



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications

[www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa)


## Nonlinear conditions for weighted composition operators between Lipschitz algebras <sup>☆</sup>

 María Burgos <sup>a</sup>, A. Jiménez-Vargas <sup>b,\*</sup>, Moisés Villegas-Vallecillos <sup>b</sup>
<sup>a</sup> Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Granada, 18071 Granada, Spain

<sup>b</sup> Departamento de Álgebra y Análisis Matemático, Universidad de Almería, 04120 Almería, Spain

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 26 November 2008

Available online 15 May 2009

Submitted by B. Sims

#### Keywords:

Lipschitz algebra

\*-Isomorphism

Range-preserving map

Peaking function

Peripheral range

### ABSTRACT

Let  $T : \text{Lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(Y)$  be a surjective map between pointed Lipschitz \*-algebras, where  $X$  and  $Y$  are compact metric spaces. On the one hand, we prove that if  $T$  satisfies the non-symmetric norm \*-multiplicativity condition:

$$\|T(f)\overline{T(g)} - \mathbf{1}\|_\infty = \|f\overline{g} - \mathbf{1}\|_\infty \quad (f, g \in \text{Lip}_0(X)),$$

then  $T$  is of the form

$$T(f) = \tau \cdot (\eta \cdot (f \circ \varphi) + (\mathbf{1} - \eta) \cdot \overline{(f \circ \varphi)}) \quad (f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)),$$

where  $\eta$  and  $\tau$  are functions on  $Y$  such that  $\eta(Y) \subseteq \{0, 1\}$  and  $\tau(Y) \subseteq \{\alpha \in \mathbb{K} : |\alpha| = 1\}$ , and  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  is a base point preserving Lipschitz homeomorphism. On the other hand, if  $T$  satisfies the weakly peripherally \*-multiplicativity condition:

$$\text{Ran}_\pi(f\overline{g}) \cap \text{Ran}_\pi(T(f)\overline{T(g)}) \neq \emptyset \quad (f, g \in \text{Lip}_0(X)),$$

where  $\text{Ran}_\pi(f)$  denotes the peripheral range of  $f$ , then  $T$  can be expressed as

$$T(f) = \tau \cdot (f \circ \varphi) \quad (f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)),$$

with  $\tau$  and  $\varphi$  as above. As a consequence, we obtain similar descriptions for surjective maps between Lipschitz \*-algebras  $\text{Lip}(X)$ .

© 2009 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The study of surjective maps between commutative Banach \*-algebras that preserve \*-multiplicatively the spectrum has attracted the attention of several mathematicians in recent years (see [2,3,6,13]). The first results on the matter concern the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  of all complex-valued continuous functions on a compact Hausdorff space  $X$  with the supremum norm and the complex conjugation involution. Under the additional condition that  $X$  satisfies the first countability axiom, Molnár proved in [13, Theorem 6] that every surjective map  $T : \mathcal{C}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X)$  such that

$$\sigma(T(f)\overline{T(g)}) = \sigma(f\overline{g}) \quad (f, g \in \mathcal{C}(X)),$$

<sup>☆</sup> Research partially supported by Junta de Andalucía project FQM-3737. The first author also was supported by MEC projects MTM2007-65959 and MTM2008-02186, and the second author by Junta de Andalucía project FQM-1438.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [mariaurbos@ugr.es](mailto:mariaurbos@ugr.es) (M. Burgos), [ajimenez@ual.es](mailto:ajimenez@ual.es) (A. Jiménez-Vargas), [mvv042@alboran.ual.es](mailto:mvv042@alboran.ual.es) (M. Villegas-Vallecillos).

is of the form

$$T(f)(x) = \tau(x)f(\varphi(x)) \quad (f \in \mathcal{C}(X), x \in X),$$

where  $\tau$  is a continuous function from  $X$  into the unit circle  $S_{\mathbb{C}}$  of the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $\varphi$  is a homeomorphism from  $X$  onto itself. Hatori, Miura and Takagi showed that Molnár's theorem holds without first countability for  $X$  [2, Theorem 3.6]. Notice that for each  $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ , the spectrum of  $f$  coincides with its range  $f(X)$ , but this is not true in general.

