

# A Test for the Independence of Two Gaussian Processes

C. S. WITHERS

*Applied Mathematics Division, DSIR, Wellington, New Zealand*

*Communicated by M. M. Rao*

A bivariate Gaussian process with mean  $\mathbf{0}$  and covariance

$$\Sigma(s, t, \rho) = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11}(s, t) & \rho\Sigma_{12}(s, t) \\ \rho\Sigma_{21}(s, t) & \Sigma_{22}(s, t) \end{pmatrix}$$

is observed in some region  $\Omega$  of  $R^r$ , where  $\{\Sigma_{ij}(s, t)\}$  are given functions and  $\rho$  an unknown parameter. A test of  $H_0: \rho = 0$ , locally equivalent to the likelihood ratio test, is given for the case when  $\Omega$  consists of  $p$  points. An unbiased estimate of  $\rho$  is given. The case where  $\Omega$  has positive (but finite) Lebesgue measure is treated by spreading the  $p$  points evenly over  $\Omega$  and letting  $p \rightarrow \infty$ . Two distinct cases arise, depending on whether  $A_{2,p}$ , the sum of squares of the canonical correlations associated with  $\Sigma(s, t, 1)$  on  $\Omega^2$ , remains bounded. In the case of primary interest as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $A_{2,p} \rightarrow \infty$ , in which case  $\hat{\rho}$  converges to  $\rho$  and the power of the one-sided and two-sided tests of  $H_0$  tends to 1. (For example, this case occurs when  $\Sigma_{ij}(s, t) \equiv \Sigma_{11}(s, t)$ .) © 1984 Academic Press, Inc.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

Suppose that a zero mean bivariate Gaussian process  $Z = (X, Y)'$  is observed in some region  $\Omega$  in  $R^r$ , with covariance positive definite of the form

$$\Sigma(s, t, \rho) = EZ(s)Z(t)' = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11}(s, t) & \rho\Sigma_{12}(s, t) \\ \rho\Sigma_{21}(s, t) & \Sigma_{22}(s, t) \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\{\Sigma_{ij}(s, t)\}$  are known functions and  $\rho$  is an unknown real parameter.

We wish to test whether the processes  $X(t)$  and  $Y(t)$  are independent—that is to test the hypothesis  $H_0: \rho = 0$ , and to estimate  $\rho$ .

Received June 27, 1980; revised March 28, 1983.

AMS 1980 subject classifications: primary 62E15, secondary 60G15.

Key words and phrases: Gaussian process, independence, canonical correlations, parametric test, quadratic forms in normal random variables.

In Section 2 we consider the “finite” case where  $\Omega$  consists of  $p$  points

$$\Omega_p = \{t_{ip}, 1 \leq i \leq p\} \quad \text{in } R^r.$$

Thus we observe  $\mathbf{Z}' = (\mathbf{X}', \mathbf{Y}')$  where  $X_i = X(t_{ip}), Y_i = Y(t_{ip})$ . A test of  $H_0$  locally equivalent to the likelihood ratio test is to accept  $H_0$  when  $T_p = [\partial \ln f(\mathbf{Z}, \rho) / \partial \rho]_{\rho=0}$  is close to zero, where  $f(z, \rho)$  is the density of  $\mathbf{Z}$  in  $R^{2p}$ . Since  $\mathbf{Z} \sim N_{2p}(0, C(\rho))$  where

$$C(\rho) = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11p} & \rho \Sigma_{12p} \\ \rho \Sigma_{21p} & \Sigma_{22p} \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $\Sigma_{ijp}$  is the  $p \times p$  matrix with  $(\alpha, \beta)$  element  $\Sigma_{ij}(t_{\alpha p}, t_{\beta p})$ , it follows (Lemma 2.1) that

$$T_p = \mathbf{X}' R_p \mathbf{Y} \quad \text{where } R_p = \Sigma_{11p}^{-1} \Sigma_{12p} \Sigma_{22p}^{-1}. \tag{1.1}$$

