# Overgroups of classical groups in linear group over Banach algebras ${ }^{*}$ 

Hong You<br>Department of Mathematics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, People's Republic of China

Received 31 July 2005
Available online 25 July 2006
Communicated by Leonard L. Scott, Jr.


#### Abstract

All overgroups of elementary unitary groups in linear group $G L_{2 n}$ over Banach algebras with 1 have been described. © 2006 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $R$ be an associative ring with 1 and assume that an anti-automorphism $*: x \mapsto x^{*}$ is defined on $R$ such that $x^{* *}=\varepsilon x \varepsilon^{*}$ for some unit $\varepsilon=\varepsilon^{*-1}$ of $R$ and every $x$ in $R$. It also determines an anti-automorphism of the ring $M_{n} R$ of all $n$ by $n$ matrices $\left(x_{i j}\right)$ by $\left(x_{i j}\right)^{*}=\left(x_{j i}^{*}\right)$.

Set $R_{\varepsilon}=\left\{x-x^{*} \varepsilon \mid x \in R\right\}, R^{\varepsilon}=\left\{x \in R \mid x=-x^{*} \varepsilon\right\}$. We fix an additive subgroup $\Lambda$ of $R$ with the following properties:
(i) $r^{*} \Lambda r \subset \Lambda$ for all $r \in R$;
(ii) $R_{\varepsilon} \subset \Lambda \subset R^{\varepsilon}$.

Let

$$
\Lambda_{n}=\left\{\left(a_{i j}\right) \in M_{n} R \mid a_{i j}=-a_{j i}^{*} \varepsilon \text { for } i \neq j \text { and } a_{i i} \in \Lambda\right\} .
$$

[^0]As in [1,11], we define

$$
U_{2 n}(R, \Lambda)=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha & \beta \\
\gamma & \delta
\end{array}\right) \in G L_{2 n} R \right\rvert\, \alpha^{*} \delta+\gamma^{*} \varepsilon \beta=I_{n}, \alpha^{*} \gamma, \beta^{*} \delta \in \Lambda_{n}\right\} .
$$

For fixed $*$ and $\varepsilon$, if $\Lambda \subset \Lambda^{\prime}$, it is clear that $U_{2 n}(R, \Lambda) \subset U_{2 n}\left(R, \Lambda^{\prime}\right)$. So, in this article we assume that $\Lambda=R^{\varepsilon}$, that is

$$
U_{2 n}(R, \Lambda)=U_{2 n} R=\left\{\theta \in G L_{2 n} R \mid \theta^{*} \varphi_{n} \theta=\varphi_{n}\right\}
$$

where

$$
\varphi_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & I_{n} \\
\varepsilon I_{n} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

When $*$ is identical on $R$ and $\varepsilon=-1, U_{2 n} R$ is the symplectic group. When $*$ is identical on $R$ and $\varepsilon=1$, but 2 is torsionfree in $R, U_{2 n} R$ is the ordinary orthogonal group. When $R$ is the complex numbers, $*$ the complex conjugation, $\varepsilon=1$ or $-1, U_{2 n} R$ is the standard unitary group.

Let

$$
G U_{2 n} R=\left\{\theta \in G L_{2 n} R \mid \theta^{*} \varphi_{n} \theta=\mu \varphi_{n}, \mu \in \text { Cent } R \text { is invertible }\right\} .
$$

(Note that $\mu^{*}=\mu$.)
An ideal $J$ of $R$ is said to be dual, if $J^{*}=J$. For any ideal $J$ of $R$, let $E_{2 n} J$ denote the subgroup of $G L_{2 n} R$ generated by all elementary matrices $\xi_{i j}(a)=I_{2 n}+a E_{i j}$ with $a \in J, i \neq j$, where $E_{i j}$ denotes the matrix with 1 at the position $(i, j)$ and zeros elsewhere. The normal subgroup of $E_{2 n} R$ generated by $E_{2 n} J$ is denoted by $E_{2 n}(R, J)$. With $n$ fixed for any $1 \leqslant k \leqslant 2 n$, set $\sigma k=k+n$ if $k \leqslant n$ and $\sigma k=k-n$ if $k>n$. For $a \in R$ and $1 \leqslant i \neq j \leqslant 2 n$ we define the elementary unitary matrices $\rho_{i, \sigma i}(a)$ and $\rho_{i j}(a)$ with $j \neq \sigma i$ as follows: $\rho_{i, \sigma i}(a)=I_{2 n}+a E_{i, \sigma i}$ with $a \in \Lambda$ when $n+1 \leqslant i$ and $a^{*} \in \Lambda$ when $i \leqslant n, \rho_{i j}(a)=\rho_{\sigma j, \sigma i}\left(-a^{\prime}\right)=I_{2 n}+a E_{i j}-$ $a^{\prime} E_{\sigma j, \sigma i} \in U_{2 n} R$ with $a^{\prime}=a^{*}$ when $i, j \leqslant n ; a^{\prime}=\varepsilon^{*} a^{*}$ when $i \leqslant n<j ; a^{\prime}=a^{*} \varepsilon$ when $j \leqslant$ $n<i$; and $a^{\prime}=\varepsilon^{*} a^{*} \varepsilon$ when $n+1 \leqslant i, j$. The subgroup of $U_{2 n} R$ generated by all elementary unitary matrices is denoted by $E U_{2 n} R$.

Define

$$
E E U_{2 n} J=E U_{2 n} R \cdot E_{2 n}(R, J), \quad C G U_{2 n} J=\left\{\rho \in G L_{2 n} R \mid \rho(\bmod J) \in G U_{2 n}(R / J)\right\}
$$

(Note that $E_{2 n}(R, J)$ is normal in $G L_{2 n} R$ when $n \geqslant 2, E U_{2 n} R \cdot E_{2 n}(R, J)$ make sense when $n \geqslant 2$ (see [9]).)

