

## A Linear Bound for $\rho(n)$ \*

Thomas Michael Keller

*Department of Mathematics, University of Mainz, Staudingerweg 9,  
55099 Mainz, Germany*

*Communicated by Gernot Stroth*

Received August 25, 1994

### I. INTRODUCTION

This paper continues the examination of the maximal number  $\rho(n)$  of different primes that may occur in the order of a finite solvable group  $G$  whose elements all have orders divisible by at most  $n$  different primes. We define

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(n) &:= \text{number of different primes dividing } n \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}), \\ \sigma(G) &:= \max\{\sigma(o(g)) \mid g \in G\}, \\ \rho(n) &:= \max\{\sigma(|G|) \mid G \text{ a finite solvable group with } \sigma(G) = n\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then by [1] and [5] we already know that

$$\rho(n) \leq \frac{n(n+3)}{2} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and in [3] we have improved the bound asymptotically to

$$\rho(n) \leq Cn \ln(n) \quad \text{for all } n > 1 \text{ and a constant } C > 0.$$

Here we want to give a linear bound for  $\rho(n)$  in  $n$ ; we will show

$$\rho(n) \leq C(n)n \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

\* This work is part of the author's doctoral dissertation at the University of Mainz.

where  $C(n) > 4$  for all  $n$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C(n) = 4.$$

Clearly a linear bound is asymptotically best possible, and our upper bound is not too bad, because by [2, 1.11] we know that  $\rho(n) \geq 3n$  for infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## II. RESULTS AND PROOFS

**GENERAL HYPOTHESIS.** *All groups considered in this paper are finite and solvable.*

At first we remember the reader of the definition of  $\sigma$ -reduced groups and some of their properties.

1. **DEFINITION.** Let  $G = P_1 \cdots P_m$  (for an  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ) with  $P_i \in \text{Syl}_{p_i} G$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ;  $p_i$  mutually distinct prime numbers). For  $i < j$  assume that  $P_i \leq N_G(P_j)$  and that each  $P_i$  is isomorphic to a principal factor of  $G$ . Then we let  $M_G := \{P_1, \dots, P_m\}$  and call  $G$   $\sigma$ -reduced.

2. **DEFINITION.** Let  $G$  be a group all Sylow subgroups of which are elementary abelian. Then we define

$$e(G) := \min\{\dim_{\text{GF}(p)} P \mid p \text{ prime number and } 1 < P \in \text{Syl}_p G\}.$$

3. **LEMMA.**

- (a) *Every  $G$  possesses a  $\sigma$ -reduced subgroup  $U$  with  $\sigma(|U|) = \sigma(|G|)$ .*
- (b) *If  $G$  is  $\sigma$ -reduced and  $P, Q \in M_G$  with  $P \leq N_G(Q)$ , then  $P$  acts either faithfully or trivially on  $Q$ .*
- (c) *Let  $G$  be  $\sigma$ -reduced. Then*

$$G^{(i)}/G^{(i+1)} \cong P_{i,1} \times \cdots \times P_{i,n_i} \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, dl(G) - 1,$$

where  $P_{i,l} \in M_G$  for all  $i, l$ . Furthermore, if  $j, k \in \{0, \dots, dl(G) - 1\}$  with  $j < k$ , and if  $P \in M_G$  with  $P \leq G^{(k)}/G^{(k+1)}$ , then there exists a  $Q \leq G^{(j)}/G^{(j+1)}$  (in  $M_G$ ) such that  $Q$  acts faithfully on  $P$ .

(d) *Let  $G = AH$  be a Frobenius group with cyclic complement  $A = \langle a \rangle$  and elementary abelian kernel  $H$ . Moreover, let  $V$  be a faithful  $G$ -module over a field of a characteristic not dividing  $|G|$ . Then  $e(V) \geq |A| = o(a)$ .*

(e) *Let  $P$  be an elementary abelian  $p$ -group ( $p$  a prime) which acts faithfully on a vector space  $V$  over  $\text{GF}(q)$  for a prime power  $q$ . Then  $e(P) \leq \dim_{\text{GF}(q)} V$ .*

*Proof.* See [2, 3, and 4]. ■

Now we turn towards our theorem, but we want to describe the methods for finding elements with many prime divisors in their order a little more generally than is needed to obtain our main result, because they may be of interest.