In Theorem 2.1 we show that the distribution of  $T_p$  is determined by  $\rho$  and the canonical correlations of  $\mathbf{Z}$ : these may be written in the form  $\{|\rho| \lambda_{ip}, 1 \leq i \leq p\}$ , where  $\{\lambda_{ip}\}$  are the positive roots of  $|C(-\lambda^{-1})| = 0$  and  $|\cdot|$  denotes the determinant. Equivalently,  $\{\lambda_{ip}\}$  are the square roots of the eigenvalues of  $A_p A'_p$  or of  $A'_p A_p$  where  $A_p = \Sigma_{11p}^{-1/2} \Sigma_{12p} \Sigma_{22p}^{-1/2}$ . In fact, Theorem 2.1 shows that

$$T_p = \sum_1^p \lambda_{ip} (M_i^2 - N_i^2) / 2 + \rho \sum_1^p \lambda_{ip}^2 (M_i^2 + N_i^2) / 2 \tag{1.2}$$

where  $\{M_i, N_j\}$  are independent  $N(0, 1)$  random variables (r.v.s).

It follows that the null distribution of  $T_p$  is symmetric about 0, and that an unbiased estimate of  $\rho$  is

$$\hat{\rho}_p = A_{2,p}^{-1} T_p \quad \text{where } A_{2,p} = \sum_1^p \lambda_{i,p}^2 = \text{trace } A_p A'_p. \tag{1.3}$$

In Theorem 2.2 we show how to express the distribution of  $T_p$  as a power series in  $\rho$ , and determine the slope of the power curve near  $\rho = 0$  for the one- and two-sided tests of  $H_0$  based on  $T_p$ .

A solution for the case when  $\Omega$ , the domain of observation of  $\mathbf{Z}$ , has a positive (but bounded) Lebesgue measure may be obtained by choosing  $\{t_{ip}, 1 \leq i \leq p\}$  to be evenly distributed over  $\Omega$  and allowing  $p$  to increase to  $\infty$ . (For example, if  $r = 1$  and  $\Omega = [0, 1]$  one could choose  $t_{ip} = i/p$ .) Two distinct situations arise, depending on whether  $A_{2,p}$  remains bounded or not. Section 3 deals with the situation where  $A_{2,p}$  remains bounded and  $\lambda_{i,p} \rightarrow \lambda_i$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ . Theorem 3.1 shows that  $T_p$  converges to a r.v.

$$T = \sum_1^{\infty} \lambda_i (M_i^2 - N_i^2) / 2 + \rho \sum_1^{\infty} \lambda_i^2 (M_i^2 + N_i^2) / 2, \tag{1.4}$$

with properties analogous to  $T_p$ . In particular the powers of the tests of  $H_0$  are less than 1, and  $\hat{\rho}_p$  does not converge to  $\rho$ .

However, in the situation where  $A_{2,p} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , dealt with in Section 4,  $T_p$  satisfies the Central Limit Theorem (C.L.T),  $\hat{\rho}_p$  converges to  $\rho$ , and a test of  $H_0$  may be constructed, with power at a given value of  $\rho$  arbitrarily close to 1. (In particular this situation occurs when  $\Sigma_{ij} \equiv \Sigma_{11}$ , as in the case of elliptical Brownian motion.)

Finally Section 5 illustrates an alternative method for obtaining  $\{\lambda_i\}$  in the case where  $\Omega$  is arbitrarily but  $\Sigma_{11}(s, t)$ ,  $\Sigma_{22}(s, t)$  have known eigenvalues and eigenfunctions. This approach also enables the construction of  $\Sigma_{12}(s, t)$  such that the  $\{\lambda_i\}$  have specified properties (such as  $A_{2,\infty}$  being finite or infinite).

## 2. THE FINITE CASE

Suppose that  $Z(t)$  is observed at only the  $p$  points in  $\Omega_p$ .

LEMMA 2.1.  $T_p$  is given by (1.1).

