JOURNAL OF APPROXIMATION THEORY 33, 28-42 (1981)

# The Peano Curve of Schoenberg Is Nowhere Differentiable\*

#### JAMES ALSINA

Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, New York 14650 Communicated by I. J. Schoenberg Received January 3, 1980

Let f(t) be defined in [0, 1] by

 $f(t) = 0 \qquad \text{if} \quad 0 \leqslant t \leqslant \frac{1}{3},$  $= 3t \quad 1 \qquad \text{if} \quad \frac{1}{3} \leqslant t \leqslant \frac{2}{3},$  $= 1 \qquad \text{if} \quad \frac{1}{3} \leqslant t \leqslant 1.$ 

and extended to all real t by requiring that f(t) should be an even function having period 2. The plane arc defined parametrically by the equations

$$x(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{t} \frac{f(3^{2n}t)}{2^{n+1}}, \qquad y(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{t} \frac{f(3^{2n+1}t)}{2^{n+1}} \qquad (0 \le t \le 1)$$

is known to be continuous, and to map the interval  $I = \{0 \le x \le 1\}$  onto the entire square  $I^2 = \{0 \le x, y \le 1\}$ . (See I. J. Schoenberg, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 44 (1938), 519). Here it is shown that this arc is nowhere differentiable, meaning the following: There is no value of t such that both derivatives x'(t) and y'(t) exist and are finite.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

It came as quite a surprise to the mathematical world when, in 1875, Weierstrass constructed an everywhere continuous, nowhere differentiable function (see |1|). Equally startling though was the discovery by Giuseppe Peano |2| 15 years thereafter that the unit interval could be mapped continuously onto the entire unit square  $I^2$ .

Well known now are examples of area-filling curves, and of continuous functions which are nowhere differentiable. This paper brings together these two pathological properties by showing that the plane Peano curve of Schoenberg [3], defined in Section 3 below, lacks at every point a finite derivative (Theorem 3). An analogous space curve is similarly shown to fill the unit cube  $I^3$  (Theorem 2), and to be nowhere differentiable (Theorem 4).

<sup>\*</sup> Sponsored by the United States Army under Contract DAAG29 75 C 0024.

# 2. An Identity on the Cantor Set $\Gamma$

The foundation of Schoenberg's curve is the continuous function f(t), defined first in [0, 1] by

$$f(t) = 0 if 0 \le t \le \frac{1}{3}, \\ = 3t - 1 if \frac{1}{3} \le t \le \frac{2}{3}, \\ = 1 if \frac{2}{3} \le t \le 1. (2.1)$$

We then extend its definition to all real t such that f(t) is an even function of period 2 (see Fig. 1). Thus

$$f(-t) = f(t), \quad f(t+2) = f(t)$$
 for all t.

The main property of this function is that it produces the following remarkable identity on  $\Gamma$ .

LEMMA 1. If t is an element of Cantor's Set  $\Gamma$ , then

$$t = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2f(3^n t)/3^{n+1}.$$
 (2.2)

*Proof.* If indeed  $t \in \Gamma$ , it can be expressed as

$$t = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n / 3^{n+1} \qquad (a_n = 0, 2);$$
 (2.3)

then (2.2) would follow from the relations

$$a_n = 2 \cdot f(3^n t)$$
 (n = 0, 1, 2,...). (2.4)



FIG. 1. The continuous function f(t).

To prove (2.4) observe that (2.3) implies

$$3^{n}t = 3^{n}\left(\frac{a_{0}}{3} + \cdots + \frac{a_{n-1}}{3^{n}}\right) + \frac{a_{n}}{3} + \frac{a_{n+1}}{3^{2}} + \cdots,$$

whence

$$3^{n}t = M_{n} + \frac{a_{n}}{3} + \frac{a_{n+1}}{3^{2}} + \cdots$$
 (*M<sub>n</sub>* is an even integer). (2.5)

From the graph of f(t) we conclude the following:

If 
$$a_n = 0$$
, then  $M_n \leq 3^n t \leq M_n + \frac{2}{3^2} + \frac{2}{3^3} + \dots = M_n + \frac{1}{3}$ 

and therefore  $f(3^n t) = 0$ .

If 
$$a_n = 2$$
, then  $M_n + \frac{2}{3} \le 3^n t \le M_n + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{3^2} + \dots = M_n + 1$ 

and so  $f(3^{n}t) = 1$ .

This establishes (2.4) and thus the relation (2.2).

