

Exact Embedding Functors for Module Categories and Submodule Lattice Quasivarieties*

George Hutchinson

metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk

Communicated by Kent R. Fuller

Received September 1, 1998

1. INTRODUCTION

Given rings with unit R and S , we will write $R \lesssim S$ if there exists an exact embedding functor $F: R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow S\text{-Mod}$. Many equivalent or sufficient conditions for the existence of such F are known. Let $\mathcal{L}(R)$ denote the quasivariety of lattices generated by the family of all submodule lattices $\text{Su}_R(M)$, ${}_R M$ a left R -module. A lattice L is in $\mathcal{L}(R)$ if and only if it is isomorphic to a sublattice of some $\text{Su}_R(M)$. The inclusion $\mathcal{L}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(S)$ is known to be equivalent to $R \lesssim S$. The theory of quasivarieties $\mathcal{L}(R)$ lies on the border of lattice theory and abelian category theory. The previous investigations in this field include [4–7, 11–22].

Let \mathcal{R} denote the class (and category) of all rings with unit. The ring homomorphisms of \mathcal{R} will preserve ring units. In the following discussion, rings will always be assumed to have 1; i.e., they will be objects in \mathcal{R} . The relation $R \lesssim S$ is a reflexive and transitive relation on \mathcal{R} . So, we can define an induced equivalence: $R \sim S$ if and only if $R \lesssim S$ and $S \lesssim R$. Every ring is equivalent to some denumerable ring. There are continuously many different equivalence classes of rings, even if we restrict consideration to all rings with a fixed characteristic p^k , p prime and $k \geq 2$.

Let \mathcal{W} denote the set of all quasivarieties of lattices, which is a complete lattice under inclusion. If \mathcal{R}' is any nonempty class of rings, let $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}')$ denote the subset of \mathcal{W} consisting of all quasivarieties equal to $\mathcal{L}(R)$ for some R in \mathcal{R}' . $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}')$ is a join subsemilattice of \mathcal{W} , with $\mathcal{L}(R \times S)$ equal

* George Hutchinson passed away on September 17, 1997, when this paper was nearly completed. I am grateful to Professor Christian Herrmann for forwarding it to me and to Professor Gábor Czédli for reviewing the manuscript and putting it in final form. Kent Fuller



to the join of $\mathcal{L}(R)$ and $\mathcal{L}(S)$ in \mathcal{W} for all R and S in \mathcal{R} . \mathcal{W} and $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R})$ have continuously many elements. Observe that $\mathcal{W}(R)$ encodes the relations $R \preceq S$ and $R \sim S$ for rings R and S in \mathcal{R} by the equivalents $\mathcal{L}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(S)$ and $\mathcal{L}(R) = \mathcal{L}(S)$.

Let \mathcal{R}_c denote the class of all commutative rings and \mathcal{R}_{cm} the class of commutative rings with characteristic m ($m = 0$ or $m > 0$). Let \mathcal{R}_m denote the class of all rings with characteristic m . Obviously \mathcal{R}_c , \mathcal{R}_{cm} , and \mathcal{R}_m admit direct products, and so $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_c)$, $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_{cm})$, and $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_m)$ are join subsemilattices of \mathcal{W} .

After reviewing some known results in Section 2, we show in Section 3 that $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_c)$ is a complete lattice. Here, $\mathcal{L}(R \otimes S)$ is a glb for $\mathcal{L}(R)$ and $\mathcal{L}(S)$ in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_c)$, and the glb of an infinite family $\{\mathcal{L}(R_j)\}_{j \in J}$ in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_c)$ can be formed using a suitable direct limit of a sequence of finite tensor products of rings in $\{R_j\}_{j \in J}$.

In Section 4, we consider $\mathcal{L}(R)$ for rings R with characteristic zero. For each prime p , we construct a ring R_p which either has characteristic p^k for some $k \geq 0$ or is equal to the localization of the integers \mathbf{Z} at the prime ideal $p\mathbf{Z}$. If \mathbf{Q} is the field of rationals, $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Q}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(R)$ if and only if R is in \mathcal{R}_0 . It is proved that $\mathcal{L}(R)$ is the join in \mathcal{W} of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Q})$ and the $\mathcal{L}(R_p)$ for all primes p . In effect, $\mathcal{L}(R)$ is determined by aggregating its properties with respect to each prime p .

2. TERMINOLOGY AND KNOWN RESULTS

Based mainly on [14, 17, 22] and also on standard books [1, 2, 9, 10, 23, 24], now we review the notions and statements that will be used to achieve the main results.

Let $\text{char}(R)$ denote the characteristic of a ring R .

2.1. (a) If R and S are rings with unit, then $\mathcal{L}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(S)$ if and only if there exists an exact embedding functor $F: R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow S\text{-Mod}$ [14, 17].

(b) If there is a ring homomorphism $f: R \rightarrow S$, then $\mathcal{L}(S) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(R)$ [14, Proposition 2].

(c) If ${}_S M_R$ is an (S, R) -bimodule such that M_R is a faithfully flat right R -module, then $\mathcal{L}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(S)$. (The tensor functor ${}_S M_R \otimes_R -: R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow S\text{-Mod}$ is then an exact embedding functor or cf. [14, Proposition 3].)

(d) If \mathcal{L}_1 is in \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{L}_0 is a class of lattices such that, for each lattice Horn formula Λ , $\mathcal{L}_1 \models \Lambda$ implies $\mathcal{L}_0 \models \Lambda$, then $\mathcal{L}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{L}_1$.

(e) Suppose R is a ring and Λ is a universal Horn formula for lattices such that $\mathcal{L}(R) \models \Lambda$. Then there exists an (existentially quantified)

system of equations Γ for rings with unit such that $R \models \Gamma$, and if $S \models \Gamma$, then $\mathcal{L}(S) \models \Lambda$ [22].

(f) Suppose rings R and S have characteristic d and e , respectively, and $\mathcal{L}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(S)$. If $e \neq 0$, then d divides e . If $d \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{L}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(S/dS)$ [14, Theorem 3].