The main result of this paper is stated as follows.
Theorem. Let $R$ be a Banach algebra with 1 and $n \geqslant 4$. Let $X$ be an overgroup of $E U_{2 n} R$ in $G L_{2 n} R$. Then there is a unique dual ideal $J$ of $R$ such that

$$
E E U_{2 n} J \subseteq X \subseteq C G U_{2 n} J
$$

King [2,3] and Li [4,5] determined all overgroups of $S U(n, K, f)$ and $\Omega(n, K, Q)$ in $G L_{n} K$ where $K$ is a division ring, respectively. In the resent years, Vavilov and Petrov [12,13], and the author [14] described the overgroups of symplectic and orthogonal groups (with hyperbolic
form) over commutative rings; Petrov [6] also classified under a local stable rank condition with form parameter, the overgroups of unitary groups (with hyperbolic form).

## 2. Basic lemmas

Lemma 2.1. [11] The following identities hold for elementary unitary matrices $(1 \leqslant i \neq j \leqslant 2 n)$ :
(1) $\rho_{i j}(a+b)=\rho_{i j}(a) \rho_{i j}(b)$;
(2) $\left[\rho_{i j}(a), \rho_{j k}(b)\right]=\rho_{i k}(a b)$ when $i, j, k, \sigma i, \sigma j, \sigma k$ are all distinct;
(3) $\left[\rho_{i j}(a), \rho_{j, \sigma i}(b)\right]=\rho_{i, \sigma i}(a b-c)$ when $j \neq \sigma i$, where $c=b^{*} a^{*} \varepsilon$ when $n+1 \leqslant i$ and $c=$ $\varepsilon^{*} b^{*} a^{*}$ when $i \leqslant n$;
(4) $\left[\rho_{i j}(a), \rho_{j, \sigma j}(b)\right]=\rho_{i, \sigma j}(a b) \rho_{i, \sigma i}(c)$ when $j \neq \sigma i$, where

$$
\begin{array}{cll}
b^{*} \in \Lambda \quad \text { and } \quad c=a b a^{*} & \text { when } i, j \leqslant n, \\
b^{*} \in \Lambda \quad \text { and } \quad c=a b a^{*} \varepsilon & \text { when } j \leqslant n<i, \\
b \in \Lambda \quad \text { and } \quad c=-a b^{*} a^{*} & \text { when } i \leqslant n<j, \\
b \in \Lambda \quad \text { and } \quad c=-a b^{*} a^{*} \varepsilon & \text { when } n+1 \leqslant i, j .
\end{array}
$$

Here $[a, b]$ denotes $a b a^{-1} b^{-1}$.
Lemma 2.2. The following identities hold $(1 \leqslant i \neq j \leqslant 2 n)$ :
(1) $\rho_{i j}(a)=\xi_{i j}(a) \xi_{\sigma_{j}, \sigma_{i}}\left(a^{\prime}\right)\left(i \neq j\right.$, the definition of $\xi_{i j}(a)$ is indicated in Section 1$)$, where

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
a^{\prime}=-a^{*} \quad \text { when } i, j \leqslant n ; & a^{\prime}=-\varepsilon^{*} a^{*} \quad \text { when } i \leqslant n<j ; \\
a^{\prime}=-a^{*} \varepsilon \quad \text { when } j \leqslant n<i ; & a^{\prime}=-\varepsilon^{*} a^{*} \varepsilon \quad \text { when } n+1 \leqslant i, j .
\end{array}
$$

(2) $\left[\xi_{i j}(a), \rho_{j k}(b)\right]=\xi_{i k}(a b)$ when $i, j, k$ are distinct and $j \neq \sigma i$, where $b \in \Lambda$ or $b^{*} \in \Lambda$ if $k=\sigma j$.
(3) $\left[\xi_{i j}(a), \rho_{k, \sigma j}(b)\right]=\xi_{i, \sigma k}(c)$ when $i, j, \sigma k$ are distinct, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
c=-a \varepsilon^{*} b^{*} \quad \text { when } j, k \leqslant n ; \quad c=-a b^{*} \varepsilon \quad \text { when } n+1 \leqslant j, k ; \\
c=-a \varepsilon^{*} b^{*} \varepsilon \quad \text { when } j \leqslant n<k \text { or } k \leqslant n<j .
\end{gathered}
$$

The following matrices are in $E U_{2 n} R$.
$d_{A}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & \\ A^{*-1}\end{array}\right)$ where $A \in E_{n} R$, especially $w_{i j}=\binom{P_{i j}}{\left(P_{i j}^{*}\right)^{-1}}$ where $P_{i j}=\xi_{i j}(1) \xi_{j i}(-1) \xi_{i j}(1)$ $(1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n)$.

Set $\tilde{v}=v^{*} \varphi_{n}$ for $v \in R^{2 n}$. Let $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{2 n}\right\}$ denote the standard basis of $R^{2 n}$, i.e., $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{2 n}\right)=I_{2 n}$.

Let $\theta \in G L_{2 n} R$. By the definition of $U_{2 n} R$ and $G U_{2 n} R$ we have
Lemma 2.3. $\theta \in U_{2 n} R$ if and only if $u_{i}=\varepsilon^{*} \tilde{v}_{\sigma i}$ when $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and $u_{i}=\tilde{v}_{\sigma i}$ when $n+1 \leqslant$ $i \leqslant 2 n$, where $u_{i}$ is the ith row of $\theta^{-1}$ and $v_{\sigma i}$ is the $\sigma$ ith column of $\theta$.

Proof. We need only to point out that $\theta^{-1}=\varphi_{n}^{-1} \theta^{*} \varphi_{n}$ if and only if $\theta \in U_{2 n} R$.
Similarly, for the unitary similitudes $G U_{2 n} R$, we have

Lemma 2.4. $\theta \in G U_{2 n} R$ if and only if there is a $\mu \in \operatorname{Cent} R^{u}$ ( $R^{u}$, the set of invertible elements in $R$ ) such that $u_{i}=\mu \varepsilon^{*} \tilde{v}_{\sigma i}$ when $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and $u_{i}=\mu \tilde{v}_{\sigma i}$ when $n+1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2 n$, where $u_{i}$ is the ith row of $\theta^{-1}$ and $v_{\sigma i}$ is the $\sigma$ ith column of $\theta$.

