

# Free Products of Completely Positive Maps and Spectral Sets

FLORIN BOCA\*

*Mathematics Department, INCREST, Bdul Pucii 220,  
79622, Bucharest, Romania*

*Communicated by C. Foias*

Received May 24, 1989

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbb{F}_n$  be the free group on  $n$  generators and for each word  $w \in \mathbb{F}_n$  let  $|w|$  be its length. Haagerup [10] showed that for each  $0 < r \leq 1$ , the function  $H_r(w) = r^{|w|}$  is a positive definite function on  $\mathbb{F}_n$ . Consider the positive definite function  $\varphi_r(n) = r^{|n|}$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then the free product function  $\varphi_r * \varphi_r$  on  $\mathbb{F}_2 = \mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}$  coincides with  $H_r$  and the result was extended this way by de Michele and Figà-Talamanca [7] and by Bożejko [4, 5]. In [5] it is proved that the free product of the unital positive defined functions  $u_i: G_i \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is still positive defined on the free product group  $* G_i$ .

The correspondence between the positive defined functions on a discrete group  $G$  and the completely positive maps on the full  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(G)$  and the isomorphism  $C^*(G_1 * G_2) \simeq C^*(G_1) \check{*} C^*(G_2)$  suggested we consider amalgamated products of unital linear maps on the amalgamated product of a family of unital  $C^*$ -algebras over a  $C^*$ -subalgebra. In Section 3 we prove that the amalgamated product of a family of unital completely positive  $B$ -bimodule maps  $\Phi_i: A_i \rightarrow C$  is completely positive on the "biggest" amalgamated free product  $\check{*}_B A_i$ .

As an application of our main result, in Section 2 we obtain some results concerning the dilation of noncommutative families of operators.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $(A_i)_{i \in I}$  be a family of unital  $C^*$ -algebras containing a common  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $B$  with  $1_{A_i} \in B$  and let  $E_i: A_i \rightarrow B$  be projections of norm one. Then  $A_i = B \oplus A_i^0$ , where  $A_i^0 = \text{Ker } E_i$ , the sum being a sum of

\* Present address: Dept. of Mathematics, UCLA, 405 Hilgard Ave., Los Angeles, CA 90024.

$B$ -bimodules. Consider the free product  $A = *_B A_i$  of  $A_i$  with amalgamation over  $B$ , which is a unital  $B$ -ring. As  $B$ -bimodules, one has ([6]):

$$*_B A_i = B \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \bigoplus_{i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_n} A_{i_1}^0 \otimes_B \dots \otimes_B A_{i_n}^0.$$

For further reference denote:

$$\begin{aligned} W &= B \cup \{c_1 \dots c_n \mid c_k \in A_{i_k}^0, i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_n\} \text{ the set of words of } A; \\ W_0 &= (W \setminus B) \cup \{1\}; \\ h(c_1 \dots c_n) &= n \text{ the height of the word } c_1 \dots c_n \text{ (} h(b) = 0 \text{ for } b \in B\text{);} \\ \tilde{w} &= \{1, c_1, c_1 c_2, \dots, c_1 c_2 \dots c_n\} \text{ for } w = c_1 \dots c_n \in W; \\ A^0(i_1, \dots, i_n) &= A_{i_1}^0 \oplus A_{i_1}^0 \otimes_B A_{i_2}^0 \otimes_B A_{i_1}^0 \oplus \dots \oplus A_{i_1}^0 \otimes_B \dots \otimes_B A_{i_n}^0 \text{ for } \\ & i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_n; \\ A_\lambda(i) &= B \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \bigoplus_{i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_n, i_1 \neq i} A_{i_1}^0 \otimes_B \dots \otimes_B A_{i_n}^0. \end{aligned}$$

A subset  $X$  in  $W$  is *complete* if it contains 1 and for every word  $w \in X$  it follows that  $\tilde{w} \subset X$ .

Endowed with the  $*$ -operation  $(c_1 \dots c_n)^* = c_n^* \dots c_1^*$  on  $W$  which extends on  $A$ ,  $*_B A_i$  is a  $*$ -complex algebra.

Let  $C$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra with  $1_C \in B \subset C$  and let  $\Phi_i: A_i \rightarrow C$  be unital linear  $B$ -bimodule maps, i.e.,  $\Phi_i(b_1 a b_2) = b_1 \Phi_i(a) b_2$  for all  $a \in A_i, b_1, b_2 \in B$ . It follows that  $\Phi_i|_B = \text{id}_B$  and the amalgamated product map  $\Phi = *_B \Phi_i: *_B A_i \rightarrow C$ , defined by  $\Phi|_B = \text{id}_B$  and  $\Phi(c_1 \dots c_n) = \Phi_{i_1}(c_1) \dots \Phi_{i_n}(c_n)$  for  $c_k \in A_{i_k}^0, i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_n$  is a well-defined unital linear  $B$ -bimodule map. Note that

$$\Phi(ax) = \Phi(a) \Phi(x) \quad \text{for all } a \in A_i, x \in A_\lambda(i). \tag{1}$$

A  $*$ -algebra  $A$  is said to satisfy the *Combes axiom* if for each  $x \in B$  there is  $\lambda(x) > 0$  such that  $b^* x^* x b \leq \lambda(x) b^* b$  for all  $b \in B$ . It is easy to check the following analogue of the classical Stinespring dilation:

Let  $A$  be a unital  $*$ -algebra satisfying the Combes axiom and let  $\Phi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  be a unital completely positive linear map. Then there exist a Hilbert space  $K$ , a  $*$ -representation  $\pi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  and an isometry  $V \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$  such that

- (i)  $\Phi(x) = V^* \pi(x) V$  for all  $x \in A$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{K}$  is the closed linear span of  $\pi(A) V \mathcal{H}$ .