(g) If R has prime characteristic p , the $\mathcal{L}(R) = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})$. (Use 2.1(b) and (c) or cf. [14].)

(h) If $\{\mathcal{L}_j\}_{j \in J}$ is an infinite subfamily of \mathcal{W} and $\mathcal{L} = \bigvee_{j \in J} \mathcal{L}_j$ in \mathcal{W} , then, for each lattice Horn formula Λ , $\mathcal{L} \models \Lambda$ if and only if $\mathcal{L}_j \models \Lambda$ for all j in J .

(i) Suppose R is a ring, $S = \prod_{j \in J} S_j$ is a product of a nonempty family $\{S_j\}_{j \in J}$ of rings, and there is a family of exact functors

$$\{F_j: R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow S_j\text{-Mod}\}_{j \in J}.$$

If $\{F_j(M)\}_{j \in J}$ contains some nonzero S_j -module whenever M is a nonzero R -module, then there exists an exact embedding functor $F: R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow S\text{-Mod}$. (As an additive group, take $F(M)$ isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{j \in J} F_j(M)$. Use projections $\pi_j: S \rightarrow S_j$ to make each $F_j(M)$ an S -module, hence $F(M)$ an S -module. Suppose $f: M \rightarrow N$ in $R\text{-Mod}$. Define $F(f): F(M) \rightarrow F(N)$ from the S -homomorphisms $F_j(f): F_j(M) \rightarrow F_j(N)$ as usual. Then F is an exact embedding functor.)

Hereafter, we will let $\text{char}(R)$ denote the characteristic of R in \mathcal{R} .

2.2. DEFINITIONS. Tensor products $A \otimes B$ are taken over the integers \mathbf{Z} unless otherwise indicated. Recall that $R \otimes S$ is a ring if R and S are rings.

(a) The tensor product $R \otimes S$ over \mathbf{Z} is a coproduct for commutative rings R and S relative to \mathcal{R}_c . That is, there are homomorphisms $\alpha_R: R \rightarrow R \otimes S$ and $\alpha_S: S \rightarrow R \otimes S$ such that, given any homomorphisms $f: R \rightarrow T$ and $g: S \rightarrow T$ in \mathcal{R}_c , there exists a unique homomorphism $h: R \otimes S \rightarrow T$ such that $h\alpha_R = f$ and $h\alpha_S = g$. We have $\alpha_R(r) = r \otimes 1$ and $\alpha_S(s) = 1 \otimes s$. We use the matrix notation $h = [f \ g]$.

(b) If R is commutative, then $\mathcal{L}(R) = \mathcal{L}(R \otimes R)$ by 2.1(b) and the homomorphisms $\alpha_R: R \rightarrow R \otimes R$ and $[1_R \ 1_R]: R \otimes R \rightarrow R$ of 2.2(a).

(c) For R and S in \mathcal{R}_c , $R \otimes S$ and $S \otimes R$ are isomorphic, using isomorphisms obtained from the coproduct universal properties.

2.3. (a) If R and S are any rings, then $\mathcal{L}(R \times S)$ is the join of $\mathcal{L}(R)$ and $\mathcal{L}(S)$ in \mathcal{W} [7, Proposition 4.2].

(b) If R and S have characteristics d and e , respectively, then $R \times S$ has characteristic equal to the lcm of d and e (defined as 0 if d or e is 0).

2.4. The direct limit of a sequence of rings

$$R_1 \rightarrow R_2 \rightarrow R_3 \rightarrow \dots$$

is defined up to isomorphism by the colimit universal property for the above commutative diagram. Formally, a direct system $\{f_i^j: R_i \rightarrow R_j\}_{1 \leq i \leq j}$ of homomorphisms is defined such that $f_j^k f_i^j = f_i^k$ for all $1 \leq i \leq j \leq k$ and $f_i^i = 1_{R_i}$ for $i \geq 1$. The direct limit R of this direct system has associated homomorphisms $f_i: R_i \rightarrow R$ for each $i \geq 1$, which satisfy $f_i = f_j f_i^j$ for $1 \leq i \leq j$. The colimit property is defined as follows: if $g_i: R_i \rightarrow S$ for $i \geq 1$ such that $g_i = g_j f_i^j$ for $1 \leq i \leq j$, then there exists a unique homomorphism $h: R \rightarrow S$ such that $h f_i = g_i$ for all $i \geq 1$. We can directly construct R by taking $X = \cup_{i \geq 1} R_i$ to be a pairwise disjoint union, forming the equivalence relation on θ on X generated by all pairs $\langle u, f_i^j(u) \rangle$ for $1 \leq i \leq j$ and u in R_i , and proving that there exists a unique ring structure for the quotient set $R = X/\theta$ such that each $f_i: R_i \rightarrow R$ given by $f_i(u) = \theta[u]$ for $i \geq 1$ and u in R_i is a homomorphism. We can verify:

(a) $f_i[R_i] \subseteq f_j[R_j]$ if $1 \leq i \leq j$, and $R = \cup_{i \geq 1} f_i[R_i]$.

(b) For u in R_i and v in R_j , $f_i(u) = f_j(v)$ in R if and only if there exists $n \geq \max\{i, j\}$ such that $f_i^n(u) = f_j^n(v)$ for all $k \geq n$.

2.5. DEFINITION. Recall that \mathbf{Z} is initial in \mathcal{R} , and let $\iota_R: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow R$ denote the unique homomorphism $\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow R$. Elements of $\iota_R[\mathbf{Z}]$ are called \mathbf{Z} -images in R , and are central elements of R . Define $n \cdot r$ for integers $n > 0$ and r in R as the sum of n terms r . Also, let $0 \cdot r = 0$ and $n \cdot r = -(|n| \cdot r)$ if $n < 0$. So, $\iota_R(n) = n \cdot 1_R$ for all n in \mathbf{Z} .

