Comparative study of syndromic and etiological diagnosis of reproductive tract infections/sexually transmitted infections in women in Delhi
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Abstract
Background: The adequacy of the World Health Organization’s syndromic approach for the diagnosis and management of sexually transmitted diseases (STDs), especially at primary health centers (PHCs) and at other levels, is still debatable in different settings in India and requires validation.

Objectives: A cross-sectional study was carried out in women attending the peripheral government clinics of Delhi in order to (1) enumerate their self-reported reproductive tract infection (RTI)/sexually transmitted infection (STI) symptoms; (2) assess their clinical status; (3) determine the syndromic diagnosis of RTI/STI in symptomatic women and etiological diagnosis in both symptomatic and asymptomatic women; and (4) compare the level of agreement between self-reporting of morbidity and syndromic and etiological diagnosis.

Materials and methods: The study was conducted over 26 months in 4090 women attending peripheral government healthcare centers, both rural and urban, in four zones of Delhi. They were recruited into four different study groups: group I, non-pregnant, reporting with symptoms of RTI/STI; group II, with a bad obstetric history or infertility; group III, pregnant women in any trimester attending the antenatal clinic; and group IV, the control group. Gynecological examination, followed by the collection of genital specimens and blood, were performed after informed and written consent was obtained. Every symptomatic patient was managed on the basis of algorithms of the syndromic approach as recommended by the National AIDS Control Organisation (NACO), India. All specimens were transported to the STD Reference Laboratory, Safdarjung Hospital, New Delhi and processed by standard methods to diagnose the various STDs.
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Introduction

Globally, sexually transmitted infections (STIs) constitute a major public health problem. Over 300 million new cases are reported annually and 75–85% of them are in developing countries. In India too, ulcerative and non-ulcerative STIs have emerged as important public health concerns, with increasing gynecological morbidity and mortality in women. Disability adjusted life years (DALYs) lost per annum are greater for women than men.

This subject was not given its due importance until the advent of the HIV/AIDS era, when the spotlight shifted onto STIs due to their proven role in the facilitation of HIV infection. The main methods of prevention and control of HIV infection in the absence of a safe and effective vaccine, are the promotion of safe sex and the treatment of STIs. Recent data highlight the importance of bacterial vaginosis (BV) as a cofactor in HIV infection. In developing countries, like India, symptoms of STIs and reproductive tract infections (RTIs), like vaginal discharge and ulcers, should be reported by the women themselves. However, it is noticeable that very often they do not report to healthcare facilities due to various impediments such as their low status in society, illiteracy, ignorance, and rigid social norms. To compound this, there is the inherent problem of STIs remaining asymptomatic. The above factors have resulted in poor availability of data on RTIs/STIs, both symptomatic and asymptomatic, among women.

Women who do report to a healthcare facility for any RTI/STI symptom are usually managed by the syndromic approach of the World Health Organization (WHO). This approach has been recommended by NACO, India, at the primary health center (PHC) level, as it is feasible, adaptable, and cost-effective. Syndromic diagnosis is mostly carried out without offering any laboratory-based diagnosis, because of the high costs involved and the lack of facilities countrywide. However, a community study in women who self-reported morbidity, could not establish consistency in the two methods. Other studies have also been unable to fully validate the efficacy of this strategy. In addition, data on asymptomatic carriage of these infections by women are almost non-existent, thereby hindering treatment.

This study was carried out in women attending both rural and urban clinics in the outskirts of Delhi, India, with the objectives of: (1) enumerating their self-reported RTI/STI symptoms; (2) assessing the clinical status by vaginal, bimanual, and speculum examination; (3) determining the syndromic diagnosis of RTIs/STIs in symptomatic women and the microbiological diagnosis in both symptomatic and asymptomatic women; and (4) comparing the level of agreement between self-reporting of morbidity and syndromic and etiological diagnosis in the symptomatic women in the different groups.

