April 6, 2010:1502-8

#### REFERENCES

- Kleinbongard P, Dejam A, Lauer T, et al. Plasma nitrite reflects constitutive nitric oxide synthase activity in mammals. Free Radic Biol Med 2003;35:790-6.
- Gibbons RJ, Balady GJ, Beasley JW, et al. ACC/AHA guidelines for exercise testing. A report of the American College of Cardiology/ American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Committee on Exercise Testing). J Am Coll Cardiol 1997;30:260-311.

#### **Research Correspondence**

- Rassaf T, Preik M, Kleinbongard P, et al. Evidence for in vivo transport of bioactive nitric oxide in human plasma. J Clin Invest 2002;109: 1241-8.
- van Faassen EE, Bahrami S, Feelisch M, et al. Nitrite as regulator of hypoxic signaling in mammalian physiology. Med Res Rev 2009;29: 683–741.
- Celermajer DS, Sorensen KE, Gooch VM, et al. Non-invasive detection of endothelial dysfunction in children and adults at risk of atherosclerosis. Lancet 1992;340:1111–5.

# Improvement of Left Ventricular Dysfunction and of Survival Prognosis of Dilated Cardiomyopathy by Administration of Calcium Sensitizer SCH00013 in a Mouse Model

To the Editor: Dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) is a myocardial disease with poor prognosis, characterized by progressive ventricular dilation and systolic dysfunction. Underlying etiologies include idiopathic, viral (myocarditis), toxic agents and mitochondrial and metabolic disorders, but mutations in genes encoding components of sarcomere, sarcolemma, cytoskeleton, or nuclear envelope-including lamin A/C (LMNA)-also cause DCM (1). Therefore, treatment and prevention of DCM are important especially for the individuals who carry the disease-causing mutation. We previously reported an animal model of DCM, Lmna knock-in mouse carrying H222P mutation in homozygous state (Lmna<sup>H222P/H222P</sup>), which developed progressive contractile dysfunction (2). To explore a therapeutic strategy for DCM, we treated Lmna<sup>H222P/H222P</sup> mice with a pyridazinone derivative calcium  $(Ca^{2+})$  sensitizing agent SCH00013 (3). We found that SCH00013 ameliorated systolic dysfunction (Table 1), prolonged life expectancy, decreased cardiac interstitial fibrosis, and modulated the expression of genes involved in cardiac remodeling (see also the Online Appendix for experimental details and additional discussion).

As a therapeutic strategy for heart failure, medication with angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors, angiotensin II type I receptor blockers, and beta-blockers has been employed. Cardiotonic agents could be useful for treatment of systolic dysfunction, because the agents augment cardiac contractility via increased level of cyclic adenosine-mono-phosphate (cAMP) in cardiomyocytes, leading to an increase in intracellular  $Ca^{2+}$  concentration. Classical cardiotonic agents could provide short-term hemodynamic benefits, but a long-term administration was correlated with poor survival rates and often accompanied by adverse effects, presumably due to the elevated  $Ca^{2+}$  concentrations leading to cardiotoxic and arrhythmogenic effects. However,  $Ca^{2+}$  sensitizers are novel cardiotonic agents that elicit a positive inotropic effect via increasing  $Ca^{2+}$  sensitivity of muscle contraction without increasing the concentrations of cAMP and intracellular  $Ca^{2+}$  (4).

We tested the effect of the long-term oral administration of a  $Ca^{2+}$  sensitizer SCH00013 in DCM model *Lmna*<sup>H222P/H222P</sup> mice, because SCH00013 was reported to ameliorate the impaired contractility without superfluous expenditure of energy in the cardiac muscles (5). Body weight and water intake were not different between SCH00013-untreated and -treated *Lmna*<sup>H222P/H222P</sup> mice (Online

Fig. S1). Plasma concentrations of SCH00013 in the treated  $Lmna^{+/+}$  and  $Lmna^{H222P/H222P}$  male mice were 580.0  $\pm$  23.5 ng/ml and 552.3  $\pm$  26.1 ng/ml, respectively, whereas those in female mice were 456.0  $\pm$  107.6 ng/ml and 411.5  $\pm$  43.8 ng/ml, respectively (n = 4 to 5 in each group). Untreated *Lmna*<sup>H222P/H222P</sup> male mice started to die at 4 months of age, and they all died by 11 months of age (Online Fig. S2). A 50% survival time was prolonged by the treatment (untreated mice 7.90  $\pm$  0.26 months vs. treated mice 8.70  $\pm$  0.22 months, p < 0.05), although a Kaplan-Meier analysis of the overall mortality showed no statistical difference between them (p = 0.14). By contrast, untreated Lmna<sup>H222P/H222P</sup> female mice died between 6 and 13 months of age, and SCH00013 significantly improved survival prognosis, evidenced by the prolonged 50% survival time  $(9.80 \pm 0.26 \text{ months vs. } 11.14 \pm 0.31 \text{ months, } p < 0.01)$  and reduced overall mortality (p < 0.05, log-rank test).