Lemma 2.5. [6] Let $n \geqslant 2, g \in G L_{2 n} R$ such that $g E U_{2 n} R g^{-1} \subseteq U_{2 n} R$. Then $g$ belongs to $G U_{2 n} R$.

Lemma 2.6. Let $X$ be an overgroup of $E U_{2 n} R$ in $G L_{2 n} R$ and $n \geqslant 3$ :
(1) For Banach algebras $R$, if $X$ contains an elementary matrix $\xi_{i, \sigma i}(a)$ with $a \bar{\in} R^{\varepsilon}\left(\right.$ or $\left.a^{*} \bar{\in} R^{\varepsilon}\right)$, then $X$ contains a $\xi_{k l}(c)$ with $l \neq \sigma k$ and $c \in R$ except for the symplectic case, i.e., $*$ is identical on $R$ and $\varepsilon=-1$.
(2) If $X$ contains an elementary matrix $\xi_{i j}(a)$ with $j \neq \sigma i$ and $a \in R$, then $X$ contains $E_{2 n} J_{a}$ where $J_{a}$ is the ideal of $R$ generated by $a$ and $a^{*}$, so satisfies that $J_{a}=J_{a}^{*}$.

Proof. (1) Without loss of generality, suppose that $X$ contains $\xi_{1, n+1}(a)$ with $a^{*} \bar{\in} R^{\varepsilon}$. Then $X$ contains $\xi_{1, n+2}(-a) \xi_{2, n+1}(-a) \xi_{2, n+2}(-a)=\left[\xi_{1, n+1}(a), \rho_{21}(1)\right]$ and $\xi_{2, n+2}(a)=$ $w_{12} \xi_{1, n+1}(a) w_{12}$. Thus $\xi_{1, n+2}(-a) \xi_{2, n+1}(-a) \in X$ and $\xi_{2, n+1}\left(-\varepsilon^{*} a^{*}-a\right)=\xi_{2, n+1}(-a)$ $\xi_{1, n+2}(-a) \rho_{1, n+2}(a) \in X$ (note that $a^{*} \bar{\in} R^{\varepsilon}$ ). Since 2 is not torsion in Banach algebra, eventhough $*$ is identical on $R$ and $\varepsilon=1,-\varepsilon^{*} a^{*}-a \neq 0$.
(2) By Lemma 2.2, all $\xi_{i j}(a R), \xi_{i j}(R a)$ for $1 \leqslant i \neq j \leqslant n$ and $n+1 \leqslant i \neq j \leqslant 2 n$ lie in $X$. Further, $\xi_{i, \sigma i}(a b)=\left[\xi_{i j}(a), \rho_{j, \sigma i}(b)\right] \in X$ (also, $\left.\xi_{i, \sigma i}(b a) \in X\right)$, where $j \neq \sigma i$, for all $1 \leqslant$ $i \leqslant 2 n$; and $\xi_{i j}(a b)=\left[\xi_{i, \sigma j}(a), \xi_{\sigma j, j}(b)\right] \in X\left(\right.$ also, $\left.\xi_{i j}(b a) \in X\right)$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n, n+1 \leqslant$ $j \leqslant 2 n$ and $n+1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2 n, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n(j \neq \sigma i)$. That means $X$ contains $E_{2 n}(a R)$ and $E_{2 n}(R a)$. When $X$ contains $\xi_{i j}(a), X$ also contains $\xi_{\sigma j, \sigma i}\left(a^{\prime}\right)=\rho_{i j}(a) \xi_{i j}(-a)$, where $a^{\prime}=a^{*}$, or $a^{\prime}=\varepsilon^{*} a^{*}$, or $a^{\prime}=a^{*} \varepsilon$, or $a^{\prime}=\varepsilon^{*} a^{*} \varepsilon$. So, by the above argument we have that $X$ contains $E_{2 n} J_{a}$ and $J_{a}=J_{a}^{*}$.

It is obvious that if an overgroup $X$ of $E U_{2 n} R$ contains $E_{2 n} J$ where $J$ is an ideal of $R$, then $X$ contains the subgroup of $G L_{2 n} J$, which is denoted by $U E_{2 n}(R, J)$, generated by all elements of the form $\rho_{i j}(r) \xi_{k l}(a) \rho_{i j}(-r)$ with $a \in J$ and $r \in R$ for all $k \neq l, i \neq j$. Note that $U E_{2 n}(R, J)$ is different from $E U_{2 n}(R, J)$, the normal subgroup of $E U_{2 n} R$ generated by $E U_{2 n} J$ (see [11]), and that $E U_{2 n}(R, J) \subseteq U E_{2 n}(R, J)$.

Lemma 2.7. For any ideal $J$ of $R$ and $n \geqslant 2, U E_{2 n}(R, J)=E_{2 n}(R, J)$.
Proof. Since $U E_{2 n}(R, J) \subseteq E_{2 n}(R, J)$, we only need to show that $E_{2 n}(R, J) \subseteq U E_{2 n}(R, J)$. By the definition of $E_{2 n}(R, J)$ and the commutator formulas of elementary matrices, in fact, $E_{2 n}(R, J)$ is generated by $\xi_{i j}(r) \xi_{j i}(a) \xi_{i j}(-r)$ with $a \in J, r \in R$ for all $i \neq j$ (see [8]). We distinguish the following two cases on the index $(i, j)$ :
(1) $j \neq \sigma i$. In this case, we have $\xi_{i j}(r) \xi_{j i}(a) \xi_{i j}(-r)=\rho_{i j}(r) \xi_{j i}(a) \rho_{i j}(-r) \in U E_{2 n}(R, J)$.
(2) $j=\sigma i$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $n=2$ and $(i, j)=(1,3)$.