Let P denote the set of prime numbers and P_n the set of the first n primes $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$ for $n \geq 0$. For p prime and R in \mathcal{R} , let $\text{dgr}_R(p) = k$ if $k \geq 0$ is the smallest integer such that $R \models (\exists x)(p^{k+1} \cdot x = p^k \cdot 1)$. (The formula is equivalent to requiring that $\iota_R(p^{k+1})$ divides $\iota_R(p^k)$ in R .) If there is no such k , let $\text{dgr}_R(p) = +\infty$.

(a) ι_R is one-to-one if and only if $\text{char}(R) = 0$. If $m > 0$, then there is a homomorphism $\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow R$ if and only if $\text{char}(R)$ divides m . This homomorphism is one to one if and only if $m = \text{char}(R)$.

(b) For any ring R , $\text{dgr}_R(p) = 0$ if and only if $\iota_R(p)$ is a unit of R .

(c) If $\text{char}(R) = m > 0$ and m has prime factorization $p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \dots p_t^{k_t}$, then $\text{dgr}_R(p_i) = k_i$ for $i \leq t$ and $\text{dgr}_R(p_i) = 0$ for $i > t$ [21, Proposition 1].

(d) If R and S are in \mathcal{R} and $\mathcal{L}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(S)$, then $\text{dgr}_R(p) \leq \text{dgr}_S(p)$ for all p in P . (This follows from [21, Proposition 6].)

2.6. DEFINITIONS. For $X \subseteq P$, let $\mathbf{Q}(X)$ denote the subring of the rationals generated by $\{1\} \cup \{1/p : p \in X\}$. Note that $X \mapsto \mathbf{Q}(X)$ defines a one-to-one correspondence between subsets of P and subrings of \mathbf{Q} containing \mathbf{Z} . Let $\mathbf{Z}_{\langle p \rangle} = \mathbf{Q}(P - \{p\})$, which equals the localization of \mathbf{Z} at $p\mathbf{Z}$ for p prime.

(a) If $X \subseteq Y \subseteq P$, then $\mathbf{Q}(X)$ is a subring of $\mathbf{Q}(Y)$, and $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Q}(Y)) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Q}(X))$.

(b) For $X \subseteq P$, p in $P - X$, and $k \geq 0$, $\mathbf{Q}(X)/p^k\mathbf{Q}(X)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}/p^k\mathbf{Z}$.

(c) If $X \subseteq P$, then any torsion-free $\mathbf{Q}(X)$ -module is flat, since $\mathbf{Q}(X)$ is a Prüfer ring [24, p. 129].

(d) If A is an abelian group with an element of infinite order, then $\mathbf{Q} \otimes A \neq 0$. (\mathbf{Q} is a flat \mathbf{Z} -module, so $\mathbf{Q} \otimes A$ has a submodule isomorphic to $\mathbf{Q} \otimes \mathbf{Z}$.)

(e) If A has an element of prime order p and $p \notin X \subset P$, then $\mathbf{Q}(X) \otimes A \neq 0$. (Since $\mathbf{Q}(X)$ is \mathbf{Z} -flat, $\mathbf{Q}(X) \otimes A$ has a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbf{Q}(X) \otimes (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})$, hence to $\mathbf{Q}(X)/p\mathbf{Q}(X)$, hence to $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ by 2.6(b). So, $\mathbf{Q}(X) \otimes A \neq 0$.)

3. LATTICE STRUCTURE FOR SUBMODULE LATTICE QUASIVARIETIES

We first obtain meets in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_c)$.

3.1. PROPOSITION. If R , S , and T are commutative rings and $\mathcal{L}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(S)$, then $\mathcal{L}(R \otimes T) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(S \otimes T)$.

Proof. Assuming the hypotheses, there are exact embedding functors $F: R \otimes T\text{-Mod} \rightarrow R\text{-Mod}$ and $G: R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow S\text{-Mod}$, by 2.1(a) and (b) and 2.2(a). Note that $F(M) = M$ and $F(f) = f$ as sets and functions. Let M be an $R \otimes T$ -module. So, rv in $F(M)$ for r in R and v in M equals $(r \otimes 1_T)v$ in M . Now, $GF(M)$ is an S -module, and we define an $S \otimes T$ -module $H(M)$ which equals $GF(M)$ as an additive group. For t in T , let $t_M: M \rightarrow M$ in $R \otimes T\text{-Mod}$ be given by $t_M(v) = (1_R \otimes t)v$. Then $t \mapsto t_M$ is a ring homomorphism from T into the ring of endomorphisms $M \rightarrow M$ in $R \otimes T\text{-Mod}$. If $f: M \rightarrow N$ in $R \otimes T\text{-Mod}$, then $ft_M = t_Nf$ for each t in T .

The formula $(s \otimes t)w = s(GF(t_M)(w))$ for s in S , t in T , and w in $H(M)$ uniquely determines a well-defined $S \otimes T$ -module structure for $H(M)$. Some checking shows that $H: R \otimes T\text{-Mod} \rightarrow S \otimes T\text{-Mod}$ defined

by $H(M)$ and $H(f) = GF(f)$ for $f: M \rightarrow N$ in $R \otimes T\text{-Mod}$ is an exact embedding functor. But then $\mathcal{L}(R \otimes T) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(S \otimes T)$ by 2.1(a). Q.E.D

3.2. PROPOSITION. *If R and S are commutative rings, then $\mathcal{L}(R \otimes S)$ is a glb for $\mathcal{L}(R)$ and $\mathcal{L}(S)$ in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_c)$.*

Proof. By 2.1(b) and 2.2(a), $\mathcal{L}(R \otimes S)$ is contained in $\mathcal{L}(R)$ and $\mathcal{L}(S)$. Suppose $\mathcal{L}(T) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(R)$ and $\mathcal{L}(T) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(S)$ for rings R, S , and T in \mathcal{R}_c . Using 2.1(b), 2.2(b) and (c), and 3.1, we have

$$\mathcal{L}(T) = \mathcal{L}(T \otimes T) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(S \otimes T) = \mathcal{L}(T \otimes S) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(R \otimes S).$$

So, $\mathcal{L}(R \otimes S)$ is a glb for $\mathcal{L}(R)$ and $\mathcal{L}(S)$ in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_c)$. Q.E.D.

