JOURNAL OF ALGEBRA 7, 363-371 (1967)

On the Number of Sylow Subgroups in a Finite Group*

Marshall Hall, Jr.

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91109

Received March 22, 1967

1. Introduction

If the order g of a finite group G is divisible by p^r but no higher power of the prime p, then the classical theorems of Sylow [7] assert that there are subgroups of order p^r , the Sylow p-subgroups, forming a single conjugate class in G, and that the number of these, n_p is of the form $n_p = 1 + kp$ for some integer $k \ge 0$. If G is solvable, it was shown by P. Hall [5] that n_p is a product of factors of the form q^t , where q is a prime and $q^t \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. For a simple group X the number s_p of Sylow p-subgroups need not be of this form. It is shown in this paper (Theorem 2.2) that the number n_p of Sylow p-subgroups in any finite group G is a product of factors of the form (I) s_p , where there is a simple group X with s_p Sylow p-subgroups and (2) a power q^t of a prime q, where $q^t \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, and that an arbitrary product of factors of these two kinds is the n_n of some finite group. Thus the n_n 's form a semigroup. A quotient of two n_x 's which is an integer need not be an n_p , since LF(2.7) has eight Sylow 7-subregoups and A_7 has 120 Sylow 7-subgroups, but no group has 15 Sylow 7-subgroups, a fact proved in Theorem 3.1.

Any odd number may be the number of Sylow 2-subgroups in a finite group. But for every prime p > 2, not every integer $n \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ is the n_p of a finite group. In particular Theorem 3.2 shows that there is no finite group with $n_3 = 22$, $n_5 = 21$, or $n_p = 1 + 3p$ for $p \geqslant 7$. As with so many questions, the mysterious part as to the possible values for n_p lies in the study of the finite simple groups.

2. The Number of Sylow Subgroups in a Group

If a group G_1 has n_1 Sylow p-subgroups and a group G_2 has n_2 Sylow p-subgroups, then the direct product $G_1 \otimes G_2$ has $n_1 n_2$ Sylow p-subgroups,

^{*} This research was supported in part by NSF grant GP 3909 and in part by ONR contract ONR N 00014-67-A-0094-0010.

364 HALL

namely the direct products of the Sylow p-subgroups in G_1 with those of G_2 . Hence the integers n_p for which there exists a group G with n_p Sylow p-subgroups form a semigroup. We know that $n_p \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ ([4], p. 45), but not every integer congruent to one modulo p is an n_p .

Let q be a prime different from p and let t be an exponent such that $q^t \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Then the linear substitutions $x \to xm + b$ over $GF(q^t)$ with $m \neq 0$, b elements of $GF(q^t)$ form a group G of order $q^t(q^t-1)$. The additive subgroup $x \to x + b$ is elementary Abelian of order q^t and normal in G. The multiplicative group $x \to xm$ is cyclic of order q^t-1 . Here, as $p \mid q^t-1$, a Sylow p-subgroup S(p) will have the multiplicative subgroup in its normalizer, and we can easily show that this is its complete normalizer. Thus $|N_G(p)| = q^t-1$ and $[G:N_G(p)] = q^t$, whence G has q^t Sylow p-subgroups Hence prime powers q^t congruent to one modulo p are among the numbers n_p . It has been shown by Philip Hall [5] that only products of such prime powers arise as n_p for a solvable group. Other values may arise in simple groups, as for example $n_5 = 6$ in A_5 . Thus the totality of n_p 's includes numbers which are products of two kinds of numbers: (1) s_p , the number of Sylow p-subgroups in a simple group X, and (2) q^t , where q is a prime and $q^t \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. We shall show here that these are all the possible values for n_p .

LEMMA 2.1. Let G have a normal subgroup K, and let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of G. Then $K \cap P$ is a Sylow p-subgroup of K and PK/K is a Sylow p-subgroup of G/K.