In the rest of the paper, for  $\sigma$ -reduced groups  $G$  we will use the following notation:

$$G^{(i)}/G^{(i+1)} \cong P_{i,1} \times \cdots \times P_{i,n_i} =: H_i \leq G^{(i)} \quad \text{for } i \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

where  $P_{j,k} \in M_G$  for all  $j, k$ ; in particular,

$$n_i = \sigma(G^{(i)}/G^{(i+1)}) \quad \text{for } i \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

and obviously  $H_i \leq N_G(H_j)$  for  $i < j$ .

If there is more than one  $\sigma$ -reduced group involved, we write  $H_i = H_i(G)$ ,  $n_i = n_i(G)$ , and  $P_{i,j} = P_{i,j}(G)$ .

4. LEMMA. *Let  $G$  be  $\sigma$ -reduced, and put  $n_i := n_i(G)$  for all  $i$ . Let  $s \in \{0, \dots, dl(G) - 1\}$  be fixed, and let  $U$  be an abelian subgroup of  $G$  with  $U \leq G/G^{(s+1)}$  and the following property:*

*If  $P_0$  is any Sylow subgroup of  $U$  and  $P_0 \leq P \in M_G$ , and if  $P$  acts faithfully on exactly  $t$  Sylow subgroups of  $G^{(s)}$  out of  $M_G$ , then  $e(P_0) \geq t + 1$ . (This hypothesis is fulfilled, for example, if  $e(U) \geq \sigma(|G^{(s)}|) + 1$ ; possibly  $U = 1$ ). Then there exist a  $\sigma$ -reduced  $H \leq G^{(s)}$  and an  $x \in U$  with the following properties:*

- (1)  $\sigma(o(x)) = \sigma(U)$ ,
- (2)  $H \leq C_G(x)$ ,
- (3)  $dl(H) = dl(G) - s$  and  $n_i(H) = n_{i+s}$  for  $i = 0, \dots, dl(H) - 1$ .

*Proof.* To prove the lemma we claim the following:

(\*) We put  $n'_i := n_{i+s}$  for  $i = 0, \dots, dl(G) - s - 1$ . Then for all  $j \in \{0, \dots, dl(G) - s - 1\}$  and for all  $k \in \{0, \dots, n'_j\}$  there exist a  $\sigma$ -reduced group  $H_{j,k} \leq G^{(s)}/G^{(s+j+1)}$  and a group  $U_{j,k} \leq U$  with the following properties:

(1')  $\sigma(U_{j,k}) = \sigma(U)$ . If  $P_0$  is any Sylow subgroup of  $U_{j,k}$  and  $P_0 \leq P \in M_G$ , and if  $P$  acts faithfully on exactly  $t$  Sylow subgroups out of the set  $\{Q \in M_G \mid Q \leq G^{(s)} \text{ and } Q \cap H_{j,k} = 1\}$ , then  $e(P_0) \geq t + 1$ .

(2')  $[U_{j,k}, H_{j,k}] = 1$ .

(3')  $H_{j,k}^{(i)}/H_{j,k}^{(i+1)} \cong Q_{i,1} \times \cdots \times Q_{i,n'_i}$  for  $i = 0, \dots, j - 1$ , and finally  $H_{j,k}^{(j)} = Q_{j,1} \times \cdots \times Q_{j,k}$ , where  $1 < Q_{i,l} \in M_{H_{j,k}}$  and  $Q_{i,l} \leq P_{i+s,l}$  for all  $i, l$ .

In particular, in case of  $i < j$  we have  $H_{i,l_1} \leq H_{j,l_2}$  for any possible  $l_1, l_2$ , and if  $k_1 \leq k_2$  ( $\leq n'_j$ ), we have  $H_{j,k_1} \leq H_{j,k_2}$  for all  $j$ . Furthermore note that  $H_{j,n'_j} = H_{j+1,0}$  for all  $j$ .