For the case of unital  $*$ -algebras, the most general result known so far is due to Hatori, Miura and Takagi [3]. With a method of proof that cannot be translated to the non-unital setting, they proved that if  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  are unital semisimple commutative Banach  $*$ -algebras, then every surjective map  $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  that satisfies the *spectrum  $*$ -multiplicativity condition*:

$$\sigma(T(f)T(g)^*) = \sigma(fg^*) \quad (f, g \in \mathcal{A}),$$

where  $\sigma(f)$  denotes the spectrum of  $f$ , is a  $*$ -isomorphism multiplied by a unimodular function [3, Theorem 6.2].

In [12], Luttmann and Tonev introduced the concept of *peripheral range* of a function  $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$  as the set

$$\text{Ran}_{\pi}(f) = \{f(x) : x \in X, |f(x)| = \|f\|_{\infty}\},$$

and they characterized surjective maps between uniform algebras  $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  satisfying the *peripheral range multiplicativity condition*:

$$\text{Ran}_{\pi}(T(f)T(g)) = \text{Ran}_{\pi}(fg) \quad (f, g \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Later, peripherally multiplicative surjective maps on uniformly closed algebras of complex-valued continuous functions vanishing at infinity, and on Banach algebras of scalar-valued Lipschitz functions have been considered in [4,8], respectively.

In the case of non-unital  $*$ -algebras, as far as we know, the unique result on surjective maps fulfilling a spectrum  $*$ -multiplicativity condition appears in the paper by Honma [6, Theorem 1.1]. He showed that if a surjective map  $T : \mathcal{C}_0(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0(Y)$  satisfies the *peripheral range  $*$ -multiplicativity condition*:

$$\text{Ran}_{\pi}(T(f)\overline{T(g)}) = \text{Ran}_{\pi}(f\overline{g}) \quad (f, g \in \mathcal{C}_0(X)),$$

then there exist a continuous function  $\tau : Y \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{C}}$  and a homeomorphism  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  such that

$$T(f)(y) = \tau(y)f(\varphi(y)) \quad (f \in \mathcal{C}_0(X), y \in Y).$$

As usual,  $\mathcal{C}_0(X)$  denotes the  $C^*$ -algebra of all complex-valued continuous functions vanishing at infinity on a locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$ , equipped with the supremum norm and the complex conjugation involution.

Lambert, Luttmann and Tonev opened in [10] a new line of research by studying surjective maps between uniform algebras  $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  satisfying the *weakly peripherally multiplicativity condition*:

$$\text{Ran}_{\pi}(T(f)T(g)) \cap \text{Ran}_{\pi}(fg) \neq \emptyset \quad (f, g \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Jiménez, Luttmann and Villegas characterized in [9] those weakly peripherally multiplicative surjections between pointed Lipschitz algebras  $\text{Lip}_0(X)$ .

Related to a conjecture by O. Hatori, the authors of [10] also proved that every surjective unital map  $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  with the property that

$$\|T(f)T(h) + \alpha \mathbf{1}\|_{\infty} = \|fh + \alpha \mathbf{1}\|_{\infty} \quad (f \in \mathcal{A}, h \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}), \alpha \in S_{\mathbb{C}}),$$

is an isometric algebra isomorphism, where  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$  denotes the set of all peaking functions in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

With regard to this property, Honma [7] proved that every surjective map  $T : \mathcal{C}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(Y)$  such that  $T(\lambda \mathbf{1}) = \lambda \mathbf{1}$  for  $\lambda \in \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$  satisfying the *non-symmetric norm  $*$ -multiplicativity condition*:

$$\|T(f)\overline{T(g)} - \mathbf{1}\|_{\infty} = \|f\overline{g} - \mathbf{1}\|_{\infty} \quad (f, g \in \mathcal{C}(X)),$$

is an isometric algebra isomorphism.