Materials and methods

The study was carried out at the Regional STD Teaching, Training and Research Centre, Vardhman Mahavir Medical College and Safdarjung Hospital, New Delhi, over a period of 26 months, between March 2002 and April 2004. Institutional ethics committee approval was obtained prior to the commencement of the study.

Study population

The study population was recruited from different urban and rural health clinics in the National Capital Territory (NCT) of Delhi, having a population of approximately 10 million. Two government health set-ups, one urban and one rural, each from four zones — East, West, North, and South — were identified. A total of 4090 consecutive women (2079 from urban and 2011 from rural dispensaries), in the age range of 14–70 years, were recruited and assigned to one of the four study groups.

Women aged between 14 and 70 years, who were willing and able to provide informed consent to take part in the study, and who had symptoms unrelated to those of menstruation, either using/not using some method of contraception, were included. Group I consisted of a total of 1105 non-pregnant women (558 from urban and 547 from rural dispensaries), with symptoms of current vaginal discharge/genital ulcer/other. Group II comprised 621 women (317 from urban and 304 from rural dispensaries), who were high-risk cases with a bad obstetric history (two or more abortions/ intrauterine growth retardation/stillbirth or neonatal death, and/or infertility (primary or secondary)). Group III consisted of 1563 pregnant women (790 from urban and 773 from rural areas) in any trimester, attending antenatal clinics. Group IV comprised 801 non-pregnant women (414 from urban and 387 from rural areas) recruited by consecutive sampling, with problems other than RTIs/STIs, attending gynecology (for
uterine prolapse, fibroids), surgical, and medical clinics. This group was studied in order to determine the presence of syndromes as well as etiological agents of RTIs/STIs in asymptomatic women.

Women with other infections, such as urinary tract infections (UTIs), an irregular menstrual history, other menstrual problems, or gynecological surgeries were excluded from the study.

Data regarding literacy level, use of contraceptives, history of RTIs/STIs, knowledge and awareness of HIV/AIDS/STIs, healthcare-seeking behavior, etc., were collected and collectively recorded in a proforma (questionnaire) designed for this purpose (details presented in a separate communication). Before sending patients to the doctor, pre-test counseling and health education were imparted by a trained social worker using the conventional techniques. Informed written consent was obtained from all patients before participation in the study, and full confidentiality was assured. Each study recruit was assigned a code number for future reference.

Physical examination (vaginal, speculum, and bimanual) was carried out, to assess the presence of any abnormal discharge, genital ulcers, genital warts, cervicitis, cervical erosion, pelvic inflammatory diseases (PID), prolapse, etc. Cervical, vaginal, and urethral swabs and blood samples were collected for laboratory investigations. Swabs from ulcers (when present) were collected. Specimens were also collected from the asymptomatic patients included in the other study groups and the controls. All samples were transported immediately to the Regional STD Reference Laboratory of the Regional STD Teaching, Training and Research Centre, Vardhman Mahavir Medical College and Safdarjung Hospital, New Delhi in transport media, wherever applicable, and processed on the same day. Swabs for Chlamydia trachomatis antigen detection in special transport medium (supplied with the kit) were stored at 70°C until use. The tests were carried out as per standard techniques as detailed below.