*Proof.*  $-2 \ln f(\mathbf{Z}, \rho) = \ln |C(\rho)| + 2p \ln(2\pi) + \mathbf{Z}'C(\rho)^{-1}\mathbf{Z}$ . Let  $\Sigma_{i,j} = \Sigma_{iip} - \rho^2 \Sigma_{ijp} \Sigma_{jjp}^{-1} \Sigma_{jip}$ ,  $C^{11} = \Sigma_{1,2}^{-1}$ ,  $C^{22} = \Sigma_{2,1}^{-1}$ , and  $C^{21} = (C^{12})' = -\rho \Sigma_{22p}^{-1} \Sigma_{21p} \Sigma_{1,2}^{-1}$ . Then  $|C(\rho)| = |\Sigma_{1,2}| |\Sigma_{22p}|$ , (see for example De Groot [1, pp. 54–55], so that its derivative vanishes at  $\rho = 0$ . Also  $C(\rho)^{-1} = (C^{ij})$  (e.g., Problem 2.7 of [3]) which has derivative  $-C(\rho)^{-1} dC(\rho)/d\rho C(\rho)^{-1}$  equal to  $-\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \rho \\ \rho & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  when  $\rho = 0$ . The result follows. ■

The next result gives the Fourier transform and the Laplace transform of the density  $T_p$ .

THEOREM 2.1.  $T_p$  can be written in the form (1.2). Hence if

$$\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)(\pm\lambda_{ip} + \rho\lambda_{ip}^2) < 2, \quad 1 \leq i \leq p, \tag{2.1}$$

then  $E \exp(\lambda T_p/2) = D_p(\lambda, \rho)^{-1/2}$  where

$$D_p(\lambda, \rho) = D_{p,\rho}(\lambda) D_{p,-\rho}(-\lambda),$$

$$D_{p,\rho}(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^p \{1 - \lambda(\lambda_{ip} + \rho\lambda_{ip}^2)/2\} = |I - \lambda(K_p + \rho K_p^2)/2|,$$

and

$$K_p = (A_p A_p')^{1/2}.$$

*Proof.* From the singular value decomposition (e.g., [3, p. 42]),  $A_p = U\Lambda V$  where  $U'U = V'V = I_p$ ,  $\Lambda = \text{diag}(v_1, \dots, v_p)$ , and  $v_i = \lambda_{ip}$ . Set  $x = \Sigma_{11p}^{-1/2} \mathbf{X}$ ,  $y = \Sigma_{22p}^{-1/2} \mathbf{Y}$ ,  $u = U'x$ ,  $v = Vy$ . Then

$$T_p = x'A_p y = u'Av = \sum_1^p v_i u_i v_i.$$

Also,  $\{(u_i, v_i)'\}$  are independently distributed as  $\{N_2(0, L_i)\}$  where  $L_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho v_i \\ \rho v_i & 1 \end{pmatrix} = HP_i H$ ,  $H = 2^{-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $P_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \rho v_i & \\ & 1 - \rho v_i \end{pmatrix}$ . Hence  $v_i u_i v_i = a_i M_i^2 + b_i N_i^2$  where  $a_i = (v_i + \rho v_i^2)/2$ ,  $b_i = (-v_i + \rho v_i^2)/2$  and  $(M_i, N_i)' = P_i^{-1/2} H(u_i, v_i)' \sim N_2(0, I)$ . This proves (1.2). That is,  $T_p$  has the weighted chi-square form

$$T_p = \sum_1^p (a_i(\rho) M_i^2 - a_i(-\rho) N_i^2) \tag{2.2}$$

where  $\{a_i(\rho) = (\lambda_{ip} + \rho \lambda_{ip}^2)/2\}$  are the eigenvalues of  $(K_p + \rho K_p^2)/2$ . The rest follows from  $E \exp(\lambda N_1^2/2) = (1 - \lambda)^{-1/2}$  for  $\text{Re}(\lambda) < 1$  as is easily proved by contour integration. ■

Two approximate methods for finding the distribution of indefinite quadratic forms of normal r.v.'s such as  $T_p$  are given in Section 29.7 of Johnson and Kotz [2]. These methods require  $\{a_i(\rho)\}$ , so that  $\{\lambda_{ip}\}$  must be calculated. There are also various methods for inverting the characteristic function of  $T_p$ ,  $D_p(2it, \rho)^{-1/2}$ , or its Laplace transform  $D_p(-2t, \rho)^{-1/2}$ , or of obtaining similarly the distributions of  $T_p^+$ ,  $T_p^-$  and convoluting these, where  $T_p^+$ ,  $T_p^-$  are the positive and negative parts of  $T_p$ , that is the components of (2.2) associated with the positive (or negative) values of  $\{a_i(\rho), -a_j(-\rho)\}$ .

