Analytical solution of fractional Navier–Stokes equation by using modified Laplace decomposition method
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Abstract  The aim of this article is to introduce a new analytical and approximate technique to obtain the solution of time-fractional Navier–Stokes equation in a tube. This proposed technique is the coupling of Adomian decomposition method (ADM) and Laplace transform method (LTM). We have consider the unsteady flow of a viscous fluid in a tube in which, besides time as one of the dependent variable, the velocity field is a function of only one space coordinate. A good agreement between the obtained solutions and some well-known results has been demonstrated. It is shown that the proposed method robust, efficient, and easy to implement for linear and nonlinear problems arising in science and engineering.
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1. Introduction

In recent years, considerable interest in fractional differential equations has been stimulated due to their numerous applications in the areas of physics and engineering [1]. In past years, it has turned out that differential equations involving derivatives of noninteger order can be adequate models for various physical phenomena [2]. Many important phenomena are well described by fractional differential equations in electromagnetism, acoustics, visco-elasticity, electro-chemistry and material science. That is because of the fact that a realistic modeling of a physical phenomenon having dependence not only at
the time instant, but also the previous time history can be successfully achieved by using fractional calculus. The book by Oldham and Spanier [3] has played a key role in the development of the subject. Some fundamental results related to solving fractional differential equations may be found in Miller and Ross [4], Kilbas and Srivastava [5], Diethelm and Ford [6], Diethelm [7], and Samko et al. [8].

Our concern in this work is to consider unsteady, one-dimensional motion of a viscous fluid in a tube. The equations of motion which govern the flow field in the tube are the Navier–Stokes equations in cylindrical coordinates [9,10], and they are given as:

\[ D_t u(r, t) = P + v \left( D_r^2 u + \frac{1}{r} D_r u \right), \]

(1.1)

where \( P = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial r} \), \( t \) is the time, \( u \) is the velocity, \( v \) is the pressure, \( r \) is the kinematics viscosity, \( \rho \) is the density. We shall consider the unsteady flow of a viscous fluid in a tube in which, besides time as one of the dependent variables, the velocity field is a function of only one space coordinate.

Thus, seeking solutions of nonlinear fractional ordinary and partial differential equations still a significant task. Except in a limited numbers of these equations, we have difficulty to find their analytical as well as approximate solutions. Therefore, there have been attempts to develop the new methods for obtaining analytical and approximate solutions of nonlinear fractional ordinary and partial differential equations arising in science and engineering sciences. Recently, several methods have drawn special attention such as Adomian decomposition method [11–13], variational iteration method [14,15], homotopy perturbation method [16–20], homotopy analysis method [21–24], optimal homotopy asymptotic method [25–27], differential transform method [28,29], and Hamiltonian and He’s energy balance approach [30–35]. The aim of the present work is to introduce an analytical technique, namely fractional modified Laplace decomposition method (FMLDM) to solve fractional differential equation arising in science and engineering. The proposed method (FMLDM) is coupling of Adomian decomposition method (ADM) and the Laplace decomposition method (LDM). The main advantage of this proposed method is its capability of combining two powerful methods for obtaining rapid convergent series for fractional partial differential equations. Adomian decomposition method [36,37] was firstly proposed by the Adomian and applied by many researchers [38,39]. In recent years, many authors have paid attention to study the solutions of differential and integral equations by using various methods with combined the Laplace transform. Among these are the Laplace decomposition methods [40–44], homotopy perturbation transform method [45–48], and homotopy analysis transform method [49–51].

The main aim of this article is to present approximate analytical solutions of time-fractional Navier–Stokes equation by using modified fractional Laplace decomposition method (MFLDM). The Navier–Stokes equation (NSE) with time-fractional derivatives is written in operator form as:

\[ D_t^\alpha u(r, t) = P + v \left( D_r^2 u + \frac{1}{r} D_r u \right), \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1, \]

(1.2)

where \( \alpha \) is parameter describing the order of the time fractional derivatives. In the case of \( \alpha = 1 \), the fractional equation reduces to the standard Navier–Stokes equation.