Laboratory techniques

The following tests were carried out in all the study group subjects: (1) venereal disease research laboratory (VDRL; antigen from the Serologist to Kolkata, Government of India) and Treponema pallidum hemaggulination assay (TPHA; Plasmatec TPHA test kit, Hansard Diagnostics, UK) in VDRL reactive sera, for syphilis; (2) direct urethral/cervical smear and culture on chocolate agar and saponin-lysed blood agar with vancomycin, colistin, nystatin, trimethoprim (VCNT) supplement to detect Neisseria gonorrhoeae and confirmation of the isolates by standard methods; (3) ELISA (Bio-Rad Laboratories, WA, USA) for detection of chlamydial antigen (Chlamydia trachomatis); (4) direct wet mount examination and culture on Kupferberg broth with Trichomonas vaginalis supplement for the detection of T. vaginalis; (5) direct Gram-stained smear examination and culture on Sabouraud’s dextrose agar, followed by culture confirmation by germ tube test for the detection of Candida albicans and other Candida species; (6) examination and interpretation of vaginal Gram-stained smear following Nugent’s scoring for the diagnosis of BV; (7) herpes simplex virus-2 (HSV-2) IgM antibodies by ELISA (Equipar diagnostic kit, Italy) for detecting herpes genitalis infection. Genital warts were clinically diagnosed. In addition to the above tests, only in patients with ulcers, Gram-stained smears and cultures from ulcer base specimens were examined for the presence of Haemophilus ducreyi and Gismsa-stained ulcer smears were examined for multinucleated giant cells for the detection of herpes genitalis. (Detailed results of laboratory investigations, i.e., the presence of individual etiological agents, are presented in a separate communication.)

Every symptomatic patient was managed on the basis of algorithms of the syndromic approach recommended by NACO, India, after carrying out risk assessment. However, keeping in view the literacy status and lack of knowledge of the study groups, it was not always successful. The patients were offered specific treatment at their follow-up visits on the basis of their laboratory reports.

Statistical methods

Epi Info version 6.04d (January 2001) software, developed by the WHO, was used for data entry and analysis. The Chi-square test was applied to compare proportions in rural and urban women and also in the different groups, and p-values were calculated. The sensitivity and specificity of the different approaches of management were also calculated.

Results

Study population

The women ranged in age from 14 to 70 years and most of them (89.8%) belonged to the reproductive age group. The most predominant age group was 14—25 years (52.9%), followed by 26—35 years (36.9%), 36—45 years (7.8%), 46—55 years (1.9%), and 56—70 years (0.5%). All the groups had a similar distribution of ages, with the exception of group III, where 72% of the women were in the 14—25 years age group. In the rural clinics, there was higher representation of women in the 14—25 years age group than in the urban clinics.

Most of the patients were from the low-income group (51.5% urban, 49.3% rural) and were illiterate (38.9% urban, 30.8% rural); 77.4% were Hindu upper caste.

Many were not using any contraceptive method (63.3% rural, 59.8% urban), and knowledge about HIV/AIDS was nil in 49.8% urban and 51.9% rural women. Past history of RTIs/STIs was 63.4% in urban and 54.4% in rural women.

Presenting complaints

Overall, self-reporting of morbidity (Table 1) was 65.0%. Group I was 100% symptomatic. In group II, 72.9% (68.8% urban vs.77.3% rural, p < 0.02) had symptoms and in group III, 70.3% (74.3% urban vs.66.2% rural, p < 0.001) had symptoms. Most of the women complained of vaginal discharge (VD), either singly or in combination with other symptoms like lower abdominal pain/low backache (LAP) not related to menstruation, redness and itching of the vulva, and dyspareunia. LAP was the only symptom in 5.8% cases. A total of 75.5% of women presented with more than one symptom, the most prominent combination being some type of VD and LAP (total 86.1%, urban 87.3%, rural 84.9%). Only 6.3% (4.9% urban, 7.7% rural) had redness and itching of the vulva in addition to this combination. A few women (1.6%; 1.0% urban
### Table 1  Self-reporting of morbidity in the study groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complaints</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Group I</th>
<th>Group II</th>
<th>Group III</th>
<th>Group IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Rural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VD only</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAP only</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Othera</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1363</td>
<td>1294</td>
<td>2657</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>547</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No. tested | 2079 | 2011 | 4090 | 558 | 547 | 1105 | 317 | 304 | 621 | 790 | 773 | 1563 |

Results are n (%). Group I, symptomatic; group II, bad obstetric history/infertility; group III, antenatal clinic attendees; group IV, control group; VD, vaginal discharge (mucoid/frothy yellowish-green/curd-like/purulent); LAP, low abdominal pain/backache.

a Other = redness and itching of the vulva or dyspareunia alone.

b >1 = VD + LAP, or VD + redness and itching of the vulva, or VD + dyspareunia, or similar combinations with LAP.