Recently, Hatori, Miura and Takagi [5] and Lambert and Luttmann [11] have obtained some nice descriptions of surjective maps between uniform algebras  $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  fulfilling the *non-symmetric norm multiplicativity condition*:

$$\|T(f)T(g) - \lambda \mathbf{1}\|_{\infty} = \|fg - \lambda \mathbf{1}\|_{\infty} \quad (f, g \in \mathcal{A}),$$

for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ .

Our goal in this paper is to state the main results in [6,7,11], for surjective maps between pointed Lipschitz  $*$ -algebras,  $\text{Lip}_0(X)$ , and Lipschitz  $*$ -algebras,  $\text{Lip}(X)$ , on compact metric spaces  $X$ . It is well known (see [16]) that every algebra isomorphism between pointed Lipschitz algebras  $T : \text{Lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(Y)$  is a composition operator

$$T(f) = f \circ \varphi \quad (f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)),$$

for some base point preserving Lipschitz homeomorphism  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$ . A similar assertion holds for isomorphisms between Lipschitz algebras. In particular, every isomorphism between Lipschitz algebras  $\text{Lip}_0(X)$  or  $\text{Lip}(X)$  is a  $*$ -isomorphism.

The contents of this manuscript are organized as follows. Section 2 presents some preliminary information on Lipschitz algebras and peaking functions. Section 3 focuses on surjective maps  $T : \text{Lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(Y)$  that satisfy the *norm multiplicativity condition*:

$$\|T(f)T(g)\|_\infty = \|fg\|_\infty \quad (f, g \in \text{Lip}_0(X)).$$

We prove that such a map gives rise to a base point preserving bijective map  $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$  in such a way that

$$|T(f)(\psi(x))| = |f(x)| \quad (f \in \text{Lip}_0(X), x \in X).$$

Notice that the corresponding result for uniform algebras was proved in [10].

Section 4 is devoted to surjective maps  $T : \text{Lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(Y)$  with the non-symmetric norm  $*$ -multiplicativity condition. We see that such a map is norm-multiplicative, and can be expressed as

$$T(f) = \tau \cdot (\eta \cdot (f \circ \varphi) + (\mathbf{1} - \eta) \cdot \overline{(f \circ \varphi)}) \quad (f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)),$$

where  $\eta$  and  $\tau$  are functions on  $Y$  such that  $\eta(Y) \subseteq \{0, 1\}$  and  $\tau(Y) \subseteq S_{\mathbb{K}}$ , and  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  is a Lipschitz homeomorphism.

These results are applied in Section 5 in order to prove that every surjective map  $T : \text{Lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(Y)$  satisfying the *weakly peripherally  $*$ -multiplicativity condition*:

$$\text{Ran}_\pi(T(f)\overline{T(g)}) \cap \text{Ran}_\pi(f\overline{g}) \neq \emptyset \quad (f, g \in \text{Lip}_0(X))$$

is a weighted composition operator. Moreover,  $T$  is an algebra isomorphism provided  $T$  preserves an approximate identity for the supremum norm.

Similar results are stated for surjective maps between algebras  $\text{Lip}(X)$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, we will denote by  $d$  the distance on a metric space. A map between metric spaces  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be *Lipschitz* if there exists a constant  $a \geq 0$  such that  $d(f(x), f(z)) \leq ad(x, z)$  for every  $x, z \in X$ . If  $f$  is bijective and both  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are Lipschitz, then  $f$  is a *Lipschitz homeomorphism*. A *pointed metric space* is a metric space  $X$  with a distinguished element  $e_X \in X$  called *base point*. A map between pointed metric spaces  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  *preserves base point* if  $f(e_X) = e_Y$ .

As usual,  $\mathbb{K}$  stands for the field of real or complex numbers, and  $S_{\mathbb{K}}$  denotes the set of all unimodular elements of  $\mathbb{K}$ . Given a metric space  $X$ , we represent the function constantly equal 1 on  $X$  by  $\mathbf{1}$  and the diameter of  $X$  by  $\text{diam}(X)$ .