Echocardiographic examination (Online Fig. S3) revealed that the untreated mice developed left ventricular dilation and contractile dysfunction, evidenced by decreased left ventricular fractional shortening and left ventricular ejection fraction, which were overt after 2 months of age in males and 4 months of age in females. The agent SCH00013 significantly improved left ventricular fractional shortening and left ventricular ejection fraction in males at 4 and 6 months of age and in females at 6, 8, and 10 months of age (Table 1). Systolic blood pressure in Lmna<sup>H222P/H222P</sup> mice at 4 months of age was not significantly modified by administration of SCH00013 for 2 months: treated 124.0  $\pm$  3.4 mm Hg versus untreated 121.0  $\pm$  2.2 mm Hg in males, and treated 112.9  $\pm$  7.7 mm Hg versus untreated 120.3  $\pm$  3.8 mm Hg in females (n = 8 and n = 4 for treated and untreated mice, respectively), demonstrating that SCH00013 improved the left ventricular contractile dysfunction in *Lmna*<sup>H222P/H222P</sup> mice without affecting systolic blood pressure.

The Lmna<sup>H222P/H222P</sup> mice showed dilation of both ventricles with enlargement of atrial cavity often accompanied by atrial thrombosis, which were prevented by the treatment (Online Fig. S4). Microscopic analyses demonstrated that the interstitial fibrosis, degeneration, and necrosis of cardiomyocytes in the ventricles of Lmna<sup>H222P/H222P</sup> mice were ameliorated by SCH00013 (Online Fig. S4). Moreover, the increased collagen deposition in the hearts of Lmna<sup>H222P/H222P</sup> mice was significantly suppressed by the treatment (Online Fig. S5).

#### Table 1 Echocardiographic Data for Untreated and SCH00013-Treated Lmna<sup>+/+</sup> and Lmna<sup>H222P/H222P</sup> Mice