Each unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A_i$  is spanned by the unitary group  $\mathcal{U}(A_i)$ , hence the unital  $*$ -algebra  $A = *_B A_i$  is spanned by the products  $u_1 \dots u_m$  with  $u_k \in \mathcal{U}(A_{i_k}), i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_m$ . Consequently, the unitary group  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  spans  $A$  and  $A$  satisfies the Combes axiom. Actually, the existence of the Stinespring

dilation for a unital completely positive linear map  $\Phi$  on  $*_B A_i$  yields the extension of  $\Phi$  on  $\check{*}_B A_i$ , the completion of  $*_B A_i$  in the greatest  $C^*$ -norm

$$\|a\| = \sup\{\|\pi(a)\| \mid \pi \text{ *-representation of } *_B A_i\}.$$

### 3. THE MAIN RESULT

We prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $\Phi_i$  be unital completely positive linear  $B$ -bimodule maps. Then their amalgamated product  $\Phi = *_B \Phi_i$  is still completely positive on  $*_B A_i$ .*

Let us outline first the main ideas of the proof.

In Proposition 3.2 we show that  $\Phi = *_B \Phi_i$  is completely positive on the  $*$ -algebra  $A = *_B A_i$ . We start with the key remark that the positivity of the kernel  $K(x, y) = \Phi(y^*x)$  on the reduced words of  $A$  yields the complete positivity of  $\Phi$ . The positivity of  $K$  on  $W_0$  is showed combining the action of an amalgamated product of groups on the associated tree [12] used in the group case in [5] with some classical facts concerning the complete positivity. Since the  $*$ -algebra  $A$  satisfies the Combes axiom, the map  $\Phi$  can be extended to a completely positive map on the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\check{*}_B A_i$  via a Stinespring construction.

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *Let  $\Phi_i$  be unital completely positive linear  $B$ -bimodule maps. Then their amalgamated product  $\Phi = *_B \Phi_i$  is still completely positive on the  $*$ -algebra  $A = *_B A_i$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume  $I_H \in C \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  for some Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ .

For further use, note that each positive element  $[y_{ij}]$  in  $M_m(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}))$  is a sum of elements of the form  $[a_i^* a_j]$ , with  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ . Actually  $y_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} a_{ik}^* a_{jk}$  and taking  $V_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}^{(n_0)})$ ,  $V_i h = (a_{i1} h, \dots, a_{i n_0} h)$  we obtain  $y_{ij} = V_j^* V_i$  for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, m$ .

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$  and  $h_1, \dots, h_n \in H$ . We must check that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n (\Phi(x_j^* x_i) h_i | h_j) \geq 0.$$

Note that each  $x_i$  can be written as  $x_i = \sum_{r=1}^N a_r b_{ir}$ , with  $a_j \in W_0$ ,  $b_{ir} \in B$  (some  $b_{ir}$  could be zero).

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{ir} &= b_{ir} h_i, & \text{for } r = 1, \dots, N, i = 1, \dots, n; \\ \eta_i &= (\xi_{i1}, \dots, \xi_{iN}) \in \mathcal{H}^{(N)}, & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$([\Phi(a_s^* a_r)]\eta_i | \eta_j) = \sum_{r,s=1}^N (\Phi(a_s^* a_r) \xi_{ir} | \xi_{js})$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (\Phi(x_j^* x_i) h_i | h_j) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{r,s=1}^N (\Phi(b_{js}^* a_s^* a_r b_{ir}) h_i | h_j) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{r,s=1}^N (\Phi(a_s^* a_r) b_{ir} h_i | b_{js} h_j) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n ([\Phi(a_s^* a_r)]\eta_i | \eta_j). \end{aligned}$$

Hence it is enough to check that for all finite sets  $X$  in  $W_0$  and all maps  $f: X \rightarrow H$  we have

$$S_X = \sum_{x,y \in X} (\Phi(y^* x) f(x) | f(y)) \geq 0. \tag{2}$$

Since each finite set  $X \subset W_0$  is included in the complete finite set  $X = \bigcup_{w \in X} \tilde{w} \subset W_0$ , we may assume that  $X$  is complete. Note also that  $\Phi$  is a selfadjoint map.

