Physics Letters B 742 (2015) 266-273

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Physics Letters B

www.elsevier.com/locate/physletb

Noncommutative extension of AdS–CFT and holographic superconductors



Souvik Pramanik*, Sudipta Das, Subir Ghosh

Physics and Applied Mathematics Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, 203 B. T. Road, Kolkata 700108, India

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 21 October 2014 Received in revised form 15 January 2015 Accepted 27 January 2015 Available online 30 January 2015 Editor: L. Alvarez-Gaumé In this Letter, we consider a Non-Commutative (NC) extension of AdS-CFT correspondence and its effects on holographic superconductors. NC corrections are incorporated via the NC generalization of Schwarzschild black hole metric in AdS with the probe limit. We study NC effects on the relations connecting the charge density and the critical temperature of the Holographic Superconductors. Furthermore, condensation operator of the superconductor has been analyzed. Our results suggest that generically, NC effects increase the critical temperature of the holographic superconductor. © 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license

(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP³.

1. Introduction

In recent years AdS/CFT correspondence, proposed by Maldacena [1], has captured the attention of both High Energy and Condensed Matter theorists, since it can address issues in strongly interacting systems in the latter one (that are otherwise intractable in conventional Condensed Matter framework), by exploiting results obtained in weakly coupled systems in the former. In particular, there exists explicit mapping between relevant operators and parameters of a field theory in the bulk AdS spacetime to those of a Conformal Field Theory living in the (one dimension lowered) boundary. It was shown by Gubser [2] that a simple theory of Abelian Higgs model in AdS space can lead to a spontaneous symmetry breaking thereby inducing scalar hair near the black hole horizon. The AdS–CFT correspondence and its associated dictionary can lead to interesting analogies with thin-film superconductors. A still simpler variant that captures the essential physics of holographic superconductors was considered by Hartnoll, Herzog and Horowitz [3], who took the so-called probe limit where the Maxwell field and the scalar field do not generate back reactions on the metric. Operationally, this means that one can consider the effect of Schwarzschild-AdS metric on scalar and Maxwell fields, instead of taking the background metric to be Reissner–Nordstrom in AdS. In fact, afterwards the latter framework was studied in [4] (for detailed review see [5]).

In this perspective, our aim is to study the effects of Non-Commutative (NC) geometry on AdS–CFT correspondence and subsequently on the properties of holographic superconductors. In the present work, we focus on the probe limit and will pursue the full theory including back reactions in a later publication.

Noncommutativity in spacetime was introduced long ago by Snyder [6] in the hope of removing short distance singularities in quantum field theory, but it was not successful. Later on, NC field theory was resurrected by Seiberg and Witten [7], who demonstrated that in the low energy limit open strings, attached to *D*-branes, induced noncommutativity in the *D*-branes. In [7] rules were provided for extending QFTs to NC QFTs, where normal products between local fields were replaced by *-products so that NC QFTs could be studied perturbatively for small NC parameter θ . Furthermore, NC gauge theories had to be treated in a special way by incorporating the Seiberg–Witten map [7] (for a review see [8]). Recently Nicolini, Smailagic and Spalucci [9,10] have given a new NC extension of Schwarzschild metric by directly solving the Einstein's equation with a smeared matter source, which has the form of a Gaussian distribution that incorporate the NC effect as a minimum width θ . The black hole singularity was successfully removed in this scenario. In a sense, the original motivation of Snyder [6] was partly fulfilled albeit in a classical context. NC effects on salient features of black hole, such as Hawking radiation, have been studied using this θ -corrected metric [11]. In these analyses θ is the NC parameter. Hawking–Page crossover with such NC black

* Corresponding author.



E-mail addresses: souvick.in@gmail.com (S. Pramanik), sudipta_jumaths@yahoo.co.in (S. Das), subir_ghosh2@rediffmail.com (S. Ghosh).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.01.044

^{0370-2693/© 2015} The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP³.

hole metric in AdS has been studied in [12]. Recently, effects of noncommutativity on thermalization processes for the NC black hole backgrounds have been studied in [13].

In the present paper, we aim to study the bulk NC effect on holographic superconductors in the probe limit approximation. It is perhaps acceptable that (at least to the lowest non-trivial order of θ) NC effect does not change the asymptotic behaviors of bulk fields qualitatively. This means that the functional forms remain unchanged whereas the numerical parameters undergo the NC corrections. This allows us to use the same (canonical) AdS–CFT dictionary in order to compute the θ -corrected relation between the critical temperature and the charge density of the holographic superconductor and thereafter the condensate-temperature relation. As we have mentioned our results are valid in the probe limit domain.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we introduce the NC-AdS black hole metric and define the action for an Abelian gauge field (coupled with a scalar) in this NC spacetime background. In Section 3, we study the asymptotic behavior of the gauge and scalar fields. Depending on these we proceed to analyze the relation between the critical temperature and the charge density in Section 4. Afterward, in Section 5 we compute the critical exponents and condensation values. Finally we conclude with a discussion of our findings in Section 6.

