



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Journal of Number Theory

[www.elsevier.com/locate/jnt](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jnt)

## Note on 2-rational fields

Georges Gras<sup>a,\*</sup>, Jean-François Jaulent<sup>b</sup><sup>a</sup> Villa la Gardette, Chemin Château Gagnière, F-38520 Le Bourg d'Oisans, France<sup>b</sup> Université de Bordeaux, Institut de Mathématiques de Bordeaux, 351, cours de la libération, F-33405 Talence Cedex, France

## ARTICLE INFO

*Article history:*

Received 6 May 2008

Revised 1 June 2008

Available online 10 October 2008

Communicated by David Goss

*Keywords:* $\ell$ -Rational fields $\ell$ -Regular fields $\ell$ -Ramification

Class field theory

2-Rational fields

2-Regular fields

 $p$ -Rational fields $p$ -Regular fields

## ABSTRACT

We give an alternative computation of the Galois group of the maximal 2-ramified and complexified pro-2-extension of any 2-rational number field (Theorem 2), a particular case of results of Movahhedi–Nguyen Quang Do. This short Note is motivated by the paper [J. Jossey, Galois 2-extensions unramified outside 2, *J. Number Theory* 124 (2007) 42–76] and, at this occasion, we bring into focus some classical technics of abelian  $\ell$ -ramification which, unfortunately, are often ignored, especially those developed by J.-F. Jaulent with the  $\ell$ -adic class field theory, and by G. Gras in his book on class field theory, and which considerably simplify the study of such subjects; for instance, our proof of Theorem 2 generalizes the purpose of Jossey's paper in such a way using a result of Herfort–Zaleskii. This Note is mainly an attempt of clarification about  $\ell$ -rationality.

© 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction and history

The notions of  $\ell$ -rational field and  $\ell$ -regular field (for a prime number  $\ell$  and a number field  $K$ ), independently introduced by A. Movahhedi and T. Nguyen Quang Do in [MN], and by G. Gras and J.-F. Jaulent in [GJ], coincide as soon as  $K$  contains the maximal real subfield of the field of  $\ell$ th roots of unity, thus especially for  $\ell = 2$ .

- The  $\ell$ -regularity expresses the triviality of the regular  $\ell$ -kernel of  $K$  (i.e. the kernel, in the  $\ell$ -part of the universal group  $K_2(K)$ , of Hilbert symbols attached to the non-complex places not dividing  $\ell$ ).

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [g.mn.gras@wanadoo.fr](mailto:g.mn.gras@wanadoo.fr) (G. Gras), [jean-francois.jaulent@math.u-bordeaux1.fr](mailto:jean-francois.jaulent@math.u-bordeaux1.fr) (J.-F. Jaulent).URL: <http://monsite.orange.fr/math/g.mn.gras/> (G. Gras).

- The  $\ell$ -rationality traduces the pro- $\ell$ -freeness of the Galois group  $\mathcal{G}_K := \text{Gal}(M_K/K)$  of the maximal pro- $\ell$ -extension  $\ell$ -ramified  $\infty$ -split  $M_K$  of  $K$  (i.e. unramified at the finite places<sup>1</sup> not dividing  $\ell$  and totally split at the infinite places).

More precisely, let  $c_K$  be the number of complex places of  $K$ ; let  $\mu_K$  (resp.  $\mu_{K_\ell}$ ) be the  $\ell$ -group of roots of unity in  $K$  (resp. in the localization  $K_\ell$ ); and let

$$V_K := \{x \in K^\times \mid x \in K_\ell^{\times \ell} \ \forall \ell \mid \ell \text{ and } v_p(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell} \ \forall p \nmid \ell \infty\}$$

be the group of  $\ell$ -hyperprimary elements in  $K^\times$ . Then, with these notations, from [JN, Th. 1.2] or [G<sub>3</sub>, IV.3.5, III.4.2.3], the  $\ell$ -rationality of  $K$  may be expressed as follows:

**Theorem and definition 0.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *The Galois group  $\mathcal{G}_K$  is a free pro- $\ell$ -group on  $1 + c_K$  generators.*
- (ii) *The abelianization  $\mathcal{G}_K^{ab}$  of  $\mathcal{G}_K$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -module of dimension  $1 + c_K$ .*
- (iii) *The field  $K$  satisfies the Leopoldt conjecture (for the prime  $\ell$ ) and the torsion submodule  $\mathcal{T}_K$  of  $\mathcal{G}_K^{ab}$  is trivial.*
- (iv) *One has the equalities:*

$$V_K = K^{\times \ell} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{rk}_\ell(\mu_K) = \sum_{\ell \mid \ell} \text{rk}_\ell(\mu_{K_\ell}).$$

When any of these conditions is realized, the number field  $K$  is said to be  $\ell$ -rational.

The premises of the notion of  $\ell$ -regularity go back to the works of G. Gras, mainly to his note on the  $K_2$  of number fields [G<sub>2</sub>, II, §2; III, §§1, 2], whereas the notion of  $\ell$ -rationality appears (in a hidden form) in the work of H. Miki [Mi] concerning the study of a sufficient condition for the Leopoldt conjecture, as well as those of K. Wingberg [W<sub>1</sub>, W<sub>2</sub>], concerning the same condition.

Movahhedi’s thesis and the above papers [G], [MN] characterised the going up for  $\ell$ -rationality in any  $\ell$ -extension in terms of  $\ell$ -primitivity of the ramification (a definition given in [G<sub>2</sub>, III, §1] from the use of the Log function defined in [G<sub>1</sub>]), a property which was unknown in the preceding approaches.

For instance, this gives immediately that if  $K$  is an  $\ell$ -extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , an N.S.C. for  $K$  to be  $\ell$ -rational is that  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  be  $\ell$ -ramified, or that  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  be  $\{p, \ell\}$ -ramified, where  $p \neq \ell$  is a prime  $\equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$  such that  $p \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$  if  $\ell = 2$  and  $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\ell^2}$  if  $\ell \neq 2$  (cf. [G<sub>3</sub>, IV.3.5.1] giving Jossey’s examples without any class groups considerations, which is the philosophy of  $\ell$ -ramification theory). We must also quote another approach of  $\ell$ -rationality, by R.I. Berger, using a normic criterion via genera theory (see [G<sub>3</sub>, IV.4.8]).

A synthesis of these results is given in [JN] and a systematic exposition is developed in the book of G. Gras [G<sub>3</sub>, III, §4, (b); IV, §3, (b); App., §2]; see also [NSW, Ch. X, §7] for cohomological proofs and the descriptions of the Galois groups.

Last, various generalizations of these notions have been studied by O. Sauzet and J.-F. Jaulent (cf. [JS<sub>1</sub>, JS<sub>2</sub>]), especially in the case  $\ell = 2$  which is, as usual, the most tricky; in particular, they introduce the notion of 2-birational fields.

Very recently, J. Jossey [Jo] has given a notion of  $\ell$ -rationality which is incompatible with the classical one (for  $\ell = 2$ , as soon as  $K$  contains real embeddings) and is unlucky since it does not apply to the field of rationals  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

For these reasons, to avoid any confusion, we propose to speak, in his context, of 2-superrational fields. More precisely:

---

<sup>1</sup> According to the conventions of the  $\ell$ -adic class field theory (cf. [G<sub>3</sub>, Ja]), we never speak of ramification at infinity but of complexification of real places.

**Definition 1.** Let  $K$  be a number field with  $r_K$  real places and  $c_K$  complex places; let  $M'_K$  be the maximal 2-ramified pro-2-extension of  $K$ , and  $M_K$  be the maximal subextension of  $M'_K$  totally split at the infinite places. We say that  $K$  is:

- (i) 2-superrational, if  $\mathcal{G}'_K := \text{Gal}(M'_K/K)$  is pro-2-free;
- (ii) 2-rational, if its quotient  $\mathcal{G}_K := \text{Gal}(M_K/K)$  is pro-2-free.

The purpose of the next section is to focus on the structure of the Galois group  $\mathcal{G}'_K$  when the number field  $K$  is 2-rational.