# 3. SCHOENBERG'S CURVE

This function is defined parametrically by the equations

$$x(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} f(3^{2n}t), \qquad (3.1)$$

$$y(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} f(3^{2n+1}t) \qquad (0 \le t \le 1).$$
(3.2)

The mapping  $t \to (x(t), y(t))$  indeed defines a curve: its continuity follows from the expansions (3.1), (3.2) being not only termwise continuous, but dominated by the series of constants

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} = 1.$$
(3.3)

These conditions insure their uniform convergence, and therefore also the continuity of their sums.

Now if  $t \in \Gamma$ , hence

$$t = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{3^{n+1}} \qquad (a_n = 0, 2), \tag{3.4}$$

by (2.4) we may write (3.1) and (3.2) as

$$x(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \cdot \frac{a_{2n}}{2}, \qquad y(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \cdot \frac{a_{2n+1}}{2}.$$
 (3.5)

We then invert these relationships: let P = (x(t), y(t)) be an arbitrarily preassigned point of the square  $I^2 = \{0 \le x, y \le 1\}$ , and regard (3.5) as the binary expansions of the coordinates of P. This defines  $a_{2n}$  and  $a_{2n+1}$ , and therefore also the full sequence  $\{a_n\}$ . With it we define  $t \in \Gamma$  by (3.4), and thus the expressions (3.5), being a consequence of (3.1) and (3.2), show that the point P is on our curve. This proves

THEOREM 1. The mapping

 $t \rightarrow (x(t), y(t))$ 

from I into  $I^2$  defined by (3.1), (3.2), is continuous, and covers the square  $I^2$ , even if t is restricted to the Cantor Set  $\Gamma$ .

This result extends naturally to higher dimensions. We discuss only the case of the space curve

$$X(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} f(3^{3n}t), \qquad (3.6)$$

$$Y(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} f(3^{3n+1}t), \qquad (3.7)$$

$$Z(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} f(3^{3n+2}t) \qquad (0 \le t \le 1).$$
(3.8)

The continuity of X(t), Y(t), and Z(t), as in the two-dimensional case, is guaranteed by the continuity of each of their terms and by the convergence of the series of constants (3.3). If we define t by (3.4), so  $a_n = 0, 2$  for n = 0, 1, 2,..., then again (2.4) shows that

$$X(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \cdot \frac{a_{3n}}{2}, \qquad Y(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \cdot \frac{a_{3n+1}}{2},$$
  
$$Z(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \cdot \frac{a_{3n+2}}{2}.$$
 (3.9)

If the right sides are the binary expansions of the coordinates of an arbitrarily chosen point of  $I^3$ , then this point of  $I^3$  is reached by our space curve for the value of  $t \in \Gamma$  defined by (3.4). Thus we have proven

THEOREM 2. The mapping

$$t \to (X(t), Y(t), Z(t))$$

from I into  $I^3$  defined by (3.6), (3.7), (3.8), is continuous, and fills the cube  $I^3$ , even if t is restricted to the Cantor Set  $\Gamma$ .

Theorems 1 and 2 raise a interesting question. Just *how* does the plane curve, for example, fill the square as t varies from 0 to 1? Though by no means may this question be answered completely, we can gain some feeling for the curve's path by viewing it as the point-for-point limit of the sequence of continuous mappings

$$t \to (x_k(t), y_k(t))$$
  $(k = 0, 1, 2, ...),$  (3.10)

where  $x_k$  and  $y_k$  are the kth partial sums of the series (3.1) and (3.2) defining x and y. The graph of this sequence for k = 0, 1, 2 and  $0 \le t \le 1$  is shown in Fig. 2. (The origin is at the lower left corners, with  $x_k$  and  $y_k$  on the





FIG. 2. The approximation curves  $t \rightarrow (x_k(t), y_k(t))$  for k = 0, 1, 2.

horizontal and vertical axes, respectively. The dotted lines delineate the boundary of  $I^2$ .)

Note in particular in Fig. 2 that the curves lack the one-to-one property for k = 1, 2. This fact, together with the promise for increased complexity in these approximation curves as  $k \to \infty$ , suggests that the limit curve itself may be many-to-one.

The implication is indeed correct, and not only for the case at hand. If an area-filling curve were one-to-one, it would be a homeomorphism. The unit interval and  $I^n$  (for  $n \ge 2$ ), however, are not homeomorphic, since the removal of any interior point disconnects I but not  $I^n$ .