2. Noncommutative black hole in AdS₄ and equation of motions

We start with the gravitational action in noncommutative AdS background, where gravity is coupled to a U(1) gauged charged scalar field ψ , given by

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{16\pi G} \left(R + \frac{6}{L^2} \right) - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - |\partial_\mu \psi - iq A_\mu \psi|^2 - m^2 |\psi|^2 \right].$$
(1)

In the above $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the metric tensor, *L* is the AdS radius, $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the Maxwell field and ψ is the scalar field of Higgs. Throughout this paper, we work in the system of natural units with $c = \hbar = k_B = 1$. As we have already discussed previously, the noncommutativity emerges here from both the matter and electromagnetic source terms, are smeared ones with Gaussian features as considered in [9,10,14]. The solution of the above action is given by a NC charged AdS_4 black hole metric [9,10,14],

$$ds^{2} = -f_{1}(r)dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{f_{1}(r)} + r^{2}d\Omega^{2};$$

$$f_{1}(r) = K - \frac{4MG}{r\sqrt{\pi}}\gamma\left(3/2, r^{2}/4\theta\right) + \frac{GQ^{2}}{\pi r^{2}}\left[\gamma^{2}\left(1/2, r^{2}/4\theta\right) - \frac{r}{\sqrt{2\theta}}\gamma\left(1/2, r^{2}/2\theta\right) + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\theta}}r\gamma\left(3/2, r^{2}/4\theta\right)\right] + \frac{r^{2}}{L^{2}},$$
(2)

where $\gamma(s, x) = \int_0^x t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt$ is the lower incomplete Gamma function and Q is the total charge of the black hole. In (2), K represents the curvature which take the values K = 0, +1, -1 corresponding to planar, spherical and hyperbolic spacetime respectively. For $\theta \to 0$ the above metric reduces to the usual Reissner–Nordstrom AdS_4 form. Since we are interested on asymptotic behavior of AdS, and as stipulated earlier we restrict ourselves to the probe limit, the Q^2 -dependent back reaction terms are not taken into account in $f_1(r)$. Therefore the metric (in probe limit) reduces to

$$ds^{2} = -f_{1}(r)dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{f_{1}(r)} + r^{2}d\Omega^{2},$$

$$f_{1}(r) = K - \frac{4MG}{r\sqrt{\pi}}\gamma\left(3/2, r^{2}/4\theta\right) + \frac{r^{2}}{L^{2}}.$$
(3)

The outer horizon radius r_+ for this black hole is obtained by solving

$$K - \frac{4MG}{r_+\sqrt{\pi}}\gamma(3/2, r_+^2/4\theta) + \frac{r_+^2}{L^2} = 0.$$
(4)

In this work, we intend to study the large black holes, i.e. where $\frac{r_{+}^{2}}{4\theta} \gg 1$, and hence the lower incomplete gamma function in (4) can be approximated by the exponential form [9]. Moreover, as our goal in this paper is to study the properties of a holographic superconductor through AdS–CFT correspondence, at the AdS boundary (where *r* is large and hence $\frac{r^{2}}{4\theta} \gg 1$, since θ is small), the exterior metric (3) becomes

$$ds^{2} = -f_{1}(r)dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{f_{1}(r)} + r^{2}(dx^{2} + dy^{2}),$$

$$f_{1}(r) = K - \frac{2MG}{r} + \frac{r^{2}}{L^{2}} + \frac{2MG}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}} e^{-\frac{r^{2}}{4\theta}}.$$
(5)

We consider gauge field A_{μ} to have only temporal component as it is customary [2,5], i.e. $A_{\mu} = (\phi(r), 0, 0, 0)$ and $\psi = \psi(r)$. With these assumptions, the action (1) simplifies to

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{16\pi G} \left(R + \frac{6}{L^2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} g^{rr} g^{tt} (\partial_r \phi)^2 - g^{rr} (\partial_r \psi) (\partial_r \psi^*) - g^{tt} \phi^2 |\psi|^2 - m^2 |\psi|^2 \right].$$
(6)

We now derive the equations of motion for the scaler fields ψ and the gauge potential ϕ from the action (6). The equation of motion for scalar field ψ is given by

$$\psi'' + \left(\frac{f_1'(r)}{f_1(r)} + \frac{2}{r}\right)\psi' - \frac{m^2}{f_1(r)}\psi + \frac{\phi^2}{f_1^2(r)}\psi = 0,\tag{7}$$

and the equation of motion for the gauge potential ϕ is given by

$$\phi'' + \frac{2}{r}\phi' - \frac{2|\psi|^2}{f_1(r)}\phi = 0.$$
(8)

Eqs. (7) and (8) are the governing equations of our noncommutative model.

3. Asymptotic behavior of ψ and ϕ

Let us study the asymptotic behavior of ψ and ϕ , as these are relevant in the AdS–CFT correspondence. In this context, we take the metric (5) to be asymptotic one and following [10,11] we expand the subsequent terms throughout this work to $O(\frac{2GM}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}}e^{-\frac{r^2}{4\theta}})$. Note that, though $1/\sqrt{\theta}$ is large (since θ is a non-zero small quantity and has an established upper bound [15]), in the asymptotic limit (for large r) the exponential damping term $e^{-\frac{r^2}{4\theta}}$ dominates over $1/\sqrt{\theta}$ and makes the overall quantity $(\frac{2GM}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}}e^{-\frac{r^2}{4\theta}})$ small enough, so that the above expansion remains valid. With this argument we expand the governing equations for ψ (7) and ϕ (8) to the first order of $O(\frac{2MG}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}}e^{-\frac{r^2}{4\theta}})$. First, notice that with this expansion, the second term of (7) can be expanded like