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{13}(r) \xi_{31}(a) \xi_{13}(-r)= & \left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+r a & -r a r \\
a & 1-r a
\end{array}\right) \oplus I_{2} \\
= & \xi_{14}\left(-r a \varepsilon^{*} r^{*}\right) \rho_{23}(r) \rho_{21}(-1) \xi_{12}(r a) \xi_{34}\left(-a \varepsilon^{*} r^{*}\right) \xi_{32}(a) \\
& \cdot\left(\rho_{23}(r) \rho_{21}(-1)\right)^{-1} \xi_{32}(-a) \xi_{12}(-r a) \xi_{24}\left(r \varepsilon^{*} a r^{*}\right) \in U E_{2 n}(R, J)
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2.8. The above result has been proved in [6, Lemma 12], but, here the proof is direct and simple.

Lemma 2.9. Suppose that $R$ is a Banach algebra with 1 . Let $X$ be an overgroup of $E U_{2 n} R$ which is not in $G U_{2 n} R$ and let $n \geqslant 3$. Then there exist an element $\theta$ in $X$ and an elementary unitary matrix $\rho_{i j}(r a)$ for all real number $r$ with sufficiently small $|r|$ such that $\theta \rho_{i j}(r a) \theta^{-1} \in X$ is not in $G U_{2 n} R$.

Proof. Note that when $n \geqslant 3 E U_{2 n} R=\left[E U_{2 n} R, E U_{2 n} R\right]$. If $\xi \in X$ satisfies: $\xi E U_{2 n} R \xi^{-1} \subseteq$ $G U_{2 n} R$, then $\xi E U_{2 n} R \xi^{-1}=\left[\xi E U_{2 n} R \xi^{-1}, \xi E U_{2 n} R \xi^{-1}\right] \subseteq U_{2 n} R$. So, by Lemma 2.5 there is $\xi \in X$ such that $\xi E U_{2 n} R \xi^{-1} \nsubseteq G U_{2 n} R$. Since $E U_{2 n} R$ is generated by $\rho_{i j}(a)$ with $a \in R$ when $j \neq \sigma i$ and $a \in R^{\varepsilon}$ (or $a^{*} \in R^{\varepsilon}$ ) when $j=\sigma i$, there is $\rho_{i j}(a)$ such that $\xi \rho_{i j}(a) \xi^{-1} \bar{\in} G U_{2 n} R$. Let $d=\operatorname{diag}\left(A, A^{*-1}\right)$ where $A=\binom{r}{r^{-1}} \oplus I_{n-2}$ and $r$ is a real number with sufficiently small $|r|$. Since $A \in E_{n} R, d \in E U_{2 n} R$. We have $d \rho_{i j}(a) d^{-1}=\rho_{i j}\left(r^{2} a\right)$ (note that $r^{*}=r$ for real numbers) and $d \xi d^{-1}=\theta \bar{\in} G U_{2 n} R$. It is obvious that $\theta \rho_{i j}\left(r^{2} a\right) \theta^{-1}=d \xi d^{-1} d \rho_{i j}(a) d^{-1} d \xi d^{-1}=$ $d \xi \rho_{i j}(a) \xi^{-1} d^{-1} \bar{\in} G U_{2 n} R$.

Form now, assume that $R$ is a Banach algebra with 1. For Banach algebras $R$ (with 1 ), if $x \in R$ with $\|x\|<1$, then $1+x$ is invertible (see [7]).

So, for any $a \in R$ we have $1+r a$ invertible for all real number $r$ with sufficiently small $|r|$ (see $[9,10])$. Moreover, we claim that.

For any finite set $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}$ in $R$, there exists a real number $r$ with sufficiently small $|r|$ such that $1+r b_{1}, \ldots, 1+r b_{k}$, where $b_{i}$ is a sum of some $a_{j}$ and some products of a finite number of $r a_{i}$ by $\left(1+r a_{j}\right)^{-1}(1 \leqslant j \leqslant i)$, are all invertible (denote the property by $\left.(\Delta)\right)$. In fact, $(1+r b)^{-1}$ is in a neighborhood of 1 when $|r|$ is sufficiently small.

Lemma 2.10. Let $X$ be an overgroup of $E U_{2 n} R$ in $G L_{2 n} R$ which is not in $G U_{2 n} R$ where $n \geqslant 4$. Then there is an element $\theta$ in $X$ which has the following form and is not in $G U_{2 n} R$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}, v_{n+1}, v_{n+2}, v_{n+3}, \ldots, v_{2 n}\right) \text { where } \\
& v_{1}=e_{1}+\alpha e_{n+1}=(1,0, \ldots, 0, \alpha, 0, \ldots, 0)^{t} \\
& v_{n+2}=e_{2}+b e_{n+1}+\beta e_{n+2}=(0,1,0, \ldots, 0, b, \beta, 0, \ldots, 0)^{t} \quad \text { and } \\
& v_{n+3}=e_{3}+c_{1} e_{n+1}+c_{2} e_{n+2}+\gamma e_{n+3}=\left(0,0,1,0, \ldots, 0, c_{1}, c_{2}, \gamma, 0, \ldots, 0\right)^{t} \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.9 there exist an element $\xi$ in $X$ and $\rho_{i j}(r a)$ with $r$ a real number with sufficiently small $|r|$ such that $\xi \rho_{i j}(r a) \xi^{-1}$ is not in $G U_{2 n} R$. When $j \neq \sigma i$, we have $\xi \rho_{i j}(r a) \xi^{-1}=$ $I_{2 n}+r v_{i} a u_{j}-r v_{\sigma j} a^{\prime} u_{\sigma i}$ with $a^{\prime}=a^{*}$ when $i, j \leqslant n ; a^{\prime}=\varepsilon^{*} a^{*}$ when $i \leqslant n<j ; a^{\prime}=a^{*} \varepsilon$ when $j \leqslant n<i$. When $j=\sigma i$, we have $\xi \rho_{i j}(r a) \xi^{-1}=I_{2 n}+r v_{i} a u_{\sigma i}$ with $a \in R^{\varepsilon}$ or $a^{*} \in R^{\varepsilon}$, where
$v_{i}$ is the $i$ th column of $\xi$ and $u_{j}$ is the $j$ th row of $\xi^{-1}$. But in any case, we can write $\xi \rho_{i j}(r a) \xi^{-1}$ as $I_{2 n}+r\left(a_{i j}\right)_{2 n \times 2 n}$.