	IVSd (mm)	PWd (mm)	LVM (mg)	LVEDD (mm)	LVESD (mm)	LVFS (%)	LVEF (%)	Heart Rate (beats/min)
Data for male mice age 2, 4, 6, and 8 months								
2 months of age								
Untreated Lmna <sup>+/+</sup> (n = 15)	$\textbf{0.49} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{0.50} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{45.2} \pm \textbf{1.18}$	$\textbf{3.28} \pm \textbf{0.04}$	$\textbf{1.85} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	$\textbf{43.7} \pm \textbf{0.59}$	$\textbf{81.5} \pm \textbf{0.59}$	500 ± 7
SCH <i>Lmna</i> <sup>+/+</sup> (n = 22)	$\textbf{0.50} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{0.54} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{46.7} \pm \textbf{1.36}$	$\textbf{3.21} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	$\textbf{1.80} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	$\textbf{43.9} \pm \textbf{0.75}$	$\textbf{81.7} \pm \textbf{0.76}$	523 ± 9
Untreated Lmna <sup>H222P/H222P</sup> (n = 21)	$\textbf{0.50} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{0.49} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{46.0} \pm \textbf{1.17}$	$\textbf{3.30} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	$1.98 \pm 0.03*$	39.6 ± 0.93*	$\textbf{76.5} \pm \textbf{1.19*}$	524 ± 7
SCH <i>Lmna</i> <sup>H222P/H222P</sup> (n = 17)	$\textbf{0.49} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$\textbf{0.51} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$\textbf{46.0} \pm \textbf{1.76}$	$\textbf{3.28} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	$\textbf{1.92} \pm \textbf{0.02} \textbf{\dagger}$	$41.1 \pm 0.60 \ddagger$	$\textbf{78.4} \pm \textbf{0.77} \texttt{\dagger}$	$536 \pm 10$
4 months of age								
Untreated Lmna <sup>+/+</sup> (n = 12)	$\textbf{0.48} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$\textbf{0.53} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$\textbf{48.3} \pm \textbf{1.90}$	3.38 ± 0.06	$\textbf{1.94} \pm \textbf{0.05}$	$\textbf{42.8} \pm \textbf{0.82}$	$\textbf{80.5} \pm \textbf{0.82}$	542 ± 12
SCH <i>Lmna</i> <sup>+/+</sup> (n = 22)	$\textbf{0.52} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{0.54} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{51.7} \pm \textbf{1.36}$	3.38 ± 0.03	$\textbf{1.95} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	$\textbf{42.5} \pm \textbf{0.68}$	$\textbf{80.0} \pm \textbf{0.72}$	526 ± 7
Untreated Lmna <sup>H222P/H222P</sup> (n = 21)	$\textbf{0.47} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$0.47\pm0.01*$	$\textbf{55.4} \pm \textbf{2.05}$	3.80 ± 0.07‡	$\textbf{2.64} \pm \textbf{0.08} \textbf{\ddagger}$	$30.9 \pm 1.07 \ddagger$	$\textbf{66.7} \pm \textbf{1.44} \textbf{\ddagger}$	$560 \pm 10$
SCH Lmna <sup>H222P/H222P</sup> (n = 17)	$\textbf{0.49} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{0.50} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{55.8} \pm \textbf{2.09}$	$3.69 \pm 0.06$ §	$\textbf{2.33} \pm \textbf{0.06§}$	37.0 ± 0.92§¶	72.9 ± 1.97†#	543 ± 7
6 months of age				-				
Untreated Lmna <sup>+/+</sup> (n = 12)	$\textbf{0.49} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{0.53} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$\textbf{56.1} \pm \textbf{2.10}$	$3.64 \pm 0.04$	$\textbf{2.10} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	$\textbf{42.3} \pm \textbf{0.46}$	$\textbf{79.9} \pm \textbf{0.63}$	532 ± 9
SCH <i>Lmna</i> <sup>+/+</sup> (n = 21)	$\textbf{0.50} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{0.50} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{56.1} \pm \textbf{1.47}$	$3.68 \pm 0.05$	$\textbf{2.19} \pm \textbf{0.05}$	40.6 ± 0.65	$\textbf{78.2} \pm \textbf{0.64}$	537 ± 7
Untreated Lmna <sup>H222P/H222P</sup> (n = 17)	$\textbf{0.43} \pm \textbf{0.01}^{**}$	$0.42 \pm 0.02 \ddagger$	57.5 ± 2.28	4.34 ± 0.12‡	$3.36 \pm 0.15 \ddagger$	23.0 ± 1.29‡	$53.9 \pm 2.59 \ddagger$	516 ± 18
SCH Lmna <sup>H222P/H222P</sup> (n = 17)	$0.46 \pm 0.01$	0.43 ± 0.01§	58.8 ± 2.30	$4.10 \pm 0.10$ §	3.01 ± 0.12§#	27.0 ± 1.29§#	60.6 ± 2.08§#	533 ± 7
8 months of age		•					•	
Untreated Lmna <sup>+/+</sup> (n = 12)	$\textbf{0.50} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{0.49} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$\textbf{54.1} \pm \textbf{2.57}$	$\textbf{3.62} \pm \textbf{0.07}$	$\textbf{2.07} \pm \textbf{0.06}$	$\textbf{43.0} \pm \textbf{0.64}$	$\textbf{80.8} \pm \textbf{0.62}$	$535 \pm 11$
