cells results in an E/SPL-dependent downregulation of AS-C (Heitzler et al., 1996).

A variety of evidence, including expression patterns and gain-of-function studies in *Xenopus*, suggests that the noncell-autonomous *Notch/Delta* signaling pathway is involved in vertebrate neurogenesis as well (Lewis, 1996). The role of this inhibitory pathway in vertebrates has not been determined, but one hypothesis is that it plays a role in the timing of differentiation of neural progenitor cells. In mouse, *Mash1* expression is altered in mutants of homologs of the lateral inhibition pathway, including *Notch1*, *RBP-Jk* (*Suppressor of Hairless* homolog), and *Hes-1* (*Hairy E/sp1* homolog-1) (de la Pompa *et al.*, 1997; Ishibashi *et al.*, 1995). Specifically, in *Hes-1* mutant embryos, *Mash1* is prematurely expressed and upregulated in some domains, and it is hypothesized that *Hes-1* negatively regulates *Mash1* and neural differentiation.

Given the importance of autoregulation demonstrated for control of achaete and scute expression in Drosophila, we hypothesized that autoregulatory mechanisms would play a role in controlling Mash1 expression as well. Recently we demonstrated that transcription from the Mash1 locus is upregulated in the Mash1 mutant (Horton et al., 1999). To investigate the mechanism of Mash1 autoregulation in this context, we have introduced Mash1/lacZ transgenes containing different amounts of Mash1 flanking sequence into Mash1 mutant mice. Expression of these transgenes in MASH1-deficient mice was dramatically upregulated, phenocopying the results seen at the endogenous locus. Mutations made at E-box and hairy/E(spl) (HES) consensus binding sites suggest that the mechanism of Mash1 negative autoregulation is indirect, possibly through a HESmediated repression of upstream activators or through novel HES sites.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mash1/lacZ Transgene Construction

Figure 1 diagrams all transgenic constructs used in this study. The Mash1/lacZ lines J1A, Tg1, Tg5, and Tg14 were described previously (Verma-Kurvari et al., 1996, 1998). Constructs Tg5∆Hes and Tg5 Δ *hairy* contain the same *Mash1* regulatory elements as Tg5 with mutations in transcription factor consensus binding sites. Briefly, Tg5 contains a 3.3-kb distal CNS enhancer fragment fused to 0.9 kb of proximal Mash1 regulatory sequence containing the Mash1 basal promoter elements and the 5' UTR, the lacZ coding region, and 2.6 kb Mash1 3' sequence. For Tg5∆Hes, a PCR-based strategy was used to generate mutations in the hairy and class C Hes-1 consensus sites (Chen et al., 1997; van Doren et al., 1994) $(G\underline{CACGCG}CCGGGCG\underline{CACGCA} \rightarrow C\underline{TATGAT}CCGGCG\underline{TGG}$ TACC) found 5' of the transcription start site (-243 to -225). For construct Tg5 Δ hairy, a PCR-based strategy was used to generate mutations in tandem hairy consensus sites (CACGCGAGCGC-<u>CACGCG</u> \rightarrow <u>CGGTAC</u>GATAG<u>ATGTAC</u>) at +286 to +302 in the 5' UTR. Tg14∆Ebox contains the more restricted 1.2-kb CNS enhancer on the heterologous hsp68 promoter lacZ reporter (Verma-Kurvari et al., 1998) with the four E boxes mutated (CAGTTG \rightarrow TCTAGA, CAGCTG \rightarrow CCGCGG, CATGTG \rightarrow CTGCAG, and CAGGTG \rightarrow TGTTCA). Mutations were generated stepwise by sequential PCR, and the full-length product was cloned into hsp68lacZpA (Kothary et al., 1989). All constructs were sequenced to confirm mutations, and fragments for injection were separated from vector sequences and prepared as described previously (Verma-Kurvari et al., 1996).

Mash1/lacZ transgenes



FIG. 1. *Mash1/lacZ* transgenes used to test *cis*-regulatory elements. Transgenes J1A, Tg1, Tg5, Tg5 Δ *Hes*, and Tg5 Δ *hairy* utilize the basal promoter elements and polyadenylation signal from *Mash1*, including the 5' and 3' UTRs, to drive *lacZ* expression. *Mash1* sequence is shown as black lines. J1A (~36 kb total) contains 13 kb 5' and 23 kb 3' *Mash1* flanking sequence driving *lacZ* expression. Tg1 (~14 kb total) contains 11 kb 5' of *lacZ* and 2.6 kb 3', which includes *Mash1* 3' UTR and an intron. Tg5 (~7 kb total) contains a 3.3-kb fragment found 6 kb upstream of the coding region fused to a 0.9-kb fragment containing the *Mash1* basal promoter and 5' UTR, plus the 2.6-kb 3' flanking sequence. Tg5 Δ *Hes* and Tg5 Δ *hairy* contain the same sequences as Tg5 but with HES consensus sites mutated, denoted by arrows. Tg14 is the 1.2-kb *Mash1* CNS enhancer found 7.2 kb upstream of the coding region fused to *hsp68lacZpA* (*hsp68* basal transcription elements and an SV40 polyadenylation signal cassette) (Kothary *et al.*, 1989). Tg14 Δ Ebox contains the same sequences as Tg14 but with four mutated E boxes, denoted by arrows. The bent arrows indicate the transcription start. Relevant restriction sites are shown: H, *Hpa*I; P, *Pst*I; S, *Sac*I; SP, *Sph*I; X, *Xba*I.

