

## A Note on Groups with "Large Extraspecial" Subgroups of Width 4

TRAN VAN TRUNG\*

*Department of Mathematics, University of Heidelberg,  
Heidelberg, West Germany*

*Communicated by Walter Feit*

Received February 29, 1978

Recently, F. Timmesfeld investigated finite simple groups  $G$  in which the generalized Fitting group of the centralizer  $M$  of some involution is extraspecial [3]. The purpose of this paper is to eliminate a special configuration of  $M$  which occurs in the case of width 4. More precisely, we prove the following

**PROPOSITION.** *There exists no nonabelian simple group  $G$  which has an involution  $z$  such that the centralizer  $M$  of  $z$  in  $G$  satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i)  $Q = F^*(M)$  is extraspecial of width 4.
- (ii)  $\bar{M} = M/Q = (\bar{F}_0 \times \bar{F}_1) \cdot \bar{I}$  with  $F_0 \simeq Z_3$ ,  $\bar{F}_1 \simeq A_6$ ,  $\bar{F}_0 \cdot \bar{I} \simeq \Sigma_3$  and  $\bar{F}_1 \cdot \bar{I} \simeq \Sigma_6$ .

According to [3] we set  $\bar{M} = M/\langle z \rangle$  and  $\bar{M} = M/Q$  and we use the bar conventions. In addition we write  $C(X) = C_G(X)$  and  $N(X) = N_G(X)$  for any subset  $X$  of  $G$ .

From now on we assume  $G$  is simple. Let  $\bar{F}_0 = \langle \bar{\rho}_0 \rangle$  and let  $X = N_{\bar{M}}(\rho_0)$ . Then  $X$  covers  $\bar{M}$ . As  $\bar{M}$  acts irreducibly on  $Q$  by [2], so  $X \cap Q = \langle z \rangle$ . We have  $\bar{X} \simeq \bar{M}$ . Set  $\bar{X} = (\bar{F}_0 \times \bar{F}_1) \cdot \bar{I}$ . By [1] there is an involution  $a \in Q - \langle z \rangle$  with  $a \sim_G z$ . Let  $L = Q(Q_a \cap M)$  where  $Q_a = F^*(C(a))$ . Then by [3, (3.11)] we have  $\bar{L} \simeq E_{2^3}$  and  $C_Q(\bar{L}) = \langle \bar{a} \rangle$ . This implies that we can assume  $[\bar{\rho}_1, \bar{a}] = 1$  for an element  $\bar{\rho}_1$  of order 3 of  $C_{\bar{F}_0 \times \bar{F}_1}(\bar{\tau}) = C_{\bar{F}_1}(\bar{\tau})$  where  $\bar{\tau}$  is some involution in  $(\bar{F}_0 \times \bar{F}_1) \cdot \bar{I} - (\bar{F}_0 \times \bar{F}_1)$ . In other words  $C_Q(\rho_1) \simeq Q_8 \times Q_8$  or  $Q_8 \times Q_8 \times Q_8$ . Suppose  $C_Q(\rho_1) \simeq Q_8 \times Q_8 \times Q_8$ , then  $[Q, \rho_1] \simeq Q_8$ . As  $\bar{\tau}$  inverts  $\bar{F}_0 = \langle \bar{\rho}_0 \rangle$  and  $C_Q(\bar{\rho}_0) = 1$ , so  $|C_Q(\bar{\tau})| = 2^4$ . On the other hand  $\bar{\tau}$  centralizes  $[Q, \langle \rho_1 \rangle]$  and  $|C_{C_Q(\rho_1)}(\bar{\tau})| \geq 2^3$ , so  $|C_Q(\bar{\tau})| \geq 2^3$ , a contradiction. Thus  $C_Q(\rho_1) \simeq Q_8 * Q_8$ . Let  $\bar{P}_1 = \langle \bar{\rho}_1, \bar{\rho}_2 \rangle$  be an  $S_3$ -subgroup of  $\bar{F}_1$  with  $\bar{\rho}_1 \sim_{\bar{F}_1} \bar{\rho}_2$ . Then  $C_Q(\rho_2) \simeq Q_8 \times Q_8$ . There is an involution  $\bar{\tau}_0 \in \bar{F}_1$  such that  $\bar{\tau}_0$  acts invertingly on  $\bar{P}_1$ . Suppose  $C_Q(\rho_1) \cap C_Q(\rho_2) \neq \langle z \rangle$ . As  $|C_M(a)_3| = 3$ , so  $C_Q(\rho_1) \cap C_Q(\rho_2) \simeq Q_8$ .

\* This work was supported by the "Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft."

