

**Note**

**An Inequality for Derivatives of Polynomials  
with Positive Coefficients**

S. P. ZHOU\*

*Dalhousie University, Department of Mathematics, Statistics, and  
Computing Science, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada B3H 3J5*

*Communicated by T. J. Rivlin*

Received July 10, 1993; accepted in revised form October 27, 1993

The present paper gives a complete answer to a question concerning an inequality for derivatives of polynomials with positive coefficients. © 1995 Academic Press, Inc.

Let  $\Pi_N$  be the class of all algebraic polynomials of degree not greater than  $N$ , let  $\mathcal{K}_N$  be the class of polynomials from  $\Pi_N$  which have only real coefficients and all of whose zeros lie in the half-plane  $\operatorname{Re}(z) \leq 0$ , and let  $\Pi_N^+$  be the class of polynomials from  $\Pi_N$  with only nonnegative coefficients. Define

$$M(r, f) = \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|.$$

It is well-known that the Bernstein inequality

$$M(r, f') \leq \frac{N}{r} M(r, f) \quad (r > 0)$$

holds for  $f(z) \in \Pi_N$ .

Abi-khuzam [1] formulated a refined form of the Bernstein inequality for polynomials that involves the number of zeros in the disk relevant for the norm. First Abi-khuzam [1, p. 119] suggested the general form of the question that follows (in particular when  $n(r, f) = N$  this is a conjecture of Erdős proved by Lax).

\* Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2G1.

*Conjecture.* Let  $f \in \Pi_N$ ,  $N > 0$ , and let  $n(r, f)$  be the counting function of its zeros in the disk  $|z| < r$ ; that is, for  $r > 0$ ,  $n(r, f)$  equals the number of zeros of  $f$  in the disc  $|z| < r$ , where each zero is counted as many times as its multiplicity indicates. Then

$$M(r, f') \leq \frac{N + n(r, f)}{2r} M(r, f) \quad (r > 0). \quad (1)$$

Then Abi-khuzam proved that the conjecture holds for  $\mathcal{K}_N$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_N$ ,  $N > 0$ , then*

$$M(r, f') \leq \frac{N + n(r, f)}{2r} M(r, f) \quad (r > 0).$$

Since  $\Pi_N^+$  is a wider set than  $\mathcal{K}_N$ , in the last section of [1] Abi-khuzam asked: If the class  $\mathcal{K}_N$  is replaced by  $\Pi_N^+$ , does the conclusion of Theorem 1 still hold for polynomials  $f$  in  $\Pi_N^+$ ?

In answering this question, he constructed in [1] a polynomial  $Q \in \Pi_5^+$ ,

$$Q(z) = z^5 + z^4 + 2z + 2,$$

and claimed that since  $Q(z)$  does not satisfy inequality (1) this gives a negative answer to the question as well as to the above cited conjecture (see [1, p. 124]).

After careful verification, we found that there is something wrong in the counterexample given by Abi-khuzam. In fact, write

$$Q(z) = (z + 1)(z^4 + 2),$$

then evidently

$$\frac{Q'(z)}{Q(z)} = \frac{1}{z + 1} + \frac{4z^3}{z^4 + 2}.$$

A direct calculation leads to

$$\frac{4r^3}{r^4 + 2} \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2}{r}, & r \leq \sqrt[4]{2}, \\ \frac{4}{r}, & r > \sqrt[4]{2}, \end{cases}$$

then

$$\frac{M(r, Q')}{M(r, Q)} = \frac{Q'(r)}{Q(r)} \leq \begin{cases} \frac{3}{r}, & r \leq \sqrt[4]{2}, \\ \frac{5}{r}, & r > \sqrt[4]{2}. \end{cases}$$

That is,  $Q(z)$  still satisfies (1). This allows us to repeat the question raised by Abi-khuzam again: Is there a similar estimate to (1) for  $f \in \Pi_N^+$ ?

The present note will establish the following result to give this question a complete solution, which also gives a correct negative answer to the above cited conjecture.

**THEOREM 2.** (i) *If  $f \in \Pi_N^+$  for  $N = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , then*

$$M(r, f') \leq \frac{N + n(r, f)}{2r} M(r, f) \quad (r > 0).$$

(ii) *Let  $5m \leq N < 5(m + 1)$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then there exists a polynomial  $f_N \in \Pi_N^+$  such that*

$$M(3, f') = \frac{N + n(3, f) + 2m/7}{2 \times 3} M(3, f) > \frac{N + n(3, f)}{2 \times 3} M(3, f).$$

*Proof.* (i) Since the argument of this part is completely elementary, we omit it here.

(ii) Define

$$f_5(z) = (z^2 - z + 1)(z + 3)^3 = z^5 + 8z^4 + 19z^3 + 9z^2 + 27,$$

then

$$f'_5(z) = 5z^4 + 32z^3 + 57z^2 + 18z.$$

We check that

$$\frac{M(3, f'_5)}{M(3, f_5)} = \frac{f'_5(3)}{f_5(3)} = \frac{1836}{1512} = \frac{7 + 2/7}{2 \times 3}. \tag{2}$$

If  $N = 5m$ ,  $m = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ , let

$$f_N(z) = f_5^m(z).$$

Hence

$$\frac{M(3, f'_N)}{M(3, f_N)} = \frac{mf'_5(3)}{f_5(3)} = \frac{m(7 + 2/7)}{2 \times 3} = \frac{N + n(3, f_N) + 2m/7}{2 \times 3}.$$

Finally, for  $5m < N < 5(m+1)$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , set

$$f_N(z) = f_{5m}(z)(z+3)^{N-5m}.$$

From (2), we calculate that

$$\frac{M(3, f'_N)}{M(3, f_N)} = \frac{f'_{5m}(3)}{f_{5m}(3)} + \frac{N-5m}{6} = \frac{N+2m+2m/7}{2 \times 3} = \frac{N+n(3, f_N)+2m/7}{2 \times 3}.$$

Thus we are done. ■

#### REFERENCE

1. F. F. ABI-KHUZAM, An inequality for derivatives of polynomials whose zeros are in a half-plane, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **89** (1983), 119–124.