Since $R$ is a Banach algebra, we may choose a real number $r$ with sufficiently small $|r|$ such that $1+r a_{11}, 1+r a_{n+2, n+2}^{\prime}, 1+r a_{n+3, n+3}^{\prime \prime}$, where $a_{n+2, n+2}^{\prime}\left(a_{n+3, n+3}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is a sum of $a_{n+2, n+2}\left(a_{n+3, n+3}^{\prime}\right)$ and a finite number of products of coefficients in $\left(a_{i j}\right)_{2 n \times 2 n}$ by $r$ or by $r\left(1+r a_{11}\right)^{-1}\left(r\left(1+r a_{n+2, n+2}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\right)$ (for example, $a_{n+2, n+2}^{\prime}=a_{n+2, n+2}-a_{1, n+2}\left(r a_{1, n+2}+\right.$ $\left.\left.r a_{n, n+2}+r\left(r a_{11}-r a_{n 1}\right)\left(1+r a_{11}\right)^{-1} a_{1, n+2}\right)\right)$, are all invertible. Now observe $\eta=I_{2 n}+$ $r\left(a_{i j}\right)_{2 n \times 2 n}$.

Since $1+r a_{11}$ is invertible, multiplying $\eta$ on the left by $\rho_{1 n}(-1) \rho_{n 1}\left(\left(r a_{11}-r a_{n 1}\right)\left(1+r a_{11}^{-1}\right)\right)$, then by $\prod_{i \neq n+1} \rho_{i 1}\left(-r a_{i 1}^{\prime}\right)$ where $r a_{i 1}^{\prime}$ are the coefficients in the first column of $\rho_{1 n}(-1) \rho_{n 1} \times$ $\left(\left(r a_{11}-r a_{n 1}\right)\left(1+r a_{11}\right)^{-1}\right) \eta$ (denote the product by $\eta_{1}$ and denote $\prod_{i \neq n+1} \rho_{i 1}\left(-r a_{i 1}^{\prime}\right) \eta_{1}$ by $\eta_{2}$ ), we have the first column $v_{1}$ of $\eta_{2}$ has the form $(1,0, \ldots, 0, \alpha, 0, \ldots, 0)^{t}$. Multiplying $\eta_{2}$ on the right by $\rho_{1, n+2}\left(-r a_{1, n+2}^{\prime}\right) \rho_{1, n+3}\left(-r a_{1, n+3}^{\prime}\right)$ (denote the product by $\eta_{3}$ ), we get that the first elements in the $(n+2)$ th, $(n+3)$ th columns of $\eta_{3}$ are zero. The $(n+2, n+2)$ - element $1+r a_{n+2, n+2}^{\prime}$ in $\eta_{3}$ is still invertible, multiplying $\eta_{3}$ on the left by $\rho_{2,2 n}\left(1-r a_{2, n+2}^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{*} h^{*} \varepsilon r a_{n, n+2}^{\prime}\right) \rho_{2 n, n+2}(h)$, where $h=\left(1-r a_{2 n, n+2}^{\prime}\right)\left(1+r a_{n+2, n+2}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$, then by $\prod_{i \neq 1, n+1, n+2} \rho_{i 2}\left(-r a_{i, n+2}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ (denote the product by $\eta_{4}$ ), we get that the $(n+2)$ th column $v_{n+2}$ of $\eta_{4}$ has the form $(0,1,0, \ldots, 0, b, \beta, 0, \ldots, 0)^{t}$ and the first column $v_{1}$ keeps its form. Multiplying $\eta_{4}$ on the right by $\rho_{n+2, n+3}\left(-r a_{2, n+3}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ (denote the product by $\eta_{5}$ ), we get that the second element of $(n+3)$ th column of $\eta_{5}$ is zero and the forms of $v_{1}, v_{n+2}$ in $\eta_{5}$ are not changed, since $1+r a_{n+3, n+3}^{\prime \prime}$ in $\eta_{5}$ is still invertible, continuing the above procedure, we may get an element $\theta$ in $X$ has the required form and is not in $G U_{2 n} R$.

## Remark 2.11.

(1) A vector $v \in R^{2 n}$ is said to be unitary if $v^{*} \varphi_{n} v=0$. If $v_{1}$ in $\theta$ is unitary, we may have $v_{1}=$ $(1,0, \ldots, 0,0, \ldots, 0)^{t}$; and if $v_{1}, v_{n+2}, v_{n+3}$ are all unitary, we may have $v_{1}=(1,0, \ldots$, $0,0, \ldots, 0)^{t}, v_{2}=(0,1, \ldots, 0, b, 0, \ldots, 0)^{t}, v_{3}=\left(0,0,1,0, \ldots, 0, c_{1}, c_{2}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)^{t}$. When $v_{1}$ is unitary, no necessary to multiply $\eta_{1}$ on the right by $\rho_{1, n+2}\left(-a_{1, n+2}^{\prime}\right) \rho_{1, n+3}\left(-a_{1, n+3}^{\prime}\right)$, we may get that $a_{1, n+2}^{\prime}=a_{1, n+3}^{\prime}=0$ by left multiplying $\eta_{2}$ by suitable elementary matrices.
(2) If $\alpha \bar{\in} R^{\varepsilon}$ in $v_{1}$, by Lemmas $2.3,2.4, \theta$ is certainly not in $G U_{2 n} R$.

## 3. Proof of the theorem

Let $X$ be an overgroup of $E U_{2 n} R$ in $G L_{2 n} R$ which is not in $G U_{2 n} R$ where $n \geqslant 4$. By the proof of Lemma 2.10, $X$ contains an element $\eta$ with the form $I_{2 n}+r\left(a_{i j}\right)_{2 n \times 2 n}$, where $r$ is a real number with sufficiently small $|r|$, which is not in $G U_{2 n} R$.

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that all columns of $\eta=I_{2 n}+r\left(a_{i j}\right)_{2 n \times 2 n}$ are unitary. Then $X$ contains an elementary matrix $\xi_{i j}(a)$ with $a \in R$ and $j \neq \sigma i\left(\xi_{i j}(a)\right.$ is not in $\left.G U_{2 n} R\right)$.