Then  $\tilde{\tau}_0$  induces an outer automorphism on  $C_{[Q, \langle \delta_1 \rangle]}(\rho_2) \simeq Q_8$ . Since  $\tilde{\rho}_1$  acts fixed-point-free on  $[Q, \langle \rho_1 \rangle]$ , thus  $|C_{[Q, \langle \rho_1 \rangle]}(\tilde{\tau}_0)| = 2^2$  and  $\tilde{\tau}_0$  induces an outer automorphism on  $Q_1$  and on  $Q_2$  where  $[Q, \langle \rho_1 \rangle] = Q_1 * Q_2$  and  $Q_1 \simeq Q_2 \simeq Q_8$ . Hence  $C_{[Q, \langle \rho_1 \rangle]}(\tilde{\tau}_0) \simeq E_{2^2}$ , contradicting the fact that  $C_{[Q, \langle \rho_1 \rangle]}(\tilde{\tau}_0)$  is  $\tilde{\rho}_0$ -invariant and  $C_{\tilde{\rho}_0}(\tilde{\rho}_0) = 1$ . Thus we have  $C_{Q(\rho_1)} \cap C_{Q(\rho_2)} = \langle z \rangle$ . Therefore  $\widetilde{\rho_1 \rho_2}$  and  $\rho_1^2 \rho_2$  act fixed-point-free on  $\tilde{Q}$ . Let  $\tilde{\tau}_1$  be an involution in  $\tilde{F}_1 \cdot \tilde{I} \simeq \Sigma_6$ .

Case (1).  $\tilde{\tau}_1 \in \tilde{F}_1$ . Then we may assume  $\tilde{\tau}_1$  inverts  $\tilde{P}_1 = \langle \tilde{\rho}_1, \tilde{\rho}_2 \rangle$ . In particular  $\tilde{\tau}_1$  inverts  $\tilde{\rho}_1 \tilde{\rho}_2$ . As  $C_{\tilde{\rho}_0}(\rho_1 \rho_2) = 1$ , it follows that  $|C_{\tilde{\rho}_0}(\tilde{\tau}_1)| = 2^4$ . Acting on  $Q$  with  $\langle \tilde{\rho}_0, \tilde{\rho}_1 \tilde{\rho}_2 \rangle$ , we see that  $Q = C_{Q(\rho_0 \rho_1 \rho_2)} \cdot C_{Q(\rho_0 \rho_1^2 \rho_2^2)}$  and so  $C_{Q(\rho_0 \rho_1 \rho_2)} \simeq^2 C_{Q(\rho_0 \rho_1^2 \rho_2^2)} \simeq Q_8 * Q_8$  and thus  $C_{Q(\tau_1)} \simeq E_{2^5}$ .

Case (2).  $\tau_1 \in \tilde{F}_1 \cdot \tilde{I} - \tilde{F}_1$ . Then  $\tilde{\rho}_0^{\tau_1} = \tilde{\rho}_0^2$ . Let  $\tilde{\rho} \in C_{\tilde{F}_1}(\tilde{\tau}_1)$  be an element of order 3. We have either  $C_{\tilde{\rho}_0}(\tilde{\rho}) = 1$  or  $C_{\tilde{\rho}_0}(\tilde{\rho}) \simeq Q_8 * Q_8$ .

(a)  $C_{\tilde{\rho}_0}(\tilde{\rho}) = 1$ . Then  $C_{Q(\tau)} \simeq E_{2^5}$  by arguing as above.