2. Basic definitions of fractional calculus and Laplace transforms

In this section, we give some basic definitions and properties of fractional calculus and Laplace transform theory, which shall be used in this paper:

**Definition 2.1.** A real function \( f(t), t > 0 \) is said to be in the space \( C_{\mu} \) if there exists a real number \( \mu > 0 \), such that \( f(t) = \Gamma(t) \) where \( \Gamma(t) \in C[0, \infty) \), and it is said to be in the space \( C_{\mu} \) if and only if \( f^{(n)} \in C_{\mu} \), \( n \in N \).

**Definition 2.2.** The left sided Riemann–Liouville fractional integral operator of order \( \mu \geq 0 \), of a function \( f \in C_{\mu}, \quad x \geq -1 \) is defined as [52,53]

\[ P^\mu f(t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\mu-1} f(\tau) d\tau & \mu > 0, \; t > 0, \\ f(t) & \mu = 0, \end{array} \right. \]

(2.1)

where \( \Gamma(\cdot) \) is the well-known Gamma function.

**Definition 2.3.** The left sided Caputo fractional derivative of \( f \in C_{n-1}^m, \quad m \in N \cup \{0\} \) is defined as [2,8]

\[ D^\mu_C f(x) = \frac{d^m}{dx^m} \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\mu)} \int_0^x (x-\tau)^{m-\mu-1} f(\tau) d\tau \right], \quad m-1 < \mu < m, \quad m \in N, \]

(2.2)

\[ \mu = m, \]

Note that [2,8]

(i) \( I^\mu_C f(x, t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} \int_0^t \frac{f(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau, \quad \mu > 0, \; t > 0, \)

(ii) \( D^\mu_C f(x, t) = I^\mu_C \frac{d^m f(x, t)}{dt^m} \quad m-1 < \mu \leq m. \)

**Definition 2.4.** The Laplace transform \( L[f(t)] \) of the Riemann–Liouville fractional integral is defined as [2]:

\[ L[f^\mu(t)] = s^{-\mu} F(s). \]

(2.3)

**Definition 2.5.** The Laplace transform \( L[f(t)] \) of the Caputo fractional derivative is defined as [2]

\[ L[D^\mu_C f(x, t)] = s^\mu L[f(x, t)] - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s^{\mu-k} f^{(k)}(x, 0), \quad n-1 < nx \leq n. \]

(2.4)

3. Basic idea of modified fractional Laplace decomposition method (MFLDM)

To illustrate the basic idea of the fractional modified Laplace decomposition for the fractional partial differential equation, we consider the following general nonlinear fractional partial differential equation as:

\[ D^\mu_C u(x, t) + R[x]u(x, t) + N[x]u(x, t) = g(x, t), \quad t > 0, \]

(3.1)
where \( D^\alpha_t \) and \( R[x] \), \( N[x] \) indicate the linear and nonlinear terms in \( x \), and \( g(x, t) \) are continuous functions. Now, the methodology consists of applying Laplace transform first on both sides of Eq. (3.1), we get
\[
L[D^\alpha_t u(x, t)] + L[R[x]u(x, t) + N[x]u(x, t)] = L[g(x, t)], \quad t > 0, \quad x \in R, \quad n-1 < nx \leq n.
\] (3.2)

Now, using the differential property of the Laplace transform for the fractional derivative
\[
s^\alpha L[u(x, t)] = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} s^{n-k-1} u^k(0) + L[R[x]u(x, t)] + N[x]u(x, t)]
\] (3.3)

On simplifying
\[
L[u(x, t)] = s^\alpha L[u(x, t)] + s^{-\alpha} L[u(x, t)] - s^{-\alpha} L[R[x]u(x, t) + N[x]u(x, t)].
\] (3.4)

Operating the inverse Laplace transform on both sides in Eq. (3.4), we get
\[
u(x, t) = G(x, t) - L^{-1}(s^{-\alpha} L[R[x]u(x, t) + N[x]u(x, t)]).
\] (3.5)

where \( G(x, t) \) represents the term arising from the source term and the prescribed initial conditions.