Proof. Since $\eta=I_{2 n}+r\left(a_{i j}\right)_{2 n \times 2 n}$ is not in $G U_{2 n} R$, there exists $\rho_{i j}(a)$, without loss of generality, assume that $\rho_{i j}(a)=\rho_{12}(1)$, such that $\xi=\eta \rho_{12}(1) \eta^{-1}=I_{2 n}+v_{1} u_{2}-v_{n+2} u_{n+1}$, where $v_{i}$ is the $i$ th column of $\eta$ and $u_{j}$ is the $j$ th row of $\eta^{-1}$, is not in $U_{2 n} R$. Note that $v_{1}$ and $v_{n+2}$ have the form $\left(1+r a_{11}, r a_{21}, \ldots, r a_{n+1,1}, \ldots, r a_{2 n, 1}\right)^{t}$ and $\left(r a_{1, n+2}, \ldots, r a_{n+1, n+2}, 1+r a_{n+2, n+2}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, r a_{2 n, n+2}\right)^{t}$, respectively. Refering the proof of Lemma 2.10 , we may find $\theta \in E U_{2 n} R$ such that $\theta v_{1}=(1,0, \ldots, 0,0, \ldots, 0)^{t}$ and $\theta v_{n+2}=(0,1,0, \ldots, 0, b, 0, \ldots, 0)^{t}$. Let $u_{2} \theta^{-1}=$ $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}, d_{n+1}, \ldots, d_{2 n}\right)$ and $u_{n+1} \theta^{-1}=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}, f_{n+1}, \ldots, f_{2 n}\right)^{t}$, respectively. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau & =\theta \xi \theta^{-1}=I_{2 n}+\theta v_{1} u_{2} \theta^{-1}-\theta v_{n+2} u_{n+1} \theta^{-1} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
1 & d_{2} & d_{3} & \cdots & d_{n} & \vdots & d_{n+1} & d_{n+2} & \cdots & d_{2 n} \\
& 1-f_{2} & -f_{3} & \cdots & -f_{n} & \vdots & -f_{n+1} & -f_{n+2} & \cdots & -f_{2 n} \\
& & 1 & & & \vdots & & & & \\
& & & \ddots & & \vdots & & & & \\
& & & & 1 & \vdots & & & & \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \\
0 & -h_{1} & -h_{2} & \cdots & -h_{n} & \vdots & 1-h_{n+1} & -h_{n+2} & \cdots & -h_{2 n} \\
& & & & & \vdots & & 1 & & \\
& & & & & \vdots & & & \ddots & \\
& & & & & \vdots & & & & 1
\end{array}\right) \in X, \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $h_{i}=b f_{i}(1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2 n)$.
(Note that (i) $\tau$ is not in $U_{2 n} R$; (ii) $\tau^{-1}=I_{2 n}-\theta v_{1} u_{2} \theta^{-1}+\theta v_{n+2} u_{n+1} \theta^{-1}$ has the same type (3.1) as $\tau$; (iii) since $u_{2} v_{1}=u_{n+1} v_{1}=0, d_{1}=f_{1}=0$.)
(a) If there is $f_{i} \neq 0$, or $d_{i} \neq 0$, or $h_{i} \neq 0$ for $2 \leqslant i \neq n+1, n+2 \leqslant 2 n$, without loss of generality saying $f_{3} \neq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{4, n+3}\left(-f_{3}\right)=\left[\rho_{42}(1),\left[\left[\tau, \rho_{24}(1)\right], \rho_{4, n+3}(1)\right]\right] \in X \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(if $f_{2} \neq 0$, then $\left.\xi_{34}\left(-f_{2}\right)=\left[\rho_{32}(1),\left[\left[\tau, \rho_{23}(1)\right], \rho_{34}(1)\right]\right] \in X\right)$.
(b) $d_{i}=f_{i}=h_{i}=0$ for all $2 \leqslant i \neq n+1, n+2 \leqslant 2 n$ in (3.1). In this case, if $h_{n+1}$ or $h_{n+2}$ is not zero, for example, $h_{n+1} \neq 0$, we may have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{24}\left(-h_{n+1}\right)=\left[\rho_{2, n+1}(1),\left[\left[\tau, \rho_{n+1,3}(1)\right], \rho_{34}(1)\right]\right] \in X \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, assume that $h_{n+1}=h_{n+2}=0$. Now if $d_{n+2} \neq \varepsilon^{*} f_{n+1}^{*}$, left multiplying $\tau$ by $\rho_{2, n+1}\left(f_{n+1}\right)$, we get that the $(1, n+2)$-coefficient in $\rho_{2, n+1}\left(f_{n+1}\right) \tau$ is $d_{n+2}-\varepsilon^{*} f_{n+1}^{*} \neq 0$ and we can show that $X$ contains an elementary matrix $\xi_{i j}(a)$ with $j \neq \sigma i$. Thus, suppose that $d_{n+2}=\varepsilon^{*} f_{n+1}^{*}$. Since $\rho_{2, n+1}\left(f_{n+1}\right) \tau$ is not in $U_{2 n} R$, there is at least one of $d_{n+1}^{*}, f_{n+2}^{*}$ not in $R^{\varepsilon}$ (for symplectic case, we must have $f_{n+1} \neq-d_{n+2}$ ). Modifying the proof of Lemma 2.6 a little, we can get that $X$ contains an elementary matrix $\xi_{i j}(a)$ with $j \neq \sigma i$.

Lemma 3.2. Suppose that there is at least one column which is not unitary in $\eta=I_{2 n}+$ $r\left(a_{i j}\right)_{2 n \times 2 n}$. Then $X$ contains an elementary matrix $\xi_{i j}(a)$ with $a \in R$ and $j \neq \sigma i\left(\xi_{i j}(a)\right.$ is not in $G U_{2 n} R$ ).