(b)  $C_{\tilde{\rho}_0}(\tilde{\rho}) \simeq Q_8 \times Q_8$ . Acting with  $\langle \tilde{\rho}_0, \tilde{\rho} \rangle$  on  $[Q, \tilde{\rho}] \simeq Q_8 \times Q_8$ , we get  $[Q, \tilde{\rho}] = C_{[Q, \langle \tilde{\rho} \rangle]}(\tilde{\rho}_0 \tilde{\rho}) \cdot C_{[Q, \langle \tilde{\rho} \rangle]}(\tilde{\rho}_0^2 \tilde{\rho})$ . As  $(\tilde{\rho}_0 \tilde{\rho})^{\tau_1} = \tilde{\rho}_0^2 \tilde{\rho}$ , so  $C_{[Q, \langle \tilde{\rho} \rangle]}(\tilde{\rho}_0 \tilde{\rho}) \simeq C_{[Q, \langle \tilde{\rho} \rangle]}(\tilde{\rho}_0^2 \tilde{\rho}) \simeq Q_8$ . This implies that  $C_{[Q, \langle \tilde{\rho} \rangle]}(\tau_1) \simeq E_{2^5}$ . From the structure of  $\Sigma_6$   $\tilde{\tau}_1$  inverts an element  $\tilde{\rho}^* \sim_{F_1 \cdot I} \tilde{\rho}$  and  $\langle \tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\rho}^* \rangle \in \text{Syl}_3(\tilde{F}_1 \cdot \tilde{I})$ . So  $C_{Q(\tilde{\rho})} = [Q, \tilde{\rho}^*]$ . Acting with  $\langle \tilde{\rho}_0, \tilde{\rho}^* \rangle$  on  $C_{Q(\tilde{\rho})}$  we have  $C_{Q(\tilde{\rho})} = C_{C_{Q(\tilde{\rho})}(\tilde{\rho}_0 \tilde{\rho}^*)} C_{C_{Q(\tilde{\rho})}(\tilde{\rho}_0^2 \tilde{\rho}^*)}$  and  $C_{C_{Q(\tilde{\rho})}(\tilde{\rho}_0 \tilde{\rho}^*)} \simeq C_{C_{Q(\tilde{\rho})}(\tilde{\rho}_0^2 \tilde{\rho}^*)} \simeq Q_8$ . Thus  $\tilde{\tau}_1$  induces an outer automorphism on  $C_{C_{Q(\tilde{\rho})}(\tilde{\rho}_0 \tilde{\rho}^*)}$  and on  $C_{C_{Q(\tilde{\rho})}(\tilde{\rho}_0^2 \tilde{\rho}^*)}$  and so  $C_{C_{Q(\tilde{\rho})}(\tau_1)} \simeq E_{2^2}$ . Hence  $C_{Q(\tau_1)} \simeq E_{2^4}$ .

Now we remark that there are involutions in the coset  $Q\tau_1$ . Suppose  $o(\tau_1) = 4$ . Then there exists an element  $u \in Q$  with  $o(\tau_1 u) = 2$ , so  $\tau_1 u \tau_1 u = \tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_1^{-1} u \tau_1 u = zu^{\tau_1} \cdot u = 1$  and then  $u^{\tau_1} = zu^{-1}$ , contradicting the fact that the inverse image of  $C_{\tilde{\rho}_0}(\tilde{\tau}_1)$  in  $Q$  is isomorphic either to  $E_{2^5}$  or  $(2, 2, 2, 4)$ . Thus we have  $o(\tau_1) = 2$ . Hence  $X$  splits over  $Q$  and  $M$  splits also over  $Q$ . We have proved the following result

LEMMA 1. *The group  $M$  is a splitting extension of  $Q$  by  $(F_0 \times F_1) \cdot I$  with  $F_0 \simeq Z_3$ ,  $F_1 \simeq A_6$ ,  $I \simeq Z_2$ ,  $F_0 \cdot I \simeq \Sigma_3$  and  $F_1 \cdot I \simeq \Sigma_6$ . Let  $F_0 = \langle \rho_0 \rangle$ . Then  $C_{\tilde{\rho}_0}(\tilde{\rho}_0) = 1$ . Let  $P_1$  be an  $S_3$ -subgroup of  $F_1$ . Then  $P_1 = \langle \rho_1, \rho_2 \rangle$  such that*

*$C_{Q(\rho_1)} \simeq C_{Q(\rho_2)} \simeq Q_8 \times Q_8$  and  $C_{\tilde{\rho}_0}(\rho_1 \rho_2) = C_{\tilde{\rho}_0}(\rho_1^2 \rho_2) = 1$ . Furthermore  $C_{Q(\rho_0 \rho_1)} \simeq C_{Q(\rho_0 \rho_2)} \simeq Q_8$  and  $C_{Q(\rho_0 \rho_1 \rho_2)} \simeq C_{Q(\rho_0^2 \rho_1 \rho_2)} \simeq Q_8 \times Q_8$ . Let  $\tau$  be an involution in  $F_0 \times F_1$ . Then  $C_{Q(\tau)} \simeq E_{2^5}$ . Thus  $Q\tau$  contains exactly 32 involutions with  $|\tau^Q| = |(\tau z)^Q| = 16$  and  $\tau \not\sim_M \tau z$ . Let  $\tau_1$  be an involution in  $(F_0 \times F_1) \cdot I - F_0 \times F_1$  such that  $[\tau_1, \rho_1 \rho_2] = 1$ . Then  $C_{Q(\tau_1)} \simeq E_{2^5}$ . Thus  $Q\tau_1$  contains 32 involutions with  $|\tau_1^Q| = |(\tau_1 z)^Q| = 16$  and  $\tau_1 \not\sim_M \tau_1 z$ . Let  $\tau_2$  be an involution in  $(F_0 \times F_1) \cdot I - F_0 \times F_1$  such that  $[\tau_2, \rho_1] = 1$ . Then  $C_{Q(\tau_2)} \simeq E_{2^4}$ . Thus  $Q\tau_2$  contains 32 involutions which are all conjugate under  $Q$ .*