From Theorem 2.1 it is easy to verify

**COROLLARY 2.1.**  $ET_p = \rho A_{2,p}$ , and  $\text{var}(T_p) = A_{2,p} + \rho^2 A_{4,p}$  where

$$A_{n,p} = \sum_1^p \lambda_{ip}^n = \text{trace}(A_p A_p^n) = \text{trace}(A_p^n A_p).$$

Let  $z_\alpha$  denote the  $1 - \alpha$  quantile of  $F(x, 0)$ , the null distribution of  $T_p$ . The one- and two-sided  $1 - \alpha$  level tests of  $H_0$  are: "accept  $H_0 \Leftrightarrow T_p < z_\alpha$ " and "accept  $H_0 \Leftrightarrow |T_p| < z_{\alpha/2}$ ." We now consider the power of these tests near  $\rho = 0$ .

**THEOREM 2.2.** (a) *The density of  $T_p$  satisfies*

$$f(x, \rho) = f(x, 0) \{1 + \rho x + \rho^2(x^2 + A_{2,p})/2\} + O(\rho^3).$$

(b) *The one-sided test has power*

$$\alpha + \rho \int_{z_\alpha} x f(x, 0) dx + \rho^2 \left( \alpha A_{2,\rho} + \int_{z_\alpha} x^2 f(x, 0) dx \right) + O(\rho^3).$$

(c) *The two-sided test has power*

$$\alpha + \rho^2 \left\{ \int_{z_{\alpha/2}} x^2 f(x, 0) dx + \alpha A_{2,\rho/2} \right\} + O(\rho^4).$$

*Proof.* Dropping the subscript  $p$ , there exists  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that

$$D_\rho(\lambda)^{-1/2} = D_0(\lambda)^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_1^\infty p_j(\lambda) \rho^j / j! \right\} \quad \text{for } |\operatorname{Re} \lambda| < \lambda_0,$$

where

$$p_j(\lambda) = \sum_1^p C_i(\lambda)^j \quad \text{and} \quad C_i(\lambda) = \lambda \lambda_i^2 (2 - \lambda \lambda_i)^{-1}.$$

Hence  $D(\lambda, \rho)^{-1/2} = D(\lambda, 0)^{-1/2} \{1 + \rho L_1(\lambda) + \rho^2 L_2(\lambda) + O(\rho^3)\}$  where  $L_1(\lambda) = (p_1(\lambda) - p_1(-\lambda))/2$  and  $L_2(\lambda) = (p_2(\lambda) + p_2(-\lambda))/4 + L_1(\lambda)^2/2$ . Also,  $p_1(\lambda) = -2\theta \ln D_0(\lambda) - A_1$  and  $p_2(\lambda) = 4\theta^2 \ln D_0(\lambda) - 4\lambda^{-1} p_1(\lambda) + A_2$ , where  $\theta^i = (\partial/\partial \lambda)^i$ . Set  $D = D(\lambda, 0)$ ,  $Y = T_p/2$  and let  $E_0$  denote  $E$  when  $\rho = 0$ . Then  $D^{-1/2} = E_0 \exp(\lambda Y)$ ,  $D^{-1/2} L_1(\lambda) = 2\theta D^{-1/2} = 2E_0 Y \exp(\lambda Y)$ , and  $D^{-1/2} L_2(\lambda) = 2\theta^2 D^{-1/2} + A_2 D^{-1/2}/2 = E_0(2Y^2 + A_2/2) \exp(\lambda Y)$ . Then (a) follows, and hence (b) and (c), using the symmetry of  $f(x, 0)$ , with  $\rho^3$  for  $\rho^4$  in (c). To replace  $\rho^3$  by  $\rho^4$  in (c), note  $T_p = U + \rho V$  where  $(U, V) \stackrel{L}{=} (-U, V)$  so that  $|T_p|$  has distribution expandable in powers of  $\rho^2$ . ■

