

JOURNAL OF ALGEBRA 71, 592–594 (1981)

Addendum to “Almost Split Sequences in Subcategories”*

M. AUSLANDER

Brandeis University, Waltham, Massachusetts 02154

SVERRE O. SMALØ

University of Trondheim, NLHT, Trondheim, Norway

Communicated by Walter Feit

Received April 20, 1981

In Corollary 4.2 we showed that for subcategories \mathbf{C} of $\text{mod } A$ closed under extensions of the form $\mathbf{C} = \text{Sub } M$ there are only a finite number of nonisomorphic indecomposable objects in \mathbf{C} which are Ext-injective and only a finite number which are Ext-projective. In this addendum we show that these numbers are the same, and at the same time we show a close connection with the theory of tilting modules ([2, see also [1]).

We first make the following observation.

LEMMA A.1. *Let M be a A -module and $0 \rightarrow^{f_0} M \rightarrow^{f_1} J_0 \rightarrow J_1$ be a minimal injective resolution of M . Then there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(_, M) \rightarrow D(\text{Tr } DM, _) \rightarrow (_, J_1) \rightarrow (_, \text{Im } f) \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. We have a minimal projective resolution $D(J_1) \rightarrow D(J_0) \rightarrow D(M) \rightarrow 0$, hence an exact sequence $D(J_0)^* \rightarrow D(J_1)^* \rightarrow \text{Tr } D(M) \rightarrow 0$. From this we get an exact sequence of functors $D(D(J_1)^*, _) \rightarrow D(D(J_0)^*, _) \rightarrow D(\text{Tr } DM, _) \rightarrow 0$. Since $D(J_i)$ is projective, we have a natural isomorphism $(D(J_i)^*, _) \xrightarrow{\sim} D(J_i) \otimes _$, and further a natural isomorphism $D(J_i) \otimes _ \xrightarrow{\sim} D(_, J_i)$. This gives an exact sequence $(_, J_1) \rightarrow (_, J_0) \rightarrow D(\text{Tr } DM, _) \rightarrow 0$. We now get our desired exact sequence by considering the commutative exact diagram.

* *Journal of Algebra* 69 (1981), 426–454.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 \rightarrow (\ , M) \rightarrow (\ , J_0) & \longrightarrow & (\ , \text{Im } f_1) & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}^1(\ , M) & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \\
 0 \rightarrow (\ , M) \rightarrow (\ , J_0) & \xrightarrow{(\ , f_1)} & (\ , J_1) & \rightarrow & D(\text{Tr } DM, \) & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & (\ , J_1) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & C & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

PROPOSITION A.2. *Assume $M = \bar{M}$. Then Sub M is closed with respect to extensions if and only if $\text{Ext}^1(M, M) = 0$ and $\tau_M(J_1) \subseteq \Omega^{-1}(M)$, where $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow J_0 \rightarrow J_1$ is part of a minimal injective resolution of M and $\tau_M(J_1) = \{\sum \text{Im } f \mid f \in (M, J_1)\}$.*

Proof. From Corollaries 5.3 and 5.7 we have that Sub M is closed with respect to extensions if and only if $(\text{Tr } DM, M) = 0$ and hence if and only if $D(\text{Tr } DM, M) = 0$. Now by using Lemma A.1 we have that $D(\text{Tr } DM, M) = 0$ if and only if $\text{Ext}^1(M, M) = 0$ and $(M, \Omega^{-1}(M)) \xrightarrow{(M, i)} (M, J_1)$ is an isomorphism, where i is the inclusion $\Omega^{-1}(M) \hookrightarrow J_1$, which is the same as saying that $\text{Ext}^1(M, M) = 0$ and $\tau_M(J_1) \subseteq \Omega^{-1}(M)$.

COROLLARY A.3. *Assume $M = \bar{M}$ is a faithful module. Then Sub M is closed with respect to extensions if and only if $\text{Ext}^1(M, M) = 0$ and $\text{inj} \cdot \dim M \leq 1$.*

Proof. If M is faithful, we have a monomorphism $A \rightarrow M^n$ for some n . If I is injective, $\tau_A(I) = I$ then implies that $\tau_M(I) = I$. Hence $\tau_M(J_1) = J_1 \subseteq \Omega^{-1}(M)$ if and only if $\text{inj} \cdot \dim M \leq 1$. Our result now follows from Proposition A.2.

COROLLARY A.4. *Assume $M = \bar{M}$ is a faithful module such that Sub M is closed with respect to extensions. Then the Ext-injective modules in Sub M all have injective dimension at most one.*

Proof. Let I be the injective A -module DA^{op} and $C = \text{Sub } M$. Then in the notation of Section 4, we have the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A'_C \rightarrow I_C \rightarrow I$ which induces the exact sequence of functors $0 \rightarrow (\ , A'_C) | C \rightarrow (\ , I_C) | C \rightarrow (\ , I) | C \rightarrow 0$. Hence the fact that M is faithful implies that $I_C \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0$ is exact. Also we know by Theorem 4.1, that the indecomposable summands of A'_C and I_C are precisely the indecomposable Ext-injective

modules in \mathbf{C} . Since $I_{\mathbf{C}}$ is in $\text{add } M$, we have by Corollary A.2 that $\text{inj} \cdot \dim I_{\mathbf{C}} \leq 1$, which gives the desired result.

COROLLARY A.5. *Assume $M = \bar{M}$ is a faithful A -module such that $\text{Sub } M$ is closed with respect to extensions. Then the number of nonisomorphic indecomposable Ext-injectives and nonisomorphic indecomposable Ext-projectives in $\text{Sub } M$ coincide.*

Proof. Let $A = A_1 \amalg \cdots \amalg A_k$ be a direct sum of the nonisomorphic indecomposable Ext-injectives in $\text{Sub } M$. Then DA satisfies the following axioms for a tilting module given by Happel and Ringel [2]:

$$(1) \quad \text{proj} \cdot \dim DA \leq 1.$$

$$(2) \quad \text{Ext}^1(DA, DA) = 0.$$

(3) There exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A^{\text{op}} \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A'' \rightarrow 0$ with A' and A'' in $\text{add } DA$.

Hence by Corollary 3.2 of [2] we have that the number of nonisomorphic indecomposable summands of A is the same as the number of nonisomorphic indecomposable projective A -modules, which by Proposition 3.1 are exactly the Ext-projectives in $\text{Sub } M$ when M is faithful.

We now get our main result.

THEOREM A.6. *Assume that $\text{Sub } M$ is closed with respect to extensions. Then $\text{Sub } M$ has the same number of nonisomorphic indecomposable Ext-projectives and Ext-injectives.*

Proof. We only make the observation that M is a faithful $A/\text{ann } M$ -module and that we have the embedding $\text{Sub } M \subseteq \text{mod}(A/\text{ann } M) \subseteq \text{mod } A$. Hence the result follows from Corollary A.5.

REFERENCES

1. K. BONGARTZ, Tilted algebras, preprint
2. D. HAPPEL AND C. M. RINGEL, Tilted algebras, preprint.