Let  $P = F_0 \times P_1$  be an  $S_3$ -subgroup of  $M$ . We have  $C_Q(\rho_1) \simeq Q_8 * Q_8$  and  $P \langle \rho_1 \rangle$  acts faithfully on  $C_Q(\rho_1)$  hence  $QP$  acts transitively on 18 noncentral involutions of  $C_Q(\rho_1)$ . Similarly  $C_Q(\rho_0\rho_1\rho_2) \simeq C_Q(\rho_0\rho_1^2\rho_2^2) \simeq Q_8 * Q_8$  and  $P \langle \rho_0\rho_1\rho_2 \rangle$  acts faithfully on  $C_Q(\rho_0\rho_1\rho_2)$ , so  $QP$  acts transitively on 18 noncentral involutions of  $C_Q(\rho_0\rho_1\rho_2)$ . On the other hand  $\rho_0\rho_1 \sim_M \rho_0\rho_1^2$  and  $C_Q(\rho_0\rho_1) \simeq C_Q(\rho_0\rho_1^2) \simeq Q_8$ . Thus  $9 \nmid C_M(u)$ , for every involution  $u \in Q - \langle z \rangle$ . Further as  $Q$  has 270 noncentral involutions and as  $C_Q(\lambda) = 1$  for an element  $\lambda$  of order 5 of  $M$ , it follows that  $3 \mid C_M(u)$ . Since  $M$  has exactly 2 conjugacy subgroups of order 3 with the representatives  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_0\rho_1\rho_2$  which centralize some noncentral involutions of  $Q$ , thus  $M$  has precisely 2 conjugacy classes of involutions with the representatives  $a$  and  $b$  where  $[a, \rho_1] = [b, \rho_0\rho_1\rho_2] = 1$ . By [5, (3.11)], we have  $|M : C_M(a)| = 2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 = 90$  and  $|M : C_M(b)| = 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 = 180$  and  $a \sim_G z$ . By [3, (3.13)]  $a \not\sim_G b$ . We have proved

LEMMA 2. *M has precisely 2 conjugacy classes of involutions contained in  $Q - \langle z \rangle$  with the representatives  $a$  and  $b$ . We have  $|a^M| = 2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 = 90$  and  $|b^M| = 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 = 180$ . Furthermore  $a \sim_G z$  and  $b \not\sim_G z$ .*