$$\left(\frac{f_1'(r)}{f_1(r)} + \frac{2}{r}\right)\psi = \left(\frac{f'(r)}{f(r)} + \frac{2}{r}\right)\psi - \left(\frac{f'(r)}{f^2(r)} + \frac{r}{2\theta f(r)}\right)\frac{2MG}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}}e^{-\frac{r^2}{4\theta}}\psi$$

where $f(r) = K - \frac{2MG}{r} + \frac{r^2}{L^2}$. Performing similar kinds of expansion for rest of the terms in (7) and (8) the governing equation for ψ and ϕ becomes

$$\psi'' + \left(\frac{f'(r)}{f(r)} + \frac{2}{r}\right)\psi' - \frac{m^2}{f(r)}\psi + \frac{\phi^2}{f^2(r)}\psi - \left(\left(\frac{f'(r)}{f^2(r)} + \frac{r}{2\theta f(r)}\right)\psi' - \frac{m^2}{f^2(r)}\psi + \frac{2\phi^2}{f^3(r)}\psi\right)\frac{2MG}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}}e^{-\frac{r^2}{4\theta}} = 0$$
(9)

and

$$\phi'' + \frac{2}{r}\phi' - \frac{2|\psi|^2}{f(r)}\phi + \frac{2|\psi|^2}{f^2(r)} \times \frac{2MG}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}}e^{-\frac{r^2}{4\theta}}\phi = 0.$$
(10)

As we are interested on studying the asymptotic behavior of the fields, we can consider a further approximation $\frac{1}{f(r)} \approx \frac{L^2}{r^2}$ (considering terms up to $\frac{1}{r^2}$ only), so that no higher order terms than $O(\theta/r^2)$ appear in the final equations; asymptotically $r^2/4\theta \gg 1$ is equivalent to the limit where θ/r^2 is very small. With this approximation, the above equation for ψ can be written (keeping terms only up to $\frac{1}{r^2}$) as

$$\psi'' + \frac{4}{r}\psi' + \frac{2}{r^2}\psi - \left(\frac{L^2}{2\theta r}\psi'\right)\frac{2MG}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}}e^{-\frac{r^2}{4\theta}} = 0,$$
(11)

where we have used the relation $m^2 L^2 = -2$ [2]. It is known that $\psi = \frac{C}{r} + \frac{D}{r^2}$ is a solution of the equation $\psi'' + \frac{4}{r}\psi' + \frac{2}{r^2}\psi = 0$. Therefore we assume that the solution of (11) to be of the form $\psi = \frac{C}{r} + \frac{D}{r^2} + \frac{2MG}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}}e^{-\frac{r^2}{4\theta}}\psi_1$. Substituting this into (11) we see that the differential equation for ψ_1 becomes

$$\psi_1'' + \left(\frac{4}{r} - \frac{r}{\theta}\right)\psi_1' + \left(\frac{r^2}{4\theta^2} - \frac{5}{2\theta} + \frac{2}{r^2}\right)\psi_1 = \frac{L^2}{2\theta r}\psi_0' = -\frac{L^2}{2\theta r}\left(\frac{C}{r^2} + \frac{D}{r^3}\right) \approx 0,$$
(12)

where again we have considered terms up to $O(\frac{1}{r^2})$. The solution of (12) is given by $\psi_1 = (\frac{E}{r} + \frac{F}{r^2})e^{\frac{r^2}{4\theta}}$. Therefore the asymptotic behavior of ψ can be expressed as

$$\psi = \frac{C}{r} + \frac{D}{r^2} + \frac{2MG}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}} e^{-\frac{r^2}{4\theta}} \psi_1 = \frac{C}{r} + \frac{D}{r^2} + \frac{2MG}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}} \left(\frac{E}{r} + \frac{F}{r^2}\right) = \frac{\psi^-}{r} + \frac{\psi^+}{r^2},$$
(13)

where $\psi^- = C + \frac{2MG}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}}E$ and $\psi^+ = D + \frac{2MG}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}}F$. For later analysis we set $\psi^+ = 0$ and $\psi^- \simeq \langle J \rangle$ [16]. It is interesting to see from (13) that the θ -dependent part has the same structure as the usual one where $\theta = 0$. Using the same approximation $\frac{1}{f(r)} \approx \frac{L^2}{r^2}$ and substituting (13) in (10), while considering terms up to $\frac{1}{r^2}$, we finally get the equation

for ϕ in the form

$$\phi'' + \frac{2}{r}\phi' = 0, \tag{14}$$

with the solution given by

$$\phi = \mu - \frac{\rho}{r}.\tag{15}$$

The constants μ and ρ are interpreted respectively as chemical potential and charged density. From (15), it is observed that μ and ρ are not affected by noncommutativity.