The Laplace transform decomposition admits a solution in the form
\[
u(x, t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} u_m(x, t).
\] (3.6)

The nonlinear term \( Nu \) decomposed as
\[
N\nu(x, t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A_m,
\] (3.7)

where \( A_m \) are Adomian polynomials of \( u_0, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n \) and it can be calculated by the following formula
\[
A_m = \frac{1}{m!} \frac{d^m}{dt^m} \left[ \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda_i u_i \right) \right], \quad m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots
\] (3.8)

Substituting Eqs. (3.6) and (3.7) in Eq. (3.5), we get
\[
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_i(x, t) = G(x, t)
\]
\[
- L^{-1} \left( s^{-\alpha} L \left[ R[x] \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_i(x, t) + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A_m \right) \right] \right),
\] (3.9)

Equating the terms on both sides of Eq. (3.9), we have the following relation
\[
u_0(x, t) = G(x, t), \quad u_{m+1}(x, t) = L^{-1}(s^{-\alpha} L[R[x]u_m + A_m]), \quad m \geq 0.
\] (3.10)

The modified Laplace decomposition method suggests that the function \( G(x, t) \) defined above be decomposed into two parts, namely \( G_0(x, t) \) and \( G_1(x, t) \). Such that
\[
G(x, t) = G_0(x, t) + G_1(x, t). \tag{3.11}
\]

The initial condition is important, and the choice the function \( G(x, t) \) as the initial condition always leads to the noise oscillation during the iteration procedure. Instead of the iteration procedure, we suggest the following iterations
\[
u_0(x, t) = G_0(x, t), \tag{3.12}
\]
\[
u_1(x, t) = G_1(x, t) + L^{-1}(s^{-\alpha} L[R[x]u_0 + A_0]), \tag{3.13}
\]
\[
u_2(x, t) = L^{-1}(s^{-\alpha} L[R[x]u_1 + A_1]), \tag{3.14}
\]
\[
u_m(x, t) = L^{-1}(s^{-\alpha} L[R[x]u_m + A_m]).
\] (3.14)

The solution through the modified Laplace decomposition method highly depends upon the choice of \( G_0(x, t) \) and \( G_1(x, t) \).

4. Illustrative examples

In this section, we apply the fractional modified Laplace decomposition method (FMLDM) for solving time-fractional Navier–Stokes equation in a tube.

Example 1. We consider the following time fractional Navier–Stokes equation [9] as:
\[
D^\alpha_t u(r, t) = P + \frac{1}{r} u_r, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1,
\] (4.1)

subject to the initial condition
\[
u(r, 0) = 1 - r^2.
\] (4.2)

Operating the Laplace transform in Eq. (4.1) and using the differential property of the Laplace transform, we get
\[
L[u(r, t)] = \frac{1}{s} - \frac{s}{r} + \frac{P}{s^{(\alpha+1)}} + \frac{1}{s^\epsilon} \left[ u_{\rho r} + \frac{1}{r} u_{\rho t} \right].
\] (4.3)

Applying the inverse Laplace transform in Eq. (4.3), we get
\[
u(r, t) = (1 - r^2) + \frac{P}{s^{(\alpha+1)}}
\]
\[
+ L^{-1} \left( s^{-\alpha} L \left[ u_{\rho r} + \frac{1}{r} u_{\rho t} \right] \right). \tag{4.4}
\]

By applying the aforesaid technique if we assume an infinite series solution of the form (3.6), we obtain
\[
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} u_m(r, t) = (1 - r^2) + \frac{P}{s^{(\alpha+1)}}
\]
\[
+ L^{-1} \left( s^{-\alpha} L \left[ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} u_m(r, t) \right] \right). \tag{4.5}
\]

Now, applying the fractional modified Laplace decomposition method, we get
\[
u_0(r, t) = 1 - r^2,
\]
\[
u_1(r, t) = \frac{P t^\epsilon}{s^{(\alpha+1)}} + L^{-1} \left( s^{-\alpha} L \left[ u_{\rho r} + \frac{1}{r} u_{\rho t} \right] \right) = \frac{(P - 4) t^\epsilon}{s^{(\alpha+1)}},
\]
\[
u_m(r, t) = 0, \forall m \geq 2.
\]
The solution is given as

$$u(r, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n(r, t) = 1 - r^2 + \frac{(P - 4)r^2}{T(x + 1)}. \tag{4.6}$$

However, in many cases, the exact solution in a closed form may be obtained. It is obvious that in this example, three components only were sufficient to determine the exact solution of Eq. (4.1). The above result is in complete agreement with Momani and Odibat [9].