SCH <i>Lmna</i> <sup>+/+</sup> (n = 21)	$\textbf{0.49} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{0.49} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{58.1} \pm \textbf{2.20}$	$\textbf{3.80} \pm \textbf{0.08}$	$\textbf{2.19} \pm \textbf{0.08}$	$\textbf{39.9} \pm \textbf{0.81}$	$\textbf{77.7} \pm \textbf{0.92}$	544 ± 8
Untreated Lmna <sup>H222P/H222P</sup> (n = 7)	$0.37 \pm 0.01 \ddagger$	$0.36 \pm 0.02 \ddagger$	52.0 ± 5.27	4.37 ± 0.12‡	$3.63 \pm 0.10 \ddagger$	$15.9 \pm 0.88 \ddagger$	$\textbf{41.0} \pm \textbf{1.65} \textbf{\ddagger}$	566 ± 20
SCH Lmna <sup>H222P/H222P</sup> (n = 7)	$0.38 \pm 0.01$ §	0.37 ± 0.01§	54.4 ± 3.65	4.38 ± 0.15§	3.50 ± 0.16§	19.5 ± 0.79§	48.3 ± 3.08§	552 ± 11
Data for female mice age 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 months	-	-						
2 months of age								
Untreated $Lmna^{+/+}$ (n = 7)	$\textbf{0.47} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$\textbf{0.52} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{42.9} \pm \textbf{1.93}$	$\textbf{3.14} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	$\textbf{1.80} \pm \textbf{0.05}$	$\textbf{41.9} \pm \textbf{1.52}$	$\textbf{82.5} \pm \textbf{0.95}$	$535 \pm 13$
SCH <i>Lmna</i> <sup>+/+</sup> (n = 14)	$\textbf{0.49} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{0.51} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{40.9} \pm \textbf{1.38}$	$\textbf{3.10} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$\textbf{1.77} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	$\textbf{42.7} \pm \textbf{0.80}$	$\textbf{80.5} \pm \textbf{0.84}$	$521 \pm 10$
Untreated Lmna <sup>H222P/H222P</sup> (n = 18)	$\textbf{0.51} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{0.49} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{43.7} \pm \textbf{0.91}$	$\textbf{3.18} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$\textbf{1.84} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	$\textbf{42.7} \pm \textbf{0.67}$	$\textbf{79.1} \pm \textbf{0.74}$	544 ± 7
SCH Lmna <sup>H222P/H222P</sup> (n = 14)	$\textbf{0.50} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$\textbf{0.50} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{41.2} \pm \textbf{0.80}$	$\textbf{3.12} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	$\textbf{1.85} \pm \textbf{0.04}$	$\textbf{40.9} \pm \textbf{0.77}$	$\textbf{78.2} \pm \textbf{0.77}$	$551 \pm 10$
4 months of age								
Untreated Lmna <sup>+/+</sup> (n = 7)	$\textbf{0.50} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$\textbf{0.56} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$\textbf{43.1} \pm \textbf{2.23}$	$\textbf{3.24} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	$\textbf{1.72} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$\textbf{43.2} \pm \textbf{0.43}$	$\textbf{81.0} \pm \textbf{0.42}$	521 ± 15
SCH <i>Lmna</i> <sup>+/+</sup> (n = 14)	$\textbf{0.50} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{0.52} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$\textbf{47.1} \pm \textbf{1.35}$	$\textbf{3.28} \pm \textbf{0.04}$	$\textbf{1.88} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	$\textbf{42.3} \pm \textbf{0.73}$	$\textbf{80.3} \pm \textbf{0.71}$	$530 \pm 16$
Untreated Lmna <sup>H222P/H222P</sup> (n = 18)	$\textbf{0.47} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{0.46} \pm \textbf{0.01} \textbf{\ddagger}$	$\textbf{45.9} \pm \textbf{1.27}$	$\textbf{3.48} \pm \textbf{0.05} \textbf{\ddagger}$	$\textbf{2.24} \pm \textbf{0.07} \texttt{\ddagger}$	$\textbf{36.0} \pm \textbf{0.84} \textbf{\ddagger}$	$\textbf{72.8} \pm \textbf{1.06} \textbf{\ddagger}$	553 ± 8
SCH Lmna <sup>H222P/H222P</sup> (n = 14)	$\textbf{0.49} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{0.50} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$\textbf{46.5} \pm \textbf{2.04}$	$\textbf{3.32} \pm \textbf{0.04\#}$	$\textbf{2.05} \pm \textbf{0.030} \texttt{\dagger} \texttt{\texttt{#}}$	$\textbf{38.2} \pm \textbf{0.49} \texttt{\$}\texttt{\#}$	$\textbf{75.2} \pm \textbf{0.61} \textbf{\dagger} \textbf{\dagger}$	$557 \pm 11$
6 months of age								
Untreated $Lmna^{+/+}$ (n = 7)	$\textbf{0.49} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{0.50} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$\textbf{43.0} \pm \textbf{2.09}$	$\textbf{3.28} \pm \textbf{0.05}$	$\textbf{1.82} \pm \textbf{0.04}$	$\textbf{42.7} \pm \textbf{0.44}$	$\textbf{80.5} \pm \textbf{0.44}$	$519 \pm 12$
