

Erratum

Erratum to “On Heller lattices over ramified extended orders”  
[J. Pure Appl. Algebra 202 (2005) 55–71]

Shigeto Kawata

*Department of Mathematics, Osaka City University, Osaka 558-8585, Japan*

Received 28 January 2007; received in revised form 28 August 2007

Available online 27 December 2007

Communicated by I. Reiten

We use the same notation as in [1]. Let  $(K, \varphi) \supset (K', \varphi')$  be an extension of complete discrete valuation fields, and let  $\mathcal{O}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{O}'$ ) be the valuation ring of  $\varphi$  (resp.  $\varphi'$ ) with unique maximal ideal  $(\pi)$  (resp.  $(\pi')$ ) and the residue class field  $k = \mathcal{O}/(\pi)$  (resp.  $k' = \mathcal{O}'/(\pi')$ ). Let  $\Lambda'$  be an  $\mathcal{O}'$ -order and set  $\Lambda = \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}'} \Lambda'$ . We denote by  $\bar{\Lambda}$  and  $\bar{\Lambda}'$  the  $k$ -algebra  $\Lambda/\pi\Lambda$  and the  $k'$ -algebra  $\Lambda'/\pi'\Lambda'$ , respectively. For a  $\bar{\Lambda}$ -module  $M$ , the kernel  $Z$  of the projective cover  $P$  of  $M$  viewed as a  $\Lambda$ -module is called the *Heller lattice* of  $M$ :  $0 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  (exact).

In the argument for proving the indecomposability of certain Heller lattices, the author carelessly estimated that  $p_1(Q) \oplus p_2(Q) = \langle \alpha_i + \beta_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq h \rangle \Lambda$  [1, Section 2, page 62, line 12]. (We only have that  $p_1(Q) \oplus p_2(Q) \supseteq \langle \alpha_i + \beta_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq h \rangle \Lambda$  at present.) Thus the proof of Lemma 2.8 of [1] is not valid as it is. The aim of this note is to give a corrected proof of the following [1, Theorem 2.9].

**Theorem.** *Assume that the ramification index of  $\varphi$  over  $\varphi'$  is greater than or equal to 3, and  $\bar{\Lambda}'/\text{Rad}(\bar{\Lambda}')$  is separable. Suppose that a non-projective indecomposable  $\bar{\Lambda}$ -module  $M$  is realizable over  $k'$ . Then the Heller  $\Lambda$ -lattice  $Z$  of  $M$  is indecomposable.*

Throughout this note, we assume the hypotheses in the above theorem, so  $\pi' \in \pi^3\mathcal{O}$  and  $k \otimes_{k'} (\bar{\Lambda}'/\text{Rad}(\bar{\Lambda}'))$  is semisimple and isomorphic to  $\bar{\Lambda}/\text{Rad}(\bar{\Lambda})$ . We keep the original notation used in Sections 1, 2 of [1]. Let  $I'$  be an  $\mathcal{O}'$ -pure sublattice of  $\Lambda'$  such that  $\text{Rad}(\Lambda') = \pi'\Lambda' + I'$ . Define an  $\mathcal{O}$ -pure sublattice  $I$  of  $\Lambda$  as follows:

$$I = \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}'} I'.$$

Note that  $\text{Rad}(\Lambda) = \pi\Lambda + I$  by our assumption that  $\text{Rad}(\bar{\Lambda}) = k \otimes_{k'} \text{Rad}(\bar{\Lambda}')$ . Let  $M$  be a  $\bar{\Lambda}$ -module and suppose that  $M$  is realizable over  $k'$ . Let  $P$  be a projective cover of  $M$  regarded as a  $\Lambda$ -module. Let  $\ell$  be the Loewy length of  $P/\pi P$  viewed as a  $\bar{\Lambda}$ -module, and let  $d_i$  be the  $k$ -dimension of the  $(i+1)$ -st top  $(PI^i + \pi P)/(PI^{i+1} + \pi P)$  of  $P/\pi P$  for  $0 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$ .