**2. Description of the Galois group  $\mathcal{G}'_K = \text{Gal}(M'_K/K)$**

As a matter of fact, the structure of  $\mathcal{G}'_K = \text{Gal}(M'_K/K)$ , in case the number field  $K$  is 2-rational, is given by the following special case of [MN, Th. 2.8]:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $K$  be a 2-rational number field having  $r_K$  real places and  $c_K$  complex places. The Galois group  $\mathcal{G}'_K := \text{Gal}(M'_K/K)$  of the maximal 2-ramified pro-2-extension  $M'_K$  of  $K$  is the pro-2-free product*

$$\mathcal{G}'_K \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^{\otimes(1+c_K)} \otimes (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\otimes r_K}$$

of  $1 + c_K$  copies of the procyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  and of  $r_K$  copies of  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

In fact, the article of A. Movahhedi and T. Nguyen Quang Do [MN] deals with  $S$ -ramified pro-extensions, so the theorem above is obtained in case the finite set  $S$  contains only the infinite places and those dividing  $\ell$ . Unfortunately it seems that some of these results of [MN], which do contains [Jo, Theorem 2], are largely ignored and we thank the referee for his pertinent remark. Moreover, the similar reference [JN] does not include all these results on the role of the real infinite places.

So, in order to complete [JN], we give here an alternative proof of this result, which relies on the functorial properties of  $\ell$ -ramification theory, in the spirit of Jossey’s approach (based on Herfort–Zaleskii description of virtually free groups) and does not involve the notion of primitive set of places.

As a consequence, this gives:

**Corollary 3.** *The 2-rational number fields which are 2-superrational are the totally imaginary ones.*

**Proof.** Consider the quadratic extension  $L = K[i]$  generated by the 4th roots of unity. It is 2-ramified over  $K$ , thus thanks to the going up theorem of [GJ,MN] (cf. e.g. [JN, Th. 3.5] or [G<sub>3</sub>, IV.3.4.3, (iii)]), it is 2-rational, then 2-superrational since it is totally imaginary. In other words, the Galois group  $\mathcal{G}'_L = \mathcal{G}'_L$  of the maximal 2-ramified pro-2-extension  $M_L$  of  $L$  is pro-2-free.

Since the quadratic extension  $L/K$  is 2-ramified,  $M_L$  is also the maximal 2-ramified pro-2-extension  $M'_K$  of  $K$ ; the Galois group  $\mathcal{G}'_K$  is potentially free since it contains the pro-2-free open subgroup  $\mathcal{G}'_L$  of index 2 in  $\mathcal{G}'_K$ .

As in [Jo], the results of W. Herfort and P. Zaleskii (cf. [HZ, Th. 0.2]) give the existence of a finite family  $(\mathcal{F}_i)_{i=0,\dots,k}$  of free pro-2-groups on respectively  $d_0, \dots, d_k$  generators (where  $k$  is the number of conjugacy classes of subgroups of order 2 in  $\mathcal{G}'_K$ ), such that:

$$\mathcal{G}'_K \simeq \mathcal{F}_0 \otimes \left( \bigotimes_{i=1}^k (\mathcal{F}_i \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \right).$$

In particular, the abelianisation  $\mathcal{G}'_K{}^{ab}$  of  $\mathcal{G}'_K$  admits the direct decomposition:

$$\mathcal{G}'_K{}^{ab} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^{d_0} \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^k (\mathbb{Z}_2^{d_i} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \right) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^{d_0+d_1+\dots+d_k} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^k.$$

Since the 2-rational field  $K$  satisfies the Leopoldt conjecture, we get  $\sum_{i=0}^k d_i = 1 + c_K$  as well as the isomorphism  $\mathcal{T}'_K := \text{tor}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{G}'_K{}^{ab}) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^k$ . Moreover  $\mathcal{T}_K := \text{tor}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{G}_K{}^{ab}) = 1$ , so that  $\mathcal{T}'_K$  is generated by the decomposition groups of the real places of  $K$  which are deployed, a key argument of class field theory (cf. [Ja], [G<sub>3</sub>, III.4.1.5], or [MN, 2.5] in the  $\ell$ -rational case) giving  $k = r_K$ .