268

4. Relation between critical temperature and charge density

If we change the radial coordinate from *r* to *z* by the transformation $z = \frac{r_+}{r}$, then the above governing equations for ψ (9) and ϕ (10) becomes:

$$\psi'' + \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}\psi' - \frac{m^2r_+^2}{z^4f(z)}\psi + \frac{r_+^2\phi^2}{z^4f^2(z)}\psi + \left(\left(-\frac{f'(z)}{f^2(z)} + \frac{r_+}{2\theta z^3f(z)}\right)\psi' + \frac{m^2r_+^2}{z^4f^2(z)}\psi - \frac{2r_+^2\phi^2}{z^4f^3(z)}\psi\right) \times \frac{2MG}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}}e^{-\frac{r_+^2}{4\theta z^2}} = 0$$
(16)
$$\psi'' - \frac{2r_+^2|\psi|^2}{z^4f^2(z)}\psi - \frac{2r_+^2|\psi|^2}{z^4f^2(z)}\psi - \frac{2r_+^2\phi^2}{z^4f^3(z)}\psi + \frac{r_+^2\phi^2}{z^4f^3(z)}\psi - \frac{2r_+^2\phi^2}{z^4f^3(z)}\psi + \frac{r_+^2\phi^2}{z^4f^3(z)}\psi + \frac{r_+^2\phi^2}$$

$$\phi'' - \frac{z^{+} + r^{+}}{z^{4} f(z)} \phi + \frac{z^{+} + r^{+}}{z^{4} f^{2}(z)} \frac{z^{+} \sigma}{\sqrt{\pi \theta}} e^{-\frac{z^{+} + r^{+}}{4\theta z^{2}}} \phi = 0,$$
(17)

where the dashes represents the derivative with respect to *z*. In order to obtain the relation between the critical temperature T_c and charge density ρ , we follow the technique exploited in [16]. At the critical temperature $T = T_c$, the scalar field ψ vanishes, i.e. $\psi = 0$, for which Eq. (17) becomes

$$\phi'' = 0 \implies \phi = a + bz. \tag{18}$$

By the transformation $z = \frac{r_+}{r}$ the solution region changes from $r_+ \le r < \infty$ to $1 \ge z > 0$. Since the horizon $f_1(r) = 0$ is at $r = r_+$, by this transformation the horizon becomes at z = 1 and asymptotic boundary becomes at z = 0. At $T = T_c$, from the asymptotic solution of ϕ (15) we get the following relation: $\phi'(z) = -\frac{\rho}{r_{+c}}$ (r_{+c} is the radius of the horizon at $T = T_c$). Comparing (18) with this expression we have $b = -\frac{\rho}{r_{+c}}$. Applying the horizon boundary condition $\phi(z = 1) = 0$ in (18) we have $a = -b = \frac{\rho}{r_{+c}}$. Thus, the expression for the scalar potential ϕ at the critical temperature $T = T_c$ can be written as

$$\phi = \frac{\rho}{r_{+c}} (1 - z) \approx \lambda r_{+c} (1 - z), \quad \lambda = \frac{\rho}{r_{+c}^2}.$$
(19)

We are now going to investigate the boundary behavior of the scalar field ψ as $T \rightarrow T_c$. Substituting the above form of the scalar potential ϕ (19) into (16) we have,

$$\psi'' + \left[\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} + \left(-\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)^2} + \frac{r_+^2}{2\theta z^3 f(z)}\right)\frac{2MG}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}}e^{-\frac{r_+^2}{4\theta z^2}}\right]\psi' - \frac{r_+^2m^2}{z^4 f(z)}\left[1 - \frac{1}{f(z)}\frac{2MG}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}}e^{-\frac{r_+^2}{4\theta z^2}}\right]\psi$$
$$= -\lambda^2 \cdot \frac{r_+^4}{z^4 f(z)^2}(1-z)^2\left[1 - \frac{2}{f(z)}\frac{2MG}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}}e^{-\frac{r_+^2}{4\theta z^2}}\right]\psi,$$
(20)

where $f(z) = K - \frac{2MG}{r_+}z + \frac{r_+^2}{L^2z^2}$. In order to study the behavior of ψ near the asymptotic boundary $(z \to 0)$ we can define (as $T \to T_c$) [16],

$$\psi(z) = \frac{\langle J \rangle}{\sqrt{2}r_+} zF(z), \tag{21}$$

where F(z) satisfies the boundary condition F(0) = 1 and F'(0) = 0. Substituting (21) in the above equation (20) we have

$$F''(z) + \left[\frac{2}{z} + \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} + \left(-\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)^2} + \frac{r_+^2}{2\theta z^3 f(z)}\right)\frac{2MG}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}}e^{-\frac{r_+^2}{4\theta z^2}}\right]F'(z) + \left[\frac{f'(z)}{zf(z)} - \frac{r_+^2m^2}{z^4 f(z)} + \left(-\frac{f'(z)}{zf(z)^2} + \frac{r_+^2m^2}{z^4 f(z)^2} + \frac{r_+^2}{2\theta z^4 f(z)}\right)\frac{2MG}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}}e^{-\frac{r_+^2}{4\theta z^2}}\right]F(z) + \lambda^2 \times \frac{r_+^4(1-z)^2}{z^4 f(z)^2} \left[1 - \frac{2}{f(z)}\frac{2MG}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}}e^{-\frac{r_+^2}{4\theta z^2}}\right]F(z) = 0.$$
(22)