SCH <i>Lmna</i> <sup>+/+</sup> (n = 14)	$\textbf{0.49} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{0.49} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{45.8} \pm \textbf{1.91}$	$\textbf{3.33} \pm \textbf{0.04}$	$\textbf{1.87} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	$\textbf{43.8} \pm \textbf{0.39}$	$\textbf{81.2} \pm \textbf{0.35}$	521 ± 8
Untreated Lmna <sup>H222P/H222P</sup> (n = 16)	$\textbf{0.46} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{0.44} \pm \textbf{0.01} \textbf{*}$	$\textbf{46.5} \pm \textbf{1.38}$	$\textbf{3.66} \pm \textbf{0.07} \textbf{\ddagger}$	$\textbf{2.57} \pm \textbf{0.10} \textbf{\ddagger}$	$\textbf{30.0} \pm \textbf{1.43} \textbf{\ddagger}$	$\textbf{64.9} \pm \textbf{2.06} \textbf{\ddagger}$	555 ± 9
SCH Lmna <sup>H222P/H222P</sup> (n = 14)	$\textbf{0.46} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$\textbf{0.45} \pm \textbf{0.01} \textbf{\dagger}$	$\textbf{45.2} \pm \textbf{2.54}$	$\textbf{3.44} \pm \textbf{0.06}\textbf{\#}$	$\textbf{2.23} \pm \textbf{0.07§}$	$\textbf{35.4} \pm \textbf{1.05} \textbf{\$}$	71.6 $\pm$ 1.22§	558 ± 7
8 months of age								
Untreated $Lmna^{+/+}$ (n = 7)	$\textbf{0.47} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	$\textbf{0.49} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$\textbf{42.4} \pm \textbf{1.67}$	$\textbf{3.24} \pm \textbf{0.05}$	$\textbf{1.80} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	$\textbf{44.4} \pm \textbf{0.76}$	$\textbf{82.3} \pm \textbf{0.84}$	$510 \pm 16$
SCH <i>Lmna</i> <sup>+/+</sup> (n = 14)	$\textbf{0.47} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{0.47} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{44.3} \pm \textbf{1.33}$	$\textbf{3.36} \pm \textbf{0.04}$	$\textbf{1.91} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	$\textbf{43.0} \pm \textbf{0.52}$	$\textbf{80.8} \pm \textbf{0.45}$	539 ± 8
Untreated Lmna <sup>H222P/H222P</sup> (n = 16)	$\textbf{0.40} \pm \textbf{0.01}^{\star\star}$	$\textbf{0.38} \pm \textbf{0.01} \textbf{\ddagger}$	$\textbf{47.4} \pm \textbf{1.41}$	$\textbf{3.99} \pm \textbf{0.07} \textbf{\ddagger}$	$\textbf{3.16} \pm \textbf{0.09} \textbf{\ddagger}$	$\textbf{21.2} \pm \textbf{1.15} \textbf{\ddagger}$	$\textbf{51.1} \pm \textbf{1.84} \textbf{\ddagger}$	572 ± 9
SCH Lmna <sup>H222P/H222P</sup> (n = 14)	$\textbf{0.42} \pm \textbf{0.01} \textbf{\dagger}$	$\textbf{0.43} \pm \textbf{0.01} \textbf{\dagger} \ $	$\textbf{48.8} \pm \textbf{2.60}$	$\textbf{3.80} \pm \textbf{0.13} \textbf{\dagger} \textbf{\dagger}$	$\textbf{2.72} \pm \textbf{0.17} \texttt{\$}\texttt{\#}$	$\textbf{29.3} \pm \textbf{2.03} \textbf{\S}$	$\textbf{63.2} \pm \textbf{3.20} \textbf{§} \ $	542 ± 9
10 months of age								
Untreated Lmna <sup>+/+</sup> (n = 7)	$\textbf{0.49} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{0.47} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{44.3} \pm \textbf{1.45}$	$\textbf{3.32} \pm \textbf{0.04}$	$\textbf{1.88} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	$\textbf{43.6} \pm \textbf{0.58}$	$\textbf{81.4} \pm \textbf{0.38}$	$\textbf{529} \pm \textbf{13}$
SCH <i>Lmna</i> <sup>+/+</sup> (n = 13)	$\textbf{0.49} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{0.50} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{46.6} \pm \textbf{1.05}$	$\textbf{3.36} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	$\textbf{1.93} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	$\textbf{42.5} \pm \textbf{0.41}$	$\textbf{80.4} \pm \textbf{0.38}$	$\textbf{540} \pm \textbf{11}$
Untreated $Lmna^{H222P/H222P}$ (n = 7)	$\textbf{0.35} \pm \textbf{0.02} \textbf{\ddagger}$	$\textbf{0.37} \pm \textbf{0.03**}$	$\textbf{52.1} \pm \textbf{4.09}$	$\textbf{4.40} \pm \textbf{0.11} \textbf{\ddagger}$	$\textbf{3.74} \pm \textbf{0.14} \ddagger$	$\textbf{15.1} \pm \textbf{1.72} \textbf{\ddagger}$	$\textbf{38.9} \pm \textbf{3.83} \textbf{\ddagger}$	$506 \pm 28$
SCH Lmna <sup>H222P/H222P</sup> (n = 7)	$\textbf{0.42} \pm \textbf{0.02} \textbf{\dagger} \textbf{\dagger}$	$\textbf{0.43} \pm \textbf{0.02} \textbf{\dagger} \textbf{\dagger}$	$\textbf{54.4} \pm \textbf{4.51}$	$\textbf{4.05} \pm \textbf{0.16} \textbf{\S}$	$\textbf{3.11} \pm \textbf{0.21} \textbf{\$}\textbf{\#}$	$\textbf{23.6} \pm \textbf{2.36} \textbf{\$}\textbf{\#}$	$\textbf{54.4} \pm \textbf{4.21} \textbf{\$}\textbf{\#}$	$547 \pm 21$