The point  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  of  $I^2$  nicely illustrates this many-to-one property for Schoenberg's curve (3.1). (3.2). Since the number  $\frac{1}{2}$  can be expressed in binary form either as .1000... or .0111..., (3.4) and (3.5) imply that  $(x(t_0), y(t_0)) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  is the image of *four* distinct elements of the Cantor Set  $\Gamma$ , namely,

$$t_0 = \frac{1}{9}, \frac{11}{36}, \frac{25}{36}, \frac{8}{9}.$$

In fact, the set of all (x, y) with four preimages in  $\Gamma$  is dense in the square. Theorem 1 asserted that  $\Gamma$ , a set of Lebesgue measure zero, is sufficiently large to be mapped onto  $I^2$ , a set of plane measure 1. It would now seem that  $\Gamma$  has more points than  $I^2$ !

In the next section, we explore yet another property of Schoenberg's curve, and prove our main result.

## 4. THE PEANO CURVE OF SCHOENBERG IS NOWHERE DIFFERENTIABLE

We say that a plane curve (x(t), y(t)) is *differentiable at*  $t_0$  if both derivatives  $x'(t_0)$  and  $y'(t_0)$  exist and are finite. Our goal will be to prove

THEOREM 3. For no value of t do both functions

$$x(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} f(3^{2n}t),$$
(4.1)

$$y(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} f(3^{2n+1}t), \qquad (4.2)$$

have finite derivatives x'(t), y'(t).

<sup>1</sup> More precisely,  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  is a quintuple point of the curve, having its fifth preimage,  $t_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ , in  $[0, 1] \setminus I$ .

Since f(t) is an even function of period two, then so are x(t) and y(t). Thus it suffices to prove Theorem 3 for  $t \in I = [0, 1]$ . The theorem will follow from the proofs of two lemmas.

Let t be a fixed number in [0, 1], expressed in ternary form by

$$t = \frac{a_0}{3} + \frac{a_1}{3^2} + \dots + \frac{a_n}{3^{n+1}} + \dots \qquad (a_n = 0, 1, 2).$$
(4.3)

and corresponding to this t, define the following disjoint sets:

$$N_0 = \{n: a_{2n} = 0\},\$$
  

$$N_1 = \{n: a_{2n} = 1\},\$$
  

$$N_2 = \{n: a_{2n} = 2\}.$$

The first of our lemmas is

LEMMA 2. x'(t) does not exist finitely if  $N_0 \cup N_2$  is an infinite set.

In the proof we make use of several properties of the function f(t):

$$f(t+2) = f(t)$$
 for all t. (4.4)

If M is an integer and  $t_1 \in [M, M + \frac{1}{3}], t_2 \in [M + \frac{2}{3}, M + 1]$ , then

$$|f(t_1) - f(t_2)| = 1.$$
(4.5)

f(t) also satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$|f(t_1) - f(t_2)| \le 3 \cdot |t_1 - t_2|$$
 for any  $t_1, t_2$ . (4.6)

Let us now assume that  $m \in N_0 \cup N_2$ , hence  $a_{2m} = 0$  or  $a_{2m} = 2$ . For such *m*, we define the increment

$$\delta_m = \frac{2}{3} 9^{-m} \qquad \text{if} \quad a_{2m} = 0, = -\frac{2}{3} 9^{-m} \qquad \text{if} \quad a_{2m} = 2.$$
(4.7)

and seek to estimate the corresponding difference quotient

$$\frac{x(t+\delta_m)-x(t)}{\delta_m} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \gamma_{n,m},$$
(4.8)

where

$$\gamma_{n,m} = \frac{f(9^n(t+\delta_m)) - f(9^n t)}{\delta_m} \,. \tag{4.9}$$

We must distinguish three cases.

(i) n > m. By (4.7),  $9^n \delta_m = \pm \frac{2}{3} 9^{n-m}$ , which is an even integer. Thus by (4.4), we conclude that

$$y_{n,m} = 0 \qquad \text{if} \quad n > m, \tag{4.10}$$

regardless of the value of  $a_{2m}$ .