Now it is straightforward to cast (22) into a Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem of the generic form [16]

$$T(z)F'(z) - Q(z)F(z) + \lambda^2 P(z)F(z) = 0$$
(23)

where

$$T(z) = r_{+}L^{2}z^{2}f(z) \times e^{\frac{1}{f(z)} \times \frac{2MG}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}}e^{-\frac{r_{+}^{2}}{4\theta z^{2}}}},$$

$$Q(z) = -r_{+}L^{2}\left(zf'(z) - \frac{m^{2}r_{+}^{2}}{z^{2}} + \left(-\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{m^{2}r_{+}^{2}}{z^{2}f(z)} + \frac{r_{+}^{2}}{2\theta z^{2}}\right)\frac{2MG}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}}e^{-\frac{r_{+}^{2}}{4\theta z^{2}}}\right) \times e^{\frac{1}{f(z)} \times \frac{2MG}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}}e^{-\frac{r_{+}^{2}}{4\theta z^{2}}}},$$

$$P(z) = \frac{r_{+}^{5}L^{2}}{z^{2}f(z)}(1-z)^{2}\left(1-\frac{2}{f(z)} \times \frac{2MG}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}}e^{-\frac{r_{+}^{2}}{4\theta z^{2}}}\right)e^{\frac{1}{f(z)} \times \frac{2MG}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}}e^{-\frac{r_{+}^{2}}{4\theta z^{2}}}}e^{-\frac{r_{+}^{2}}{4\theta z^{2}}}$$
(24)

where $f(z) = K - \frac{2MG}{r_+}z + \frac{r_+^2}{L^2z^2}$. For a given choice of F(z), the explicit form of λ^2 that can be obtained from the above expression (23) is given by

S. Pramanik et al. / Physics Letters B 742 (2015) 266-273

$$\lambda^{2} = \frac{\int_{0}^{1} (T(z)\{F'(z)\}^{2} + Q(z)\{F(z)\}^{2})dz}{\int_{0}^{1} P(z)\{F(z)\}^{2}dz}.$$
(25)

The structure of F(z) can be chosen to be $F(z) = 1 - cz^2$ [3,5] which satisfies the boundary conditions F(0) = 1 and F'(0) = 0. Here, *c* is the minimization parameter. In order to study the properties of the superconductivity we have to minimize the above expression of λ (25) with respect to *c* and obtain λ_{\min} .

We would like to mention a crucial point here: for the usual planar (K = 0) AdS scenario without noncommutativity (i.e. for $\theta = 0$), we have $f(r) = -\frac{2MG}{r} + \frac{r^2}{L^2}$. The horizon radius r_+ can be calculated from $f(r_+) = 0$, which gives $r_+^3 = 2MG$ (by considering the conventional choice L = 1 for AdS–CFT correspondence). Thus one can obtain the expression of λ^2 using (23) (in probe limit) as

$$\lambda^{2} = \frac{\int_{0}^{1} [(1-z^{3})(-2cz)^{2} + z(1-cz^{2})^{2}]dz}{\int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-z)}{1+z+z^{2}}(1-cz^{2})^{2}dz}.$$
(26)

Note that *M* is canceled out from the numerator and the denominator of (26) and r_+ does not appear explicitly. Thus the expression (26) is independent of the choice of *M*, and as well as of r_+ since $r_+^3 = 2MG$. However, in this NC AdS scenario, the temporal component of metric tensor g_{tt} is given by (5), using which the horizon radius is calculated from the relation $f_1(r_+) = 0$ (for K = 0, L = 1) as follows:

$$r_{+}^{3} = 2MG \left(1 - \frac{r_{+}}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}} e^{-\frac{r_{+}^{2}}{4\theta}} \right).$$
(27)

It is clear from the r.h.s. of (27), that r_+ depends on M as well as on θ . Using the form $f(z) = -\frac{2MG}{r_+}z + \frac{r_+^2}{z^2}$ and the relations (24), (25) the expression for the eigenvalue λ turns out to be

$$\lambda^{2} = \frac{\int_{0}^{1} \left[\left(1 - z^{3} - \frac{r_{+}}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}} e^{-\frac{r_{+}^{2}}{4\theta}} + z^{2} \frac{r_{+}}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}} e^{-\frac{r_{+}^{2}}{4\theta z^{2}}} \right) (-2cz)^{2} + z \left(1 - \frac{r_{+}^{3}}{2\theta z^{3}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}} e^{-\frac{r_{+}^{2}}{4\theta z^{2}}} \right) (1 - cz^{2})^{2} \right] dz}{\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{1 + z + z^{2}} \left[1 - z + \frac{2z^{3} - 1}{1 + z + z^{2}} \frac{r_{+}}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}} e^{-\frac{r_{+}^{2}}{4\theta z^{2}}} - \frac{z^{2}}{1 + z + z^{2}} \frac{r_{+}}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}} e^{-\frac{r_{+}^{2}}{4\theta z^{2}}} \right] (1 - cz^{2})^{2} dz}.$$
(28)

Clearly in this case, the eigenvalue λ not only depends on M and r_+ , but also on θ . Subsequent results, such as ζ (the coefficient of $\sqrt{\rho}$ in the relation between the critical temperature T_c and the charge density ρ) then becomes M-dependent as well as θ -dependent. This is a highly non-trivial feature of the NC AdS model considered here. We speculate that it hints at a generalized form of AdS–CFT duality where the holographic superconductor may have other parameters, besides the charge density and chemical potential, as generally associated with it.