Cardiac function was evaluated by transthoracic echocardiographic analyses of the left ventricula (LV). The left ventricular mass (LVM) and the percentage of left ventricular fractional shortening (LVFS) were calculated as follows: [(IVSd + PWd + EDD)3 - EDD3] × 1.055 and (LVEDD - LVESD)/LVEDD × 100, respectively. \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, and p < 0.001, versus age-matched untreated *Lmna*<sup>+/+</sup> mice. †p < 0.05, ††p < 0.01, and p < 0.001, versus age-matched SCH00013-treated *Lmna*<sup>+/+</sup> mice. #p < 0.05, ||p < 0.01, and p < 0.001, versus age-matched untreated *Lmna*<sup>+/+</sup> mice. †p < 0.05, ††p < 0.01, and p < 0.001, versus age-matched untreated *Lmna*<sup>+/+</sup> mice.

IVSd = interventricular septal wall thickness in diastole; LVEDD = left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction; LVESD = left ventricular end-systolic diameter; LVM = left ventricular mass; PWd = posterior wall thickness in diastole.

We next investigated the gene expression in the hearts from untreated and treated Lmna<sup>+/+</sup> and Lmna<sup>H222P/H222P</sup> female mice, because the beneficial effect of SCH00013 was prominent in female mice. In the hearts from untreated  $Lmna^{\hat{H2}22P/H222P}$ mice, Nppa, Nppb, Myh7, and Myl7 messenger ribonucleic acids were significantly increased, and the upregulation of Nppa and *Myl7* was significantly reduced in the treated mice (Online Fig. S6). We also found increased messenger ribonucleic acid expression of proto-oncogene Fos and extracellular matrix remodeling-related genes Tgfb1, Tgfb2, and Col1a2 in the untreated Lmna<sup>H222P/H222P</sup> mice, whereas these changes were suppressed by the treatment (Online Fig. S6). Left ventricles from the untreated Lmna<sup>H222P/H222P</sup> mice showed 2.2-fold and 1.7-fold increases of Nppa and Mlc2 proteins, respectively, as compared with the untreated  $Lmna^{+/+}$  mice, and the increased expression was suppressed by the treatment (Online Fig. S7). In addition, we investigated whether the apoptotic signal was induced by the Lmna mutation, because there is an association among apoptosis, cardiac myocyte drop-out, ventricular remodeling, and deterioration of systolic performance in various experimental models of heart failure. However, the number of transferase-mediated dUTP nick-end labeling-positive cells was not increased in the hearts of Lmna<sup>H222P/H222P</sup> mice, and western blot analyses showed no or little expression of Fas-L or Fas proteins, respectively, in the Lmna<sup>H222P/H222P</sup> mice (Online Fig. S8). These results demonstrated that the apoptosis was not associated with the cardiac phenotypes in  $Lmna^{\hat{H}\hat{2}22P/H222P}$ mice and suggested that loss of cardiomyocytes was caused by cell death mechanisms other than the apoptosis.