Proof. By Lemma 2.10. $X$ contains an element (still denote it by $\eta$ ) having the form (2.1). We may assume that $v_{n+2}$ in $\eta$ is not unitary, i.e., $\beta \neq 0$ in $v_{n+2}$ (see (2.1)), which without loss of generality. Keep the notation of $v_{1}, v_{n+2}$ and $v_{n+3}$ in (2.1) and let $u_{2}=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right.$, $\left.d_{n+1}, \ldots, d_{2 n}\right), u_{3}=\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}, g_{n+1}, \ldots, g_{2 n}\right)$ and $u_{n+1}=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}, f_{n+1}, \ldots, f_{2 n}\right)$, where $u_{j}$ is the $j$ th row of $\eta^{-1}$.

## Observe

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau & =\eta \rho_{12}(1) \eta^{-1}=I_{2 n}+v_{1} u_{2}-v_{n+2} u_{n+1} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
1+d_{1} & d_{2} & d_{3} & \cdots & d_{n} & \vdots & d_{n+1} & d_{n+2} & d_{n+3} & \cdots & d_{2 n} \\
-f_{1} & 1-f_{2} & -f_{3} & \cdots & -f_{n} & \vdots & -f_{n+1} & -f_{n+2} & -f_{n+3} & \cdots & -f_{2 n} \\
& & 1 & & & \vdots & & & & & \\
& & & \ddots & & \vdots & & & & & \\
& & & & 1 & \vdots & & & & & \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \\
r_{1} & r_{2} & r_{3} & \cdots & r_{n} & \vdots & 1+r_{n+1} & r_{n+2} & r_{n+3} & \cdots & r_{2 n} \\
-h_{1} & -h_{2} & -h_{3} & \cdots & -h_{n} & \vdots & -h_{n+1} & 1-h_{n+2} & -h_{n+3} & \cdots & -h_{2 n} \\
& & & & & \vdots & & & 1 & & \\
& & & & & \vdots & & & & \ddots & \\
& & & & & & & & & & 1
\end{array}\right) \in X, \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $r_{i}=\alpha d_{i}-b f_{i}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2 n ; h_{i}=\beta f_{i}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2 n$.
(a) If there is $d_{i} \neq 0$ or $f_{i} \neq 0$ for $3 \leqslant i \neq n+1, n+2 \leqslant 2 n$, we can obtain that $X$ contains an elementary matrix $\xi_{i j}(a)$ with $j \neq \sigma i$ by the same method in Lemma 3.1.
(b) Assume that $d_{i}=f_{i}=0$ for all $3 \leqslant i \neq n+1, n+2 \leqslant 2 n$ in the 2 nd row and $(n+1)$ th row of $\eta^{-1}$, respectively. Consider

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau^{\prime} & =\eta \rho_{13}(1) \eta^{-1}=I_{2 n}+v_{1} u_{3}-v_{n+3} u_{n+1} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
1+g_{1} & g_{2} & g_{3} & \cdots & g_{n} & \vdots & g_{n+1} & g_{n+2} & g_{n+3} & \cdots \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \vdots & 0 & g_{2 n} \\
-f_{1} & -f_{2} & 1 & \cdots & 0 & \vdots & -f_{n+1} & -f_{n+2} & 0 & \cdots \\
& & & \ddots & & \vdots & & & & 0 \\
& & & & 1 & \vdots & & & & \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
r_{1}^{\prime} & r_{2}^{\prime} & r_{3}^{\prime} & \cdots & r_{n}^{\prime} & \vdots & 1+r_{n+1}^{\prime} & r_{n+2}^{\prime} & r_{n+3}^{\prime} & \cdots \\
-h_{1}^{\prime} & -h_{2}^{\prime} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \vdots & -h_{n+1}^{\prime} & 1-h_{n+2}^{\prime} & 0 & \cdots \\
-l_{1}^{\prime} & -l_{2}^{\prime} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \vdots & -l_{n+1}^{\prime} & -l_{n+2}^{\prime} & 1 & \\
& & & & & \vdots & & & & \ddots
\end{array}\right) \in X, \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $r_{i}^{\prime}=\alpha g_{i}-c_{1} f_{i}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2 n ; h_{i}^{\prime}=c_{2} f_{i}, l_{i}^{\prime}=\gamma f_{i}, i=1,2, n+1, n+2$.

Same to (a), if there is $f_{i} \neq 0$ for $i=2, n+2$, or $g_{i} \neq 0$ for $4 \leqslant i \neq n+1, n+3 \leqslant 2 n$, we can obtain that an elementary matrix $\xi_{i j}(a)$ with $j=\sigma i$ lies in $X$. For instance, if $f_{n+2} \neq 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{n+2, n}\left(-f_{n+2}\right)=\left[\rho_{n+2,3}(1),\left[\tau^{\prime}, \rho_{n+2, n}(1)\right]\right] \in X \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) Investigating $\tau^{\prime \prime}=\eta \rho_{n+2,3}(1) \eta^{-1}=I_{2 n}+v_{n+2} u_{3}-\varepsilon v_{n+3} u_{2}$, we can show that if $d_{n+1} \neq 0$, then $X$ contains an elementary matrix $\xi_{i j}(a)$ with $j \neq \sigma i$ by the same method in Lemma 3.1.