In the present noncommutative scenario the Hawking temperature T_H [17] is related to the horizon radius r_+ by the relation

$$T_{H} = \frac{3r_{+}}{4\pi L^{2}} \left(1 + \frac{r_{+}}{3\sqrt{\pi\theta}} e^{-\frac{r_{+}^{2}}{4\theta}} - \frac{r_{+}^{3}}{6\sqrt{\pi\theta^{3/2}}} e^{-\frac{r_{+}^{2}}{4\theta}} \right).$$
(29)

Substituting $r_{+c} = \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{\lambda}}$ from (19) in to the above expression we have the relation between the critical temperature and charged density as

$$T_{c} = \frac{3}{4\pi L^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{\lambda_{\min}}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{3\sqrt{\pi\theta}} \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{\lambda_{\min}}} e^{-\frac{\rho}{4\theta\lambda_{\min}}} - \frac{1}{6\theta^{3/2}\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{\rho}{\lambda_{\min}}\right)^{3/2} e^{-\frac{\rho}{4\theta\lambda_{\min}}} \right).$$
(30)

The above relation constitutes one of our principal results. From (30) we see that T_c not only depends on λ_{\min} and ρ , but also on noncommutative parameter θ . The linear order part (the part containing first order of $\sqrt{\rho}$) of (30) is given by

$$T_c = \frac{3}{4\pi L^2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{\lambda_{\min}}} \equiv \zeta \sqrt{\rho}, \quad \zeta = \frac{3}{4\pi \lambda_{\min} L^2}.$$
(31)

In Fig. 1 we have plotted (the red one) the NC corrected critical temperature T_c against the charged density ρ . We have taken $\theta = 0.5$ for the graph but we have checked that the structure of the curve remains same for any choice of θ . One can see from this plot that for small values of charged density ρ the red NC curve (30) has some fluctuating behavior around its linear order part (31). For $\rho \le 0.91$ the functional value of NC T_c is greater than its linear part, but thereafter becomes lower and remains so. In fact, its value becomes less than compared to the normal one (in picture this is dashed one for which $T_c = 0.225\sqrt{\rho}$) in the range $1.98 \le \rho \le 5.63$. Thereafter its value continuously increases with ρ and comes closer to its linear part ($\sqrt{\rho}$). However, since our intention is to study the superconductivity, so we are interested only in the increasing behavior of the critical temperature above normal case. Therefore, for the sake of brevity of calculations, here we concentrate on (31). From (28), one can now determine numerical value of λ_{\min} and analyze (31), as studied in [3,16]. In Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4 we have given some numerical estimate of ζ corresponding to the different values of λ_{\min} , which further depends on the horizon radius r_+ and NC parameter θ .

5. Critical exponent and condensation value

In this section, we are going to construct the condensation value of the condensation operator *J* near the critical temperature $T = T_c$. In order to do that, we substitute (21) in (17) which gives

270



Fig. 1. Here the dashed curve represents the usual relation between the critical temparature T_c and charged density ρ as $T_c = 0.225\sqrt{\rho}$. The red and the blue curves correspond to the NC relation (30) and its linear order contribution (31) respectively. In this NC scenario we got the minimum value of λ as $\lambda_{min} \approx 0.83$. From this we get $\zeta = 0.25$. The blue and red curves are plotted by using these values of λ_{min} and ζ for $\theta = 0.5$. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Table 1

Here we have considered G = 1. From the above table one can see that for a large mass black holes, the relation between the critical temperature and charged density $(T_c = \zeta \sqrt{\rho})$ remains unchanged for different values of θ .

θ	М	r_+	С	λ^2	ζ	γ
0.5	$10^3 \sqrt{\theta}/G$	11.22462	0.238901	1.26832	0.225	8.07
0.1	$10^3 \sqrt{\theta}/G$	3.984220	0.238901	1.26832	0.225	8.07
0.01	$10^3 \sqrt{\theta}/G$	5.848036	0.238901	1.26832	0.225	8.074

Table 2

Here we have considered G = 1. From the above table one can see that for a fixed value of $\theta = 0.5$, as M decreases the critical temperature first rises (as $T_c = \zeta \sqrt{\rho}$) above the normal value 0.225 and reaches to maximum value ($0.25\sqrt{\rho}$) and then decreases.

θ	М	r_+	С	λ^2	ζ	γ
0.5	$40\sqrt{\theta}/G$	3.836269	0.23811	1.26683	0.225	8.07
0.5	$5\sqrt{\theta}/G$	1.683034	0.132345	1.04226	0.236	7.71
0.5	$2.75\sqrt{\theta}/G$	1.290122	0.082962	0.827768	0.250	7.63
0.5	$0.5\sqrt{\theta}/G$	0.7314238	0.245897	1.12218	0.232	8.1
0.5	$0.2\sqrt{ heta}/G$	0.559243	0.2757998	1.265862	0.225	8.2

Table 3

Here we have considered G = 1. From the above table one can see that for a fixed value of $\theta = 0.1$, as M decreases the critical temperature first rises (as $T_c = \zeta \sqrt{\rho}$) above the normal value 0.225 and reaches to maximum value $(0.25\sqrt{\rho})$ and then decreases.