Let  $L = Q(Q_a \cap M)$  with  $a \in Q - \langle z \rangle$  and  $a \sim_G z$ . Let  $T = Q \cdot S \subseteq L$  be an  $S_2$ -subgroup of  $M$  where  $S \in \text{Syl}_2((F_0 \times F_1) \cdot T)$ . Set  $V = S \cap L$ . Then  $V \simeq E_{2^3}$  and  $Z(S) \subseteq V$ . Let  $\tau \in Z(S)^\# \cap F_1$  and put  $A = C_Q(\tau) \times \langle \tau \rangle$ . Then  $A \simeq E_{2^6}$  and  $A \leq T$ . Further  $C(A) = A$ . Let  $x \in Q_{O_2} - M$ , then  $x^2 = a$ . Hence  $A^x \subseteq L^x = (Q \cdot (Q_a \cap M))^x = Q_a \cdot (Q \cap M_a)$  where  $M_a = C(A)$ . On the other hand  $A^x \subseteq M$ , thus  $A^x \subseteq L$ . It follows that  $A^x \cap Q \simeq E_{2^2}$  and  $A^x \cap V = \langle x \rangle$ . Now there is an element  $y \in N_{(F_0 \times F_1)T}(T)$  such that  $x^y \in Z(S)$ . Thus  $A^{xy} \leq T$ . Since  $T$  is self-normalizing, so  $A = A^{xy}$ . As  $xy \notin M$ , so we have proved  $N(A) \not\subseteq M$ . It is easy to see that  $A$  contains 6 classes of involutions under the action of  $N_M(A)$  with the representatives  $z$  (1 conj.),  $a$  (6 conj.),  $a_1$  (12 conj.) ( $a_1 \sim_M a$ ),  $b$  (12 conj.), (16 conj.) and  $x$  (16 conj.). Let  $d = |N(A) : N_M(A)|$ , the length of the orbit of  $z$  in  $N(A)$ . Since  $N(A)/A$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $GL(6, 2)$ , so we have  $d = 7$  or  $5 \cdot 7$ . Assume  $d = 7$ . Then  $z \sim_{N(A)} a$  and  $|a_1^{N(A)}| = 28$ . Furthermore  $|b^{N(A)}| = 28$ , since otherwise  $|b^{N(A)}| = 12$  and  $|z\tau^{N(A)}| = 16$  (say); let  $R$  be a subgroup of order 7 of  $N(A)$  then  $C_A(R) \simeq E_{2^3}$  contains 5 conjugates of  $b$  and 2 conjugates of  $\tau z$ ; on the other hand  $3 \mid |N_{N(A)}(R)|$ ; let  $P$  be a subgroup of order 3 of  $N_{N(A)}(R)$ , then  $P$  centralizes  $C_A(R)$ , contradicting the fact that  $C_A(P) \simeq E_{2^2}$ , as  $P \sim_{N(A)} F_0 = \langle \rho_0 \rangle$ . It follows that an  $S_7$ -subgroup of  $N(A)$  acts fixed-point-free on  $A$ . As  $C_A(F_0) = \langle z, \tau \rangle$  so  $C_{N(A)}(F_0) = C_{N_M(A)}(F_0)$  thus  $C_{N(A)}(F_0) \simeq Z_8 \times D_8$ . Now let  $\bar{H}$  be a minimal normal subgroup of  $\bar{N} = N(A)/A$ . Suppose  $\bar{H}$  is solvable, then  $\bar{H}$  is either 2-group or 7-group. If  $\bar{H}$  is a 2-group, then  $C_A(\bar{H}) = A_0 \simeq E_{2^3}$ . Let  $\bar{W} = C_{\bar{N}}(A_0)$ , then  $\bar{W} \leq \bar{N}$ . As  $Z(T) = \langle z \rangle$ , so  $|\bar{W}| \leq 2^5$ . Now  $\bar{N}/\bar{W}$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $GL(3, 2)$ . Since  $2, 3, 7 \nmid |\bar{N}/\bar{W}|$ , so  $\bar{N}/\bar{W} \simeq GL(3, 2)$ . Thus  $|\bar{W}| = 2^4$ . Let  $R_0 \cdot F_0$  be a subgroup of order 21 of  $\bar{N}$ , where

$|R_0| = 7$ , then  $C_{\overline{W}}(R_0 \cdot F_0) = 1$ . Let  $\overline{w} \in C_{\overline{W}}(R_0 \cdot F_0)$  be an involution, then  $C_A(\overline{w}) \simeq E_{2^4}$ , contradicting  $C_A(R_0) = 1$ . If  $|\overline{H}| = 7$ , then  $|C_{\overline{N}}(\overline{H}) \cap C_{\overline{N}}(F_0)'_2| \neq 1$  and we get a contradiction as above. Thus  $\overline{H}$  is not solvable. Hence  $\overline{H} \simeq L_3(2)$ , but then  $1 \neq C_{\overline{N}}(\overline{H}) \trianglelefteq \overline{N}$ , a contradiction. Thus we have  $d = 5 \cdot 7$ , so  $|z_{\overline{N}}| = 35$  and  $\overline{N}$  acts irreducibly on  $A$ . Let  $\overline{H}$  be a minimal normal subgroup of  $\overline{N}$ . Then  $\overline{H}$  is either 7-group or  $\overline{H}$  is simple. If  $|\overline{H}'| = 7$  then  $C_A(\overline{H}) = 1$  but  $\overline{H}$  centralizes a subgroup  $K$  of order 5 of  $\overline{N}$  and  $C_A(K) \simeq E_{2^2}$ , a contradiction. Hence  $\overline{H}$  is simple and  $\overline{H} \simeq A_5$  or  $L_3(2)$ . In any case  $C_{\overline{N}}(\overline{H}) \neq 1$ , a contradiction.

The proof of the proposition is complete.

#### REFERENCES

1. M. ASCHBACHER, On finite groups in which the generalized Fitting group of the centralizer of some involution is extraspecial, *Illinois J. Math.* **21** (1977), 347-364.
2. U. DEMPWOLFF AND S. K. WONG, On finite groups whose centralizer of an involution has normal extraspecial and Abelian subgroups, I, *J. Algebra* **45** (1977), 247-253; II, **52** (1978), 210-217.
3. F. TIMMESFELD, Finite groups in which the generalized Fitting group of the centralizer of some involution is extraspecial, to appear.