If  $X$  contains an element, then  $X = \{1\}$ , hence

$$S_X = (\Phi(1) f(1) | f(1)) = \|f(1)\|^2 \geq 0.$$

If  $X$  contains two elements, then  $X = \{1, a\}$ , with  $a \in A_i^0$ , hence the 2-positivity of  $\Phi_i$  yields

$$S_X = \sum_{x,y \in X} (\Phi_i(y^* x) f(x) | f(y)) \geq 0.$$

By induction, let  $X \subset W_0$  be a complete finite set,  $f: X \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  be a map, choose a word  $w_0 = a_1 \cdots a_m$  ( $a_k \in A_{i_k}^0$ ,  $i_1 \neq \cdots \neq i_m$ ) such that  $m = h(w_0) = \max_{w \in X} h(w) \geq 1$  and define

$$\begin{aligned} X_2 &= X \cap \{b_1 \cdots b_m \mid b_k \in A_{i_k}^0, k = \overline{1, m}\}; \\ X_1 &= X \setminus X_2. \end{aligned}$$

The complete finite set  $X_1$  does not contain  $w_0$ , hence  $\text{Card } X_1 < \text{Card } X$  and  $S_{X_1} \geq 0$ . Consequently, there exist the operators  $V_x \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}^{(\infty)})$  such that

$$\Phi(y^* x) = V_y^* V_x \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X_1. \tag{3}$$

For further use, we remark that for any  $x_1 \in X_1$ ,  $x_2 = x_0 a \in X_2$  with  $x_0 = c_1 \cdots c_{m-1}$ ,  $c_k \in A_{i_k}^0$ ,  $k = \overline{1, m-1}$ ,  $a \in A_{i_m}^0$  we have  $x_0^* x_1 \in A_l(i_m)$ , hence

$$\Phi(x_2^* x_1) = \Phi(a^* x_0^* x_1) = \Phi(a^*) \Phi(x_0^* x_1). \tag{4}$$

Let  $x_1 = d_1 \cdots d_n \in X_1$  ( $d_k \in A_{j_k}^0$ ,  $j_1 \neq \cdots \neq j_n$ ,  $n \leq m$ ) and let  $n_0$  be the greatest index with  $i_r = j_r$  for any  $r \leq n_0$ . Then, it is easy to verify that

$$c_{n_0}^* \cdots c_1^* d_1 \cdots d_{n_0} \in B \oplus A_{(i_{n_0}, \dots, i_1)}^0. \tag{5}$$

The following two cases may appear:

(i) For  $n_0 < m - 1$ , (5) yields  $(i_{n_0+1} \neq i_{n_0}, j_{n_0+1} \neq j_{n_0} = i_{n_0}, i_{n_0+1} \neq j_{n_0+1})$

$$\begin{aligned} x_0^* x_1 &= c_{m-1}^* \cdots c_1^* d_1 \cdots d_n \in A_{i_{m-1}}^0 \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{i_{n_0+1}}^0 \\ &\quad \otimes (B \oplus A_{(i_{n_0}, \dots, i_1)}^0) \otimes A_{j_{n_0+1}}^0 \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{j_n}^0 \subset A_l(i_m). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) For  $n_0 = m - 1$ , the maximality of  $h(w_0)$  in  $X$  yields  $m = n$ . Since  $a_{m-1}^* \cdots a_1^* c_1 \cdots c_{m-1} \in B \oplus A_{(i_{m-1}, \dots, i_1)}^0$  and  $j_m \neq i_m, i_{m-1} \neq i_m$ , we obtain

$$x_0^* x_1 \in A_{j_m}^0 \oplus A_{(i_{m-1}, \dots, i_1)}^0 \otimes A_{j_m}^0 \subset A_l(i_m).$$

Let us remark also that for any  $x_0 = c_1 \cdots c_{m-1}$ ,  $x'_0 = c'_1 \cdots c'_{m-1}$ ,  $c_k, c'_k \in A_{i_k}^0$ ,  $i_1 \neq \cdots \neq i_{m-1}$  we get

$$x_0^* x_0 = b(x_0, x'_0) + d(x_0, x'_0), \tag{6}$$

where  $d(x_0, x'_0) \in A_{(i_{m-1}, \dots, i_1)}^0$  and the kernel  $b: X_0 \times X_0 \rightarrow B$  with  $X_0 = \{x_0 = c_1 \cdots c_{m-1} \mid c_k \in A_{i_k}^0 \text{ and there exists an } a \in A_{i_m}^0 \text{ with } x_0 a \in X_2\}$  is positive definite ( $i_1, \dots, i_m$  being fixed by the choice of  $w_0$  in  $X$ ). Indeed, an inductive argument shows us that

$$x_0^* x_0 = b_{m-1}(x_0, x'_0) + d(x_0, x'_0),$$

where  $d(x_0, x'_0) \in A_{(i_{m-1}, \dots, i_1)}^0$ ,  $b_k(x_0, x'_0) = E_{i_k}(c'_k(x'_0)^* b_{k-1}(x_0, x'_0) c_k(x_0))$  for all  $k = 2, \dots, m - 1$  and  $b_0(x_0, x'_0) = 1$ . Since the conditional expectations  $E_{i_k}$  are completely positive and the matrix with all entries equal to 1 is positive, the positivity of  $b$  on  $X_0$  follows inductively. Consequently, we get a family  $\{\beta_w(x_0)\}_{w \in X_0}$  in  $B$  such that

$$b(x_0, x'_0) = \sum_{w \in X_0} \beta_w(x'_0)^* \beta_w(x_0) \quad \text{for all } x_0, x'_0 \in X_0.$$

