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#### Abstract

A new lower bound for the Perron root for irreducible, non-negative matrices is obtained which is, in particular, a better bound than the Frobenius bound $[\omega=$ $\left.\max \left(a_{\kappa \kappa}\right)\right]$ if all the main diagonal elements are zero.


Let $A=\left(a_{\kappa \lambda}\right)$ be an irreducible nonnegative matrix of order $n$ and $r_{1} \leqslant r_{2} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant r_{n}$ its row-sums. We set $r=r_{1}$ and $R=r_{n}$. The following results of Frobenius [3] are well known. The absolute greatest characteristic root $\omega$, sometimes called the Perron root, satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega \geqslant \max \left(a_{\kappa \kappa}\right), \quad(\kappa=1,2, \ldots, n),  \tag{1}\\
& r \leqslant \omega \leqslant R . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

For positive matrices, (2) was improved by W. Ledermann [4], further by A. Ostrowski [5], and by the first of the authors [2]. It was shown in [2] that the bounds there cannot be improved in general as functions of $R, r$, and $m$, the smallest element of $A$. But they all may reduce to (2) in the case of nonnegative matrices.

For stochastic matrices, (2) cannot be improved since $R=r$. It was an unsolved problem for years to find better bounds for $\omega$ than (2) and which never reduce to (2) for all irreducible nonnegative matrices except stochastic matrices. A. Ostrowski and H. Schneider [6] succeeded in obtaining such bounds. They proved that for any such given matrix it is possible to find a number $\eta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r+\eta<\omega<R-\eta \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, another improvement of (2) will be obtained which will often be better than (3) but which will reduce to (2) in some cases. We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{\lambda=1 \\ \lambda \neq \kappa}}^{n} a_{\kappa \lambda} \doteq P_{\kappa} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(\kappa, \lambda)=\frac{1}{2}\left\{a_{\kappa \kappa}+a_{\lambda \lambda}+\left[\left(a_{\kappa \kappa}-a_{\lambda \lambda}\right)^{2}+4 P_{\kappa} P_{\lambda}\right]^{1 / 2}\right\} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}=\min _{\kappa \neq \lambda} M(\kappa, \lambda) \leqslant \omega \leqslant \max _{\kappa \neq \lambda} M(\kappa, \lambda)=M_{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the special case that all main diagonal elements are zero, we obtain from (5) and (6) that

$$
\left(r_{1} r_{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leqslant \omega \leqslant\left(r_{n-1} r_{n}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

If we replace $a_{\kappa \lambda}$ by $\left|a_{\kappa \lambda}\right|$ in (4), then the right-hand part of (6) holds for the absolute value of all characteristic roots of all matrices with real or complex elements, hence also for $\omega$. This was shown by the first of the authors a number of years ago [1]. Therefore, we have only to prove the other part here.

Let us assume that $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ is a characteristic vector with positive coordinates belonging to $\omega$, and that $x_{i}$ is the smallest and $x_{j}$ the second smallest coordinate. We consider the $i$ th and $j$ th equations of the corresponding system of linear equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\omega-a_{i i}\right) x_{i}=\sum_{\substack{v=1 \\
v \neq i}}^{n} a_{i v} x_{v} \geqslant x_{j} P_{i}, \\
& \left(\omega-a_{j j}\right) x_{j}=\sum_{\substack{v=1 \\
\nu \neq j}}^{n} a_{j v} x_{v} \geqslant x_{i} P_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying these equations we get

$$
\left(\omega-a_{i i}\right)\left(\omega-a_{j j}\right) \geqslant P_{i} P_{j},
$$

since $x_{i} x_{j} \neq 0$. Hence $\omega$ lies in the exterior or on the boundary of this oval
and on the real axis. This oval may be doubly connected, but since $\omega \geqslant \max \left(a_{i i}, a_{j j}\right)$ by (l), it cannot lie between $a_{i i}$ and $a_{j j}$. It follows that $\omega$ is greater than or equal to the greatest vertex of this oval,

$$
\omega \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\left\{a_{i i}+a_{j j}+\left[\left(a_{i i}-a_{j j}\right)^{2}+4 P_{i} P_{j}\right]^{1 / 2}\right\}=M(i, j) .
$$

This proves (6).
We want to prove that (6) is better than (2). It is no restriction to assume that $r_{i}=a_{i i}+P_{i} \leqslant r_{j}=a_{j j}+P_{j}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
M(i, j) & \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\left\{a_{i i}+a_{j j}+\left[\left(a_{i i}-a_{j j}\right)^{2}+4 P_{i}\left(a_{i i}-a_{j j}+P_{i}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}\right\} \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\left\{a_{i i}+a_{j j}+\left[\left(a_{i i}-a_{j j}+2 P_{i}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
M(i, j) \geqslant a_{i i}+P_{i}=\min \left(r_{i}, r_{j}\right) \quad \text { or } \quad M_{1} \geqslant r
$$

A sequel to this paper, containing an improvement of one result in a special case, has appeared in Linear Algebra and its Applications 5(1972), 311-318.
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