### 3. THE INFINITE CASE: $A_{2,p}$ BOUNDED

Suppose that as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\lambda_{ip} \rightarrow \lambda_i$ ,  $i \geq 1$  where  $A_2 = \sum_1^\infty \lambda_i^2 < \infty$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *As  $p \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $T_p \xrightarrow{L} T$ , given by (1.4). The sum  $T$  converges in probability. If also  $A_1 = \sum_1^\infty \lambda_i < \infty$ , then  $T \stackrel{L}{=} T^+ + T^- \stackrel{L}{=} T_+ + T_-$  where*

$$\begin{aligned} T^+ &= \sum a_i^+ M_i^2, & T^- &= \sum a_i^- N_i^2, \\ T_+ &= \sum_1^\infty a_i(\rho) M_i^2, & T_- &= -\sum_1^\infty a_i(-\rho) N_i^2, \end{aligned}$$

$\{a_i^+\}$  and  $\{a_i^-\}$  are the positive and negative values of  $\{a_i(\rho), -a_i(-\rho)\}$  and  $a_i(\rho) = (\lambda_i + \rho\lambda_i^2)/2$ ; these sums converge with probability one.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\lambda$  satisfies (2.1). Then as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$D_p(\lambda, \rho) \rightarrow D_\infty(\lambda, \rho) = \prod_1^\infty (1 - \lambda a_i(\rho))(1 + \lambda a_i(-\rho))$$

which is finite. Convergence in probability follows.

If  $A_1 < \infty$ ,  $T^+ \geq 0 \geq T^-$  and  $\infty > ET^+ \geq ET^- > -\infty$ , so that  $T^+, T^-$  converge with probability 1. The same is true for  $T_+, T_-$  since these differ from  $T^+, T^-$  by only a fixed finite number of terms. ■

The analogs of the rest of Theorem 2.1, Corollary 2.1, and Theorem 2.2 also hold. Thus the distribution of  $T$  may be determined from  $\{\lambda_i\}$ . These values depend on the choice of  $\{t_{i,p}\}$ , but may be obtained independently of them as follows. Let  $\mu_p(\cdot)$  be the measure putting weight  $p^{-1}$  at  $t_{i,p}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq p$ . Suppose  $\mu_p$  converges weakly to a measure  $\mu$  on  $\Omega$ . (The natural choice for  $\mu$  is Lebesgue measure on  $\Omega$ .) Then when the operators  $\{S_{ij}\}$ ,  $A, R$  given by

$$S_{ij}f(s) = \int S_{ij}(s, t)f(t) d\mu(t),$$

where  $\int$  is over  $\Omega$ ,

$$A = S_{11}^{-1/2} S_{12} S_{22}^{-1/2}, \quad R = S_{11}^{-1} S_{12} S_{22}^{-1},$$

are well-defined, clearly  $\{\lambda_i^{-2}\}$  are the eigenvalues of  $AA'$ ,  $A_n = \sum_1^\infty \lambda_i^n$  is the trace of the operator  $(AA')^{n/2}$ , and  $T = \int X(t)RY(t) d\mu(t)$ .

It is not actually necessary to obtain  $\{\lambda_i\}$  in order to get  $E \exp(\lambda T/2) = D_\infty(\lambda, \rho)^{-1/2}$ , since when  $A_1 < \infty$ ,  $D_\infty(\lambda, \rho) = D_{\infty,\rho}(\lambda) D_{\infty,-\rho}(-\lambda)$  where  $D_{\infty,\rho}(\lambda) = \prod_1^\infty \{1 - \lambda(\lambda_i + \rho\lambda_i^2)/2\}$  may be determined from  $D_{\infty,\rho}(\lambda) = \exp\{-\int_0^\lambda d\lambda \int B_\rho(s, t, \lambda) d\mu(t)\}$ , where  $B_\rho(s, t, \lambda)$  is the resolvent of the operator  $B_\rho = ((AA')^{1/2} + \rho AA')/2$ , (see for example, Withers [4]), or from  $D_{\infty,\rho}(\lambda) = D(v_1)D(v_2)$  if  $D(\lambda) = \prod_1^\infty (1 - \lambda\lambda_i)$  is known and  $v_1, v_2$  are the roots of  $1 - \lambda(v + \rho v^2)/2 = 0$ .