Analysis of Transgenic Mice

Transgenic embryos (Tg5 Δ Hes, Tg5 Δ hairy, and Tg14 Δ Ebox) were generated as described previously (Verma-Kurvari et al., 1996) and were analyzed as founder embryos at E11.5. Previously characterized J1A, Tg1, Tg5, and Tg14 (Verma-Kurvari et al., 1996) transgenic lines were crossed with Mash1 -/+ mice (Guillemot et al., 1993). Heterozygous intercrosses of Mash1 +/-;Mash1/lacZ mice were used to obtain Mash1 homozygous, heterozygous, and wild-type embryos expressing Mash1/lacZ transgenes. Southern blot analysis was used to determine genotypes of BamHI-digested tail or yolk sac DNA. The *lacZ* probe, a 2.5-kb *Pvu*II fragment from pnlacZF, hybridizes to a 3.1-kb fragment in the Mash1/lacZ transgene. The neo probe, a 0.6-kb XbaI/PstI fragment from pGKneo, hybridizes to a 3.9-kb band from the Mash1 mutant allele. The Mash1 probe, a 0.6-kb Sacl/BamHI fragment from pM1B3, hybridizes to a 3.1-kb band of wild-type Mash1. For β-galactosidase (βgal) staining, embryos were dissected from the uterus in cold PBS at embryonic day 11.5 (E11.5) and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (pH 7.2) for 30 min at room temperature. βgal staining was done at 35°C for 12-16 h in 1 mg/ml 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-Dgalactopyranoside (X-gal), 5 mM K₃Fe(CN)₆, 5 mM K₄Fe(CN)₆, 5 mM MgCl₂ in PBS. Whole-mount embryos were cleared for imaging by dehydration in a series of 12-h incubations in PBS, 70% ethanol, 95% ethanol, and 100% ethanol, followed by 1 h in xylene and 30 min in methyl salicylate. Other whole-mount stained embryos were embedded in 3.5% agar/8% sucrose and Vibratome sectioned at 200 μ m.

In Situ Hybridization

Mash1 heterozygous crosses were used to obtain Mash1 homozygous, heterozygous, and wild-type embryos. E11.5 embryos were harvested in RNase-free solutions and fixed 12 h in 4% paraformaldehyde at 4°C on a rotating platform. Embryos were rinsed in cold PBS and sunk in 30% sucrose in PBS overnight at 4°C, embedded in OCT mounting medium (Tissue Tek), and frozen by gradual immersion in liquid N₂. Cryoprotected embryos were sectioned at 30 μ m, and *in situ* hybridization was performed using digoxigenin-labeled probes as described previously (Birren *et al.*, 1993). The antisense *Mash1* and *neo* riboprobes were synthesized from plasmids Nj1-19, containing the rat *Mash1* coding region plus 300 bp of 5′ UTR, and pXP*neo*, containing 630 bp of the *neomycin* coding region. An α -digoxigenin alkaline phosphatase-coupled antibody was used to visualize staining. *Neo in situs* were performed on heterozygous and homozygous mutant sections in parallel, ensuring reaction time and conditions were equal.

RESULTS

Mash1 Negatively Autoregulates through 36 kb of Sequence Flanking the Coding Region

Recently it was shown that transcription from the *Mash1* locus is elevated in MASH1-deficient mice, demonstrating a role for MASH1 in inhibiting its own expression (Horton *et al.*, 1999). In this paper, we address the mechanism of *Mash1* autoregulation by investigating the *cis*-acting sequences required for this phenomenon. A transgenic mouse line containing 36 kb of *Mash1* regulatory sequence directs *lacZ* expression specifically to *Mash1* expression domains in the CNS and PNS (Verma-Kurvari *et al.*, 1996). To determine if sequences responding to the negative autoregulation are within this 36 kb, we compared the activity



FIG. 2. Upregulation of the J1A *Mash1/lacZ* transgene in the *Mash1* mutant background. Embryos were whole mount stained for β gal activity at E11.5, ensuring equal conditions and staining time. Two independent *Mash1/lacZ* lines containing the J1A transgene (Fig. 1) J1A-59 (A–C) and J1A-20 (D–F) are shown in the *Mash1* wild-type (A, D), heterozygous (B, E), and homozygous (C, F) backgrounds. In both lines, J1A transgene expression is dramatically upregulated in the absence of *MASH1* function in domains normally expressing *Mash1*. di, diencephalon; mes, mesencephalon; my, myelencephalon; nt, neural tube; tel, telencephalon. Scale bar, 0.9 mm.

of the transgene in the Mash1 mutant and wild-type backgrounds. Mice carrying both the Mash1/lacZ transgene (Fig. 1, J1A) and the Mash1 mutant allele were intercrossed to generate Mash1 null and wild-type embryos that express *lacZ* under the control of *Mash1* regulatory sequence. Embryos from these crosses were stained for β gal activity at E11.5, a time of high level Mash1 expression in multiple regions of the CNS and PNS. Consistent with the observation of negative autoregulation at the endogenous Mash1 locus, a dramatic increase in Mash1/lacZ transgene expression was observed in the Mash1 mutant background compared to heterozygous or wild-type littermates (Fig. 2). Three independently derived transgenic mouse lines carrying the 36-kb Mash1/lacZ transgene were analyzed in the Mash1 mutant background (Fig. 2, J1A-20, J1A-59, and data not shown). In all cases, transgene expression was dramatically increased in the absence of MASH1 function relative to transgene expression the in wild-type background (compare Figs. 2A and 2D with 2C and 2F). As in the wild-type background, the staining in the mutant is still restricted to regions within the spinal neural tube, myelencephalon, mesencephalon, diencephalon, and telencephalon, corresponding to endogenous Mash1 expression domains (Verma-Kurvari et al., 1996).

The increase in transcription from the Mash1/lacZ trans-

gene seen in the mutant background revealed expression in regions of endogenous Mash1 expression that had not been previously detected with the J1A transgene. These regions include the olfactory epithelium, the ventricular zone of the ventral telencephalon, and the caudal neural tube. Vibratome sections of whole-mount stained embryos more clearly illustrate the differential detection of expression in these domains (Fig. 3). Though endogenous Mash1 is expressed in neural precursors of the olfactory epithelium (OE), expression of β gal in this area in J1A transgenic mice is not detected in embryos with functional MASH1 (Verma-Kurvari et al., 1996). Parasagittal sections of Mash1 mutant embryos reveal J1A transgene expression in the OE (Fig. 3B, arrow). Although olfactory neurons do not develop in the Mash1 mutant (Guillemot *et al.*, 1993), the β gal expression detected in the OE at E11.5 shown here likely reflects transcriptional activity of the locus before these cells die. Consistent with this interpretation, it has been shown that Mash1-dependent olfactory progenitors do not die in the mutant until E12.5 (Cau et al., 1997). In contrast, expression of the transgene in the developing sympathetic neurons is absent at E11.5 in the Mash1 mutant, likely reflecting a difference in timing of formation of the olfactory versus sympathetic systems (compare Figs. 3A and 3B, arrowheads). One conclusion from these data is that the



FIG. 3. Increase in *neo* expression at the *Mash1* locus and *lacZ* expression from the J1A *Mash1/lacZ* transgene in the forebrain and spinal neural tube of *Mash1* mutant embryos. J1A-59 littermates of different *Mash1* genotypes were whole-mount stained for β gal activity at E11.5 and Vibratome sectioned at 200 μ m thickness (A, B, F-H, L–N). Other E11.5 *Mash1* wild-type, heterozygous, or mutant embryos were cryosectioned at 30 μ m thickness and hybridized with *Mash1* (C, I) or *neo* (D, E, J, K) riboprobes. Parasagittal sections reveal olfactory expression in the *Mash1* mutant (B) but not wild-type (A) background (arrows). Arrowheads indicate expression in the sympathetic precursors of wild-type (A) embryos that is absent in mutants (B). Transverse sections through

36-kb *Mash1* flanking sequence contains regulatory information for expression in olfactory epithelium, a fact not previously realized. The upregulation of transgene expression in the mutant background has allowed detection of activity from elements that are too weak to drive expression under normal conditions.