(ii) n < m. Here we make use of the Lipschitz inequality (4.6) to show that

$$|\gamma_{n,m}| \leq 3 \cdot |9^n \delta_m| / |\delta_m|.$$

whence

$$|\gamma_{n,m}| \leqslant 3 \cdot 9^n \qquad \text{for} \quad n < m. \tag{4.11}$$

(iii) n = m. By (4.3), we see that

$$9^{m}t = 3^{2m}t = M + \frac{a_{2m}}{3} + \frac{a_{2m+1}}{3^2} + \cdots$$
 (*M* is an integer). (4.12)

Here we must distinguish two subcases:

If  $a_{2m} = 0$ , and so, by (4.7),  $9^m \delta_m = 2/3$ , (4.12) implies that  $M \leq 9^m t \leq M + 2/3^2 + 2/3^3 + \cdots$ . Since  $2/3^2 + 2/3^3 + \cdots = 1/3$ , we find that  $M \leq 9^m t \leq M + 1/3$ , and therefore that  $M + 2/3 \leq 9^m t + 9^m \delta_m \leq M + 1$ .

If  $a_{2m} = 2$ , then, by (4.7),  $9^m \delta_m = -2/3$ . From (4.12),  $M + 2/3 \le 9^m t \le M + 2/3 + 2/3^2 + \dots = M + 1$ , while  $M \le 9^m t + 9^m \delta_m \le M + 1/3$ .

In either subcase, we can apply (4.5) to conclude that

$$|\gamma_{m,m}| = 1/|\delta_m| = \frac{3}{2}9^m, \tag{4.13}$$

regardless of the value of  $a_{2m}$ .

The results (4.10), (4.11), and (4.13) hold under the sole assumption

$$m \in N_0 \cup N_2$$
.

Applying them to the difference quotient

$$DQ_m = \frac{x(t+\delta_m) - x(t)}{\delta_m},$$
(4.14)

we find by (4.8) that

$$|DQ_m| = \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \gamma_{n,m} \right|$$
$$= \left| \sum_{n=0}^{m} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \gamma_{n,m} \right|$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} |\gamma_{m,m}| - \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} |\gamma_{n,m}|$$
  
$$\geq \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} \cdot \frac{3}{2} 9^m \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \cdot 3 \cdot 9^n$$
  
$$= \frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^m - \frac{3}{7} \left[ \left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^m - 1 \right].$$

and finally

$$\left|\frac{x(t+\delta_m)-x(t)}{\delta_m}\right| \ge \frac{9}{28} \left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^m + \frac{3}{7} \quad \text{if} \quad m \in N_n \cup N_2. \quad (4.15)$$

This establishes Lemma 2 if, in (4.15), we let  $m \to \infty$  through the elements of the infinite sequence  $N_0 \cup N_2$ .

We now turn out attention to the digits of t having odd subscripts, and define the sets

$$\begin{split} N_0' &= \{n: a_{2n+1} = 0\}, \\ N_1' &= \{n: a_{2n+1} = 1\}, \\ N_2' &= \{n: a_{2n+1} = 2\}. \end{split}$$

Now if

$$t = \frac{a_0}{3} + \frac{a_1}{3^2} + \dots + \frac{a_{2n+1}}{3^{2n+2}} + \dots,$$

then for  $\tau = 3t$  we find

$$\tau = a_0 + \frac{a_1}{3} + \dots + \frac{a_{2n+1}}{3^{2n+1}} + \dots$$

As the same time

$$x(\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^{t} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} f(3^{2n}\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} f(3^{2n+1}t) = y(t).$$

Applying Lemma 2 to x(t) at the point  $\tau = 3t$ , we see that the digits  $a_{2n+1}$  are the digits of  $\tau$  having *even* subscripts. We thus obtain

COROLLARY 1. y'(t) does not exist finitely if  $N'_0 \cup N'_2$  is an infinite set. By Lemma 2 and Corollary 1 we can conclude that the only t for which x'(t) and y'(t) might both exist and be finite, is one whose sets  $N_0 \cup N_2$  and  $N'_0 \cup N'_2$  are finite. This is the case if and only if the digits

$$a_n = 1$$
 for all sufficiently large *n*. (4.16)

On the other hand, to prove the *non*differentiability of the mapping  $t \rightarrow (x(t), y(t))$ , it suffices to show that one of the derivatives x'(t), y'(t) fails to exist.

LEMMA 3. If t is such that (4.16) holds, then x'(t) does not exist finitely.