#### 4. THE INFINITE CASE: $A_{2,p}$ UNBOUNDED

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Suppose that  $\{\lambda_{jp}\}$  are uniformly bounded but  $A_{2,p} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $\hat{\rho}_p \rightarrow^p \rho$ ,  $(T_p - ET_p)(\text{var } T_p)^{-1/2} \rightarrow^L N(0, 1)$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , and an asymptotically  $1 - \alpha$  level confidence region for  $\rho$  is given by*

$$|\rho - \hat{\rho}_p| \leq \Phi^{-1}(1 - \alpha/2) A_{2,p}^{-1} (A_{2,p} + \hat{\rho}_p^2 A_{4,p})^{1/2}.$$

As  $p \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $A_{2,p}^{-1/2} T_p \rightarrow^L \infty$  if  $\rho > 0$ ,  $N(0, 1)$  if  $\rho = 0$ , and  $-\infty$  if  $\rho < 0$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.1, Corollary 2.1, and Lyapounov's Theorem, the C.L.T. holds for  $T_p$  since

$$\sum_1^p (a_i(\rho)^4 + a_{ip}(-\rho)^4)/(A_{2,p} + \rho^2 A_{4,p})^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } p \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence

$$A_{2,p}(\hat{\rho}_p - \rho)(A_{2,p} + \rho^2 A_{4,p})^{-1/2} \xrightarrow{L} N(0, 1),$$

and

$$A_{2,p}^{-1/2} T_p = \rho A_{2,p}^{1/2} + O_p(1). \quad \blacksquare$$

Thus by taking  $p$  suitably large one may decide—with negligible error—whether  $\rho = 0$ , and if it is not, obtain its value. For example, if  $p$  is chosen so that  $(10^{-3}/3.291)^2 \geq (A_{2,p} + \hat{\rho}_p^2 A_{4,p}) A_{2,p}^{-2}$  then with probability greater or equal to .999 +  $o(1)$ ,  $\rho$  must lie between  $\hat{\rho}_p - .001$  and  $\hat{\rho}_p + .001$ . (Here  $o(1)$  is a term which tends to zero as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ . Typically for each  $n$ ,  $A_{n,p}/p$  is a power series in  $p^{-1}$  so that this term can be improved to  $O(p^{-\zeta})$  for any desired  $\zeta > 0$ , by the method of Withers [5].)

**EXAMPLE 4.1.**  $\Sigma_{ij}(s, t) \equiv \sigma_{ij}C(s, t)$  where  $\sigma_{12}C \neq 0$ . In this case  $\sigma_{21} = \sigma_{12}$  and  $A_p = \sigma I_p$  where  $\sigma = \sigma_{12}(\sigma_{11}\sigma_{22})^{-1/2}$ , and  $A_{n,p} = \sigma^n p$ . Hence with probability  $1 - \alpha + O(p^{-1/2})$ ,

$$|\rho - \hat{\rho}_p| \leq \Phi^{-1}(1 - \alpha/2) \sigma^{-1} p^{-1/2} (1 + \hat{\rho}_p^2 \sigma^2)^{1/2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\rho}_p &= \sigma^{-2} p^{-1} T_p, & T_p &= \sigma_0 \mathbf{X}' C_p^{-1} \mathbf{Y}, \\ \sigma_0 &= (\sigma_{11} \sigma_{22})^{-1/2} \sigma, & \text{and } (C_p)_{\alpha, \beta} &= C(t_{\alpha p}, t_{\beta p}). \end{aligned}$$

**EXAMPLE 4.1.** Suppose  $r = 1$ ,  $\Omega = [a, b]$ ,  $C(s, t) = \min(s, t)$ . Then

$$\mathbf{X}' C_p^{-1} \mathbf{Y} = c^{-1} X_1 Y_1 + d^{-1} \sum_1^p X_i D_i$$

where  $c = a + d$ ,  $d = (b - a)/p$ ,  $D_1 = Y_1 - Y_2$ ,  $D_i = -Y_{i-1} + 2Y_i - Y_{i+1}$  for  $1 < i < p$ ,  $D_p = -Y_{p-1} + Y_p$ .

For  $Z(\cdot)$  non-Gaussian, a Central Limit Theorem for  $T_p$  may still be proved under suitable conditions (such as when  $T_p$  is strong-mixing); however the confidence interval in Theorem 4.1 is no longer consistent, and a consistent estimate for  $\text{var } T_p$  is more difficult to obtain.

5. FOURIER METHODS

In some applications it may be desirable to try a variety of  $\Sigma_{12}(s, t)$  or  $\{\Sigma_{ij}(s, t)\}$ . Fourier expansions provide a way to construct a covariance for  $Z(t)$  with given values of  $\{\lambda_i\}$ , and hence to make  $A_1$  or  $A_2$  finite or infinite as desired.