In the CNS, Mash1 is expressed at high levels in the ventricular (VZ) and subventricular (SVZ) zones of the ventral telencephalon (Fig. 3C). Expression of the Mash1/ *lacZ* transgenes was previously not detected in the VZ of the ventral telencephalon even though the adjacent SVZ staining respected the precise dorsoventral borders of the endogenous expression pattern (Verma-Kurvari et al., 1996) (compare Figs. 3C and 3F). Transverse sections through forebrain regions of J1A transgenic embryos demonstrate that in the absence of MASH1, transgene expression is increased and is now detected in the VZ (Figs. 3F-3H). The increased activity of these regulatory sequences mimics the increase in transcriptional activity seen from the Mash1 locus in the Mash1 mutant background. Examination of neo expression from the mutant allele (Figs. 3D and 3E) demonstrates the increase in expression from the endogenous locus. This upregulation of both the endogenous locus and the J1A transgene is also clearly visualized in transverse sections through spinal neural tube (Figs. 3I-3N). In the wild-type background, the J1A transgene expression reflects the dorsal and ventral borders of endogenous Mash1 expression but is not detectable throughout the whole dorsal domain (compare Figs. 3I and 3L). However, in the mutant embryos, there is markedly higher β gal activity throughout the appropriate region (Fig. 3N). Again, in the heterozygote, neo is expressed appropriately throughout the proper domain (Fig. 3J), and this expression is dramatically induced in the absence of MASH1 function (Fig. 3K). In the spinal neural tube, increased transgene expression was sometimes seen in the heterozygous background (Fig. 3M). Because this increase was not consistently seen in the heterozygous embryos, and it was not detected in the VZ of the ventral telencephalon, we cannot make a case for a heterozygous phenotype. It is clear from the data presented above that

the ventral forebrain (F–H) or the spinal neural tube (L–N) show *Mash1/lacZ* expression in the same pattern as endogenous *Mash1* in all genetic backgrounds (C, I), but it is significantly increased in the mutant. J1A transgene activity in the mutant is observed in previously undetectable domains such as the ventricular zone of the ganglionic eminences of the ventral telencephalon (asterisks in A and B and F–H) and the full extent of the dorsal spinal neural tube (L–N). *neo* expression from the neomycin cassette recombined into the endogenous *Mash1* locus is dramatically increased in *Mash1* mutant embryos in the ventral telencephalon (D and E) and spinal neural tube (J and K). The equal reaction times of *neo*-hybridized sections allow direct comparison of the expression levels of mutant (E, K) versus heterozygous (D, J) sections. Scale bar: (A and B) 1.4 mm, (C–H) 475 μ m, (I–N) 250 μ m.



FIG. 4. *Mash1/lacZ* lines with as little as 1.2 kb of *Mash1* regulatory sequence respond to autoregulation. Tg1 (A–D), Tg5 (E–H), and Tg14 (I–L) transgenic embryos were assayed for β gal activity at E11.5 in the presence or absence of MASH1 function (A, B, E, F, I, and J). Vibratome sections (200 μ m) through the forebrain of whole-mount stained embryos in the plane indicated in (B) are shown in (C, D, G, H, K, and L). For each transgene, expression in the mutant background is elevated compared to wild-type littermates. The whole-mount embryo assay illustrates the detection of spinal neural tube expression in more caudal regions of mutant versus wild-type embryos (arrowheads). Vibratome sections through the forebrain reveal upregulated expression in the ventricular zone of the ganglionic eminences (arrows, C, D, G, and H) and diencephalon (arrows, K and L). Scale bar is 1.2 mm for whole-mount embryos and 700 μ m for Vibratome sections.

cis-acting sequences responding to the negative autoregulatory mechanisms are contained within the 36-kb sequence present in the J1A transgene. The increase in transcriptional activity observed at both the endogenous locus and the *Mash1/lacZ* transgene occurs in all domains of *Mash1* expression at E11.5, suggesting that the sequences mediating autoregulation seem to influence all elements currently known to direct *Mash1* expression at this stage.

cis-Acting Regulatory Elements Controlling Autoregulation Are Contained within the 1.2-kb Mash1 CNS Enhancer

To localize the important elements for autoregulation within the 36-kb MASH1 flanking sequence, transgenic lines carrying smaller regions of *Mash1* sequence were tested. Transgenes Tg1, Tg5, and Tg14 contain progressively less *Mash1* regulatory sequence, the smallest sequence being the 1.2-kb CNS enhancer in Tg14 (Fig. 1).

Stable transgenic lines containing these different Mash1/ *lacZ* transgenes were crossed into the *Mash1* mutant background, and E11.5 embryos were assayed for transgene expression (Fig. 4). Although these lines have weaker expression in the wild-type background than the J1A lines, transgene expression in the absence of MASH1 function phenocopies the results observed with J1A transgenes. In each case, there is an obvious upregulation of transgene expression in the mutant background in all expression domains (Fig. 4). Tg1 and Tg5, which contain 13.6 and 6.8 kb of Mash1 sequence, respectively, are barely detectable in the ventral telencephalon and this expression is restricted to the SVZ. However, as was seen with J1A, the expression of both transgenes dramatically increases in the SVZ and expands appropriately into the VZ in mutant embryos (Figs. 4C, 4D, 4G, and 4H, arrows). Tg14, which contains the 1.2-kb Mash1 CNS enhancer on the hsp68lacZpA reporter, is not detected in the ventral telencephalon of wild-type or mutant embryos (Figs. 4I and 4J). Expression in the diencephalon, however, is clearly increased in the mutant (Figs. 4K and 4L, arrows). The spinal neural tube expression of all three transgenes is also dramatically increased and is detected more caudally than the wild type (Fig. 4, arrowheads). These data demonstrate that at least some aspects of negative autoregulation are being mediated through sequence within the 1.2-kb CNS enhancer.