Proof. As P is a Sylow p-subgroup of G it is a fortiori a Sylow p-subgroup of $P \cup K = PK$. Since $K \triangleleft G$, then $K \cap P \triangleleft P$ and by the isomorphism theorem $PK/K \cong P/K \cap P$. Hence if $P/K \cap P$ is of order p^r and $K \cap P$ is of order p^r and $K \cap P$ is of order p^r and $K \cap P$ is a subgroup of K of order K. Since K is a subgroup of K of order K is a Sylow K is a Sylow K subgroup of K and $K \cap P$ is a Sylow K subgroup of K.

THEOREM 2.1. Let G have a normal subgroup K and let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of G. Then if n_p is the number of Sylow p-subgroups in G, $n_p = a_p b_p c_p$ where a_p is the number of Sylow p-subgroups in G/K, b_p is the number of Sylow p-subgroups in K and c_p is the number of Sylow p-subgroups in $N_{PK}(P \cap K)/P \cap K$.

Proof. By the second Sylow theorem ([4], p. 45) $n_p = [G: N_G(P)]$. Now, as K is normal in G, $N_G(PK) \supseteq N_G(P)$ $K \supseteq N_G(P)$. Hence

$$n_p = [G: N_G(P)] = [G: N_G(PK)][N_G(PK): N_G(P)].$$
 (2.1)

In the factor group H = G/K, $P^* = PK/K$ is a Sylow p-subgroup and in G

the inverse image of $N_H(P^*)$ is $N_G(PK)$. But for a_p the number of Sylow p-subgroups in H = G/H we have $a_p = [H:N_H(P^*)] = [G:N_G(PK)]$. Thus from 2.1,

$$n_p = a_p[N_G(PK):N_G(P)].$$
 (2.2)

Now P is a Sylow p-subgroup of PK which is therefore of index prime to p in $N_G(PK)$. Hence the number of Sylow p-subgroups in $N_G(PK)$ is the same as the number of Sylow p-subgroups in PK. This means

$$[N_G(PK):N_G(P)] = [PK:N_{PK}(P)], (2.3)$$

and so substituting in (2.2),

$$n_p = a_p[PK : N_{PK}(P)].$$
 (2.4)

As K is normal in G and $N_{PK}(P) \geqslant P$, we have

$$P \cup (N_{PK}(P) \cap K) = N_{PK}(P) \cap (P \cup K) = N_{PK}(P),$$

$$P \cap (N_{PK}(P) \cap K) = P \cap K,$$
(2.5)

where the first of these is an application of the modular law and the second is trivial. As $N_{PK}(P) \cap K \triangleleft N_{PK}(P)$, it follows that

$$[N_{PK}(P):K\cap N_{PK}(P)]=[P:P\cap K]$$

and, from (2.5), that

$$[N_{PK}(P):P] = [K \cap N_{PK}(P):P \cap K]. \tag{2.6}$$

Also, since $[PK:P] = [K:P \cap K]$, we have

$$[PK: N_{PK}(P)] = [K: K \cap N_{PK}(P)].$$
 (2.7)

If an element $y \in K$, $y \in PK$ and $y^{-1}Py = P$ then

$$y^{-1}(P \cap K) y = y^{-1}Py \cap y^{-1}Ky = P \cap K.$$

Thus

$$N_{K}(P \cap K) = K \cap N_{PK}(P \cap K) \geqslant K \cap N_{PK}(P)$$
 (2.8)

and so

$$[K: K \cap N_{PK}(P)] = [K: N_K(P \cap K)] [K \cap N_{PK}(P \cap K): K \cap N_{PK}(P)].$$
(2.9)

366 HALL

Here $[K:N_K(P\cap K)]=b_p$ is the number of Sylow p-subgroups in K. Substituting from (2.7) and (2.9) into (2.6), we have

$$n_p = a_p b_p [K \cap N_{PK}(P \cap K) : K \cap N_{PK}(P)] = a_p b_p c_p.$$
 (2.10)

Let us write $G_1 = N_{PK}(P \cap K)$. As K is normal in G,

$$G_1 = N_{PK}(P \cap K) \geqslant N_{PK}(P) \geqslant P.$$

Thus

$$G_1 \cup K = N_{PK}(P) \cup K = P \cup K = PK.$$

By the normality of K,

$$[PK:K] = [G_1:G_1 \cap K] = [N_{PK}(P):N_{PK}(P) \cap K] = [P:P \cap K].$$
(2.11)