Moreover, for  $x = x_0 a, x' = x'_0 a' \in X_2$  as above we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi(x'^*x) &= \Phi(a'^*x'_0^*x_0a) = \Phi(a'^*(b(x_0, x'_0) + d(x_0, x'_0))a) \\
 &= \Phi(a'^*b(x_0, x'_0)a) + \Phi(a'^*d(x_0, x'_0)a) \\
 &= \Phi(a'^*b(x_0, x'_0)a) + \Phi(a'^*) \Phi(d(x_0, x'_0)) \Phi(a) \\
 &= \Phi(a'^*b(x_0, x'_0)a) + \Phi(a'^*(x'_0^*x_0 - b(x_0, x'_0))a) \\
 &= \Phi(a'^*) \Phi(x'_0^*x_0) \Phi(a) + \Phi_{i_m}(a'^*b(x_0, x'_0)a) \\
 &\quad - \Phi_{i_m}(a'^*) b(x_0, x'_0) \Phi_{i_m(a)}. \tag{7}
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Kadison inequality for the unital completely positive map  $\Phi': M_N(A_{i_m}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}^{(N)})$ ,  $\Phi'([a_{ij}]) = [\Phi_{i_m}(a_{ij})]$  for  $[a_{ij}] \in M_N(A_{i_m})$  we obtain

$$\Phi'(x^*) \Phi'(x) \leq \Phi'(x^*x) \quad \text{for all } x \in M_N(A_{i_m}).$$

Letting

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 & \cdots & w_N \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad w_1, \dots, w_N \in A_{i_m}$$

one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i,j=1}^N (\Phi_{i_m}(w_j^* w_i) \xi_i | \xi_j) &\geq \sum_{i,j=1}^N (\Phi_{i_m}(w_j^*) \Phi_{i_m}(w_i) \xi_i | \xi_j) \\
 &\text{for all } w_1, \dots, w_N \in A_{i_m}, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_N \in \mathcal{H}.
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for  $N = \text{card } X_2$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{x_0 a, x'_0 a' \in X_2} (\Phi_{i_m}(a'^* \beta_w(x'_0)^* \beta_w(x_0)a) f(x_0 a) | f(x'_0 a')) \\
 &\geq \sum_{x_0 a, x'_0 a' \in X_2} (\Phi_{i_m}(a'^* \beta_w(x'_0)^*) \Phi_{i_m}(\beta_w(x_0)a) f(x_0 a) | f(x'_0 a')) \\
 &= \sum_{x_0 a, x'_0 a' \in X_2} (\Phi_{i_m}(a'^*) \beta_w(x'_0)^* \beta_w(x_0) \Phi_{i_m}(a) f(x_0 a) | f(x'_0 a')),
 \end{aligned}$$

hence, summing over  $w \in X_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{x_0 a, x'_0 a' \in X_2} (\Phi_{i_m}(a'^* b(x_0, x'_0)a) f(x_0 a) | f(x'_0 a')) \\
 &\geq \sum_{x_0 a, x'_0 a' \in X_2} (\Phi_{i_m}(a'^*) b(x_0, x'_0) \Phi_{i_m}(a) f(x_0 a) | f(x'_0 a')). \tag{8}
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (7), (8), and (3) we obtain ( $x_0 \in X_1$ )

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{x_0 a, x'_0 a' \in X_2} (\Phi(a'^* x'_0^* x_0 a) f(x_0 a) | f(x'_0 a')) \\ & \geq \sum_{x_0 a, x'_0 a' \in X_2} (\Phi(a'^* x'_0^* x_0) \Phi(a) f(x_0 a) | f(x'_0 a')) \\ & = \left\| \sum_{x_0 a \in X_2} V_{x_0} \Phi(a) f(x_0 a) \right\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Finally, (3), (9), and (4) yield

$$\begin{aligned} S_X &= \sum_{x, y \in X_1} (\Phi(y^* x) f(x) | f(y)) \\ &+ \sum_{x_0 a, x'_0 a' \in X_2} (\Phi(a'^* x'_0^* x_0 a) f(x_0 a) | f(x'_0 a')) \\ &+ 2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{\substack{x \in X_1 \\ x_0 a \in X_2}} (\Phi(a^* x_0^* x) f(x) | f(x_0 a)) \\ &\geq \left\| \sum_{x \in X_1} V_x f(x) \right\|^2 + \left\| \sum_{x_0 a \in X_2} V_{x_0} \Phi(a) f(x_0 a) \right\|^2 \\ &+ 2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{\substack{x \in X_1 \\ x_0 a \in X_2}} (V_x f(x) | V_{x_0} \Phi(a) f(x_0 a)) \\ &= \left\| \sum_{x \in X_1} V_x f(x) + \sum_{x_0 a \in X_2} V_{x_0} \Phi(a) f(x_0 a) \right\|^2 \geq 0. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