Suppose $\{R_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ is an ascending chain of subrings of R with union R . By 2.1(b), (d), and (e), we can see that $\{\mathcal{L}(R_j)\}_{j \geq 1}$ is a descending chain in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R})$ such that $\mathcal{L}(R) = \bigcap_{j \geq 1} \mathcal{L}(R_j)$. We extend this to direct limits of sequences.

3.3. PROPOSITION. *Suppose $\{R_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ and $\{f_i^j: R_i \rightarrow R_j\}_{1 \leq i \leq j}$ are a direct system formed from a sequence of rings, and R is the direct limit of the sequence with associated homomorphisms $\{f_i: R_i \rightarrow R\}_{i \geq 1}$. Then $\{\mathcal{L}(R_i)\}_{i \geq 1}$ is a descending sequence of lattice quasivarieties, and $\mathcal{L}(R) = \bigcap_{i \geq 1} \mathcal{L}(R_i)$.*

Proof. Assuming the hypotheses, $\{\mathcal{L}(R_i)\}_{i \geq 1}$ is a descending chain, and each $\mathcal{L}(R_i) \supseteq \mathcal{L}(R)$ by 2.1(b). Let $\mathcal{L}_0 = \bigcap_{i \geq 1} \mathcal{L}(R_i)$, so $\mathcal{L}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_0$. Suppose Λ is a Horn formula such that $\mathcal{L}(R) \models \Lambda$. By 2.1(e), there is an existential system of ring equations

$$(\exists x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \Gamma(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

such that $R \models \Gamma$, and $\mathcal{L}(S) \models \Lambda$ whenever $S \models \Gamma$. Choose r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n in R so that $\Gamma(r_1, \dots, r_n)$ is true. By 2.4(a), there exists $s \geq 1$ such that $r_i \in f_s[R_s]$ for all $i \leq n$. Choose w_i such that $f_s(w_i) = r_i$ for $i \leq n$. Suppose $g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is an equation of Γ . Since $g(r_1, \dots, r_n) = h(r_1, \dots, r_n)$, there exists a $t \geq s$ such that

$$f_s^t(g(w_1, \dots, w_n)) = f_s^t(h(w_1, \dots, w_n))$$

by 2.4(b). Since Γ contains finitely many equations, we can choose u sufficiently large so that $R_u \models \Gamma$ using $x_i = f_s^u(w_i)$ for $i \leq n$. But then $\mathcal{L}(R_u) \models \Lambda$, so $\mathcal{L}_0 \models \Lambda$. This proves $\mathcal{L}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{L}(R)$ by 2.1(d), hence $\mathcal{L}(R) = \mathcal{L}_0$. Q.E.D.

3.4. THEOREM. *$\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_c)$ is a complete lattice. For R and S in \mathcal{R}_c , $\mathcal{L}(R \times S)$ and $\mathcal{L}(R \otimes S)$ are the lub and glb, respectively, of $\mathcal{L}(R)$ and $\mathcal{L}(S)$ in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_c)$. If $\{R_k\}_{k \in K}$ is an infinite family of rings in \mathcal{R}_c , then there*

exists a glb $\mathcal{L}(S)$ for $\{\mathcal{L}(R_k)\}_{k \in K}$ in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_c)$, and $\mathcal{L}(S) = \bigcap_{i \geq 1} \mathcal{L}(S_i)$ for a descending sequence $\{\mathcal{L}(S_i)\}_{i \geq 1}$ such that each S_i is a tensor product of finitely many rings in $\{R_k\}_{k \in K}$.

Proof. By 2.3(a), we know that $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_c)$ is a join subsemilattice of \mathcal{W} such that $\mathcal{L}(R \times S) = \mathcal{L}(R) \vee \mathcal{L}(S)$. Also, $\mathcal{L}(R \otimes S)$ is a glb for $\mathcal{L}(R)$ and $\mathcal{L}(S)$ in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_c)$ by 3.2. Since $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Z})$ is the largest element of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_c)$ by 2.1(b), $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_c)$ is complete if it admits infinite meets.

Suppose $\{R_k\}_{k \in K}$ is an infinite subfamily of \mathcal{R}_c . Let $H = \{\Lambda_i\}_{i \geq 1}$, where H consists exactly of Horn formulas Λ_i satisfied in some $\mathcal{L}(T_i)$, where T_i is a finite tensor product of elements of $\{R_k\}_{k \in K}$. Define $\{S_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ by $S_i = T_1 \otimes T_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes T_i$. For $i < j$, $S_j = S_i \otimes T_{ij}$ for $T_{ij} = T_{i+1} \otimes T_{i+2} \otimes \cdots \otimes T_j$, and so there is a ring homomorphism $\varphi_i^j: S_i \rightarrow S_j$ by 2.2(a). Clearly the S_i and φ_i^j form a direct system (with $\varphi_i^i = 1_{S_i}$), and so there is a direct limit S and homomorphisms $\varphi_i: S_i \rightarrow S$ for each $i \geq 1$. All S_i and S are in \mathcal{R}_c by 2.2(a) and 2.4(a). By 3.3, $\mathcal{L}(S) = \bigcap_{i \geq 1} \mathcal{L}(S_i)$. By 2.1(d) and 3.2, $\mathcal{L}(T) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(R_k)$ for all k in K if and only if $\mathcal{L}(T) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(S_i)$ for all $i \geq 1$. So, $\mathcal{L}(S)$ is a glb for $\{\mathcal{L}(R_k)\}_{k \in K}$. Q.E.D.