The simplest t satisfying (4.16) is the one for which all  $a_n = 1$ , or

$$t = \sum_{n=0}^{3} \frac{1}{3^{n+1}} = \frac{1}{2}$$

We must, however, treat the general case, where

$$t = \frac{a_0}{3} + \frac{a_1}{3^2} + \dots + \frac{a_{2m-1}}{3^{2m}} + \frac{1}{3^{2m+1}} + \frac{1}{3^{2m+2}} + \dots,$$
(4.17)

with  $a_n = 0, 1, 2$  for n = 0, 1, ..., 2m - 1. To prove the lemma, we proceed as in Lemma 2 by estimating the difference quotient

$$\frac{x(t+\delta_m)-x(t)}{\delta_m} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \gamma_{n,m},$$
(4.18)

where

$$\gamma_{n,m} = \frac{f(9^n(t+\delta_m)) - f(9^n t)}{\delta_m}.$$
(4.19)

Here, though, we must abandon our former choice for the increment  $\delta_m$  in favor of

$$\delta_m = \frac{2}{9}9^{-m}.$$
 (4.20)

We will once again examine the quantity  $\gamma_{n,m}$  in terms of three cases:

(i) n > m. From (4.20),  $9^n \delta_m = \frac{2}{9}9^{n-m}$ , which is an even integer. Thus, by property (4.4), the periodicity of f(t), we see that

$$\gamma_{n,m} = 0 \qquad \text{if} \quad n > m. \tag{4.21}$$

(ii) n < m. In this case, we again use the Lipschitz condition (4.6) to conclude that

$$|\gamma_{n,m}| \leqslant 3 \cdot 9^n \qquad \text{if} \quad n < m. \tag{4.22}$$

(iii) n = m. By (4.17),

 $9^m t = 3^{2m} t = M + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3^2} + \cdots$  (*M* is an integer),

whence

$$9^m t = M + \frac{1}{2}$$

while

$$9^m \delta_m = \frac{2}{9}.\tag{4.24}$$

From the graph of f(t), Fig. 1,

$$f(N + \frac{1}{2}) = f(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}$$
 for any integer N, (4.25)

and so from (4.23),

$$f(9^m t) = \frac{1}{2}.$$
 (4.26)

The addition of (4.23) and (4.24) gives

$$9^{m}t + 9^{m}\delta_{m} = M + 13/18,$$

and since 2/3 < 13/18 < 1, Fig. 1 shows us that

Regardless of the value of M, (4.26) and (4.27) imply that

$$|f(9^{m}t + 9^{m}\delta_{m}) - f(9^{m}t)| = \frac{1}{2},$$

and therefore, by (4.19) and (4.20), that

$$|\gamma_{m,m}| = \frac{1/2}{|\delta_m|} = \frac{9}{4} 9^m.$$
(4.28)

Applying the results (4.21), (4.22), and (4.28) to the difference quotient (4.18),

$$|DQ_m| = \left|\frac{x(t+\delta_m) - x(t)}{\delta_m}\right| = \left|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\gamma_{n,m}\right|$$
$$= \left|\sum_{n=0}^{m} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\gamma_{n,m}\right|$$

38

$$\geq \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} |\gamma_{m,m}| - \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} |\gamma_{n,m}|$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} \cdot \frac{9}{4} 9^m - \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \cdot 3 \cdot 9^n$$

which yields

$$|DQ_m| \ge \frac{39}{56} \left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^m + \frac{3}{7}.$$
 (4.29)

If, in (4.29), we let  $m \to \infty$ ,  $\delta_m \to 0$ , hence x is not differentiable at t. This establishes Lemma 3, and therefore also Theorem 3.

While Lemma 3 alone is sufficient to prove the nondifferentiability of the mapping

$$t \to (x(t), y(t)) \tag{4.30}$$

for t defined by (4.17), y'(t) as well may be shown not to exist for such t. This claim is easily verified by the same argument that produced Corollary 1.

# 5. The Generalization of Theorem 3

Analogous to Schoenberg's plane Peano curve (4.1), (4.2) is the space curve

$$X(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} f(3^{3n}t),$$
 (5.1)

$$Y(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} f(3^{3n+1}t),$$
 (5.2)

$$Z(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} f(3^{3n+2}t) \qquad (0 \le t \le 1),$$
 (5.3)

introduced in Section 3. By way of Theorem 2, we saw that these functions define a Peano curve filling the unit cube  $I^3$ . Here, in a similar fashion, we seek to extend Theorem 3 to higher dimensions.

THEOREM 4. The Peano curve defined by (5.1), (5.2), (5.3) above is nowhere differentiable.

The technique of proof used for Theorem 3 will apply nicely; again we shall have two lemmas and a corollary.