**COROLLARY 3.3.** *Let  $A_i$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebras,  $\mathcal{S}_i \subset A_i$  be unital linear subspaces and  $L_i: \mathcal{S}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  be unital completely contractive maps. Then the  $L_i$  extend to a completely contractive map on the free product  $C^*$ -algebra  $\check{*}A_i$ .*

*Proof.* By the Arveson extension theorem, each  $L_i$  extends to a unital completely positive map  $\Phi_i: A_i \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  and by the previous theorem, taking  $B = \mathbb{C}$  and each  $E_i$  a state on  $A_i$ , the map  $*\Phi_i$  is unital and completely positive on  $*A_i$ .  $\blacksquare$

*Remark 1.* Actually, there is a canonical way to describe the GNS representation of the conditional expectation  $E = *_B E_i$ , via the construction from [14, Section 5], that we shall recall briefly.

For each  $i$ , denote by  $\mathcal{H}_i$  the separation and completion of  $A_i$  with respect to  $\|a\|_{E_i} = \|E_i(a^* a)\|^{1/2}$ . The  $B$ -valued inner product  $\langle a, b \rangle = E_i(b^* a)$  on  $A_i$  yields an inner product on  $\mathcal{H}_i$  which is a Hilbert

$B$ -module. Each  $A_i$  can be expressed as a direct sum of  $B$ -bimodules  $A_i = B \oplus \text{Ker } E_i$  and this decomposition gives rise to the orthogonal direct sum of Hilbert  $B$ -modules  $\mathcal{H}_i = B \oplus \mathcal{H}_i^0$ . The left multiplication on  $A_i$  yields the GNS  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi_i: A_i \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_i)$  with  $E_i(a) = \langle \pi_i(a)\xi_i, \xi_i \rangle$ . Denoting  $\chi_i = \pi_i|_B$  one obtains  $\chi_i(b')(b \oplus h) = b'b \oplus \chi_i^0(b')h$ . One denotes  $\xi_i = 1_B \oplus 0 \in B \oplus \mathcal{H}_i^0$  and one defines  $(\mathcal{H}, \xi) = *(\mathcal{H}_i, \xi_i)$  by

$$\mathcal{H} = B \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \bigoplus_{i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_n} \mathcal{H}_{i_1}^0 \otimes_B \dots \otimes_B \mathcal{H}_{i_n} = B \oplus \mathcal{H}^0$$

$$\xi = 1_B \oplus 0 \in \mathcal{H}.$$

One considers

$$\mathcal{H}_i(i) = B \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \bigoplus_{\substack{i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_n \\ i_1 \neq i}} \mathcal{H}_{i_1}^0 \otimes_B \dots \otimes_B \mathcal{H}_{i_n}^0$$

and the isomorphisms  $V_i: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_i \otimes_B \mathcal{H}_i(i)$  defined by

$$V_i \xi = \xi_i \otimes \xi$$

$$V_i(h_1 \otimes \dots \otimes h_n) = \begin{cases} h_1 \otimes (h_2 \otimes \dots \otimes h_n) & \text{if } i_1 = i, n \geq 2 \\ h_1 \otimes \xi & \text{if } i_1 = i, n = 1 \\ \xi_i \otimes (h_1 \otimes \dots \otimes h_n) & \text{if } i_1 \neq i, \end{cases}$$

where  $h_k \in \mathcal{H}_{i_k}^0, i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_n$ .

Define the  $*$ -homomorphisms  $\sigma_i: A_i \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  by  $\sigma_i = \lambda_i \circ \pi_i$ , where  $\lambda_i: \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}), \lambda_i(T) = V_i^{-1}(T \otimes I)V_i$ , and let  $\sigma = *_B \sigma_i$ .

A simple calculus shows that for each word  $c = c_1 \dots c_m (c_k \in A_{i_k}^0, i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_m)$  one obtains

$$\sigma_{i_1}(c_1) \dots \sigma_{i_m}(c_m) \xi = E_{i_1}(c_1) \dots E_{i_m}(c_m) \xi + h_0, \quad \text{with } h_0 \in \mathcal{H}^0.$$

Consequently

$$\langle \sigma(c)\xi, \xi \rangle = \langle E_{i_1}(c_1) \dots E_{i_m}(c_m)\xi, \xi \rangle = E_{i_1}(c_1) \dots E_{i_m}(c_m) = E(c).$$

*Remark 2.* Let  $G$  be a discrete group and let  $C^*(G)$  be the associated  $C^*$ -algebra, endowed with the canonical trace. Denote by  $\varepsilon_g, g \in G$  the canonical unitaries of  $C^*(G)$ . There is a 1-1 correspondence  $\varphi \leftrightarrow \Phi$  between the positive defined functions on  $G$  and the completely positive linear maps on  $C^*(G)$  given by  $\Phi(\varepsilon_g) = \varepsilon(g), g \in G$ .