If (\*) is shown, then we apply it with  $j = dl(G) - s - 1, k = n'_{dl(G)-s-1} = n_{dl(G)-1}$  and set  $H := H_{dl(G)-s-1, n_{dl(G)-1}}$  and  $U^* := U_{dl(G)-s-1, n_{dl(G)-1}}$ . As by (1'),  $\sigma(U^*) = \sigma(U)$ , we find an  $x \in U^*$  with  $\sigma(\sigma(x)) = \sigma(U)$ . Then (1) is fulfilled, and (2'), (3') also imply (2), (3), so we are done.

*Proof of (\*).* We proceed by induction on  $j$ :

$j = 0$ : We construct the  $U_{0,k}, H_{0,k}$  ( $k = 0, \dots, n'_0$ ) by induction on  $k$ :

$k = 0$ : Take  $U_{0,0} := U$  and  $H_{0,0} := 1$ .

$k \rightarrow k + 1$ : Suppose that for a  $k \in \{0, \dots, n'_0 - 1\}$  we already have  $U_{0,k}, H_{0,k}$ .

Then we find  $U_{0,k+1}, H_{0,k+1}$  as follows: Let  $1 < S_{0,k+1} \leq P_{s,k+1}$  be an irreducible  $U_{0,k}$ -module, and put

$$U_{0,k+1} := C_{U_{0,k}}(S_{0,k+1}).$$

Since  $U_{0,k}/U_{0,k+1}$  is an abelian group acting faithfully and irreducibly on  $S_{0,k+1}$ ,  $U_{0,k}/U_{0,k+1}$  is cyclic; so if (for a prime  $p_0$ )  $P_0 \in \text{Syl}_{p_0} U_{0,k+1}$  and  $P_1 \in \text{Syl}_{p_0} U_{0,k}$  with  $P_0 \leq P_1 \leq P \in M_G$ , then

$$e(P_0) \begin{cases} \geq e(P_1) - 1, & \text{if } P \text{ acts faithfully on } P_{s,k+1} \\ = e(P_1), & \text{if } P \text{ acts trivially on } P_{s,k+1} \end{cases} \quad (+)$$

Now let  $1 \neq a \in S_{0,k+1}$  and put  $Q_{0,k+1} := \langle a \rangle$  and  $H_{0,k+1} := H_{0,k} \times Q_{0,k+1}$ . Then clearly  $H_{0,k+1}$  is  $\sigma$ -reduced and (2'), (3') obviously are satisfied. As (1') holds for  $U_{0,k}$  (by induction), (+) immediately shows that  $U_{0,k+1}$  also fulfills (1').

$j \rightarrow j + 1$ : Let  $j \in \{0, \dots, dl(G) - s - 2\}$  and suppose that we have already found  $U_{j,n'_j}, H_{j,n'_j}$ . Now we construct the  $U_{j+1,k}, H_{j+1,k}$  ( $k = 0, \dots, n'_{j+1}$ ) by an inductive process on  $k$ :

$k = 0$ : Then simply set  $U_{j+1,0} := U_{j,n'_j}$  and  $H_{j+1,0} := H_{j,n'_j}$ .

$k \rightarrow k + 1$ : Suppose that for a  $k \in \{0, \dots, n'_{j+1} - 1\}$  we already have  $U_{j+1,k}, H_{j+1,k}$ . Then we find  $U_{j+1,k+1}, H_{j+1,k+1}$  as follows.

Let

$$R_j := Q_{j,1} \times \dots \times Q_{j,n'_j} \leq H_{j,n'_j} \leq H_{j+1,k} \quad (\text{by induction}).$$

Then we have the Zassenhaus decomposition

$$P_{j+s+1,k+1} = [R_j, P_{j+s+1,k+1}] \times C_{P_{j+s+1,k+1}}(R_j). \quad (++)$$

For convenience we put  $T := T_{j+1,k+1} := [R_j, P_{j+s+1,k+1}]$ . Since  $H_{j,n'_i} \leq N_G(R_j) \cap N_G(P_{j+s+1,k+1})$  and  $Q_{j+1,1} \times \cdots \times Q_{j+1,k} \leq C_G(P_{j+s+1,k+1})$ , surely

$$H_{j+1,k} = H_{j,n'_j}(Q_{j+1,1} \times \cdots \times Q_{j+1,k}) \leq N_G(T),$$

and  $T > 1$ , because else by  $(++)$  and Lemma 3b  $P_{j+s,1} \times \cdots \times P_{j+s,n_{j+s}}$  would centralize  $P_{j+s+1,k+1}$  against Lemma 3c.