From this it follows that

$$[PK:G_1] = [K:G_1 \cap K], \qquad [G_1:N_{PK}(P)] = [G_1 \cap K:N_{PK}(P) \cap K]$$
$$[N_{PK}(P):P] = [N_{PK}(P) \cap K:P \cap K]. \qquad (2.12)$$

In particular,

$$c_{p} = [K \cap N_{PK}(P \cap K) : K \cap N_{PK}(P)] = [G_{1} \cap K : N_{PK}(P \cap K)]$$

= $[G_{1} : N_{PK}(P)] = [G_{1} : N_{G_{1}}(P)],$ (2.13)

where since $N_{PK}(P) \subseteq G_1$ it follows that $N_{PK}(P) = N_{G_1}(P)$. Thus c_p is the number of Sylow p-subgroups in G_1 . Since $P \cap K$ is a p-group normal in $G_1 = N_{PK}(P \cap K)$, it is contained in every Sylow p-subgroup of G_1 , and so the number c_p of Sylow p-subgroups in G_1 is the same as the number of Sylow p-subgroups in $G_1/P \cap K = N_{PK}(P \cap K)/P \cap K$. This completes the proof of our theorem.

We can now give an exact description of the number of Sylow p-subgroups in any group.

THEOREM 2.2. The number n_p of Sylow p-subgroups S(p) in a finite group G is the product of factors of the following two kinds: (1) the number s_p of Sylow p subgroups in a simple group X; and (2) a prime power q^t where $q^t \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on the order of G, the theorem being trivial if G is a p-group or of order prime to p. If G is simple there is nothing to prove as this is part (1) of the theorem. Hence we may suppose that G has a proper normal subgroup K. From Theorem 2.1, $n_p = a_p b_p c_p$ where a_p is the number of Sylow p-subgroups in G/K, b_p is the number of Sylow

p-subgroups in K and c_p is the number of Sylow p-subgroups in $N_{PK}(P \cap K)/P \cap K$ where P is a Sylow p-subgroup of G. If all three of these groups are of order less than G, then the theorem follows by induction. K and G/K are certainly of order less than G and so we must consider the case in which $G = N_{PK}(P \cap K)/P \cap K$. Here $P \cap K = 1$, which means that K is a p'-group (i.e., of order prime to p) and also $G \subseteq PK$ whence G = PK and so $G/K \cong P$. Here if $|P| = p^r$ with r > 1 let P_1 be a subgroup of P of order p^{r-1} and so maximal and normal in P. If we now take $K_1 = KP_1$, we have $K_1 \lhd G$ and $P \cap K_1 = P_1 \supset 1$ and in application of Theorem 2.1 all three groups are of order less than G and our theorem holds by induction. We are left to consider cases in which P is of order p, and $K \lhd G$ with $G \cap K = p$, $K \cap K = p$ group.

Let $P=\langle a\rangle$ with $a^p=1$, and let $\alpha:x\to a^{-1}xa=x^a$ be the automorphism of K induced by conjugation by the element a. If $x\in N_{PK}(P)\cap K$, then $x^{-1}(a^{-1}xa)=(x^{-1}a^{-1}x)$ $a\in P\cap K=1$, and so $x^{-1}a^{-1}xa=1$, $a^{-1}xa=x$. Thus $N_{PK}(P)\cap K=F$ where $F=F^a$ is the subgroup of K fixed by the automorphism α . Here $N_{PK}(P)=PF$. If PK has $n_pS(p)$'s then $n_p=[PK:PF]=[K:F]$. Hence if the order of K is $q_1^{e_1}q_2^{e_2}\cdots q_r^{e_r}$ and the order of F is $q_1^{e_1}q_2^{e_2}\cdots q_r^{e_r}$, then