Mash1 Does Not Directly Autoregulate through E Boxes in the CNS Enhancer

Basic HLH transcription factors bind class A E-box sites (CANNTG), and many of these consensus sites are present throughout Mash1 regulatory sequence. It is possible that Mash1 could directly regulate its own transcription. Direct positive autoregulation has been demonstrated for achaete and scute proteins, but proneural bHLH proteins have not been shown to repress transcription. However, recent evidence suggests that other class A bHLH proteins may be bifunctional. MyoD can repress transcription of the cyclin B1 promoter, and this repression is dependent upon the expression of MyoD and presence of a pair of MyoD binding sites within the promoter (Chu et al., 1997). To test the involvement of Mash1 or other bHLH proteins in negative autoregulation, either directly by binding DNA or indirectly by preventing E-box-mediated activation, the four E boxes contained in the CNS enhancer (Tg14) were mutated (Tg14 Δ Ebox). Mutation of *cis*-acting sites that mediate negative autoregulation would result in an upregulation of transgene expression in the wild-type background. Tg14 Δ Ebox embryos do not express *lacZ* at significantly higher levels than the intact CNS enhancer (Table 1), arguing against the involvement of these sites, and thus Mash1 directly, in autoregulation. Interestingly, mutation of these sites had little, if any, detrimental effect on CNS enhancer activity, suggesting that these E boxes are also not essential for any positive regulation of Mash1.

Mutation of Conserved HES Consensus Sites Does Not Alter Mash1/lacZ Expression

Hairy and the related Enhancer of Split [E(spl)] bHLH proteins in Drosophila can directly bind and repress achaete transcription (Ohsako et al., 1994; van Doren et al., 1994). E(spl) transcription factors are the downstream mediators of Notch signaling in Drosophila in sensory bristle formation (Heitzler et al., 1996), and mutations in either *hairy* or E(spl) proteins lead to ectopic sensory bristles. In mouse, the Hes family has been identified by homology to the hairy/E(spl) genes (Akazawa et al., 1992; Ishibashi et al., 1993; Sasai et al., 1992; Takebayashi et al., 1995), and the HES proteins make attractive candidates for mediating the negative autoregulation of Mash1. Evidence of a functional conservation of HES regulation of neural bHLH expression in mouse was suggested by a Hes-1 knockout (Ishibashi et al., 1995). Mutant embryos displayed a disruption in neural differentiation, including upregulation and premature ex-

TABLE 1

E-Box and HES Sites Are Not Required for *Mash1* Negative Autoregulation

| Mash1/lacZ constructs | No. TgM | CNS | High levels |
|--------------------------|---------|-----|-------------|
| Tg5 | 6 | 4 | 0 |
| $Tg5\Delta hes$ | 7 | 2 | 0 |
| Tg5∆hairy | 16 | 8 | 1 |
| Tg14 | 6 | 5 | 1 |
| Tg14∆Ebox | 8 | 3 | 0 |

Note. Transgenes diagrammed in Fig. 1 were injected into mouse one-cell eggs to generate transgenic embryos. Founder embryos were harvested at E11.5 and assayed in whole mounts for β gal activity. Typical expression levels for the wild-type transgenes Tg5 and Tg14 are depicted in Figs. 4E and 4I. For mutant transgenes, *lacZ* levels were compared to those of the parent transgene. If a *cis*-acting site important for *Mash1* autoregulation were mutated, an upregulation of transgene expression in the wild-type background would be expected. No significant effect on transgene expression was detected from any of the mutant constructs. No. TgM, number of transgenic embryos assayed; CNS, number of embryos expressing *lacZ* in the *Mash1* CNS pattern; High levels, number of embryos exhibiting higher than normal levels of β gal activity.

pression of *Mash1* in a subset of its endogenous pattern. In cell transfection assays, *Hes-1* has also been shown to antagonize *Mash1*-dependent transcriptional activation at E-box sites (Sasai *et al.*, 1992). Additionally, expression of *Hes-1* in human small cell lung carcinoma lines resulted in a downregulation of endogenous *Hash1* expression (human homolog of *Mash1*), and this was dependent on its direct binding of a class C *hairy/E(spl)* site in the *Hash1* proximal repressor domain (Chen *et al.*, 1997).

Drosophila hairy has a preferred binding site (CACGCG) that differs from the class A E-box sites recognized by activator bHLH proteins (van Doren et al., 1994). Other bHLH proteins of the *E(spl)* family, including the mammalian Hes homologs, can bind to class B and C noncanonical variant sites, including the N-box (CACNAG), and repress transcription directly (Akazawa et al., 1992; Fisher and Caudy, 1998; Ishibashi et al., 1993; Sasai et al., 1992; Takebayashi et al., 1994). Mash1 genomic sequence in transgenes J1A, Tg1, and Tg5 contains multiple HES consensus binding sites. To test the hypothesis that direct repression by HES factors is important in negative autoregulation, four sites within the context of Tg5 were mutated. These sites were chosen because of their positions in a region of high conservation located just 5' of the coding region between mouse and human sequences. One of these sites was reported to be important in HES-mediated repression of Hash1 in small lung cell carcinoma cells (Chen et al., 1997). Since the sites were clustered in two locations, two sites each were mutated in two Mash1/lacZ constructs (Fig. 1, arrows). Tg5 Δ Hes mutates a hairy preferred site

(CACGCG) and the adjacent class C *Hes1* site (CACGCA) found immediately 5' of the transcription start site. Tg5 Δ *hairy* mutates tandem *hairy* sites in the 5' UTR. Deletion of sites involved in negative regulation of *Mash1* should result in higher levels of β gal activity in the *Mash1* domain in transgenic embryos. *Mash1/lacZ* expression in embryos containing either Tg5 Δ *Hes* or Tg5 Δ *hairy* did not demonstrate significantly higher β gal levels than the original Tg5 (Table 1). We conclude that these *cis*-acting HES consensus sites are not essential for the negative regulation of *Mash1* in transgenic mice.

