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#### Abstract

For a matrix $A$ which is diagonally dominant both by rows and by columns, we give bounds for $\left\|A^{-1}\right\|_{1}$ and $\left\|A^{-1}\right\|_{\infty}$, which then can be used to give a lower bound for the smallest singular value. We also show that these bounds can be attained, and show how the result can be extended to block matrices.


Very often in numerical analysis, one needs a bound for the condition number of a square $n \times n$ matrix $A, \kappa(A)=\|A\| \cdot\left\|A^{-1}\right\|$, for some norm. Bounding $\|A\|$ is not usually difficult, but a bound for $\left\|A^{-1}\right\|$ is not usually available in any norm unless $A^{-1}$ is known explicitly. For the $l_{2}$ norm, this is equivalent to finding a lower bound for $\sigma_{n}(A)$; here we denote the singular values of $A$ by $\sigma_{1}(A) \geqslant \sigma_{2}(A) \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \sigma_{n}(A) \geqslant 0$.

However, if $A$ is diagonally dominant by rows (i.e., $\left|a_{k k}\right|>\Sigma_{j \neq k}\left|a_{k j}\right|$, $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$ ), we can bound the $l_{\infty}$ norm of $A^{-1}$ quite easily by the following theorem, the proof of which is a generalization of a proof of Keller [2, p. 77].

Theorem 1. Assume $A$ is diagonally dominant by rows and set $\alpha$ $=\min _{k}\left(\left|a_{k k}\right|-\Sigma_{i \neq k}\left|a_{k j}\right|\right)$. Then $\left\|A^{-1}\right\|_{\infty}<1 / \alpha$.

Proof. Since

$$
\left\|A^{-1}\right\|_{\infty}^{-1}=\inf _{x} \frac{\|A x\|_{\infty}}{\|x\|_{\infty}}
$$

we need only show that $\alpha\|x\|_{\infty} \leqslant\|A x\|_{\infty}$ for all $x$. Take some vector $x$ and let
$\left|x_{k}\right|=\|x\|_{\infty}$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
0<\alpha \leqslant\left|a_{k k}\right|-\sum_{i \neq k}\left|a_{k j}\right| \\
0<\alpha\left|x_{k}\right| \leqslant\left|a_{k k} x_{k}\right|-\sum_{i \neq k}\left|a_{k j}\right|\left|x_{j}\right| \\
\leqslant\left|a_{k k} x_{k}\right|-\left|\sum_{i \neq k} a_{k i} x_{j}\right| \\
\leqslant\left|\sum_{i} a_{k i} x_{i}\right| \leqslant \max _{k}\left|\sum_{i} a_{k j} x_{j}\right|=\|A x\|_{\infty} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Corollary 1. If A is diagonally dominant by columns, and

$$
\beta=\min _{k}\left(\left|a_{k k}\right|-\sum_{i \neq k}\left|a_{i k}\right|\right)
$$

then $\left\|A^{-1}\right\|_{1} \leqslant 1 / \beta$.

Corollary 2. If $A$ is diagonally dominant both by rows and by columns,

$$
\left\|A^{-1}\right\|_{2}^{-1}=\sigma_{n}(A) \geqslant \sqrt{\alpha \beta} .
$$

Proof. Immediate, using the inequality $\|B\|_{2}^{2} \leqslant\|B\|_{1}\|B\|_{\infty}$.
Notice that for $A$ a real symmetric matrix, this bound on $\sigma_{n}$ is the same as that obtained by the Gerschgorin disks for the smallest eigenvalue of $A$. Also notice that the bound is independent of $n$, and is attained (asymptotically) for the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & x & & & \\
& 1 & x & & \\
& & 1 & x & \\
& & & \ddots & \ddots
\end{array}\right)_{n \times n}
$$

for $|x|<1$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Now assume $A$ is partitioned into blocks $A_{i j}$ with the diagonal blocks square. Then $A$ is block diagonally dominant by rows if for all $k,\left\|A_{k k}^{-1}\right\|_{\infty}^{-1}$ $>\Sigma_{i \neq k}\left\|A_{k q}\right\|_{\infty}$. See, for example, Feingold and Varga [1].

Theorem 2. Assume $A$ is block diagonally dominant by rows, and set $\alpha=\min _{k}\left(\left\|A_{k k}^{-1}\right\|_{\infty}^{-1}-\Sigma_{i \neq k}\left\|A_{k_{j}}\right\|_{\infty}\right)$. Then $\left\|A^{-1}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant 1 / \alpha$.

The proof follows that of Theorem 1 , replacing $\left|a_{k j}\right|$ by $\left\|A_{k j}\right\|_{\infty}$ and $\left|a_{k k}\right|$ by $\left\|A_{k k}^{-1}\right\|_{\infty}^{-1}$ and using the fact that

$$
\left\|A_{k k}^{-1}\right\|_{\infty}^{-1}=\inf _{y_{k}} \frac{\left\|A_{k k} y_{k}\right\|_{\infty}}{\left\|y_{k}\right\|_{\infty}} .
$$

Corollary 3. Again, if $\beta=\min \left(\left\|A_{k k}^{-1}\right\|_{\infty}^{-1}-\sum_{j \neq k}\left\|A_{i k}\right\|_{\infty}\right)>0$, $\sigma_{n}(A) \geqslant \sqrt{\alpha \beta}$.

To show how we can again get equality, consider the block Toeplitz matrix

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
B & C & & \\
C^{T} & B & C & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $B=B^{T}$. For $n$ large, the eigenvalues (and singular values) approach those of the infinite matrix, namely $\lambda\left(B+C e^{i \theta}+C^{T} e^{-i \theta}\right), 0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant 2 \pi$. Thus

$$
\sigma_{n}(A) \rightarrow \min _{\theta} \lambda\left[B+\left(C+C^{T}\right) \cos \theta+i\left(C-C^{T}\right) \sin \theta\right]
$$

which for $C=c I$ gives

$$
\sigma_{n}(A) \rightarrow \min \lambda(B)-2 c=\left\|B^{-1}\right\|_{2}^{-1}-2 c .
$$

Since $\alpha=\left\|B^{-1}\right\|_{\infty}^{-1}-2\|C\|_{\infty}$, we have $\sigma_{n}(A) \rightarrow \alpha$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if $\left\|B^{-1}\right\|_{2}$ $=\left\|B^{-1}\right\|_{\infty}$ and $C=c I$.
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