$$n_p = q_1^{e_1-f_1}q_2^{e_2-f_2}\cdots q_r^{e_r-f_r}$$

and we must show $q_i^{e_i-f_i} \equiv 1 \pmod p$ for i=1,...,r. Let Q_1 be a Sylow q_i -subgroup of F. If Q_1 is a Sylow q_i -subgroup of K then $q^{e_i-f_i}=1\equiv 1\pmod p$ and we have the desired result. Suppose Q_1 is not a Sylow q_i -subgroup of F (including the possibility $Q_1=1$). Then there is a group Q^* such that $[Q^*:Q_1]=q_i$ and $Q_1 \triangleleft Q^*$, and so $[N_K(Q_1):Q_1]\equiv 0\pmod {q_i}$. Now if $y^{-1}Q_1y=Q_1$, then since $Q_1^a=Q_1$ it follows that $(y^a)^{-1}Q_1y^a=Q$, and we conclude that $N_K(Q_1)^a=N_K(Q_1)$. Now any subgroup H of K, including K itself which admits the automorphism α , has a Sylow q_i -subgroup which admits α , since the number of $S_H(q_i)$'s (Sylow q_i -subgroups of H) is a divisor of the order of H and so not a multiple of p. As the automorphism α permutes the $S_H(q_i)$'s that it does not fix in cycles of length p, there must be at least one that α fixes.

In this way we may find a chain of q_i -subgroups, $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq Q_s$, in which each Q_j is a Sylow q_i -subgroup of $N_K(Q_{j-1})$ and each Q_j is fixed by α , and finally $Q_s = Q$ is a Sylow q_i -subgroup of K. Since Q_1 is a Sylow q_i subgroup of F, the group fixed by α , there can be no larger subgroup of Q fixed by Q_i , and so the $Q_i^{e_i} - Q_i^{e_i}$ elements of $Q_i - Q_i$ are permuted in cycles of length Q_i , whence $Q_i^{e_i} - Q_i^{e_i} = 0 \pmod{p}$ and so $Q_i^{e_i - f_i} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, as we wished to prove. This completes the proof of our theorem.

3. Impossible Values for n_n

If p = 2 then every odd prime q satisfies $q \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and so by Theorem 2.2 every odd number n is an n_2 . More directly we may observe that if n is any odd number the dihedral group of order 2n has n S(2)'s. But for every other prime p, there are numbers $n \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ that are not n_p 's.

THEOREM 3.1. If n = 1 + rp, with 1 < r < (p + 3)/2 there is not a group G with nS(p)'s unless $n = q^t$ where q is a prime, or r = (p - 3)/2 and p > 3 is a Fermat prime.

Proof. Since 1 < r < p, we cannot have

$$n = 1 + rp = (1 + r_1p)(1 + r_2p) = n_1n_2$$

with $n_1 > 1$, $n_2 > 1$. Hence by Theorem 2.2 if there is a group with 1 + rpS(p)'s we either have $1 + rp = q^t$ or 1 + rp is the number of S(p)'s in a simple group X. Consider the case of a simple group X with 1 + rpS(p)'s. The representation of X on cosets of $N_G(p)$ is on $1 + rp < p^2$ letters. Here the representation of S(p) is on orbits of length p or 1 and so S(p) is elementary Abelian. If an S(p) is of order p^2 or greater, if two distinct S(p)'s are P_1 and P_2 then the number of conjugates of P_2 under P_1 is $[P_1:P_1\cap P_2]<1+rp< p^2$. Hence $[P_1:P_1\cap P_2]=p$. Hence any two S(p)'s have a nontrivial intersection and as they are Abelian it follows from a theorem of Brodkey [3] that all S(p)'s have a common nontrivial intersection V. But then $1 \subseteq V \subseteq X$, contrary to the fact that X is simple. Hence S(p) is of order p.