**Lemma 1** ([1, Lemma 1.2]).  *$P$  has an  $\mathcal{O}$ -basis  $\bigcup_{0 \leq i \leq \ell - 1} \{x_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq d_i\}$  satisfying the following conditions for each  $0 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$ :*

- (i)  $\{x_{i,j} + (PI^{i+1} + \pi P) \mid 1 \leq j \leq d_i\}$  is a  $k$ -basis for the  $(i+1)$ -st top of  $P/\pi P$ ;

- (ii)  $\{x_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq d_i\} \subset \mathcal{O}\langle x_{0,j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq d_0 \rangle I^i$ ;
- (iii)  $x_{i,j} \Lambda \subset P_{[i]} + \pi' P$  and  $x_{i,j} I \subset P_{[i+1]} + \pi' P (\subseteq P_{[i+1]} + \pi^3 P)$ ,

where  $P_{[i]} (0 \leq i \leq \ell - 1)$  are  $\mathcal{O}$ -submodules of  $P$  defined as follows [1, Section 2]:

$$P_{[i]} = \bigoplus_{s=i}^{\ell-1} \mathcal{O}\langle x_{s,t} \mid 1 \leq t \leq d_s \rangle.$$

We recall that  $P$  has another basis  $\{a_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\} \cup \{b_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  such that

$$Z := \pi P + \mathcal{O}\langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle = \mathcal{O}\langle \pi a_1, \dots, \pi a_m \rangle \oplus \mathcal{O}\langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle$$

is the Heller lattice of  $M$ . See Proposition 2.2 of [1]. Also, put

$$Q = \pi P \quad \text{and} \quad Y = \text{Rad}(Q) + \mathcal{O}\langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle.$$

Note that  $Y$  is a  $\Lambda$ -submodule of  $Z$  [1, Lemma 2.3].

Now, we define a subset  $E_Y$  of the endomorphism ring  $\text{End}_\Lambda(Z)$  of  $Z$  by

$$E_Y = \{f \in \text{End}_\Lambda(Z) \mid \text{Im} f \subseteq Y\}.$$

Note that  $\pi \text{End}_\Lambda(Z) \subset E_Y$ . From the following fact,  $E_Y$  is a two-sided ideal of  $\text{End}_\Lambda(Z)$ .

**Lemma 2** ([1, Lemma 1.4]). *For any  $\Lambda$ -endomorphism  $f$  of  $Z$ ,  $f(Y) \subseteq Y$ .*

The following easy fact will be used later.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $g : Q (= \pi P) \rightarrow Z$  be a  $\Lambda$ -homomorphism. Then  $g$  extends (uniquely) to a  $\Lambda$ -endomorphism of  $Z$  if and only if  $g(\pi b_i) \in \pi Z$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . In particular, a  $\Lambda$ -endomorphism  $g$  of  $Q$  extends (uniquely) to a  $\Lambda$ -endomorphism of  $Z$  if and only if  $g(\pi b_i) \in \pi Z$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .*

Put  $Q = \bigoplus_{i=1}^h e_i \varepsilon_i \Lambda$ , where  $e_i (1 \leq i \leq h)$  are generators of  $Q$  and  $\varepsilon_i (1 \leq i \leq h)$  are primitive idempotents of  $\Lambda$  with  $e_i \Lambda \cong \varepsilon_i \Lambda$ . Let  $f$  be a  $\Lambda$ -endomorphism of  $Z$  and suppose that  $f \in E_Y$ . Then each  $f(e_i) (1 \leq i \leq h)$  can be written as

$$f(e_i) = f(e_i \varepsilon_i) = y_i + \pi q_i = y_i \varepsilon_i + \pi q_i \varepsilon_i$$

for some  $y_i = y_i \varepsilon_i \in (P_{[1]} + \pi^2 Q) \cap Z$  and some  $\pi q_i = \pi q_i \varepsilon_i \in \pi Q$  since both  $(P_{[1]} + \pi^2 Q) \cap Z$  and  $\pi Q$  are  $\Lambda$ -submodules of  $Z$ . Define  $\Lambda$ -endomorphisms  $g$  and  $h$  of  $Z$  by  $g(e_i) = y_i (1 \leq i \leq h)$  and by  $h(e_i) = \pi q_i (1 \leq i \leq h)$ , respectively. (Indeed,  $h \in \text{End}_\Lambda(Z)$  by Lemma 3 and so  $g = f - h \in \text{End}_\Lambda(Z)$ .) Then  $g, h \in E_Y$  and  $f = g + h$ . Note that  $g$  and  $h$  satisfy the following conditions:

- (\*)  $g(\pi x_{0,j}) = \sum_{1 \leq t \leq d_0} x_{0,t} \sigma_{j,t}$  for some  $\sigma_{j,t} \in I + \pi^3 \Lambda (1 \leq j \leq d_0)$ ;
- (\*\*)  $h(Q) \subseteq \pi Q$ .

**Lemma 4.**  $E_Y / \pi \text{End}_\Lambda(Z)$  is a nilpotent ideal of  $\text{End}_\Lambda(Z) / \pi \text{End}_\Lambda(Z)$ .

**Proof.** If  $g \in E_Y$  satisfies the condition (\*), then

$$g((P_{[i]} + \pi Z) \cap Z) \subseteq (P_{[i+1]} + \pi Z) \cap Z = (P_{[i+1]} \cap Z) + \pi Z$$

for  $0 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$ . Indeed, an element  $z \in P_{[i]} \cap Z$  is written as  $z = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d_0} x_{0,j} \delta_j$  for some  $\delta_j \in \mathcal{O}\langle I^i \rangle$  by Lemma 1(ii), and so we have

$$g(z) = \sum_{1 \leq j, t \leq d_0} \pi^{-1} x_{0,t} \sigma_{j,t} \delta_j \in \pi^{-1} (P_{[i+1]} + \pi^3 P) \cap Z$$

by Lemma 1 (iii) since  $\sigma_{j,t} \delta_j \in \mathcal{O}\langle I^{i+1} \rangle + \pi^3 \Lambda$  and  $g(z) \in Z$ . Hence homomorphic images of compositions of  $\ell \Lambda$ -endomorphisms of  $Z$  satisfying (\*) are contained in  $\pi Z$ . Moreover, if  $g \in E_Y$  satisfies (\*), then  $g \circ h$  and  $h \circ g$  also satisfy (\*) for any  $h \in E_Y$  satisfying the condition (\*\*). If both  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  in  $E_Y$  satisfy (\*\*), then  $[h_1 \circ h_2](Q) \subseteq \pi^2 Q$  and  $[h_1 \circ h_2](Z) \subseteq \pi Z$ . Thus we see that  $E_Y^{2\ell} \subseteq \pi \text{End}_\Lambda(Z)$ .  $\square$

With regard to the decomposition

$$Z/\pi Z = (\mathcal{O}\langle \pi a_1, \dots, \pi a_m \rangle + \pi Z)/\pi Z \oplus (\mathcal{O}\langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle + \pi Z)/\pi Z \cong M \oplus \Omega M$$

in Proposition 2.2(2) of [1],  $i_M$  and  $i_{\Omega M}$  denote the inclusions from  $M$  and  $\Omega M$  to  $Z/\pi Z \cong M \oplus \Omega M$  respectively, and  $p_M$  and  $p_{\Omega M}$  denote the projections from  $Z/\pi Z$  onto  $M$  and  $\Omega M$  respectively. For  $f \in \text{End}_\Lambda(Z)$ , define  $\bar{f} \in \text{End}_{\bar{\Lambda}}(Z/\pi Z)$  by  $\bar{f}(z + \pi Z) = f(z) + \pi Z (z \in Z)$ . The following holds from Lemma 2.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $f \in \text{End}_\Lambda(Z)$ . Then  $f \in E_Y$  if and only if  $\text{Im}(p_M \circ \bar{f} \circ i_M) \subseteq \text{Rad}(M)$ . In particular, if  $p_M \circ \bar{f}_1 \circ i_M = p_M \circ \bar{f}_2 \circ i_M$  for  $f_1, f_2 \in \text{End}_\Lambda(Z)$ , then  $f_1 - f_2 \in E_Y$ .*