Let  $G_i$  be discrete groups and let  $\varphi_i: G_i \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  be unital positive defined functions. Then the corresponding  $\Phi_i: C^*(G_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  are completely positive and their free product  $*\Phi_i: *C^*(G_i) = C^*(\ast G_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$

related to the canonical traces on  $C^*(G_i)$  is still completely positive. Since  $\Phi = * \Phi_i$  corresponds to  $\varphi = * \varphi_i$  it follows that  $\varphi = * \varphi_i$  is positive defined on  $*G_i$ . Hence our result implies Theorem 7.1 in [5].

4. DILATIONS FOR NONCOMMUTATIVE FAMILIES OF OPERATORS

Let  $\Omega$  be a compact set in the complex plane and let  $R(\Omega)$  denote the algebra of rational functions whose poles lie off  $\Omega$ , endowed with the norm  $\|f\| = \sup_{z \in \Omega} |f(z)| = \sup_{z \in \partial\Omega} |f(z)|$ , where  $\partial\Omega$  denotes the boundary of  $\Omega$ .

If  $T$  is a bounded linear operator on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  whose spectrum  $\sigma(T)$  is contained in  $\Omega$ , there is a unital homomorphism  $\rho_T: R(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $\rho_T(f) = f(T)$ . When  $\rho_T$  is a (completely) contractive map,  $\Omega$  is called a (complete) spectral set for  $T$ .

The commutative  $C^*$ -algebra  $C(\partial\Omega)$  contains the selfadjoint subspace  $\mathcal{S} = R(\Omega) + R(\Omega)^*$ . When  $\mathcal{S}$  is dense in  $C(\partial\Omega)$ ,  $R(\Omega)$  is called a Dirichlet algebra on  $\partial\Omega$  and this is the case for simply connected sets.

By the classical von Neumann inequality, the closed unit disk  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| \leq 1\}$  with the boundary  $\mathbb{T}$  is a spectral set for every contraction  $T$ ; hence the homomorphism  $\rho_T$  extends to a positive linear map on  $C(\mathbb{T})$ , which is completely positive by the commutativity of  $C(\mathbb{T})$ . The Stinespring dilation of this map yields the Szökefalvi-Nagy unitary dilation of  $T$ .

The same technique shows that whether the operator  $T$  has a spectral set  $\Omega$  with  $R(\Omega)$  Dirichlet algebra on  $\partial\Omega$ , then it has a  $\partial\Omega$ -normal rational dilation [9]. This means that there are a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  containing  $\mathcal{H}$  and a normal operator  $N \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  with  $\sigma(N) \subset \partial\Omega$  such that

$$f(T) = P_{\mathcal{H}} f(N)|_{\mathcal{H}} \quad \text{for all } f \in R(\Omega).$$

When  $\Omega$  is a symmetric annulus, this result is still true; this is a profound result of Agler [1]. These results show that in these cases the complete contractivity of  $\rho_T$  is implied by his contractivity.

Pick for each compact  $\Omega$  a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\partial\Omega$  and denote  $C(\partial\Omega)^0 = \{f \in C(\partial\Omega) \mid \int_{\partial\Omega} f(z) d\mu(z) = 0\}$ . When  $\partial\Omega$  is a finite system of rectifiable Jordan curves and  $z_0 \in \mathring{\Omega}$ , we can take

$$\mu_{z_0}(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0} dz \quad \text{for all } f \in R(\Omega).$$

In this case all analytic polynomials that vanish in  $z_0$  are contained in  $C(\partial\Omega)^0$ . When  $\Omega = \mathbb{D}$  the measure  $\mu_0$  on  $\mathbb{T}$  is exactly the canonical trace on  $C(\mathbb{T}) = C^*(\mathbb{Z})$ .

Let  $(\Omega_i)_i$  be spectral sets for  $T_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  and let  $\mu_i$  be probability measures on  $\partial\Omega_i$ . If  $f = f_1 \cdots f_m \in *C(\partial\Omega_i)$  with  $f_k \in C(\partial\Omega_{i_k})^0, f_k \in R(\Omega_{i_k}) + R(\Omega_{i_k})^*, i_1 \neq \cdots \neq i_m$ ; denote

$$f((T_i)_i) = f_1(T_{i_1}) \cdots f_m(T_{i_m}).$$

The function  $z \rightarrow z$  on  $\Omega_i$  viewed in  $*C(\partial\Omega_i)$  is denoted by  $t_i$ . Denote also

$$\mathcal{S}(*\Omega_i) = \{f = f_1 \cdots f_m \mid f_k \in C(\partial\Omega_{i_k})^0, f_k \in R(\Omega_{i_k}) + R(\Omega_{i_k})^*, i_1 \neq \cdots \neq i_m\}.$$