Hence if g is the order of X, g is divisible by exactly the first power of p. By Brauer and Reynolds ([2], Theorem 2*), either r = (p-3)/2 where $p = 2^m + 1 > 3$ is a Fermat prime and $X \cong LF(2, 2^m)$ or r has a representation

$$r = \frac{hup + u^2 + u + h}{u + 1} \tag{3.1}$$

with integers h > 0, u > 0. But as r > hup/(u + 1) > hp/2 and $r < (p + 3)^{r}2$ we must have h = 1. If h = 1 and $u \ge 2$ then

$$r\geqslant \frac{up}{u+1}+u\geqslant \frac{2p}{3}+2>\frac{p+3}{2}.$$

Here h = 1 and u = 1 give r = (p + 3)/2. Hence with r < (p + 3)/2 the representation is not possible. This proves our theorem.

THEOREM 3.2. There is no group G with $n_3 = 22$, with $n_5 = 21$, or with $n_p = 1 + 3p$ for $p \geqslant 7$.

Proof. We prove the statements of the Theorems in reverse order. For $p \ge 7$ 1 < 3 < (p + 3)/2 and Theorem 3.1 is applicable. Now $1 + 3p \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and so if 1 + 3p is a prime power, it is a power of 2. Suppose $1 + 3p = 2^t$. Then t must be even. With t = 2s, $2^{2s} - 1 = 3p = (2^a - 1)(2^s + 1)$. Here $2^s - 1 = 1$ or 3 and so s = 1 or 2. But $2^2 - 1 = 3$ and $2^4 - 1 = 3.5$. Hence 2^t is of the form 1 + 3p only when p = 5. Thus Theorem 3.1 tells us that there is no group with $n_p = 1 + 3p$ for $p \ge 7$.

Suppose there is a group G with $n_5 = 21$. From Theorem 2.2 this is only possible if there is a simple group G with 21S(5)'s. The representation of G on $N(5) = N_G(S(5))$ is on 21 letters and so S(5) is represented on orbits of 1 and 5 letters and so is elementary Abelian. By Brodkey [3] if the order of S(5) is S^2 or greater then all S(5)'s have a common non-trivial intersection which is normal in G, contrary to the simplicity of G. Hence S(5) is of order 5. Then |N(5)| = 5qw, where q = 2 or 4 is the order of the automorphism induced in S(5) in S(5) and S(5) is the order of a group S(5). By Brauer [1] the degrees S_i of the irreducible ordinary characters in the principal block $S_1(5)$ divide $S_2(5)$ divide $S_2(5)$ in this case $S_2(5)$ is case $S_2(5)$ in this case $S_2(5)$ in this case $S_2(5)$ in this case $S_2(5)$ is case $S_2(5)$ in this case $S_2(5)$ is case $S_2(5)$ in this case $S_2(5)$ in this case $S_2(5)$ in this case S_2

$$z_i \equiv \delta_i = \pm 1 \pmod{p} \tag{3.2}$$

for the q nonexceptional characters $\zeta_1,...,\zeta_q$ including the identity characters ζ_1 and

$$z_0 \equiv -\delta_0 q \equiv \pm q \pmod{p} \tag{3.3}$$

for the (p-1)/q p-conjugate exceptional characters, if $q \neq p-1$. If q=p-1, the character ζ_0 is not exceptional.

$$\delta_0 z_0 + \delta_1 z_1 + \dots + \delta_\sigma z_\sigma = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Here the δ 's are ± 1 and for $i = 1,..., q \zeta_i(b) = \delta_i$ where b is a generator of S(p). As G is simple the only character of degree 1 is the identity character ζ_1 . For q = 2 the only divisors of 42 satisfying the conditions (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) are

$$\delta_1 z_1 = 1, \quad \delta_2 z_2 = 6, \quad \delta_0 z_0 = -7.$$
 (3.5)

For q = 4 the only divisors of 84 satisfying the conditions are

$$\delta_0 x \delta = 21,$$
 $\delta_1 x_1 = 1,$ $\delta_2 x_2 = -14,$ $\delta_3 x_3 = -14,$ $\delta_4 x_j = 6$ or (3.6)

$$\delta_0 z_0 = 21, \quad \delta_1 z_1 = 1, \quad \delta_2 z_2 = -14, \quad \delta_3 z_3 = -4, \quad \delta_4 z_4 = -4.$$
 (3.7)