3.5. COROLLARY. *If \mathcal{R}' is a class of commutative rings such that $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}')$ has a largest element and \mathcal{R}' admits direct products, tensor products, and direct limits of sequences, then $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}')$ is a sublattice of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_c)$, $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}')$ is complete, and the inclusion $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}') \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_c)$ preserves infinite meets.*

3.6. PROPOSITION. *For R in \mathcal{R} , $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Q}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(R)$ if and only if $\text{char}(R) = 0$.*

Proof. The forward implication is by 2.1(f). Assume $\text{char}(R) = 0$, so ${}_R V_{\mathbf{Q}} = {}_R R \otimes \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{Q}} \neq 0$ by 2.6(d). Since $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is free, $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Q}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(R)$ by 2.1(c). Q.E.D.

3.7. COROLLARY. *For all $m \geq 0$, $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_{cm})$ is a complete sublattice of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_c)$, and the inclusion $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_{cm}) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_c)$ preserves both infinite joins and meets.*

Proof. By 2.3(b), $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_{cm})$ is a join subsemilattice of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_c)$. Now \mathcal{R}_{cm} admits tensor products. This is by 3.6 and 3.2 if $m = 0$. If $m > 0$, then for $\mathbf{Z}(m) = \mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z}$ we have additive group decompositions $R \approx \mathbf{Z}(m) \oplus M$ and $S \approx \mathbf{Z}(m) \otimes M'$ if R and S are in \mathcal{R}_m . So, $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_{cm})$ is a sublattice of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_c)$, and $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z})$ is a largest element for $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_{cm})$. By 2.4(b), a direct limit of a sequence of rings in \mathcal{R}_{cm} has characteristic m , and so $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_{cm})$ is complete and the inclusion $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_{cm}) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_c)$ preserves infinite meets.

Suppose $\{R_k\}_{k \in K}$ is an infinite subfamily of \mathcal{R}_{cm} . Let $\{\mathcal{L}(S_j)\}_{j \in J}$ be the subset of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_c)$ such that, for each j , $\mathcal{L}(S_j) \supseteq \mathcal{L}(R_k)$ for all k in K . Let $J_0 = \{j \in J: S_j \in \mathcal{R}_{cm}\}$. Let $\mathcal{L}(S)$ be the glb in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_{cm})$ of $\{\mathcal{L}(S_j): j \in J_0\}$. If $m = 0$, then $J = J_0$ by 2.1(f), and $\mathcal{L}(S)$ is the lub of $\{\mathcal{L}(R_k)\}_{k \in K}$ in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_c)$. If $m \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{L}(R_k) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(S_j/mS_j) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(S_j)$ for $k \in K$ and $j \in J$,

and $\mathcal{L}(S_j/mS_j) = \mathcal{L}(S_q)$ for some q in J_0 . So, $\mathcal{L}(S)$ is also the glb for $\{\mathcal{L}(S_j)\}_{j \in J}$ in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_c)$, and it equals the lub for $\{\mathcal{L}(R_k)\}_{k \in K}$ in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_c)$. Therefore, the inclusion $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_{cm}) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_c)$ preserves infinite joins also. Q.E.D.

It is not known whether $\mathcal{L}(R \otimes S)$ is a glb for $\mathcal{L}(R)$ and $\mathcal{L}(S)$ in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R})$. In particular, $\mathcal{L}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(R \otimes R)$ might not hold for noncommutative R .

Let $R \cdot S$ denote the (noncommutative) coproduct of rings R and S in \mathcal{R} . Essentially, $R \cdot S$ can be formed from a ring with unit, freely generated by a disjoint union $R \cup S$ and then divided by the two-sided ideal generated by relations true in R , relations true in S , and $1 = 1_R = 1_S$. As in 2.2(a)–(c), $\mathcal{L}(R \cdot S)$ is a lower bound for $\mathcal{L}(R)$ and $\mathcal{L}(S)$ in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R})$, $\mathcal{L}(R \cdot R) = \mathcal{L}(R)$, and $\mathcal{L}(R \cdot S) = \mathcal{L}(S \cdot R)$.

It is not clear whether $\mathcal{L}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(S)$ implies $\mathcal{L}(R \cdot T) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(S \cdot T)$ in general. If this is true, then we can prove $\mathcal{L}(R \cdot S)$ is a glb for $\mathcal{L}(R)$ and $\mathcal{L}(S)$ in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R})$ as in 3.2. In that case, adapting the proof of 3.4 shows that $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R})$ is a complete lattice, and similarly for 3.7 and $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}_m)$.

4. SUBMODULE LATTICE QUASIVARIETIES FOR RINGS WITH CHARACTERISTIC ZERO

In the following, we show that $\mathcal{L}(R)$ for rings with characteristic zero can be determined from $\text{char}(R)$, $\text{dgr}_R(p)$ for primes p , and $\mathcal{L}(R_p)$ for certain associated rings R_p which have prime power characteristic.

4.1. PROPOSITION. *Suppose $\text{dgr}_R(p) = k < +\infty$ for R in \mathcal{R} , so $p^{k+1} \cdot r = p^k \cdot 1_R$ for some r in R . Choosing such an r , we have:*

(a) *If $n \geq k$ and $m \geq j > 0$, then $(p^j \cdot 1_R)(p^n \cdot r^m) = p^n \cdot r^{m-j}$. Therefore, $p^n \cdot r^n = p^k \cdot r^k$ if $n > k$, and so $p^k \cdot r^k$ is an idempotent ($p^{2k} \cdot r^{2k} = p^k \cdot r^k$). Also, $e = 1 - p^k \cdot r^k$ is an idempotent, and $p^k \cdot e = 0$. Note that $R/p^k R$ has characteristic p^k in this case.*

(b) *If $e = 1 - p^k \cdot r^k$ as above, then $h(x + p^k R) = exe$ determines a ring isomorphism $h: R/p^k R \rightarrow eRe$.*

4.2. DEFINITIONS. *Suppose R is in \mathcal{R} and p is a prime. Let R_p denote $\mathbf{Q}(P - \{p\})$ if $\text{dgr}_R(p) = +\infty$, and $R/p^k R$ if $\text{dgr}_R(p) = k$, $0 \leq k < +\infty$.*

Define $\text{Reduct}(R)$ for R in \mathcal{R} to equal $\mathbf{Q} \times \prod_{p \in P} R_p$ if $\text{char}(R) = 0$, and to equal $\prod_{p \in P} R_p$ if $\text{char}(R) > 0$.