θ	М	r_+	С	λ^2	ζ	γ
0.1	$8\sqrt{\theta}/G$	1.715632	0.23811	1.26683	0.225	8.07
0.1	$\sqrt{\theta}/G$	0.752676	0.0927152	0.943411	0.242	7.62
0.1	$0.55\sqrt{\theta}/G$	0.576960	0.082962	0.827768	0.250	7.63
0.1	$0.1\sqrt{\theta}/G$	0.327102	0.245898	1.12218	0.232	8.1
0.1	$0.04\sqrt{ heta}/G$	0.250101	0.275800	1.265862	0.225	8.2

Table 4

Here we have considered G = 1. From the above table one can see that for a fixed value of $\theta = 0.01$, as *M* decreases the critical temperature first rises (as $T_c = \zeta \sqrt{\rho}$) above the normal value 0.225 and reaches to maximum value ($0.25\sqrt{\rho}$) and then decreases.

θ	М	<i>r</i> ₊	С	λ^2	ζ	γ
0.01	$0.8\sqrt{\theta}/G$	0.542530	0.23811	1.26683	0.225	8.07
0.01	$0.1\sqrt{\theta}/G$	0.238017	0.0927151	0.94341	0.242	7.62
0.01	$0.055\sqrt{\theta}/G$	0.182451	0.082462	0.827768	0.250	7.63
0.01	$0.01\sqrt{\theta}/G$	0.103439	0.245897	1.12218	0.232	8.10
0.01	$0.004\sqrt{\theta}/G$	0.079089	0.275799	1.265862	0.225	8.2

$$\phi^{\prime\prime} = \frac{\langle J \rangle^2}{r_+^2} B(z) \phi(z)$$

where $B(z) = \frac{r_+^2 F^2(z)}{z^2 f(z)} - \frac{r_+^2 F^2(z)}{z^2 f^2(z)} \frac{2MG}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}} e^{-\frac{r_+^2}{4\theta z^2}}$. At $T = T_c$, we know that $\psi = 0$ and $\phi = \lambda r_{+c}(1-z)$ is the solution of $\phi''(z) = 0$. Therefore, for a temperature T close to T_c we can consider the solution of (32) to be of the form

(32)

$$\frac{\phi}{r_{+}} = \lambda(1-z) + \frac{\langle J \rangle^2}{r_{+}^2} \chi(z), \tag{33}$$

where $\frac{\langle j \rangle^2}{r_+^2}$ is a small parameter and $\chi(z)$ satisfies the boundary condition $\chi'(1) = \chi(1) = 0$. Substituting the above relation (33) in (32) we get the differential equation for χ as,

$$\chi''(z) = \lambda r_{+}^{2} (1-z) \frac{F^{2}(z)}{z^{2} f(z)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{f(z)} \frac{2MG}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}} e^{-\frac{r_{+}^{2}}{4\theta z^{2}}} \right)$$
(34)

Again, since (15) represents the asymptotic behavior of ϕ , thus near the asymptotic boundary we can write

$$\frac{\mu}{r_{+}} - \frac{\rho}{r_{+}^{2}} z = \lambda(1-z) + \frac{\langle J \rangle^{2}}{r_{+}^{2}} \chi(z) = \lambda(1-z) + \frac{\langle J \rangle^{2}}{r_{+}^{2}} (\chi(0) + z\chi'(0) + \ldots),$$
(35)

where we have expanded $\chi(z)$ about z = 0. Comparing the coefficients of z from both sides we have

$$-\frac{\rho}{r_{+}^{2}} = -\lambda + \frac{\langle J \rangle^{2}}{r_{+}^{2}} \chi'(0).$$
(36)

Now integrating (34) between 0 to 1 and using the boundary condition $\chi'(1) = 0$, we have the expression for $\chi'(0)$ as

$$\chi'(0) = -\lambda \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-cz^2)^2}{(1+z+z^2)} \left(1 - \frac{r_+}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}} \frac{z^2 (ze^{-\frac{r_+^2}{4\theta}} - e^{-\frac{r_+^2}{4\theta z^2}})}{1-z^3} \right) dz \equiv -\lambda \mathcal{A}.$$
(37)

Substituting $\chi'(0)$ from (37) in (36) and using the relations $\lambda = \frac{\rho}{r_{+c}^2}$ and $T_c = \frac{3r_{+c}}{4\pi}$ (considering linear order term (31)) we finally obtain the expression for condensation operator J for $T \rightarrow T_c$ as

$$\langle J \rangle = \gamma T_c \sqrt{1 - \frac{T}{T_c}}$$
(38)

where $\gamma = \frac{4\sqrt{2}\pi}{3\sqrt{A}}$. This relation (38) is crucial for further study of other properties of the noncommutative holographic superconductor.

where $\gamma = \frac{4\gamma \sqrt{\lambda}}{3\sqrt{A}}$. This relation (38) is crucial for further study of other properties of the honcommutative honographic superconductor. Numerical estimates of γ are provided below in Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4. Note that the governing equations (9) and (10) of the scaler potential ψ and the scaler field ϕ are obtained by considering the first order terms of $O(\frac{2GM}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}}e^{-\frac{r^2}{4\theta}})$. If we expand $\frac{1}{f_1(r)}$ up to second order of $O(\frac{2GM}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}}e^{-\frac{r^2}{4\theta}})$, then there will be some additional terms in (9) and (10). Though these terms do not change the asymptotic behavior of ϕ , ψ and Eq. (19), but affect the minimum value of λ and $\chi'(0)$ through (25) and (37) respectively. Thus the values of ζ and γ will be affected due to the second order contributions. Considering the values of θ and r_+ from the tables one can obtain the maximum value of $(\frac{2GM}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}}e^{-\frac{r^2}{4\theta}})^2 \approx O(10^{-2})$. Since the numerical values of ζ , γ are approximately between 0.22–0.25 and 8.2–7.6 respectively, the $O(10^{-2})$ corrections will affect these numerical values very slightly, thus for all practical purposes are negligible.