Indeed, with t defined by

$$t = \frac{a_0}{3} + \frac{a_1}{3^2} + \dots + \frac{a_n}{3^{n+1}} + \dots \qquad (a_n = 0, 1, 2).$$

we define the corresponding sets of integers

$$M_0 = \{n: a_{3n} = 0\}, \qquad M_1 = \{n: a_{3n} = 1\}, \qquad M_2 = \{n: a_{3n} = 2\}.$$

and state

LEMMA 4. The derivative X'(t) does not exist finitely if  $M_0 \cup M_2$  is an infinite set.

For  $m \in M_0 \cup M_2$ , we define the increment

$$\delta_m = \frac{2}{3} 3^{-3m} \quad \text{if} \quad a_{3m} = 0,$$
  
=  $-\frac{2}{3} 3^{-3m} \quad \text{if} \quad a_{3m} = 2,$ 

and investigate the difference quotient

$$DQ_{m} = \frac{X(t + \delta_{m}) - X(t)}{\delta_{m}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \gamma_{n,m},$$

where

$$\gamma_{n,m} = \frac{f(3^{3n}(t+\delta_m)) - f(3^{3n}t)}{\delta_m} \,.$$

Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 2, we find that

$$|DQ_m| \geq \frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{27}{2}\right)^m - \frac{3}{25} \left(\left(\frac{27}{2}\right)^m - 1\right),$$

which proves Lemma 4, if we let  $m \to \infty$  through the elements of  $M_0 \cup M_2$ .

Using the identities Y(t) = X(3t),  $Z(t) = X(3^2t)$ , we obtain the following:

COROLLARY 2. (i) If the sets  $M'_0 = \{n: a_{3n+1} = 0\}, M'_2 = \{n: a_{3n+1} = 2\}$  are such that  $M'_0 \cup M'_2$  is an infinite set, then Y'(t) does not exist finitely.

(ii) If the sets  $M_0'' = \{n: a_{3n+2} = 0\}$ ,  $M_2'' = \{n: a_{3n+2} = 2\}$  are such that  $M_0'' \cup M_2''$  is an infinite set, then Z'(t) does not exist finitely.

The only t for which all the derivatives X'(t), Y'(t), Z'(t) might still exist is one whose sets

$$M_0 \cup M_2, \qquad M_0' \cup M_2', \qquad M_0'' \cup M_2''$$

are all finite. This condition is true if and only if

$$a_n = 1$$
 for all sufficiently large *n*. (5.4)

We now state our final

LEMMA 5. Suppose t satisfies (5.4). Then none of the derivatives X'(t). Y'(t), Z'(t) exists and is finite.

The proof of the claim for X'(t) follows from the choice of

$$\delta_m = \frac{2}{9}3^{-3m}$$

and those for Y'(t) and Z'(t) from arguments similar to the proof of Corollary 1 in Section 4.

## 6. A FINAL REMARK

With its complete lack of differentiability, Schoenberg's plane curve provides an interesting contrast to the Peano curve from which it is derived, that of Lebesgue (see |3|).

Under Lebesgue's mapping L(t), each  $(x_0, y_0)$  of  $I^2$ , expressed as

$$x_0 = \frac{\alpha_0}{2} + \frac{\alpha_2}{2^2} + \frac{\alpha_4}{2^3} + \cdots$$
  
$$y_0 = \frac{\alpha_1}{2} + \frac{\alpha_3}{2^2} + \frac{\alpha_5}{2^3} + \cdots \qquad (\alpha_i = 0, 1),$$

is the image of a point  $t_0$  in Cantor's Set  $\Gamma$  of the form

$$t_0 = \frac{2\alpha_0}{3} + \frac{2\alpha_1}{3^2} + \frac{2\alpha_2}{3^3} + \cdots.$$

This correspondence we now recognize as a restatement of the relations (3.5). As such, L(t) coincides with Schoenberg's curve on  $\Gamma$ , and thus must lack a finite derivative there.

Lebesgue then extends the domain of L(t) to all of [0, 1] by means of linear interpolation over each of the open intervals which comprise the complement of  $\Gamma$ . Defined in this manner, L(t) must indeed be differentiable on  $[0, 1]\setminus\Gamma$ , and hence constitutes an example of a Peano curve which, unlike Schoenberg's, is differentiable almost everywhere.

#### JAMES ALSINA

#### Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank Professor Schoenberg for his invalvable suggestions on the preparation of this paper.

#### References

- 1. G. H. HARDY, Weierstrass's non-differentiable function, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1916), 301-325.
- 2. G. PEANO, Sur une courbe qui remplit toute une aire plane, Math. Ann. 36 (1890), 157-160.
- 3. I. J. SCHOENBERG. On the Peano curve of Lebesgue, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 44 (1938), 519.