### Table 2  Clinical findings on vaginal examination in the study groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signs</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Group I</th>
<th>Group II</th>
<th>Group III</th>
<th>Group IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Rural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VD</td>
<td>1323</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>2728</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUD</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No signs</td>
<td>748</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>1344</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. tested</td>
<td>2079</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>4090</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>542</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are n (%). Group I, symptomatic; group II, bad obstetric history/infertility; group III, antenatal clinic attendees; group IV, control group; VD, vaginal discharge (mucoid/frothy yellowish-green/curd-like/purulent); GUD, genital ulcer disease.
and 2.3% rural) had only VD and redness and itching. The rest of the combinations (6.0%) were negligible and are not worth mentioning in detail. None of the women complained of genital ulcers or genital wart growths.

Clinical examination

Vaginal examination
A VD was observed in 2728 out of 4090 (66.7%) women, 63.6% in urban and 69.9% in rural women. The latter had significantly more VD in comparison to their urban counterparts in group II ($p < 0.01$) and in group III ($p < 0.001$). Overall, the type of discharge was mucoid in 51.4%, curd-like in 39.7%, yellowish-green in 7.4%, and purulent in 1.5%. No significant difference was observed in the type of discharge between urban and rural women. Genital ulcers were observed in only 18 out of 4090 women (0.4%) and 10 (0.5%) rural (Table 2). Clinically, a diagnosis of chancreoid was made in five patients, while a diagnosis of herpes progenitalis was made in 13.

Bimanual examination
Lower abdominal tenderness was observed in 13.5% of women as a whole, 8.1% urban and 19.1% rural. Tenderness in either or both fornices was observed in only 2.4% and pain on moving the cervix in 2.6% of women.

Speculum examination
The predominant finding was cervical discharge, either singly (52.4%) or in combination with a cervical growth like polyps (0.4%). Cervical discharge as a single finding was seen more often in rural women (58.9%) than in their urban counterparts (46.1%) and the difference was highly significant ($p < 0.001$). However, cervical discharge in association with other findings was observed in only 1.2% of urban and 5.1% of rural women. Cervical erosion was detected in a total of 2.3% of women (3.1% urban and 1.4% rural). Genital wart growths were present in only 18 out of 4090 women (0.3% rural and 0.5% urban). A total of 49.4% urban and 34.3% rural women had no findings.

Syndromic diagnosis
Overall, 71.4% (94.6% in group I, 65.5% in group II, 70.6% in group III, and 45.3% in group IV) had some STI syndrome, with variations in rural and urban women. Genital ulcer disease (GUD) syndrome was observed alone in only four and in combination with vaginal discharge syndrome (VDS) in 14. VDS was predominantly observed, either singly or in combination with pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) syndrome and GUD syndrome. A total of 93.8% in group I, 63.4% in group II, 68.8% in group III, and 39.7% in group IV had VDS, singly or in combination with other syndromes. PID only was observed in 2.2% and in combination with VDS in 9.9%. The maximum prevalence of PID was in group I (19.7%). Rural women as a whole (74.8%) and in group II (73.0%) and group III (74.8%) had a significantly higher proportion of STI syndromes than the urban women ($p < 0.001$; Table 3).

Etiological diagnosis
Laboratory investigations of RTIs/STIs in the study groups revealed that overall 32.2% of women had some etiological diagnosis (Table 4). The common diseases encountered in etiological diagnosis included: (1) agents causing VDS: C. albicans and species (22.6% urban, 17.5% rural), BV (4.2% urban, 4.0% rural), T. vaginalis (2.0% urban, 2.3% rural), C. trachomatis antigen (1.1% urban, 1.6% rural), N. gonorrhoeae (0.6% urban, 0.7% rural); (2) agents causing GUD: HSV-2 (4.8% urban, 6.8% rural), VDRL and TPHA positivity (1.0% urban, 1.1% rural); (3) other: hepatitis B surface antigen positivity (1.1% urban, 1.6% rural), HIV seropositivity (0.2% urban, 0.1% rural).