DISCUSSION

In contrast to the positive autoregulatory loops described for AS-C, ATONAL, MATH1, and nonneural bHLH proteins such as MyoD, MASH1 represses its own expression. This repression does not require bHLH or HES binding sites, suggesting that direct repression by MASH1 or HES factors is unlikely. Thus, regulatory mechanisms not currently understood underlie the negative feedback. HES proteins are the most likely candidates for mediating NOTCH signaling. A reasonable model is one in which MASH1 induces HES proteins, which act to repress the activity of upstream factors whose normal function is to activate or maintain Mash1 expression. The CNS enhancer contains many elements necessary for Mash1 expression, and it is likely that surrounding sequence serves to further modulate the activity of these core elements. While our data clearly demonstrate that elements responding to MASH1 autoregulation are contained within the 1.2-kb CNS enhancer, this does not preclude the possibility that surrounding sequence also mediates autoregulation. The observation of an upregulation of lacZ in all areas of expression for each construct tested supports this hypothesis.

Autoregulation and bHLH Transcription Factors

Many bHLH transcription factors have been shown to positively regulate their own expression. The autoregulation of MyoD and related proteins (myogenin, Myf5, and MRF4) is thought to be important for maintaining stable expression in myoblasts until appropriately signaled to terminally differentiate. Studies in transfected cells demonstrated that members of the MyoD family can auto- and cross-regulate the others' expression (Braun et al., 1989; Thayer et al., 1989). Direct autoregulation of MyoD seems to be mediated by E boxes in proximal promoter sequences (Zingg et al., 1994). However, distinct MyoD and myogenin autoregulatory responsive enhancers that contain E boxes have been identified, but these sites are dispensable for autoregulation (Dechesne et al., 1994; Edmondson et al., 1992). Despite the identification of autoregulatory responsive elements in cell culture studies, in transgenic mice, the expression patterns of a MyoD/lacZ transgene with deleted

E boxes or a *myogenin/lacZ* transgene examined in the *myogenin* mutant background were unperturbed (Cheng *et al.*, 1995; Goldhamer *et al.*, 1995). These data demonstrate that a proportion of the positive autoregulation seen for these myogenic bHLH factors is through indirect mechanisms.

The regulation of neural specific bHLH genes has not been as extensively characterized as their myogenic relatives, possibly due to the lack of model culture systems. Cross-regulation of AS-C genes has been demonstrated, and cis-acting elements mediating these interactions have been identified at multiple sites in AS-C regulatory sequence (Culi and Modolell, 1998: Gomez-Skarmeta et al., 1995: Martinez and Modolell, 1991; Martinez et al., 1993; Skeath et al., 1992; van Doren et al., 1992). The relevance of cross-activation in cluster-specific achaete or scute expression is unclear (Modolell, 1997). However, autoregulation is thought to play a critical role in the normal expression profile of the proneural genes in a fashion mechanistically similar to that of the myogenic bHLHs. In Drosophila, although prepattern factors likely set up the initial expression of the AS-C genes in a proneural cluster, positive autoregulation is thought to be necessary for singling out one of these cells to become the neural precursor (Ruiz-Gomez and Ghysen, 1993). For achaete, direct positive transcriptional autoregulation has been demonstrated and is dependent in the transgenic fly assay upon E boxes in the achaete promoter (Martinez et al., 1993; van Doren et al., 1992). Additionally, positive autoregulation has also been shown for *scute* and the related proneural gene *atonal* by identification of enhancers whose expression is dependent upon presence of the respective proteins in flies (Culi and Modolell, 1998; Sun et al., 1998). The enhancer/promoter fragments that autoregulate via E boxes constitute a substantial component of the endogenous expression patterns, indicating that autoregulation is an important part of the basic expression profile of these bHLH proteins.

In mouse, little is known about the mechanisms regulating expression of the neural bHLH factors. Recently, however, a direct role for MATH1 in positively regulating its expression has been demonstrated (Helms et al., 2000). An E box required for enhancer activity was identified and this E-box site is bound by MATH1/E12 heterodimers in vitro. In addition, the expression of the *Math1/lacZ* transgene is lost in embryos null for MATH1. In contrast, these same types of in vivo experiments with Mash1 reveal negative rather than positive autoregulation. In addition, the 1.2-kb CNS enhancer that was previously reported for Mash1 (Verma-Kurvari et al., 1998) does not require the four E-box sites found in its sequence. These experiments detect no direct or indirect positive autoregulatory mechanism controlling Mash1 expression. The identification of only negative autoregulation of Mash1 may represent a divergence of function from its Drosophila homologs. Unlike the role of the proneural genes of the *achaete-scute* complex, which involves singling out a neuron from a cluster of competent cells, the role of MASH1 may be to coordinate events

during neuronal differentiation (Casarosa *et al.*, 1999; Cau *et al.*, 1997; Horton *et al.*, 1999; Sommer *et al.*, 1995; Torii *et al.*, 1999). However, the difference between autoregulation of MASH1 and MATH1 and the *Drosophila* proneural proteins indicates that each bHLH factor may have an individually characteristic capacity for self-regulation, and this may be important for the unique developmental processes of each region that expresses a particular bHLH.

What Is the Mechanism of Negative Autoregulation of Mash1?

The loss of negative autoregulation in the Mash1 mutant background is observed as an increase in *lacZ* expression from reporter transgenes and, at the endogenous locus, as an increase in *neo* expression. The latter appears to be due to cells expressing higher levels of neo, as well as more cells with detectable levels of neo (Horton et al., 1999). This phenotype is reminiscent of a loss of lateral inhibitory signaling mediated by the Notch pathway. The involvement of the proneural genes in a Notch/Delta-mediated lateral inhibition pathway in Drosophila neural precursor specification has been well studied. Homologs of Notch. Delta, hairy/E(spl), Su(H), and the proneural genes have been postulated to play a similar role in vertebrate neurogenesis. Mash1 levels are increased in Notch1, RBPJ-κ, and Hes-1 mutants (de la Pompa et al., 1997; Ishibashi et al., 1995), indicating that lateral inhibition probably functions to restrict Mash1 expression in the developing CNS. Expression of Mash1 in these mutants was more uniform compared to its normal patchy expression in the wild-type CNS, consistent with a loss in lateral inhibition regulation of Mash1 expression (de la Pompa et al., 1997). Additionally, the potential downstream effectors of Mash1 function in lateral inhibition, Delta1 (Dll1), Delta3 (Dll3), and Hes-5. are reduced or missing in the CNS of Mash1 mutant embryos (Casarosa et al., 1999). It is likely that the observed negative autoregulation of Mash1 is a non-cell-autonomous downregulation of expression at the Mash1 locus in neighboring cells.