Now, assume that $d_{i}=0$ for all $3 \leqslant i \neq n+2 \leqslant 2 n$ in the 2 nd row $u_{2}$ and $f_{i}=0$ for all $2 \leqslant$ $i \neq n+1 \leqslant 2 n$ in the $(n+1)$ th row of $\eta^{-1}$, respectively. Since $u_{2} v_{1}=u_{n+1} v_{1}=0$ and $d_{n+1}=0$, we have $d_{1}=0$ and $f_{1}+f_{n+1} \alpha=0$. Because $u_{n+1}$ is unimodular (a vector $u=\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)$ is called unimodular if there are $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n} \in R$ such that $\left.c_{1} d_{1}+\cdots+c_{n} d_{n}=1\right), f_{n+1}$ should be a unit in $R$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta & =\left[\eta, p_{31}(1)\right] \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \vdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \vdots & 0 & 0 & f_{n+1} & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & -d_{2} & 1 & \cdots & 0 & \vdots & 0 & -d_{n+2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
& & & \ddots & & \vdots & & & & & \\
& & & & 1 & \vdots & & & & & \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \\
& & & & & \vdots & 1 & 0 & b f_{n+1} & \\
& & & & & \vdots & & 1 & \beta f_{n+1} & \\
& & & & & \vdots & & & 1 & & \\
& & & & & & & & \ddots & \\
& & & & & & & & 1
\end{array}\right) \in X . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Write $\zeta=\rho_{32}\left(-d_{2}\right) \rho_{2, n+3}\left(f_{n+1}\right) \zeta^{\prime}$, then $\zeta^{\prime} \in X$. It is not difficult to show that if $b \neq 0$, or $\beta f_{n+1} \neq d_{2}^{*}$, or $d_{n+2} \neq \varepsilon^{*} f_{n+1}^{*}, X$ contains an elementary matrix $\xi_{i j}(a)$ with $j \neq \sigma i$. Otherwise, i.e., $b=0, \beta f_{n+1}=d_{2}^{*}$, and $d_{n+2}=\varepsilon^{*} f_{n+1}^{*}, \zeta^{\prime}=\xi_{3, n+3}\left(d_{2} f_{n+1}\right) \in X$. Since $d_{2}=$ $\varepsilon^{*} f_{n+1}^{*} \beta^{*} \varepsilon$ and $\beta^{*} \bar{\in} R^{\varepsilon}$, we have $d_{2} f_{n+1} \neq-\varepsilon^{*} f_{n+1}^{*} d_{2}^{*}$ (note that $f_{n+1}$ is invertible), so $\zeta^{\prime}=\xi_{3, n+3}\left(d_{2} f_{n+1}\right) \bar{\in} U_{2 n} R$. By Lemma $2.6, X$ contains an elementary matrix $\xi_{i j}(a)$ with $j \neq \sigma i$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $X$ be an overgroup of $E U_{2 n} R$ in $G L_{2 n} R$. Then there is a unique dual ideal $J$ of $R$ such that $E E U_{2 n} J=E U_{2 n} R \cdot E_{2 n}(R, J) \subseteq X$.

Proof. If $X \subseteq G U_{2 n} R$, then $E U_{2 n} R \cdot E E_{2 n}(R, 0)=E U_{2 n} R \subseteq X$.
Now suppose that $X \nsubseteq G U_{2 n} R$. By Lemma 2.10 there is $\eta=I_{2 n}+r\left(a_{i j}\right)_{2 n \times 2 n}$ with a real number $r$ with sufficiently small $|r|$ in $X$ which is not in $G U_{2 n} R$. By Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and 2.6, we may get $E_{2 n} J_{a}$ for some ideal $J_{a}$ of $R$ which is generated by $a$ and $a^{*}$ lies in $X$. Let $J=$ $\left\{x \in R \mid E_{2 n} J_{x} \subseteq X\right\}$. It is easy to show that $J$ is an ideal of $R$ and satisfies $J^{*}=J$. Thus $E U_{2 n} R \cdot E_{2 n}(R, J) \subseteq X$ by Lemma 2.7.

Denote $\bar{R}=R / J$, and $\bar{X}=\lambda_{J}(x)$, where $\lambda_{J}$ denotes the group homomorphism: $G L_{2 n} R \rightarrow$ $G L_{2 n}(R / J)$. We have $E U_{2 n} \bar{R} \subseteq \bar{X}$. If $\bar{X} \nsubseteq G U_{2 n} \bar{R}$, since $\bar{R}$ still satisfies property ( $\Delta$ ), there exists some $\xi_{i j}(\bar{a})$ with $j \neq \sigma i$ and $\overline{0} \neq \bar{a} \in \bar{R}$ in $\bar{X}$ by Lemmas 3.1, 3.2. Note that $a \bar{\in} J$. Thus there is $\theta \in X$ such that $\lambda_{J}(\theta)=\lambda_{J}\left(\xi_{i j}(a)\right)$. Take $\tau=\xi_{i j}(-a) \theta \in \operatorname{ker} \lambda_{J}$. Choose $\rho=\rho_{k, \sigma j}(1)$. By [9], $[\rho, \tau] \in E_{2 n}(R, J) \subseteq X$. Since $\xi_{i j}(a)[\rho, \tau] \xi_{i j}(-a) \in E U_{2 n}(R, J) \subseteq X$, we have

$$
\xi_{i, \sigma k}(c)=\left[\xi_{i j}(a), \rho_{k, \sigma j}(1)\right]=\xi_{i j}(a)\left[\rho_{k, \sigma j}(1), \tau\right] \xi_{i j}(-a)\left[\theta, \rho_{k, \sigma j}(1)\right] \in X
$$

where $c=-a \varepsilon^{*}$ when $j, k \leqslant n ; c=-a \varepsilon$ when $n+1 \leqslant j, k ; c=-a$ when $j \leqslant n<k$ or $k \leqslant$ $n<j$. This is contradictory to that $a \bar{\in} J$. Thus $\bar{X}$ must be in $G U_{2 n} \bar{R}$. Hence $J$ is maximal such that $E U_{2 n} R \cdot E_{2 n}(R, J) \subseteq X$, and is uniquely determined.

Now let us complete the proof of theorem.
By Lemma 3.3, we need only to show that $X \subseteq C G U_{2 n} J$. Since $J$ is the maximal ideal of $R$ such that $E_{2 n}(R, J) \subseteq X$ and $\lambda_{J}\left(E E U_{2 n} J\right)=E U_{2 n}(R / J), \lambda_{J}(X)$ should be in $G U_{2 n}(R / J)$ by the proof of Lemmas 3.3 and 2.5 , hence $X \subseteq \lambda_{J}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{J}(X)\right) \subseteq \lambda_{J}^{-1}\left(G U_{2 n}(R / J)\right)$. Since $X \subseteq$ $G L_{2 n} R$, so, $X \subseteq \lambda_{J}^{-1}\left(G U_{2 n}(R / J)\right) \cap G L_{2 n} R=\left\{g \in G L_{2 n} R \mid \lambda_{J}(g) \in G U_{2 n}(R / J)\right\}=C G U_{2 n} J$.
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