(a) *If R is a ring and $\text{char}(R) = m > 0$, then $R \approx \text{Reduct}(R)$. (If m has prime factorization $q_1^{k_1} q_2^{k_2} \cdots q_n^{k_n}$ for some primes q_i and $k_i \geq 1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then $R_{q_i} = R/q_i^{k_i} R$ for $i \leq n$ and R_p is trivial for other*

primes p by 2.5(b) and (c). Since $\text{Reduct}(R) \approx \prod_{i \leq n} R/q_i^{k_i} R$, we can apply the Chinese remainder theorem [1, Exercise 7.13, p. 103]. If $m = 1$, then R and all R_p are trivial.)

(b) For each R in \mathcal{R} , $\text{char}(R) = \text{char}(\text{Reduct}(R))$ and R_p is isomorphic to $\text{Reduct}(R)_p$ for each prime p . So, $\text{Reduct}(\text{Reduct}(R)) \approx \text{Reduct}(R)$.

(c) If $\text{dgr}_R(p) = k < +\infty$, then $\text{char}(R/p^i R) = p^j$ for $i \geq 0$ and $j = \min\{i, k\}$. If $\text{dgr}_R(p) = +\infty$, then $\text{char}(R/p^i R) = p^i$ for $i \geq 0$.

4.3. PROPOSITION. *If R is in \mathcal{R} and p is prime, then $\mathcal{L}(R_p) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(R)$.*

Proof. Suppose $\text{dgr}_R(p) = +\infty$. So, $R_p = \mathbf{Z}_{\langle p \rangle} = \mathbf{Q}(P - \{p\})$ and $\text{char}(R) = 0$ by 2.5(c). Let $S = R_p$ and $T_0 = {}_R R \otimes S_S$, an (R, S) -bimodule. By localization properties, T_0 can be regarded as consisting of fractions r/u with r in R and u in $\mathbf{Z} - p\mathbf{Z}$, where $r/u = r'/u'$ if and only if $v(ru' - r'u) = 0$ for some v in $\mathbf{Z} - p\mathbf{Z}$. Let $\text{Ker } m \cdot 1_{T_0} = \{v \in T_0 : m \cdot v = 0\}$, and let ${}_R T_S = T_0/T_p$ for $T_p = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \text{Ker } p^i \cdot 1_{T_0}$. If T_S is not torsion-free, then $vq = 0$ for some prime q and $v \neq 0$ in T_S . If $q \neq p$, then $1/q$ is in S , and we get the contradiction $v = vq(1/q) = 0$. Suppose $q = p$, and $v = w + T_p$ in $T_S = T_0/T_p$. Since $wp \in T_p$, $(wp)p^k = 0$ for some $k \geq 0$. But then $w \in T_p$ and again $v = 0$. This proves that T_S is torsion-free, and so is flat by 2.6(c). Since S is local with maximal ideal pS , T_S is faithfully flat if $T \otimes_S (S/pS) \neq 0$. By 2.6(b), we can prove this is equivalent to $T/pT \neq 0$. For more details, cf. [14, Proposition 11]. Assume the contrary, so that $pT = T$. Then $(r/u + T_p)p = 1_R/1 + T_p$ for some r in R and u in $\mathbf{Z} - p\mathbf{Z}$. Let $\bar{1}$ denote $1_R/1$, so $(r/u)p - \bar{1} = y$ in T_0 , for y satisfying $yp^k = 0$ for some $k \geq 0$. Choose integers a and b such that $au + bp = 1$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} (ra/1 + \bar{1}b)p^{k+1} &= (r/u)aup^{k+1} + \bar{1}(1 - au)p^k \\ &= (rp/u - \bar{1})aup^k + \bar{1}p^k \\ &= yaup^k + \bar{1}p^k = \bar{1}p^k. \end{aligned}$$

So, $xz = 0$ for $x = rap^{k+1} + 1_R bp^{k+1} - 1_R p^k$ in R and some z in $\mathbf{Z} - p\mathbf{Z}$. Choosing integers c and d with $cz + dp = 1$, we see that $x(1 - dp) = 0$ in R , which leads to the contradiction $\text{dgr}_R(p) \leq k < +\infty$. So $pT \neq T$, and $\mathcal{L}(R_p) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(R)$ follows by using 2.1(c) with ${}_R T_S$.

If $\text{dgr}_R(p) = k < +\infty$, then $R_p = R/p^k R$ and $\mathcal{L}(R_p) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(R)$ by 2.1(b).
Q.E.D.

In general, we do not assert that 2.3(a) can be extended to infinite products of rings. However, this extension is possible for products of the form $\text{Reduct}(R)$.

4.4. PROPOSITION. For all R in \mathcal{R}_0 , $\mathcal{L}(\text{Reduct}(R))$ is the join in \mathcal{W} of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Q})$ and $\mathcal{L}(R_p)$ for all p in P .

Proof. Suppose R is in \mathcal{R} and $\text{char}(R) = 0$. Let $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Q}) \vee \bigvee_{p \in P} \mathcal{L}(R_p)$ in \mathcal{W} . Then $\mathcal{L}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\text{Reduct}(R))$ by 3.6, 4.2(b), and 4.3. Suppose $\mathcal{L}_1 \models \Lambda$ for some lattice Horn formula Λ . Since $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Q}) \models \Lambda$, there is a system of ring equations $(\exists \mathbf{x})\Gamma_0(\mathbf{x})$ for $\mathbf{x} = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s \rangle$ such that $\mathbf{Q} \models (\exists \mathbf{x})\Gamma_0(\mathbf{x})$, and $\mathcal{L}(S) \models \Lambda$ if $S \models (\exists \mathbf{x})\Gamma_0(\mathbf{x})$ by 2.1(e). Suppose $\mathbf{Q} \models \Gamma_0(m_1/n_1, m_2/n_2, \dots, m_s/n_s)$. Choose n large enough so that m_i/n_i is in $\mathbf{Q}(P_n)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$. By construction, $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Q}(P_n)) \models \Lambda$. Since $\mathcal{L}_1 \models \Lambda$, $\mathcal{L}(R_p) \models \Lambda$ for p prime. In particular, $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Q}(P_n) \times R_{p_1} \times R_{p_2} \times \dots \times R_{p_n}) \models \Lambda$ by 2.1(h) and 2.3(a). Again using 2.1(e) and adjusting s , there is a system of ring equations $(\exists \mathbf{x})(\Gamma_1(\mathbf{x}))$ such that