Let  $(T_i)_i$  be bounded linear operators on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , let  $\Omega_i$  be compact sets in  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $\sigma(T_i) \subset \Omega_i$  for every  $i$  and let  $\mu_i$  be probability measures on  $\partial\Omega_i$ . Suppose that there exist a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K}$  which contains  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $N_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$  normal operators with  $\sigma(N_i) \subset \partial\Omega_i$  for every  $i$  such that for each  $f \in \mathcal{S}(*\Omega_i)$  it follows that

$$f((T_i)_i) = P_{\mathcal{K}} f((N_i)_i)|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Then  $(N_i)_i$  is called a  $(\partial\Omega_i)_i$ -normal rational dilation for  $(T_i)_i$ . When  $\mathcal{K}$  is the closed linear span of  $\{f((T_i)_i)\mathcal{H} \mid f \in \mathcal{S}(*\Omega_i)\}$  the dilation  $(N_i)_i$  is minimal.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let  $(\Omega_i)_i$  be complete spectral sets for  $T_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , each  $\partial\Omega_i$  being endowed with a probability measure  $\mu_i$ .*

*Then  $(T_i)_i$  has a minimal  $(\partial\Omega_i)_i$ -normal rational dilation and*

$$\|f((T_i)_i)\| \leq \|f\|_{*C(\partial\Omega_i)} \quad \text{for every } f \in \mathcal{S}(*\Omega_i).$$

*Proof.* The unital completely contractive homomorphisms  $\rho_{T_i}$  extend to the completely positive linear maps  $\Phi_i: C(\partial\Omega_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ . By Theorem 3.1, the free product  $\Phi = * \Phi_i: *C(\partial\Omega_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  related to the probability measures  $\mu_i$  is still completely positive. Let  $(\pi, \mathcal{K})$  be the Stinespring dilation of  $\Phi$  and let  $N_i = \pi(t_i)$ . It is straightforward that  $\sigma(N_i) \subset \partial\Omega_i$  and for each  $f = f_1 \cdots f_m \in \mathcal{S}(*\Omega_i)$  one obtains:

$$\begin{aligned} f((T_i)_i) &= f_1(T_{i_1}) \cdots f_m(T_{i_m}) = \rho_{T_{i_1}}(f_1) \cdots \rho_{T_{i_m}}(f_m) \\ &= \Phi_{i_1}(f_1) \cdots \Phi_{i_m}(f_m) = \Phi(f) = P_{\mathcal{K}} \pi(f)|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= P_{\mathcal{K}} \pi(f_1) \cdots \pi(f_m)|_{\mathcal{H}} = P_{\mathcal{K}} f((N_i)_i)|_{\mathcal{H}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|f((T_i)_i)\| = \|\Phi(f)\| \leq \|f\|_{*C(\partial\Omega_i)}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Whether  $T_i$  are contractions,  $\Omega_i = \bar{\mathbb{D}}$  for every  $i$  and  $f$  is a free polynomial, this inequality was obtained in [5].

*Remark.* Let  $(U_i)_i$  be a minimal unitary dilation for  $(T_i)_i$  and let  $\mathcal{K}_-$  be the closed linear span of  $\{U_{i_1}^{n_1} \dots U_{i_m}^{n_m} \mathcal{H} \mid n_k \in \mathbb{Z}_-, i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_m\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{K}_-$  is invariant for each  $U_i^*$ ; hence  $V_i = U_i^*|_{\mathcal{K}_-}$  are isometries,  $W_i = V_i^*$  are coisometries, and

$$\begin{aligned} (W_i h \mid U_{i_1}^{n_1} \dots U_{i_m}^{n_m} h') &= (V_i^* h \mid U_{i_1}^{n_1} \dots U_{i_m}^{n_m} h') = (h \mid U_i U_{i_1}^{n_1} \dots U_{i_m}^{n_m} h') \\ &= (h \mid T_i^* T_{i_1}^{*-n_1} \dots T_{i_m}^{*-n_m} h') \\ &= (T_i h \mid T_{i_1}^{*-n_1} \dots T_{i_m}^{*-n_m} h') = (T_i h \mid U_{i_1}^{n_1} \dots U_{i_m}^{n_m} h'), \end{aligned}$$

for every  $h, h' \in H, n_k \in \mathbb{Z}_-, i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_m$ . Consequently  $W_i$  are coisometries on  $\mathcal{K}_-$  with  $W_i|_{\mathcal{K}_-} = T_i$ . This is a well-known remark of Durszt and Szökefalvi-Nagy [8].

Such a minimal unitary dilation for  $(T_i)_i$  is unique. Given two minimal unitary dilations  $(U_i)_i$  and  $(U'_i)_i$  on  $\mathcal{K}$  and respectively  $\mathcal{K}'$ , there is a unitary  $\Theta: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}'$  such that  $\Theta U_i = U'_i \Theta$ .