The molecular mechanisms for the beneficial effect of SCH00013 remained unclear, but it might be related to the phosphodiesterase III activity. This possibility is unlikely, however, because SCH00013 inhibited the phosphodiesterase III activity at much higher concentration (IC<sub>50</sub> = 64.9  $\mu$ mol/l) than the concentration at which it produced the positive inotropic effect  $(IC_{50} = 9.2 \ \mu mol/l)$  in guinea pig hearts (3); and we showed that the plasma concentration of SCH00013 in the  $Lmna^{\text{H222P/H222P}}$ mice ranged from 1 to 2  $\mu$ mol/l, although we did not measure the concentration in the hearts. By contrast, because the Ca<sup>2+</sup> sensitivity of cardiac muscle contraction was not decreased in the Lmna<sup>H222P/H222P</sup> mice at 3 months of age (Online Fig. S9), the Ca<sup>2+</sup> sensitizing effect might not play a major role at the early stage, but the Ca<sup>2+</sup> sensitizing effect of SCH00013 was enhanced in the stretched muscles (5), raising a possibility that the  $Ca^{2+}$  sensitivity in the failed heart might be different. Although the molecular mechanisms should be clarified, our findings implied that the Ca<sup>2+</sup> sensitizer could be a plausible option for preventing disease progression of DCM.

Takuro Arimura, DVM, PhD Rika Sato, MSc Noboru Machida, DVM, PhD Hidenori Bando, Dong-Yun Zhan, PhD Sachio Morimoto, PhD Ryo Tanaka, DVM, PhD Yoshihisa Yamane, DVM, PhD Gisèle Bonne, PhD \*Akinori Kimura, MD, PhD \*Department of Molecular Pathogenesis Medical Research Institute Tokyo Medical and Dental University 1-5-45 Yushima, Bunkyo-ku Tokyo 113-8510 Japan E-mail: akitis@mri.tmd.ac.jp

doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2009.10.065

Please note: This work was supported in part by Grant-in-Aids from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan; grants for Japan-France collaboration research and Japan-Korea collaboration research from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science; research grants from the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, Japan; the Program for Promotion of Fundamental Studies in Health Sciences of the National Institute of Biomedical Innovation; and from the "Association Francaise contre les Myopathies" (AFM) [Grant No. 11737]. We thank Dr. Masataka Hino, Zenyaku Kogyo Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan, for providing SCH00013 and for measuring blood concentration of SCH00013 in mice.

#### REFERENCES

- Kimura A. Molecular etiology and pathogenesis of hereditary cardiomyopathy. Circ J 2008;72 Suppl:A38–48.
- Arimura T, Helbling-Leclerc A, Massart C, et al. Mouse model carrying H222P-Lmna mutation develops muscular dystrophy and dilated cardiomyopathy similar to human striated muscle laminopathies. Hum Mol Genet 2005;14:155–69.
- 3. Endoh M, Sugawara H, Mineshima M. Pharmacology of SCH00013: a novel Ca2+ sensitizer. Cardiovasc Drug Rev 2001;19:345-66.
- Perrone SV, Kaplinsky EJ. Calcium sensitizer agents: a new class of inotropic agents in the treatment of decompensated heart failure. Int J Cardiol 2005;103:248–55.
- Tadano N, Morimoto S, Yoshimura A, et al. SCH00013, a novel Ca(2+) sensitizer with positive inotropic and no chronotropic action in heart failure. J Pharmacol Sci 2005;97:53–60.

#### APPENDIX

For supplementary information and supplementary figure legends, please see the online version of this article.

### Letters to the Editor

## A Meta-Analysis of Remote Monitoring of Heart Failure Patients

Structured disease management improves the prognosis of patients with chronic heart failure and has already been included in the current treatment guidelines. Along with better medication and increased use of defibrillators, planned periodic visits have also become routine in clinical practice. Remote patient monitoring (RPM) is a different type of structured disease management. Although the RPM systems (telephone support, network care, device-assisted monitoring) and health care environments are heterogeneous, the crucial difference from usual care is that RPM enables daily contact with healthcare experts and thus facilitates regular short-term evaluation of the disease status and early intervention. The elaborate meta-analysis by Klersy et al. (1) pointed out considerable benefits to be gained from RPM in terms