Now the pro-2-decomposition of  $\mathcal{G}'_K$  clearly shows that the minimal number of generators  $d(\mathcal{G}'_K)$  and of relations  $r(\mathcal{G}'_K)$ , defining  $\mathcal{G}'_K$  as a pro-2-group, are:

$$d(\mathcal{G}'_K) = k + \sum_{i=0}^k d_i = r_K + 1 + c_K \quad \text{and} \quad r(\mathcal{G}'_K) = \sum_{i=1}^k (1 + d_i) = d(\mathcal{G}'_K) - d_0.$$

It is well known by many authors (cf. e.g. [G<sub>3</sub>, App., Th. 2.2, (i)]) that one has<sup>2</sup>:

$$d(\mathcal{G}'_K) - r(\mathcal{G}'_K) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(H^1(\mathcal{G}'_K, \mathbb{F}_2)) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(H^2(\mathcal{G}'_K, \mathbb{F}_2)) = 1 + c_K.$$

Thus we obtain  $d_0 = 1 + c_K$ , giving  $d_i = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , then the expected result.  $\square$

**References**

[G<sub>1</sub>] G. Gras, Logarithme  $p$ -adique et groupes de Galois, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 343 (1983) 64–80.  
 [G<sub>2</sub>] G. Gras, Remarks on  $K_2$  of number fields, *J. Number Theory* 23 (1986) 64–80.  
 [G<sub>3</sub>] G. Gras, *Class Field Theory: From Theory to Practice*, Springer Monogr. Math., Springer, 2003; second corrected printing 2005.  
 [GJ] G. Gras, J.-F. Jaulent, Sur les corps de nombres réguliers, *Math. Z.* 202 (1989) 343–365.  
 [HZ] W. Herfort, P. Zalesskii, Finitely generated pro-2-groups with a free subgroup of index 2, *Manuscripta Math.* 93 (1997) 457–464.  
 [Ja] J.-F. Jaulent, Théorie  $\ell$ -adique globale du corps de classes, *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux* 10 (1998) 355–397.  
 [JN] J.-F. Jaulent, T. Nguyen Quang Do, Corps  $p$ -rationnels, corps  $p$ -réguliers et ramification restreinte, *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux* 5 (1993) 343–365.  
 [JS<sub>1</sub>] J.-F. Jaulent, O. Sauzet, Pro- $\ell$ -extensions de corps de nombres  $\ell$ -rationnels, *J. Number Theory* 65 (1997) 240–267, *J. Number Theory* 80 (2000) 318–319.  
 [JS<sub>2</sub>] J.-F. Jaulent, O. Sauzet, Extensions quadratiques 2-birationnelles de corps totalement réels, *Publ. Mat.* 44 (2000) 343–351.  
 [Jo] J. Jossey, Galois 2-extensions unramified outside 2, *J. Number Theory* 124 (2007) 42–76.  
 [Mi] H. Miki, On the Leopoldt conjecture on the  $p$ -adic regulators, *J. Number Theory* 26 (1987) 117–128.  
 [MN] A. Movahhedi, T. Nguyen Quang Do, Sur l'arithmétique des corps de nombres  $p$ -rationnels, in: *Sém. Théor. Nombres Paris (1987/1988)*, in: *Progr. Math.*, vol. 89, 1990, pp. 155–200.  
 [NSW] J. Neukirch, A. Schmidt, K. Wingberg, *Cohomology of Number Fields*, *Grundlehren Math. Wiss.*, vol. 323, Springer-Verlag, 2000; second ed. 2008.  
 [Sa] I.R. Šafarevič, Extensions with prescribed ramification points, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci.* 36 (1986) 71–95.  
 [W<sub>1</sub>] K. Wingberg, On Galois groups of  $p$ -closed algebraic number fields with restricted ramification, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 400 (1989) 185–202.  
 [W<sub>2</sub>] K. Wingberg, On Galois groups of  $p$ -closed algebraic number fields with restricted ramification II, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 416 (1991) 187–194.

<sup>2</sup> This argument is equivalent to the use of the formulas of Šafarevič (cf. [Sa] or [NSW, Th. 8.7.3]).