Comparison of patient complaints, syndromic diagnosis, and etiological diagnosis in symptomatic women in urban and rural areas
A comparison of patient complaints, syndromic diagnosis of STIs, and etiological diagnosis (Figure 1) was made in the different study groups. It was observed that in groups I, II, III, and IV, respectively, 100%, 72.9% (68.8% urban and 77.3% rural), 70.3% (74.3% urban and 66.2% rural), and 0% had some STI symptoms, 94.6% (94.8% urban and 94.3% rural), 65.5% (58.3% urban and 73.0% rural), 70.6% (66.6% urban and 74.8% rural), and 45.3% (42.3% and 48.5%) had some syndrome, and 37.5% (42.6% urban and 32.2% rural), 32.2% (30.6% urban and 33.9% rural), 33.6% (36.1% urban and 31.0% rural), and 22.1% (18.6% and 25.8%) had an etiological diagnosis. The sensitivity.

<p>| Table 3 | Syndromic diagnosis of STIs in the study groups |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Syndrome</th>
<th>Group I</th>
<th>Group II</th>
<th>Group III</th>
<th>Group IV</th>
<th>Total with syndrome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Only GUD</td>
<td>1 (0.2)</td>
<td>1 (0.2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only VD</td>
<td>380 (68.1)</td>
<td>440 (80.4)</td>
<td>146 (46.0)</td>
<td>194 (63.8)</td>
<td>443 (56.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only PID</td>
<td>5 (0.9)</td>
<td>2 (0.4)</td>
<td>8 (2.5)</td>
<td>5 (1.6)</td>
<td>21 (2.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUD + VD</td>
<td>4 (0.7)</td>
<td>1 (0.2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (0.2)</td>
<td>2 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VD + PID</td>
<td>139 (24.9)</td>
<td>72 (13.2)</td>
<td>31 (9.8)</td>
<td>21 (6.9)</td>
<td>60 (7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>529 (94.8)</td>
<td>516 (94.3)</td>
<td>185 (58.3)</td>
<td>222 (73.0)</td>
<td>526 (66.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total in group (n)</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>790</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are n (%). Group I, symptomatic; group II, bad obstetric history/infertility; group III, antenatal clinic attendees; group IV, control group; STI, sexually transmitted infection; GUD, genital ulcer disease; VD, vaginal discharge (mucoid/frothy yellowish-green/curd-like/purulent); PID, pelvic inflammatory disease.
of the syndromic approach for VDS in this study was 93.8%, but the specificity of this method in diagnosing vaginal discharge was low at 37.5%.

**Discussion**

The present study revealed that VD was the most common symptom reported by women, confirming previous studies. Overall, self-reporting of morbidity was 65.0%, with VD as the main complaint, either singly or in combination with low backache, abdominal pain, itching vulva, or dyspareunia. On clinical examination, VD was present in 66.7% of cases, confirming the data of a previous study. In this report, 12.7% of women complained of VD, up to 66.7% were found to have abnormal VD on vaginal examination, and 52.8% had a cervical discharge on speculum examination. In the present study, genital ulcers and genital warts were not reported by the study subjects. This is an indication that awareness of STIs and health-seeking behavior are inadequate in women, making them unable to relate their STI symptoms accurately.

While a high proportion of women were diagnosed by the syndromic approach, their total infection load as determined by etiological diagnosis was quite low. This could mean that RTIs/STIs are being over-diagnosed by the investigating physician and even that physiological discharge was misinterpreted as pathological. Therefore, the sensitivity of the syndromic approach for VDS in this study was high, but the specificity of this method in diagnosing VD was low, as reported previously. It is not possible to comment on the other minor syndromes as well as mixed syndromes from this data; this may be considered as one of the limitations of the study.