$$\mathbf{Q}(P_n) \times R_{p_1} \times R_{p_2} \times \dots \times R_{p_n} \models (\exists \mathbf{x})(\Gamma_1(\mathbf{x})),$$

and $\mathcal{L}(S) \models \Lambda$ if $S \models (\exists \mathbf{x})(\Gamma_1(\mathbf{x}))$. We assert that $\text{Reduct}(R) \models (\exists \mathbf{x})(\Gamma_1(\mathbf{x}))$. Since $\mathbf{Q} \supseteq \mathbf{Q}(P_n) \models (\exists \mathbf{x})(\Gamma_1(\mathbf{x}))$, it suffices to prove that $R_p \models (\exists \mathbf{x})(\Gamma_1(\mathbf{x}))$ for all primes p . If $p = p_i$ for $i \leq n$, this follows from the definition of Γ_1 . If $p = p_i$ for $i > n$ and $\text{dgr}_R(p) = +\infty$, then it follows because $\mathbf{Q}(P_n) \subseteq \mathbf{Q}(P - \{p\}) = R_p$ by 2.6(a). If $p = p_i$ for $i > n$ and $\text{dgr}_R(p) = k < +\infty$, then $R_p = R/p^k R$ and there are ring homomorphisms

$$\mathbf{Q}(P_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}(P_n)/p^k \mathbf{Q}(P_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/p^k \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow R/p^k R$$

by 2.6(b) and 4.1(a). But then $R_p \models (\exists \mathbf{x})(\Gamma_1(\mathbf{x}))$ for all primes p , and so $\text{Reduct}(R) \models (\exists \mathbf{x})(\Gamma_1(\mathbf{x}))$, and $\mathcal{L}(\text{Reduct}(R)) \models \Lambda$. It follows by 2.1(d) that $\mathcal{L}(\text{Reduct}(R)) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_1$, and so $\mathcal{L}(\text{Reduct}(R)) = \mathcal{L}_1$. Q.E.D.

4.5. THEOREM. If R is a ring, then $\mathcal{L}(R) = \mathcal{L}(\text{Reduct}(R))$.

Proof. If $\text{char}(R) = m \geq 1$, then $\mathcal{L}(R) = \mathcal{L}(\text{Reduct}(R))$ by 4.2(a). So, assume $\text{char}(R) = 0$. By 3.6, 4.3, and 4.4, $\mathcal{L}(\text{Reduct}(R)) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(R)$. To prove $\mathcal{L}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\text{Reduct}(R))$, we construct exact functors $F_p: R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow R_p\text{-Mod}$ for each prime p and $F_0: R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}\text{-Mod}$ such that 2.1(i) applies. For F_0 , we compose the functor $H: R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}\text{-Mod}$ from 2.1(b) with the functor ${}_{\mathbf{Q}}\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{Z}} \otimes -$ from $\mathbf{Z}\text{-Mod}$ into $\mathbf{Q}\text{-Mod}$. This is an exact functor by 2.6(c).

Suppose p is prime and $\text{dgr}_R(p) = +\infty$. Let $T = R_p = \mathbf{Q}(P - \{p\})$. Here, T is torsion-free as a \mathbf{Z} -module, and so we can compose H with ${}_T T_{\mathbf{Z}} \otimes -$ to obtain an exact functor $F_p: R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow R_p\text{-Mod}$.

Suppose p is prime and $\text{dgr}_R(p) = k < +\infty$. There is an idempotent $e = 1 - p^k \cdot r^k$ in R such that $p^k e = 0$ by 4.1(a), and $G(M) = eM$ determines an exact functor $G: R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow eRe\text{-Mod}$, using $G(f): eM \rightarrow eN$

induced by $f: M \rightarrow N$ in $R\text{-Mod}$. Since $R_p = R/p^k R$ is isomorphic to eRe by 4.1(b), we see that G can be regarded as an exact functor $F_p: R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow R_p\text{-Mod}$.

Suppose $M \neq 0$ in $R\text{-Mod}$. If M contains an element of infinite order, then $\mathbf{Q} \otimes H(M) \neq 0$ by 2.6(d), and so $F_0(M) \neq 0$. If there is no element of infinite order, then we can find $v \neq 0$ in M and a prime p such that $p \cdot v = 0$. If $\text{dgr}_R(p) = +\infty$, then there is a \mathbf{Z} -submodule of $H(M)$ isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$, hence $F_p(M) \neq 0$ by 2.6(e). Suppose $\text{dgr}_R(p) = k < +\infty$. Then $k > 0$, since otherwise $p \cdot 1_R$ is invertible by 2.5(b). So $v = ev$ for $e = 1 - p^k \cdot r^k$, where $p^{k+1} \cdot r = p^k \cdot 1_R$. Again, $F_p(M) \neq 0$.

Therefore, $\{F_0(M)\} \cup \{F_p(M)\}_{p \in P}$ always contains a nonzero module if M is a nonzero R -module. By 2.1(i), there exists an exact embedding functor $R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \text{Reduct}(R)\text{-Mod}$. So, $\mathcal{L}(R) = \mathcal{L}(\text{Reduct}(R))$ by 2.1(a).
Q.E.D.