To investigate a mechanism of negative autoregulation by the Notch pathway, specific cis-acting sites were mutated and assayed for upregulation of lacZ in transgenic embryos, a phenotype that would indicate the loss of an important negative element. We investigated a direct role for HES factors, proteins which likely mediate Notch/Delta lateral inhibition (de la Pompa et al., 1997; Jarriault et al., 1995, 1998), in regulating Mash1/lacZ transgene expression. Mutation of HES consensus binding sites had no effect on transgene expression. It is possible that other HES sites contained in the 36-kb Mash1 flanking sequence may be responsible for some aspect of Mash1 negative autoregulation although no data currently available suggest the importance of one site over others. However, since the 1.2-kb CNS enhancer with no recognizable HES consensus binding sites mediates autoregulation, it is possible that if HES proteins are involved, it is not through direct binding of DNA or through binding DNA at a previously characterized site.

This negative result is similar to observations from scute/lacZ studies using a sensory mother cell-specific enhancer that suggest that *E(spl)* regulation of *scute* may not be as simple as direct repression (Culi and Modolell, 1998). While *E(spl)* proteins mediate *Notch*-dependent repression of AS-C, and *E(spl)*-m8 binds the N box in the SMC enhancer (along with another nonconsensus binding site), mutation of these sites did not release the enhancer from lateral inhibition. Instead, an NF-KB site was sufficient to restrict expression to one cell of a proneural cluster. It was proposed that *E(spl)* family members may interact with an unidentified protein that binds the NF-kB site to mediate Notch signaling. NF-KB family members have been shown to associate with Groucho/TLE proteins, which themselves functionally associate with Hairy/E(spl) family members (Fisher and Caudy, 1998). These associations suggest that a mechanism of HES-dependent indirect autoregulation is possible through unidentified sites in the Mash1 CNS enhancer. Recently, additional HES family members have been identified and are expressed in the developing nervous system, but their functional properties have not been characterized (Kokubo et al., 1999; Leimeister et al., 1999). Experiments to identify trans factors that bind to these sequences and link NOTCH signaling to Mash1 regulation are currently being pursued. In vertebrate CNS development, the Notch pathway has been postulated to control the timing and relative proportion of proliferating and differentiating cells (Lewis, 1996). The functional significance of a non-cell-autonomous autoregulation may be to coordinate the timing of Mash1-dependent aspects of differentiation during this transition by preventing simultaneous expression of Mash1 in precursors competent to differentiate.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Francois Guillemot for the *Mash1* mutant mice, Andrew Abney for technical assistance with transgenic mice, Dr. Sunita Verma-Kurvari for *Mash1 in situs*, and Drs. Carole Mendelson, Andrew Simmons, and Lei Lei for critical comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by a predoctoral NIH training grant (T32GM082303) to A.M. and RO1NS32817 to J.E.J.

REFERENCES

- Akazawa, C., Sasai, Y., Nakanishi, S., and Kageyama, R. (1992). Molecular characterization of a rat negative regulator with basic-helix-loop-helix structure predominantly expressed in the developing nervous system. J. Biol. Chem. 267, 21879–21885.
- Arnold, H. H., and Winter, B. (1998). Muscle differentiation: More complexity to the network of myogenic regulators. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 8, 539–544.
- Artavanis-Tsakonas, S., Rand, M. D., and Lake, R. J. (1999). Notch signaling: Cell fate control and signal integration in development. *Science* 284, 770–776.

- Ben-Arie, N., Bellen, H. J., Armstrong, D. L., McCall, A. E., Gordadze, P. R., Guo, Q., Matzuk, M. M., and Zoghbi, H. Y. (1997). *Math1* is essential for genesis of cerebellar granule neurons. *Nature* **390**, 169–172.
- Bermingham, N. A., Hassan, B. A., Price, S. D., Vollrath, M. A., Ben-Arie, M., Eatock, R. A., Bellen, H. J., Lysakowski, A., and Zoghbi, H. Y. (1999). Math1: An essential gene for the generation of inner ear hair cells. *Science* 284, 1837–1841.
- Birren, S. J., Lo, L., and Anderson, D. J. (1993). Sympathetic neuroblasts undergo a developmental switch in trophic dependence. *Development* 119, 597–610.
- Borges, M. W., Linnoila, R. I., van de Velde, H. J., Chen, H., Nelkin, B. D., Mabry, M., Baylin, S. B., and Ball, D. W. (1997). An achaete-scute homologue essential for neuroendocrine differentiation in the lung. *Nature* 386, 852–855.
- Braun, T., Bober, E., Buschhausen-Denker, G., Kohtz, S., Grzeschik, K. H., and Arnold, H. H. (1989). Differential expression of myogenic determination genes in muscle cells: Possible autoactivation by the Myf gene products. *EMBO J.* 8, 3617–3625. [Published erratum appears in *EMBO J.*, 1989, 8, 4358]
- Casarosa, S., Fode, C., and Guillemot, F. (1999). *Mash1* regulates neurogenesis in the ventral telencephalon. *Development* **126**, 525–534.
- Cau, E., Gradwohl, G., Fode, C., and Guillemot, F. (1997). Mash1 activates a cascade of bHLH regulators in olfactory neuron progenitors. Development 124, 1611–1621.
- Chen, H., Thiagalingam, A., Chopra, H., Borges, M. W., Feder, J. N., Nelkin, B. D., Baylin, S. B., and Ball, D. W. (1997). Conservation of the Drosophila lateral inhibition pathway in human lung cancer: A hairy-related protein (HES-1) directly represses achaete-scute homolog-1 expression. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 94, 5355–5360.
- Cheng, T. C., Tseng, B. S., Merlie, J. P., Klein, W. H., and Olson, E. N. (1995). Activation of the myogenin promoter during mouse embryogenesis in the absence of positive autoregulation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **92**, 561–565.
- Chu, C., Cogswell, J., and Kohtz, D. S. (1997). MyoD functions as a transcriptional repressor in proliferating myoblasts. J. Biol. Chem. 272, 3145–3148.
- Cubadda, Y., Heitzler, P., Ray, R. P., Bourouis, M., Ramain, P., Gelbart, W., Simpson, P., and Haenlin, M. (1997). *u-shaped* encodes a zinc finger protein that regulates the proneural genes *achaete* and *scute* during the formation of bristles in *Drosophila*. *Genes Dev.* **11**, 3083–3095.
- Culi, J., and Modolell, J. (1998). Proneural gene self-stimulation in neural precursors: An essential mechanism for sense organ development that is regulated by Notch signaling. *Genes Dev.* 12, 2036–2047.
- de la Pompa, J. L., Wakeham, A., Correia, K. M., Samper, E., Brown, S., Aguilera, R. J., Nakano, T., Honjo, T., Mak, T. W., Rossant, J., and Conlon, R. A. (1997). Conservation of the Notch signalling pathway in mammalian neurogenesis. *Development* 124, 1139– 1148.
- Dechesne, C. A., Wei, Q., Eldridge, J., Gannoun-Zaki, L., Millasseau, P., Bougueleret, L., Caterina, D., and Paterson, B. M. (1994).
 E-box- and MEF-2-independent muscle-specific expression, positive autoregulation, and cross-activation of the chicken MyoD (CMD1) promoter reveal an indirect regulatory pathway. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 14, 5474–5486.
- Edmondson, D. G., Cheng, T. C., Cserjesi, P., Chakraborty, T., and Olson, E. N. (1992). Analysis of the myogenin promoter reveals an indirect pathway for positive autoregulation mediated by the