Alternatively, when  $\{\Sigma_{ij}(s, t)\}$  are given and the eigenvalues  $\{\theta_{1i}, \theta_{2i}, \dots\}$  and eigenfunctions  $\{\phi_{1i}(t), \phi_{2i}(t), \dots\}$  of  $\Sigma_{ii}(s, t)$  (w.r.t. the measure  $\mu$  on  $\Omega$ ) are known for  $i = 1$  and  $2$ , (including the solutions of  $S_{ii}\phi = \theta^{-1}\phi$  with  $\theta = \infty$ ), then the Fourier expansions provide an alternative way of calculating  $\{\lambda_i\}$ .

We illustrate this with the following example—the case when the marginals  $X(t), Y(t)$  are Brownian motion.

EXAMPLE 5.1. Take  $r = 1, \Omega = [0, b], \Sigma_{ii}(s, t) = \sigma_{ii} \min(s, t), i = 1, 2$ , and  $\mu$  Lebesgue measure. Then the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of  $\Sigma_{ii}(s, t)$  are  $\{\phi_j(t), \theta_j/\sigma_{ii}, j \geq 1\}$  where  $\theta_j = (j - \frac{1}{2})^2 \pi^2/b^2$  and  $\phi_j(t) = (2/b)^{1/2} \sin \theta_j^{1/2} t$ . Choose  $\{q_i\}$  such that  $\sum_1^\infty q_i^2 < \infty$  and set

$$\Sigma_{12}(s, t) = \sum_1^\infty \phi_i(s) \phi_i(t) q_i.$$

Then  $\Sigma(s, t, \rho)$  will be a covariance  $\Leftrightarrow \max_i \rho^2 q_i^2 \leq \sigma_{11}\sigma_{22}$ , since  $\Sigma(s, t, \rho) = \sum_1^\infty \phi_i(s) \phi_i(t) \tau_i$  where  $\tau_i = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \rho q_i \\ \rho q_i & \sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix} = EX(s)X(t)'$  where  $X(s) = \sum_1^\infty \phi_i(s) \mathbf{X}_i$  and  $\{\mathbf{X}_i\}$  are independent r.v.'s with means  $\mathbf{0}$  and covariance  $\{\tau_i\}$ .

Also  $\{\lambda_i\} = \{|q_i| \theta_i (\sigma_{11}\sigma_{22})^{-1/2}\}$  and so  $A_2 < \infty \Leftrightarrow \sum_1^\infty q_i^2 t^4 < \infty$ . This clearly fails for Example 4.1.1 with  $a = 0$ , since that example corresponds to the choice  $q_i \equiv \sigma_{12}/\theta_i$ .

However for a choice such as  $q_i \equiv \sigma_{12}/\theta_i^2, A_2$  is finite and so is  $A_1$ ; this choice yields

$$\Sigma_{12}(s, t) = \sigma_{12} \int_0^b \min(s, u) \min(u, t) du = \sigma_{12}(stb - st^2/2 - s^3/6)$$

for  $s \leq t$ ;

also  $\{\lambda_i\} = \{\alpha \theta_i^{-1}\}$  where  $\alpha = |\sigma_{12}| (\sigma_{11}\sigma_{22})^{-1/2}$ , so that the Laplace transform/characteristic function of  $T$  is given by Section 3 in terms of  $D(\lambda) = \prod_1^\infty (1 - \lambda \lambda_i) = \cosin\{\alpha \lambda\}^{1/2} b$ .



## REFERENCES

- [1] DEGROOT, M. H. (1970). *Optimal Statistical Decisions*. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- [2] JOHNSON, NORMAN L., AND KOTZ, SAMUEL (1970). *Continuous Univariate Distributions—2*. Houghton Mifflin, Boston.
- [3] RAO, C. R. (1973). *Linear Statistical Inference*. Wiley, New York.
- [4] WITHERS, C. S. (1975). Fredholm theory for arbitrary measure spaces. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **12** 283–292.
- [5] WITHERS, C. S. (1983). Accurate confidence intervals for distributions with one parameter. *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math. A* **35**, 49–61.