By 4.5, we can conclude that $\mathcal{L}(R)$ is determined by $\text{char}(R)$ and $\mathcal{L}(R_p)$ for p in P . Alternatively, $\mathcal{L}(R)$ is determined by $\text{char}(R)$, $\text{dgr}_R(p)$ for p in P , and $\mathcal{L}(R_p)$ for p such that $2 \leq \text{dgr}_R(p) < +\infty$.

4.6. THEOREM. For rings R and S , the following are equivalent:

- (a) $\mathcal{L}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(S)$.
- (b) $\mathcal{L}(\text{Reduct}(R)) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\text{Reduct}(S))$.
- (c) $\mathcal{L}(R_p) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(S_p)$ for all p in P , and $\text{char}(R)$ divides $\text{char}(S)$ or $\text{char}(S) = 0$.
- (d) $\text{char}(S) = 0$ if $\text{char}(R) = 0$, and $\text{dgr}_R(p) \leq \text{dgr}_S(p)$ for all p in P , and $\mathcal{L}(R/p^k R) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(S/p^k S)$ whenever $2 \leq k = \text{dgr}_R(p) \leq \text{dgr}_S(p) < +\infty$.

Proof. Suppose $2 \leq k = \text{dgr}_R(p) \leq \text{dgr}_S(p) < +\infty$ and $\mathcal{L}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(S)$. Then there is an exact embedding functor $R/p^k R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow S\text{-Mod}$ by 2.1(b), which clearly induces an exact embedding functor $R/p^k R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow S/p^k S\text{-Mod}$. So, $\mathcal{L}(R/p^k R) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(S/p^k S)$. But then 4.6(a) implies 4.6(d), using 2.1(f) and 2.5(d).

Assume 4.6(d), so $\text{char}(R)$ divides $\text{char}(S)$ or $\text{char}(S) = 0$ by 2.5(c). Suppose p is a prime, $a = \text{dgr}_R(p)$, and $b = \text{dgr}_S(p)$, so $a \leq b$. If $a = b = +\infty$, then $R_p = S_p = \mathbf{Z}_{\langle p \rangle}$. If $a < b = +\infty$, then there is a homomorphism $S_p \rightarrow R_p$ by 2.6(b) and 2.5(a), so $\mathcal{L}(R_p) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(S_p)$ by 2.1(b). Suppose $a \leq b < +\infty$. If $a \geq 2$, then $\mathcal{L}(R_p) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(S_p)$ by the assumption 4.6(b) and 2.1(b). If $a \leq 1$, then $\mathcal{L}(R_p) = \mathcal{L}(S_p/p^a S_p) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(S_p)$, because R_p and $S_p/p^a S_p$ are trivial if $a = 0$, and $\mathcal{L}(R_p) = \mathcal{L}(S_p/p^a S_p) = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})$ if $a = 1$ by 2.1(g). So, $\mathcal{L}(R_p) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(S_p)$ in all cases, proving that 4.6(d) implies 4.6(c).

Using 2.3(a), 4.2(a), and 4.4, we see that 4.6(c) implies 4.6(b). Finally, 4.6(b) implies 4.6(a), by 4.5. Q.E.D.

By 4.2(c), each relation $\mathcal{L}(R/p^kR) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(S/p^kS)$ of 4.6(d) compares rings with the same power characteristic p^k .

REFERENCES

1. F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, "Rings and Categories of Modules," Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 13, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1992.
2. G. Birkhoff, "Lattice Theory," 3rd ed., Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, Vol. 25, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1967.
3. P. Crawley and R. P. Dilworth, "Algebraic Theory of Lattices," Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1973.
4. G. Czédli, On properties of rings that can be characterized by infinite lattice identities, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* **16** (1981), 45–60.
5. G. Czédli, Some lattice Horn sentences for submodules of prime power characteristic, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **65** (1994), 195–201.
6. G. Czédli and G. Hutchinson, An irregular Horn sentence in submodule lattices, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **51** (1987), 35–38.
7. G. Czédli and G. Hutchinson, Submodule lattice quasivarieties and exact embedding functors for rings with prime power characteristic, *Algebra Universalis* **35** (1996), 425–445.
8. D. Eisenbud, "Commutative Algebra with a View Toward Algebraic Geometry," Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 150, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1994.
9. P. J. Freyd, "Abelian Categories, An Introduction to the Theory of Functors," Harper & Row, New York, 1964.
10. L. Fuchs, "Infinite Abelian Groups," Vol. 1, Academic Press, San Diego, 1970.
11. K. R. Fuller and G. Hutchinson, Exact embedding functors and left coherent rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **104** (1988), 385–391.
12. G. Hutchinson, Modular lattices and abelian categories, *J. Algebra* **19** (1971), 156–184.
13. G. Hutchinson, Recursively unsolvable word problems of modular lattices and diagram-chasing, *J. Algebra* **26** (1973), 385–399.
14. G. Hutchinson, On classes of lattices representable by modules, in "Proceedings of the University of Houston Lattice Theory Conference, Houston, 1973," pp. 69–94.
15. G. Hutchinson, On the representation of lattices by modules, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **209** (1975), 311–351.
16. G. Hutchinson, A duality principle for lattices and categories of modules, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **10** (1977), 115–119.
17. G. Hutchinson, Exact embedding functors between categories of modules, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **25** (1982), 107–111.
18. G. Hutchinson, Representations of additive relations by modules, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **42** (1986), 63–83.
19. G. Hutchinson, Addendum to "Exact embedding functors between categories of modules," *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **45** (1987), 99–100.
20. G. Hutchinson, Free word problems for additive relation algebras of modules, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **50** (1988), 139–153.

21. G. Hutchinson and G. Czédli, A test for identities satisfied in lattices of submodules, *Algebra Universalis* **8** (1978), 269–309.
22. M. Makkai and G. McNulty, Universal Horn axiom systems for lattices of submodules, *Algebra Universalis* **7** (1977), 25–31.
23. B. Mitchell, “Theory of Categories,” Academic Press, San Diego, 1965.
24. J. J. Rotman, “Notes on Homological Algebra,” Van Nostrand–Reinhold, New York, 1970.