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $\psi$  be a  $\bar{\Lambda}$ -endomorphism of  $M$ . Then there exists a  $\Lambda$ -endomorphism  $f$  of  $Z$  such that  $\psi = p_M \circ \bar{f} \circ i_M$ .*

**Proof.** Note that  $M \cong Q/\pi Z$  and  $\pi Z = \pi Q + \mathcal{O}\langle \pi b_1, \dots, \pi b_n \rangle$ . Consider

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q & \xrightarrow{\varpi} & Q/\pi Z \\ & & \downarrow \psi \\ Q & \xrightarrow{\varpi} & Q/\pi Z \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where  $\varpi$  is a natural surjection. Since  $Q (\cong P)$  is projective, there exists a  $\Lambda$ -endomorphism  $f$  of  $Q$  with  $\varpi \circ f = \psi \circ \varpi$ . Then  $\psi$  coincides with the  $\bar{\Lambda}$ -endomorphism of  $Q/\pi Z (\cong M)$  defined by mapping  $q + \pi Z$  to  $f(q) + \pi Z (q \in Q)$ . Since  $f(\pi b_i) \in \pi Z$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $f$  extends to a  $\Lambda$ -endomorphism of  $Z$  by Lemma 3, and the statement holds.  $\square$

By Lemma 6, for each  $\bar{\Lambda}$ -endomorphism  $\psi$  of  $M$ , we can choose a  $\Lambda$ -endomorphism  $f_\psi$  of  $Z$  such that  $p_M \circ \bar{f}_\psi \circ i_M = \psi$ . By Lemma 5, a map  $\Psi$  from  $\text{End}_{\bar{\Lambda}}(M)$  to  $\text{End}_\Lambda(Z)/E_Y$  is well defined by the rule

$$\Psi(\psi) = f_\psi + E_Y \quad (\psi \in \text{End}_{\bar{\Lambda}}(M)).$$

**Lemma 7.**  *$\Psi$  is a surjective ring homomorphism.*

**Proof.** Since  $\Psi(p_M \circ \bar{f} \circ i_M) = f + E_Y$  for any  $f \in \text{End}_\Lambda(Z)$ ,  $\Psi$  is surjective.

Let  $\psi, \mu \in \text{End}_{\bar{\Lambda}}(M)$ . Then  $p_M \circ (\bar{f}_\mu \circ \bar{f}_\psi - \overline{f_{\mu \circ \psi}}) \circ i_M = p_M \circ \bar{f}_\mu \circ (i_M \circ p_M + i_{\Omega M} \circ p_{\Omega M}) \circ \bar{f}_\psi \circ i_M - p_M \circ \bar{f}_{\mu \circ \psi} \circ i_M = \mu \circ \psi + p_M \circ \bar{f}_\mu \circ i_{\Omega M} \circ p_{\Omega M} \circ \bar{f}_\psi \circ i_M - \mu \circ \psi$  and we have  $\text{Im}(p_M \circ (\bar{f}_\mu \circ \bar{f}_\psi - \overline{f_{\mu \circ \psi}}) \circ i_M) \subseteq p_M \circ \bar{f}_\mu(\Omega M)$ . Since  $\bar{f}(\Omega M) \subseteq \text{Rad}(M) \oplus \Omega M$  for any  $f \in \text{End}_\Lambda(Z)$  by Lemma 2, we see that  $f_\mu \circ f_\psi - f_{\mu \circ \psi} \in E_Y$  by Lemma 5 and  $\Psi$  is a ring homomorphism.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem.** As  $M$  is indecomposable,  $\text{End}_{\bar{\Lambda}}(M)$  is local and so is  $\text{End}_\Lambda(Z)/E_Y$  by Lemma 7. Thus  $\text{End}_\Lambda(Z)$  is also local by Lemma 4.  $\square$

**References**

[1] S. Kawata, On Heller lattices over ramified extended orders, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 202 (2005) 55–71.