Figs. 1–4 show the evaluation results of the approximate analytical solution for the Example 1 and show the behavior of the approximate solution obtained by the proposed method for different fractional Brownian motions $x = 0.7, 0.8, 0.9$ and for standard motions, i.e., for $x = 1$. It is seen from Fig. 2 that the approximate analytical solution obtained by proposed method decreases very rapidly with the increases in $r$ at the value of $x = 1$ for Example 1.

**Example 2.** In this example, we consider the following time fractional Navier–Stokes equation [9] as:

$$D_t^\alpha u(r, t) = u_{rr} + \frac{1}{r} u_r, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1, \quad \tag{4.7}$$

subject to the initial condition

$$u(r, 0) = r. \quad \tag{4.8}$$

Applying the aforesaid procedure as the previous example, we get

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n(r, t) = 1 + L^{-1} \left( s^{-\alpha} L \left[ \frac{u_{00}}{r} + \frac{u_0}{r} \right] \right) + \frac{1}{r} \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n(r, t) \right]. \tag{4.9}$$

Now applying the aforesaid procedure, the first few terms of the decomposition series are given by

$$u_0(r, t) = r,$n$$u_1(r, t) = L^{-1} \left( s^{-\alpha} L \left[ \frac{u_{00}}{r} + \frac{u_0}{r} \right] \right) = \frac{1}{r} \frac{t^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)},$$

$$u_2(r, t) = L^{-1} \left( s^{-\alpha} L \left[ \frac{u_{00}}{r} + \frac{u_0}{r} \right] \right) = \frac{1}{r^3} \frac{t^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(2\alpha + 1)},$$

$$u_3(r, t) = L^{-1} \left( s^{-\alpha} L \left[ \frac{u_{00}}{r} + \frac{u_0}{r} \right] \right) = \frac{1}{r^5} \frac{t^{3\alpha}}{\Gamma(3\alpha + 1)},$$

$$u_4(r, t) = L^{-1} \left( s^{-\alpha} L \left[ \frac{u_{00}}{r} + \frac{u_0}{r} \right] \right) = \frac{1}{r^7} \frac{t^{4\alpha}}{\Gamma(4\alpha + 1)},$$

$$u_5(r, t) = L^{-1} \left( s^{-\alpha} L \left[ \frac{u_{00}}{r} + \frac{u_0}{r} \right] \right) = \frac{1}{r^9} \frac{t^{5\alpha}}{\Gamma(5\alpha + 1)}. \tag{4.10}$$

**Figure 1**  Plot of the approximate solution for value $x = 1$.

**Figure 2**  Plot of the approximate solution for value $x = 0.5$.

**Figure 3**  The behavior of the solutions for different value of $x$ at $P = 1$, $r = 1$.

**Figure 4**  The behavior of the solutions for different value of $x$ at $P = 1$, $t = 1$. 

Momani and Odibat [9].
Therefore, the series solution by FMLDM is given as

\[
t(r, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n(r, t)
= r + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1^2 \times 3^2 \times 5^2 \times \cdots \times (2n-3)^2}{\Gamma(2n+1)} \frac{r^n}{n!}.
\] (4.11)

The solution of the standard Navier–Stokes equation (for \( \alpha = 1 \)) is given as

\[
t(r, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n(r, t)
= r + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1^2 \times 3^2 \times 5^2 \times \cdots \times (2n-3)^2}{\Gamma(2n+1)} \frac{r^n}{n!}.
\] (4.12)

The above result is in complete agreement with Momani and Odibat [9].

5. Concluding remarks

The new modification of decomposition method is powerful tool to search the solution of various linear and nonlinear problems arising in science and engineering. The main aim of this article is to provide the series solution of the time-fractional Navier–Stokes equation by using the fractional modified Laplace decomposition method (FMLDM). The analytical results have been given in terms of a power series with easily computed terms. The method overcomes the difficulty in other methods because it is efficient. Two examples were investigated to demonstrate the ease and versatility of our new approach. The illustrative examples show that the method is easy to use and is an effective tool to solve fractional partial differential equations numerically.
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