Actually, the previous proposition and the results of Foias and Agler imply

**COROLLARY 4.2.** *Let  $(\Omega_i)_i$  be spectral sets for  $T_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , with  $R(\Omega_i)$  Dirichlet algebra on  $\partial\Omega_i$  or  $\Omega_i$  symmetric annulus for every  $i$ . Then  $(T_i)_i$  has a  $(\partial\Omega_i)_i$ -normal rational dilation.*

An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  has a unitary  $\rho$ -dilation ( $\rho > 1$ ) whether there exist a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K}$  containing  $\mathcal{H}$  and a unitary  $U \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$  such that

$$T^n = \rho P_{\mathcal{H}} U^n |_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \text{for every } n \geq 1.$$

For example, by a result of Berger, each operator  $T$  having the numerical radius  $w(T) \leq 1$  has a 2-unitary dilation ([11, Proposition 3.13]).

Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  have a  $\rho$ -unitary dilation. Denoting by  $V$  the isometry  $\mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}$ , one obtains:

$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{bmatrix} \rho T^* \dots T^{*n} \\ T \rho \dots T^{*n-1} \\ \dots \\ T^n T^{n-1} \dots \rho \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \rho \begin{bmatrix} V & & & \\ & V & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & V \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} I & U^* \dots U^{*n} \\ U & I \dots U^{*n-1} \\ \dots \\ U^n & U^{n-1} \dots I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V & & & \\ & V & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & V \end{bmatrix} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This inequality yields the positivity of the unital linear map  $\Phi: \mathcal{P}_+ + \overline{\mathcal{P}}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $\Phi(p + \bar{q}) = (1/\rho)[(p(T) + q(T)^*) + (\rho - 1)(p(0) + \bar{q}(0)) \cdot I]$ ,

where  $\mathcal{P}_+$  is the algebra of analytic polynomials (for details see [11; 2.6, 3.13]).

**COROLLARY 4.3.** *Let  $T_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  be operators having unitary  $\rho$ -dilations. Then there exist a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{X}$  containing  $\mathcal{H}$  and unitaries  $U_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$  such that for each  $p = p_1 \cdots p_m$ ;  $p_k$  polynomial,  $p_k(0) = 0$ ,  $i_1 \neq \cdots \neq i_m$  we have*

$$p((T_i)_i) = \rho^m P_{\mathcal{X}} p((U_i)_i)|_{\mathcal{X}}.$$

*Proof.* Each positive linear map  $\Phi_i: C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , where  $\Phi_i(p + \bar{q}) = (1/\rho)[(p(T_i) + q(T_i)^*) + (\rho - 1)(p(0) + \overline{q(0)}) \cdot I]$  if  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_+$  is completely positive and unital; hence  $\Phi = * \Phi_i$  is completely positive on  $*C(\mathbb{T})_i$ . Consequently the Stinespring dilation  $(\pi, \mathcal{X})$  of  $\Phi$  yields the unitaries  $U_i = \pi(t_i)$  and for each free polynomial  $p = p_1 \cdots p_m$  we have

$$p((T_i)_i) = p_1(T_{i_1}) \cdots p_m(T_{i_m}) = \rho^m \Phi(p) = \rho^m P_{\mathcal{X}} p((U_i)_i)|_{\mathcal{X}}. \quad \blacksquare$$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The paper is an expanded and revised version of an announcement which appeared in *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **309** (1989), 173–176. I thank Florin Rădulescu for many valuable discussions on this and related subjects, and to David Blecher for pointing out an error in the proof of Proposition 3.2.

#### REFERENCES

1. J. AGLER, Rational dilation on an annulus, *Ann. of Math.* **121** (1985), 537–563.
2. W. ARVESON, Subalgebras of  $C^*$ -algebras, *Acta Math.* **123** (1969), 141–224.
3. D. AVITZOUR, Free products of  $C^*$ -algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **271** (1982), 423–436.
4. M. BOŽEJKO, Positive definite functions on the free group and the noncommutative Riesz product, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A* **5** (1986), 13–21.
5. M. BOŽEJKO, Positive definite kernels, length functions on groups and noncommutative von Neumann inequality, *Studia Math.* **95** (1989), 107–118.
6. P. M. COHN, Free ideal rings, *J. Algebra* **1** (1964), 47–69.
7. L. DE MICHELE AND A. FIGÀ-TALAMANCA, Positive definite functions on free groups, *Amer. J. Math.* **102** (1980), 503–509.
8. E. DURSZT AND B. SZÖKEFALVI-NAGY, Remark to a paper of A. E. Frazho: “Models for noncommuting operators,” *J. Funct. Anal.* **52** (1983), 146–147.
9. C. FOIAS, Certains applications des ensembles spectraux. I. Mesure harmonique spectrale, *Stud. Cerc. Mat.* **10** (1959), 365–401.
10. U. HAAGERUP, An example of a non nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra, which has the metric approximation property, *Invent. Math.* **50** (1979), 279–293.

11. V. PAULSEN, "Completely Bounded Maps and Dilations," Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 146, New York, 1986.
12. J. P. SERRE, "Trees," Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1980.
13. W. F. STINESPRING, Positive functions on  $C^*$ -algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **6** (1955), 211–216.
14. D. VOICULESCU, Symmetries of some reduced free product  $C^*$ -algebras, in "Operator Algebras and their Connections with Topology and Ergodic Theory" (H. Araki *et al.*, Eds.), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1132, pp. 230–253, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1983.