muscle-specific enhancer factor MEF-2. Mol. Cell. Biol. 12, 3665–3677.

- Fisher, A., and Caudy, M. (1998). The function of hairy-related bHLH repressor proteins in cell fate decisions. *BioEssays* 20, 298–306.
- Fode, C., Gradwohl, G., Morin, X., Dierich, A., LeMeur, M., Goridis, C., and Guillemot, F. (1998). The bHLH protein NEU-ROGENIN2 is a determination factor for epibranchial placodederived sensory neurons. *Neuron* **120**, 483–494.
- Goldhamer, D. J., Brunk, B. P., Faerman, A., King, A., Shani, M., and Emerson, C. P., Jr. (1995). Embryonic activation of the myoD gene is regulated by a highly conserved distal control element. *Development* **121**, 637–649.
- Gomez-Skarmeta, J. L., del Corral, R. D., de la Calle-Mustienes, E., Ferre-Marco, D., and Modolell, J. (1996). Araucan and caupolican, two members of the novel iroquois complex, encode homeoproteins that control proneural and vein-forming genes. *Cell* **85**, 95–105.
- Gomez-Skarmeta, J. L., Rodriguez, I., Martinez, C., Culi, J., Ferres-Marco, D., Beamonte, D., and Modolell, J. (1995). Cis-regulation of achaete and scute: Shared enhancer-like elements drive their co-expression in proneural clusters of the imaginal discs. *Genes Dev.* 9, 1869–1882.
- Guillemot, F., Lo, L. C., Johnson, J. E., Auerbach, A., Anderson, D. J., and Joyner, A. L. (1993). Mammalian achaete-scute homolog 1 is required for the early development of olfactory and autonomic neurons. *Cell* **75**, 463–476.
- Hassan, B., and Vaessin, H. (1996). Regulatory interactions during early neurogenesis in Drosophila. *Dev. Genet.* **18**, 18–27.
- Heitzler, P., Bourouis, M., Ruel, L., Carteret, C., and Simpson, P. (1996). Genes of the Enhancer of split and achaete-scute complexes are required for a regulatory loop between Notch and Delta during lateral signalling in Drosophila. *Development* 122, 161–171.
- Helms, A. W., Abney, A., Ben-Arie, N., Zoghbi, H. Y., and Johnson, J. E. (2000). Autoregulation and multiple enhancers control *Math1* expression in the developing nervous system. *Development* 127, 1185–1196.
- Hirsch, M. R., Tiveron, M. C., Guillemot, F., Brunet, J. F., and Goridis, C. (1998). Control of noradrenergic differentiation and Phox2a expression by MASH1 in the central and peripheral nervous system. *Development* **125**, 599–608.
- Horton, S., Meredith, A., Richardson, J. A., and Johnson, J. E. (1999). Correct coordination of neuronal differentiation events in ventral forebrain requires the bHLH factor MASH1. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* 14, 355–369.
- Ishibashi, M., Ang, S.-L., Shiota, K., Nakanishi, S., Kageyama, R., and Guillemot, F. (1995). Targeted disruption of mammalian *hairy* and *Enhancer of split* homolog-1 (*HES-1*) leads to upregulation of neural helix-loop-helix factors, premature neurogenesis, and severe neural tube defects. *Genes Dev.* 9, 3136– 3148.
- Ishibashi, M., Sasai, Y., Nakanishi, S., and Kageyama, R. (1993). Molecular characterization of HES-2, a mammalian helix-loophelix factor structurally related to Drosophila hairy and Enhancer of split. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 215, 645–652.
- Jan, L., and Jan, Y. N. (1993). HLH proteins, fly neurogenesis, and vertebrate myogenesis. *Cell* 75, 827–830.
- Jarriault, S., Brou, C., Logeat, F., Schroeter, E. H., Kopan, R., and Israel, A. (1995). Signalling downstream of activated mammalian Notch [see comments]. *Nature* 377, 355–358.