370 HALL

Since in all three cases there is a character of degree less than 2p+1=11, it follows from Lemma 4 of Stanton [6] that S(5) must be its own centralizer and so w=1. Hence for q=2 the order of G is $21\cdot 10=210$. But it is well known [4, p. 204] that there is no simple group whose order if divisible by 2 but not by 4. Thus q=2 is impossible. If q=4, w=1 then G is of order 420. But, from (3.6) or (3.7), G has an irreducible character of degree 21 and, since $21^2=441>420$, this leads to a conflict, because the sum of the squares of the degrees of the irreducible characters of a group is its order. Hence there is no simple group with 21 S(5)'s and so, from Theorem 2.2, no group with $n_5=21$.

Finally let us suppose there is a group G with $n_3 = 22$. Since 22 does not have a proper factorization of the form (1 + 3r)(1 + 3s) and is not a prime power, it follows from Theorem 2.2 that if there is such a group, then there is a simple group G with $n_3 = 22$. Here [G:N(3)] = 22. If there were a subgroup H with $G \supset H \supset N(3)$ as $22 = 2 \cdot 11$, then either [G:H] = 2and H is normal in G, contrary to the simplicity of G, or [H:N(3)]=2 and N(3) is normal in H. This too is a conflict, since the normalizer of a Sylow subgroup is its own normalizer ([4], p. 46). Hence the representation of G on the 22 cosets of N(3) is primitive. Since $22 = 2 \cdot 11$ and $22 \neq a^2 + 1$, it follows from Wielandt [8] that a primitive group on 22 letters is doubly transitive. Here G_1 the subgroup of G fixing a letter is N(3). As N(3) is transitive on 21 letters it follows that every orbit of S(3) on the 21 letters is of the same length. Hence every orbit length of S(3), being a power of 3 dividing 21, is 3 and it follows that S(3) is elementary Abelian. If G permutes 1, 2,..., 22, for each i = 1, ..., 22 there is exactly one S(3) fixing i. As the orbits are of length 3 the S(3) fixing i has a subgroup of index 3 fixing a further letter j, and so contained in the jth S(3). Hence if S(3) is of order 3^t with $t \ge 2$ any two S(3)'s have a non-trivial intersection and so by Brodkey [3] all S(3)'s intersect in a group of order 3^{t-1} , which is normal in G, a conflict since G is simple. Hence S(3) is of order 3. By Brauer [1], the degrees of the characters in the principal block $B_1(3)$ are divisors z_0 , z_2 of $2 \cdot 22 = 44$ such that $1 + \delta_0 z_0 + \delta_2 z_2 = 0$. But no such degrees with $z_0 > 1$, $z_2 > 1$ exist. Hence we have reached a final conflict and conclude that there is no simple group with 22 S(3)'s and so by Theorem 2.2, no group with $n_3 = 22$. This finishes the proof of our theorem.

We note in passing that A_5 has $n_5 = 6$ and $n_3 = 10$. Also $n_5 = 11$ and $n_5 = 16$ are prime powers, while $n_3 = 4$, 7, 13, 16, 19 are prime powers and so, for p = 5 and p = 3, respectively, 21 and 22 are the smallest numbers $n \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ which are not n_p 's.

REFERENCES

- Brauer, R. On Groups whose order contains a prime number to the first power.
 Am. J. Math. 64 (1942), 401-420.
- Brauer, R. and Reynolds, W. F. On a problem of E. Artin. Annals Math. 68
 (1958), 713-720.
- BRODKEY, J. S. A Note on finite groups with an Abelian Sylow group. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 14 (1963), 132-133.
- 4. Hall, Marshall, Jr. "The Theory of Groups." Macmillan, New York, 1959.
- 5. Hall, Philip A note on soluble groups. J. London Math. Soc. 3 (1928), 98-105.
- 6. STANTON, R. G. The Mathieu Groups. Canadian J. Math. 3 (1951), 164-174.
- Sylow, L. Théorèmes sur les groupes de substitutions. Math. Ann. 5 (1872), 584-594.
- 8. WIELANDT, H. Primitive Permutations, gruppen vom Grad 2p. 63 (1956), 478-485.