6. Summary and discussion

In this paper, we have considered a NC charged AdS black hole and a scalar field coupled to gravity, thus introducing a hairy black hole. First we have studied the asymptotic behavior of the gauge and scalar field and explicitly show that there are no effects of noncommutativity on the physical parameters like charge density and chemical potential. Then we proceed to analyze the modified relation between critical temperature and charge density. Moreover, we have studied modified expressions for critical exponents and condensation values in this noncommutative context.

We have provided some numerical estimates in Tables 1, 2, 3, 4. We consider the established upper bound of θ to be $\theta \le (10 \text{ TeV})^{-2}$ [15]. In [9] the black hole mass *M* is related to θ by $M \approx \sqrt{\theta}/G$ where the Newton's constant *G* has been reinstated. This yields $M \approx$ 10^{33} GeV, which, however is far below the mass of the astrophysical black holes. In Table 1 we have taken different values of θ to be lower than the above bound [15] and the corresponding black hole masses to be considerably larger than that in [9]. Expectedly for very large black hole and for different values of θ the relation $T_c = 0.225 \sqrt{\rho}$ [3] is recovered.

However, from Tables 2, 3 and 4 it is clear that for the same values of θ , the critical temperature rises above the normal ($\theta = 0$) case for different black hole masses (being chosen nearby the mass of the black hole considered in [9]). Interestingly, from Table 2, we find that for $\theta = 0.5$ and $M = 2.75 \sqrt{\theta}/G$ (which is close to the mass $M = 2.4 \sqrt{\theta}/G$ considered in [9]) the value of ζ turns out to be $\zeta = 0.25$, which is appreciably larger than the $\theta = 0$ result ($\zeta = 0.225$ [3]), indicating a larger critical temperature. Furthermore, one of the interesting finding from Tables 2, 3, 4 is that, the critical temperature rise above the value 0.225 if the mass of the Black hole M lies within the interval $x \in [0.4, 80]$, where $x = \frac{GM}{\theta^{3/2}}$ Length⁻². Moreover, if the Black hole mass is such that x is around x = 55 then the critical temperature is maximum since $\zeta \approx 0.25$. And for larger M, i.e. if x > 80 then Table 1 shows that ζ stabilizes to 0.225.

It will be interesting to consider non-zero vector potential in the noncommutative framework to study conductivity and other properties of the holographic superconductors. Furthermore, in the noncommutative extension considered here, we have confined ourselves in the probe limit (to neglect the backreaction of charged hair into the metric) to study the properties of holographic superconductor. It will be highly interesting to study these properties without considering the probe limit, which is our next goal.

272

Acknowledgement

We thank Dibakar Roychowdhury for helpful discussions.

References

- [1] J. Maldacena, et al., Phys. Rep. 323 (2000) 183.
- [2] S.S. Gubser, Phys. Rev. D 78 (2008) 065034.
- [3] S.A. Hartnoll, C.P. Herzog, G.T. Horowitz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 (2008) 031601.
- [4] S.A. Hartnoll, C.P. Herzog, G.T. Horowitz, J. High Energy Phys. 0812 (2008) 015.
- [5] G.T. Horowitz, Lect. Notes Phys. 828 (2011) 313-347.
- [6] H.S. Snyder, Phys. Rev. 71 (1947) 38.
- [7] N. Seiberg, E. Witten, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (1999) 032.
- [8] M.R. Douglas, N.A. Nekrasov, Rev. Mod. Phys. 73 (2001) 977–1029;
 R.J. Szabo, Phys. Rep. 378 (2003) 207;
 R. Banerjee, B. Chakraborty, S. Ghosh, P. Mukherjee, S. Samanta, Found. Phys. 39 (2009) 1297–1345.
- Banerjee, B. Chakraborty, S. Ghosh, P. Muknerjee, S. Samanta, Found. Phys. 39 (2009) 1297–1345
 P. Nicolini, A. Smailagic, E. Spallucci, Phys. Lett. B 632 (2006) 547;
- P. Nicolini, arXiv:hep-th/0510203; For a review see P. Nicolini, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 24 (2009) 1229.
- [10] A. Smailagic, E. Spallucci, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 22 (2013) 1350010.
- [11] R. Banerjee, S. Gangopadhyay, S.K. Modak, Phys. Lett. B 686 (2010) 181.
- [12] P. Nicolini, G. Torrieri, J. High Energy Phys. 1108 (2011) 097.
- [13] X. Zeng, X. Liu, W. Liu, arXiv:1407.5262 [hep-th].
- S. Ansoldi, P. Nicolini, A. Smailagic, E. Spallucci, Phys. Lett. B 645 (2007) 261;
 E. Spallucci, A. Smailagic, P. Nicolini, Phys. Lett. B 670 (2009) 449.
- [15] Z. Guralnik, R. Jackiw, S.Y. Pi, A.P. Polychronakos, Phys. Lett. B 517 (2001) 450.
- [16] S. Gangopadhyay, D. Roychowdhury, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2012) 002;
- S. Gangopadhyay, D. Roychowdhury, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2012) 156.
- [17] S.W. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. 43 (1975) 199.