A community-based survey of 3000 women, randomly sampled from a population in Goa, India, was carried out in 2001—2003. In this study, psychosocial factors instead of etiological agents of RTIs/STIs were found to have the strongest association with the complaint of VD. It was recommended that syndromic management algorithms be refined so that women with complaints that are non-infectious in etiology are offered psychosocial interventions. Another possibility for the disagreement between syndromic and laboratory diagnosis could be that the laboratory techniques for diagnosis are not available early enough. Also, there is a need for more sensitive techniques like PCR for the diagnosis of these infections.

The lower detection rate of RTIs/STIs by etiological approach than the syndromic approach in the present study could be real or could be due to self-medication, which is rampant in the community. This is due to the availability of ‘over-the-counter’ antimicrobials and requires further research in tertiary care health institutions to compare rates. Non-availability of nucleic acid-based tests like PCR, as mentioned above, could also have resulted in the lower detection by laboratory methods; this is another limitation of this study.

A Chinese study attempted to validate diagnostic algorithms for syndromic management of STIs with laboratory diagnostic support. The authors reported that the specificity and positive predictive value of syndromic management of VD were not satisfactory. In contrast to this report, a community-based study in India in 812 women revealed that...
compared to clinical diagnosis, history-based diagnosis had a high sensitivity (80.5%) and high positive predictive value (81.3%), but low specificity (48.6%) and low negative predictive value (47.5%). The level of agreement was found to be fair (Kappa = 0.28, 95% confidence interval = 0.20–0.36). The authors recommended a revision of history-based syndromic protocols.

A study was undertaken in Entebbe, Uganda to measure the prevalence of RTIs during pregnancy and to evaluate the current syndromic diagnosis and management approach in effectively targeting BV and T. vaginalis. It was observed that the sensitivity of syndromic management in detecting BV was 50.0% and for T. vaginalis was 66.7%.

STD syndromic treatment impacts very little in reducing genital HIV shedding, underscoring the need for appropriate validation of STD syndromic diagnosis and management to control heterosexual transmission of HIV. The present study did not observe any association between the presenting symptoms, syndromic diagnosis, and etiological diagnosis, and thus the syndromic approach in VDS may not be effective, as reported earlier. A high rate of over-treatment was observed in the study groups, in contrast to a previous study reporting the chances of over-treatment forVD and PID as only 16% and 15%, respectively. Over-treatment carries both financial and social costs, the latter in potentially exposing women misdiagnosed as having some STI to threats of domestic disruption or even violence.

It is interesting to note that a higher proportion of rural women than urban women had some STI syndrome. This indicates that the urban women had a greater knowledge regarding STIs and that accessibility to medicines in the urban areas was better. However, the significantly higher etiological diagnosis in the urban women probably indicates improper and indiscriminate use of antimicrobials.

A significant proportion of asymptomatic women in groups II, III, and IV harbored etiological agents of RTIs/STIs and were unfortunately not covered under syndromic management, as emphasized earlier. In a study in the red light area of Surat, India, the performance of the Indian recommended treatment guidelines for VDS and GUD against etiological diagnosis was observed to be poor. It was concluded that syndromic case management was missing a large number of the asymptomatic cases and was providing treatment in the absence of disease.

There remains an urgent need for the development of an affordable, rapid, and effective diagnostic technique to improve the detection of RTIs/STIs in women in resource-poor settings. This may prevent sequelae and reduce transmission of these infections.

Conclusions

This study highlights a wide variation between self-reporting of morbidity, syndromic-based diagnosis, and etiological-based diagnosis in women from rural and urban locations in different parts of Delhi. This may affect the assessment of the utility of the syndromic approach for STI case management countrywide. This data calls for an early appraisal and review of the diagnostic policy by national authorities, and the introduction and/or strengthening of laboratory facilities, especially at the peripheral level. Tertiary care centers can periodically assess the burden of STIs in the community and assess antimicrobial resistance patterns using laboratory tests to determine strategies. This may allow the overuse/abuse of antimicrobials to be avoided and prevent the development of antimicrobial resistance.
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