As  $U_{j+1,k} \leq C_G(R_j) \cap N_G(P_{j+s+1,k+1})$ , we have

$$U_{j+1,k} \leq N_G(T).$$

Now let  $1 < S_{j+1,k+1} \leq T$  be an irreducible  $U_{j+1,k}$ -module, and put

$$U_{j+1,k+1} := C_{U_{j+1,k}}(S_{j+1,k+1}).$$

Since  $U_{j+1,k}/U_{j+1,k+1}$  is an abelian group acting faithfully and irreducibly on  $S_{j+1,k+1}$ ,

$$U_{j+1,k}/U_{j+1,k+1} \text{ is cyclic.} \quad (++++)$$

Obviously  $1 < S_{j+1,k+1} \leq C_T(U_{j+1,k+1})$ , and as  $H_{j+1,k} \leq C_G(U_{j+1,k}) \leq C_G(U_{j+1,k+1})$ ,  $H_{j+1,k}$  normalizes  $C_T(U_{j+1,k+1}) > 1$ . Hence let  $1 < Q_{j+1,k+1} \leq C_T(U_{j+1,k+1})$  be an irreducible  $H_{j+1,k}$ -module, and we build the semidirect product

$$H_{j+1,k+1} := H_{j+1,k}Q_{j+1,k+1}.$$

Then clearly  $H_{j+1,k+1}$  is  $\sigma$ -reduced, and as in the case  $j = 0$  with the help of  $(+++)$  we see that  $U_{j+1,k+1}$  fulfills (1'). Moreover,  $H_{j+1,k+1}$  and  $U_{j+1,k+1}$  fulfill (2'). As to (3'), obviously it suffices to show that  $Q_{j+1,k+1} \leq H_{j+1,k+1}^{(j+1)}$ , and for this we have to show that there exists an  $l \in \{1, \dots, n'_j\}$  such that  $Q_{j,l}$  acts faithfully on  $Q_{j+1,k+1}$ . But this is clear, because if no such  $l$  existed, then  $Q_{j+1,k+1} \leq C_{P_{j+s+1,k+1}}(R_j)$  would follow contradicting  $Q_{j+1,k+1} \leq T$  and  $(++)$ . Hence also (3') holds for  $H_{j+1,k+1}$ , and we are done. ■

Lemma 4 is a tool for inductively finding elements with many prime divisors in their order. Now we describe in a rather general way how Lemma 4 works.

## 5. LEMMA.

(a) Let  $G$  be a  $\sigma$ -reduced group. Suppose there are  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ) with  $\sum_{i=1}^m k_i = dl(G)$  such that we can apply Lemma 4 successively in steps of lengths ( $s =$ )  $k_i$  as follows: We put  $G_1 := G$ , and if we already have found  $G_j$  for a  $j \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$ , we find an abelian  $U_j \leq G_j/G_j^{(k_j+1)} \leq G_j/G_j^{(k_j)}$  to which we may apply Lemma 4 (with  $s \rightarrow k_j$ ) which yields an  $x_j \in U_j$  and a  $\sigma$ -reduced  $G_{j+1} \leq G_j^{(k_j)}$  with the properties described in Lemma 4. (Clearly  $G_m = 1$ .) Then  $\sigma(o(x_1 \dots x_m)) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma(x_i) \leq \sigma(G)$ .

(b) Let  $X$  be a class of  $\sigma$ -reduced groups,  $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m$  for an  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ),  $h_j := \sum_{i=1}^j k_i$  ( $j = 0, \dots, m$ ) and  $f_i: \mathbb{N}^{k_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  functions such that the following holds:

(1) If  $G \in X$  and  $H$  is a  $\sigma$ -reduced subgroup of  $G$  for which there is an  $N \in \{h_j | j = 0, \dots, m\}$  such that  $H \leq G^{(N)}$ ,  $\sigma(|H|) = \sigma(|G^{(N)}|)$ , and  $n_i(H) = n_{i+N}(G)$  for  $i = 0, \dots, dl(H) - 1 = dl(G) - N - 1$ , then  $H \in X$ .