- Jarriault, S., Le Bail, O., Hirsinger, E., Pourquie, O., Logeat, F., Strong, C. F., Brou, C., Seidah, N. G., and Israel, A. (1998). Delta-1 activation of notch-1 signaling results in HES-1 transactivation. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 18, 7423–7431.
- Kageyama, R., Sasai, Y., Akazawa, C., Ishibashi, M., Takebayashi, K., Shimizu, C., Tomita, K., and Nakanishi, S. (1995). Regulation of mammalian neural development by helix-loop-helix transcription factors. *Crit. Rev. Neurobiol.* 9, 177–188.
- Kokubo, H., Lun, Y., and Johnson, R. L. (1999). Identification and expression of a novel family of bHLH cDNAs related to Drosophila hairy and enhancer of split. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 260, 459–465.
- Kothary, R., Clapoff, S., Darling, S., Perry, M. D., Moran, L. A., and Rossant, J. (1989). Inducible expression of an hsp68–lacZ hybrid gene in transgenic mice. *Development* **105**, 707–714.
- Kunisch, M., Haenlin, M., and Campos-Ortega, J. A. (1994). Lateral inhibition mediated by the Drosophila neurogenic gene delta is enhanced by proneural proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 91, 10139–10143.
- Lanigan, T. M., DeRand, S. K., and Russo, A. F. (1998). Requirement of the Mash-1 transcription factor for neuroendocrine differentiation of thyroid C cells. J. Neurobiol. 34, 126–134.
- Lee, J. E. (1997). Basic helix-loop-helix genes in neural development. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* **7**, 13–20.
- Leimeister, C., Externbrink, A., Klamt, B., and Gessler, M. (1999). Hey genes: A novel subfamily of hairy- and Enhancer of splitrelated genes specifically expressed during mouse embryogenesis. *Mech. Dev.* 85, 173–177.
- Lewis, J. (1996). Neurogenic genes and vertebrate neurogenesis. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. **6**, 3–10.
- Lo, L., Tiveron, M.-C., and Anderson, D. J. (1998). MASH1 activates expression of the paired homeodomain transcription factor Phox2a, and couples pan-neuronal and subtype-specific components of autonomic neuronal identity. *Development* **125**, 609– 620.
- Ma, Q., Chen, Z., del Barco Barrantes, I., de la Pompa, J. L., and Anderson, D. J. (1998). *neurogenin1* is essential for the determination of neuronal precursors for proximal cranial sensory ganglia. *Neuron* 120, 469–482.
- Martinez, C., and Modolell, J. (1991). Cross-regulatory interactions between the proneural *achaete* and *acute* genes of *Drosophila*. *Science* **251**, 1485–1487.
- Martinez, C., Modolell, J., and Garrell, J. (1993). Regulation of the proneural gene achaete by helix-loop-helix proteins. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **13**, 3514–3521.
- Modolell, J. (1997). Patterning of the adult peripheral nervous system of Drosophila. *Perspect. Dev. Neurobiol.* **4**, 285–296.
- Nakao, K., and Campos-Ortega, J. A. (1996). Persistent expression of genes of the enhancer of split complex suppresses neural development in Drosophila. *Neuron* **16**, 275–286.
- Ohsako, S., Hyer, J., Panganiban, G., Oliver, I., and Caudy, M. (1994). hairy function as a DNA-binding helix-loop-helix repressor of *Drosophila* sensory organ formation. *Genes Dev.* **8**, 2743–2755.
- Ramain, P., Heitzler, P., Haenlin, M., and Simpson, P. (1993). *pannier*, a negative regulator of *achaete* and *scute* in *Drosophila*, encodes a zinc finger protein with homology to the vertebrate transcription factor GATA-1. *Development* **119**, 1277–1291.
- Ruiz-Gomez, M., and Ghysen, A. (1993). The expression and role of a proneural gene, achaete, in the development of the larval nervous system of Drosophila. *EMBO J.* **12**, 1121–1130.

- Sasai, Y., Kageyama, R., Tagawa, Y., Shigemoto, R., and Nakanishi, S. (1992). Two mammalian helix-loop-helix factors structurally related to Drosophila hairy and Enhancer of split. *Genes Dev.* 6, 2620–2634.
- Skeath, J. B., and Carroll, S. B. (1994). The achaete-scute complex: Generation of cellular pattern and fate within the Drosophila nervous system. *FASEB J.* 8, 714–721.
- Skeath, J. B., Panganiban, G., Selegue, J., and Carroll, S. B. (1992). Gene regulation in two dimensions: The proneural achaete and scute genes are controlled by combinations of axis-patterning genes through a common intergenic control region. *Genes Dev.* 6, 2606–2619.
- Sommer, L., Shah, N., Rao, M., and Anderson, D. J. (1995). The cellular function of MASH1 in autonomic neurogenesis. *Neuron* **15**, 1245–1258.
- Sun, Y., Jan, L. Y., and Jan, Y. N. (1998). Transcriptional regulation of *atonal* during development of the *Drosophila* peripheral nervous system. *Development* 125, 3731–3740.
- Takebayashi, K., Akazawa, C., Nakanishi, S., and Kageyama, R. (1995). Structure and promoter analysis of the gene encoding the mouse helix-loop-helix factor HES-5. J. Biol. Chem. 270, 1342– 1349.
- Takebayashi, K., Sasai, Y., Sakai, Y., Watanabe, T., Nakanishi, S., and Kageyama, R. (1994). Structure, chromosomal locus, and promoter analysis of the gene encoding the mouse helix-loophelix factor HES-1. J. Biol. Chem. 269, 5150–5156.
- Thayer, M. J., Tapscott, S. J., Davis, R. L., Wright, W. E., Lassar, A. B., and Weintraub, H. (1989). Positive autoregulation of the myogenic determination gene MyoD1. *Cell* 58, 241–248.
- Tomita, K., Nakanishi, S., Guillemot, F., and Kageyama, R. (1996). *Mash1* promotes neuronal differentiation in the retina. *Genes Cell* **1**, 765–774.
- Torii, M., Matsuzaki, F., Osumi, N., Kaibuchi, K., Nakamura, S., Casarosa, S., Guillemot, F., and Nakafuku, M. (1999). Transcription factors Mash-1 and Prox-1 delineate early steps in differentiation of neural stem cells in the developing central nervous system. *Development* **126**, 443–456.
- Tuttle, R., Nakagawa, Y., Johnson, J. E., and O'Leary, D. D. M. (1999). Defects in thalamocortical axon pathfinding correlate with altered cell domains in embryonic *MASH1* deficient mice. *Development* **126**, 1903–1916.
- van Doren, M., Bailey, A. M., Esnayra, J., Ede, K., and Posakony, J. W. (1994). Negative regulation of proneural gene activity: Hairy is a direct transcriptional repressor of *achaete. Genes Dev.* **8**, 2729–2742.
- van Doren, M., Powell, P. A., Pasternak, D., Singson, A., and Posakony, J. W. (1992). Spatial regulation of proneural gene activity: Auto- and cross-activation of achaete is antagonized by extramacrochaetae. *Genes Dev.* **6**, 2592–605.
- Verma-Kurvari, S., Savage, T., Gowan, K., and Johnson, J. E. (1996). Lineage-specific regulation of the neural differentiation gene MASH1. Dev. Biol. 180, 605–617.
- Verma-Kurvari, S., Savage, T., Smith, D., and Johnson, J. E. (1998). Multiple elements regulate *Mash1* expression in the developing CNS. *Dev. Biol.* **197**, 106–116.
- Zingg, J. M., Pedraza-Alva, G., and Jost, J. P. (1994). MyoD1 promoter autoregulation is mediated by two proximal E-boxes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **22**, 2234–2241.

Received for publication December 29, 1999 Revised March 9, 2000 Accepted March 9, 2000