(2) If  $G \in X$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , and  $dl(G) \geq k_i + 1$ , then  $G$  possesses an abelian subgroup  $U$  (depending on  $G$ ) with  $U \leq G/G^{(k_i+1)}$  such that  $\sigma(U) = f_i(n_0(G), \dots, n_{k_i}(G))$  and such that if  $P_0$  is a Sylow subgroup of  $U$  with  $P_0 \leq P \in M_G$ , then

$$e(P_0) \begin{cases} \geq \sigma(|G^{(k_i)}|) + 1, & \text{if } P \leq G/G^{(k_i)} \\ \geq \sigma(|G^{(k_i+1)}|) + 1, & \text{if } P \leq G^{(k_i)}/G^{(k_i+1)} \cong H_{k_i} \end{cases} \quad (+)$$

Now let  $G \in X$  with  $dl(G) \geq 1 + \sum_{i=1}^m k_i$ . Then  $G$  contains an element  $y$  with

$$\sigma(o(y)) = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(n_{h_{i-1}}(G), \dots, n_{k_i}(G)) \leq \sigma(G),$$

(and if the condition

(\*) "One already knows the primes occurring in the order of all such subgroups  $U$  as described above"

is fulfilled, one also knows the primes occurring in the order of  $y$ ).

*Proof.*

(a) is trivial.

(b) By replacing  $G$  by  $G/G^{(dl(G)-h_m-1)}$  we may assume that  $dl(G) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^m k_i$ , and we proceed by induction on  $m$ . By the hypotheses there

exists an abelian subgroup  $U \leq G/G^{(k_1+1)}$  of  $G$  with  $\sigma(U) = f_1(n_0(G), \dots, n_{k_1}(G))$  and the property (+) with  $i = 1$ . If  $m = 1$ , we are done. So let  $m > 1$ . Now we apply Lemma 4 (with  $s \rightarrow k_1$ ) which yields an  $x \in U$  and a  $\sigma$ -reduced  $H \leq G^{(k_1)}$  as described there. Our hypothesis (1) allows us to apply induction to  $H$  which yields an  $y_0 \in H' \leq G^{(k_1+1)}$  (the primes in the order of which are known in the case of (\*) with

$$\sigma(o(y_0)) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} f_{i+1}(n_{h'_{i-1}}(H), \dots, n_{h'_i}(H)) \leq \sigma(H') \leq \sigma(G) - \sigma(U),$$

where  $h'_j := \sum_{i=1}^j k_{i+1}$  for  $j = 0, \dots, m - 1$ ; and by Lemma 4 (2) we have  $xy_0 = y_0x$ . Put  $y := xy_0$ . (So if condition (\*) holds, we know the primes occurring in  $o(y)$ .) Together with Lemma 4 (3) follows

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(G) &\geq \sigma(o(y)) = \sigma(o(x)) + \sigma(o(y_0)) \\ &= f_1(n_0(G), \dots, n_{k_1}(G)) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} f_{i+1}(n_{h'_{i-1}}(H), \dots, n_{h'_i}(H)) \\ &= f_1(n_0(G), \dots, n_{k_1}(G)) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} f_{i+1}(n_{h'_{i-1}+k_1}(G), \dots, n_{h'_i+k_1}(G)) \\ &= f_1(n_0(G), \dots, n_{k_1}(G)) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} f_{i+1}(n_{h_i}(G), \dots, n_{h_{i+1}}(G)) \\ &= f_1(n_{h_0}(G), \dots, n_{h_1}(G)) + \sum_{i=2}^m f_i(n_{h_{i-1}}(G), \dots, n_{h_i}(G)) \end{aligned}$$

which is the assertion. ■

We give an application of Lemma 5. Note that  $[x] = \max\{n \in \mathbb{N} | n \leq x\}$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

6. LEMMA. Let  $l \geq 2$  ( $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ),  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and let  $X(l, k)$  be the class of  $\sigma$ -reduced groups  $G$  with the property that for every prime  $p$  with  $p || |G|$  the following holds:

If  $P \in \text{Syl}_p G$  and  $P \leq G^{(j)}/G^{(j+1)}$  for a  $j = j(p)$  with  $j \geq k$  and  $l | (j - k)$ , then

$$p \geq \sigma(|G^{(j+l+1)}|) + 1.$$

Let  $G \in X(l, k)$  and  $h := [(dl(G) - k - 1)/l]$ , and put

$$T := \prod_{i=1}^h H_{li+k}.$$

Then  $\sigma(T) = \sigma(|T|)$ ; in particular,

$$\sum_{i=1}^h n_{li+k} \leq \sigma(G).$$

*Proof.* Let  $l$  and  $k$  be fixed and  $X := X(l, k)$ .

Applying Lemma 4 with  $s \rightarrow k$  and  $U \rightarrow 1$  shows that without loss of generality we may assume  $k = 0$ . At first we show:

(+) Let  $H$  be  $\sigma$ -reduced with  $dl(H) = l + 1$ , and let  $q_0$  be the smallest prime dividing  $|H/H'|$ . Let  $U := H_l(H)$ . Then  $\sigma(U) = n_l(H)$  and  $e(U) \geq q_0$ .

To see this we only have to show that  $e(P_{l,j}(H)) \geq q_0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n_l(H)$ . So let  $j$  be fixed. By Lemma 3(c) there is a  $Q_2 \in M_H$  (depending on  $j$ ) with  $Q_2 \leq H'/H''$  acting faithfully on  $P_{l,j}(H)$ , and (again by Lemma 3(c)) there is a  $Q_1 \in M_H$  with  $Q_1 \leq H/H'$  which acts faithfully (and thus fixed point freely) on  $Q_2$ . Thus  $Q_1Q_2$  is a Frobenius group acting faithfully on  $P_{l,j}(H)$  whence by Lemma 3(d)  $e(P_{l,j}) \geq |Q_1| \geq q_0$ . This is (+).

Now we define  $f: \mathbb{N}^{l+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  by  $f(x_0, \dots, x_l) := x_l$  ( $x_i \in \mathbb{N}$  for all  $i$ ).

Let  $G \in X$  with  $dl(G) \geq l + 1$ . By (+) for  $V := H_l(G)$  we have  $\sigma(V) = n_l(G)$  and  $e(V) \geq q_1$ , where  $q_1$  is the smallest prime dividing  $|G/G'|$ . Now  $G \in X$  implies  $q_1 \geq \sigma(|G^{(l+1)}|) + 1$ . So we have

$$\sigma(V) = f(n_0(G), \dots, n_l(G))$$

and

$$e(V) \geq \sigma(|G^{(l+1)}|) + 1.$$

So we may apply Lemma 5(b) with  $X \rightarrow X$ ,  $m \rightarrow h$ ,  $k_i \rightarrow l$ ,  $f_i \rightarrow f$ , and  $h_j \rightarrow l_j$ , and the assertion follows. ■

As in [3] let for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\rho(n, k) := \max\{\sigma(|G|) \mid G \text{ a finite solvable group with } \sigma(G) = n \text{ and no prime dividing } |G| \text{ is less than } k\}.$$

7. THEOREM.

(a) Let  $G$  be a  $\sigma$ -reduced group such that all primes dividing  $|G|$  are greater than  $\sigma(|G''|)$ . Then

$$\sigma(|G|) \leq 4\sigma(G).$$

In particular,  $\rho(n, \rho(n)) \leq 4n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .



(b) *There is a  $C: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $C(n) > 4$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C(n) = 4$  such that*

$$\rho(n) \leq C(n)n \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

*Proof.*

(a) Let  $X(\cdot, \cdot)$  be as in Lemma 6. Then obviously  $G \in X(2, 0) \cap X(2, 1)$ , so that by Lemma 6—applied with  $l = 2, k = 0, 1$ —we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(|G|) &= \sum_{i=0}^{d(G)-1} n_i \\ &= n_0 + n_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{[(d(G)-1)/2]} n_{2i} + \sum_{i=1}^{[(d(G)-2)/2]} n_{2i+1} \\ &\leq \sigma(G) + \sigma(G) + \sigma(G) + \sigma(G) = 4\sigma(G). \end{aligned}$$

As to  $\rho(n, \rho(n))$ , note that if  $H$  is a group with  $\sigma(H) = n, \sigma(|H|) = \rho(n, \rho(n))$  such that  $p \geq \rho(n)$  for all primes  $p$  with  $p \mid |H|$ , then by Lemma 3(a) without loss of generality we may assume that  $H$  is  $\sigma$ -reduced.

(b) For  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $\pi(m)$  be the number of primes not exceeding  $m$ . Let  $G$  be a group with  $\sigma(G) = n$  and  $\sigma(|G|) = \rho(n)$ ; without loss of generality let  $G$  be  $\sigma$ -reduced. Now let  $\tau$  be the set of prime numbers not exceeding  $\rho(n)$ , and take  $H_1 \in \text{Hall}_\tau G$  and  $H_2 \in \text{Hall}_{\tau^c} G$ . Thus clearly

$$\rho(n) = \sigma(|G|) = \sigma(|H_1|) + \sigma(|H_2|) \leq \pi(\rho(n)) + \rho(n, \rho(n)),$$

and so by (a)

$$\rho(n) \leq \pi(\rho(n)) + 4n.$$

As  $\pi(m) \leq 6(m/\ln(m))$  for all  $m \geq 2$  (and as  $\rho(m) \geq 2$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ), it follows

$$\rho(n) \leq 6 \frac{\rho(n)}{\ln(\rho(n))} + 4n \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\rho(n) \left( 1 - \frac{6}{\ln(\rho(n))} \right) \leq 4n \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (*)$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - 6/\ln(\rho(n))) = 1$ , for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an  $N_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$

such that we have

$$\rho(n) \leq (4 + \epsilon)n \quad \text{for all } n \geq N_\epsilon$$

which is the assertion. ■

As  $\rho(n) \geq 2n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (see [2, 1.11]), by the formula (\*) in the proof of Theorem 7 we have

$$\rho(n) \leq 4n \left/ \left( 1 - \frac{6}{\ln(2n)} \right) \right. \quad \text{for all } n \geq \frac{e^6}{2}.$$

So for any  $K \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $K > 4$  we have

$$\rho(n) \leq Kn \quad \text{for all } n \geq \frac{1}{2}e^{6K/(K-4)}.$$

We finish by mentioning some open questions on the subject:

8. PROBLEM. As now we have a linear bound for  $\rho(n)$  in  $n$ , the question of the behaviour of the derived length of groups with  $\sigma(|G|) = \rho(\sigma(G))$  arises. For the moment, let us call such groups  $\sigma$ -maximal. Until now, by [3, Lemma 3(c)] we only know  $dl(G) \leq 2\sigma(G)$ , if all Sylow subgroups of  $G$  are elementary abelian.

Does there exist a universal constant  $C$  such that  $dl(G) \leq C$  for all  $\sigma$ -maximal groups, or at least for all  $\sigma$ -reduced  $\sigma$ -maximal groups?

Which are the minimal, resp. maximal, functions  $f$ , resp.  $g$ , such that there are infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\sigma$ -maximal groups  $G_n$  with  $\sigma(G_n) = n$  and  $dl(G_n) \leq f(n)$  resp.  $dl(G_n) \geq g(n)$ ? What about these functions, if only  $\sigma$ -reduced  $\sigma$ -maximal groups are regarded?

9. CONJECTURE.  $\rho(n) = 3n$  for all  $n \geq 4$ .

## REFERENCES

1. W. Bannascher and G. Tiedt, Über auflösbare Gruppen, deren Elementordnungen wenige Primteiler besitzen, preprint, 1992.
2. T. M. Keller, Solvable groups with a small number of prime divisors in the element orders, *J. Algebra* **170** (1994), 625–648.
3. T. M. Keller, On the asymptotic behaviour of  $\rho(n)$ , *J. Algebra* **174** (1995), 587–598.
4. T. M. Keller, Solvable groups with at most four prime divisors in the element orders, *J. Algebra* **175** (1995), 1–23.
5. J. Zhang, Arithmetical conditions on element orders and group structure, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **123** (1995), 39–44.