Let  $X$  be a pointed compact metric space. We denote by  $\text{Lip}_0(X)$  the  $*$ -algebra of all Lipschitz functions  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  vanishing at  $e_X$ , equipped with the complex conjugation involution and the Lipschitz norm:

$$L(f) = \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(x) - f(z)|}{d(x, z)} : x, z \in X, x \neq z \right\}.$$

If we need to specify the base field, we will write  $\text{Lip}_0(X, \mathbb{R})$  or  $\text{Lip}_0(X, \mathbb{C})$ .

Given a compact metric space  $X$ ,  $\text{Lip}(X)$  stands for the  $*$ -algebra of all Lipschitz functions  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  with the complex conjugation involution and the norm

$$\|f\| = \max\{\|f\|_\infty, L(f)\}.$$

Since  $L(fg) \leq 2 \text{diam}(X)L(f)L(g)$  for all  $f, g \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$  and  $\|fg\| \leq 2\|f\|\|g\|$  for all  $f, g \in \text{Lip}(X)$ ,  $\text{Lip}_0(X)$  and  $\text{Lip}(X)$  are commutative Banach algebras after renaming.

Both algebras are closely related. According to Weaver [16], if a metric space  $X$  is *spherical*, that is, it has a base point  $e_X$  such that  $d(x, e_X) = 1$  for all  $x \neq e_X$ , then  $\text{Lip}_0(X)$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $\text{Lip}(X \setminus \{e_X\})$ . Conversely, given a metric space  $X$ , if  $X_0$  denotes the metric space obtained by remetrizing  $X$  with  $d_0(x, y) = \min\{2, d(x, y)\}$  and adding a base point  $e_X$  such that  $d_0(x, e_X) = 1$  for all  $x \in X$ , then  $X_0$  is spherical, and  $\text{Lip}(X)$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $\text{Lip}_0(X_0)$ . This isometric algebra isomorphism is given by

$$\Psi_X(f)(x) = f(x) \quad (x \in X), \quad \Psi_X(f)(e_X) = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

We refer the reader to the book by Weaver [16], for details and more background on the algebras of Lipschitz functions.

For our purposes, we next present two families of functions in  $\text{Lip}_0(X)$ . The first one is formed by the called peaking functions. These functions have played an important role in uniform algebra theory (see [1]).

For any  $x \in X \setminus \{e_X\}$ , define the set of functions peaking at  $x$  as

$$P_x(X) = \{h \in \text{Lip}_0(X) : \text{Ran}_\pi(h) = \{1\}, h(x) = 1\},$$

where

$$\text{Ran}_\pi(h) = \{h(x) : x \in X, |h(x)| = \|h\|_\infty\}$$

is the peripheral range of  $h$ , and let  $P(X) = \bigcup_{x \in X \setminus \{e_X\}} P_x(X)$  be the set of all peaking functions of  $\text{Lip}_0(X)$ . Notice that  $P(X) = \{h \in \text{Lip}_0(X) : \text{Ran}_\pi(h) = \{1\}\}$ .

Given  $x \in X \setminus \{e_X\}$ , set

$$F_x(X) = \{f \in \text{Lip}_0(X) : |f(x)| = \|f\|_\infty = 1\}.$$

We will also write  $F(X) = \bigcup_{x \in X \setminus \{e_X\}} F_x(X)$ . Clearly,  $P_x(X) \subseteq F_x(X)$  for all  $x \in X \setminus \{e_X\}$ , and  $P(X) \subseteq F(X)$ .

There is no shortage of elements of  $P_x(X)$ . In fact, for every  $x \in X \setminus \{e_X\}$  and  $0 < \delta \leq d(x, e_X)$ , the function  $h_{x,\delta} : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  given by

$$h_{x,\delta}(z) = \max\left\{0, 1 - \frac{d(z, x)}{\delta}\right\} \quad (z \in X) \quad (2.2)$$

lies in  $P_x(X)$ ,  $h_{x,\delta}(z) < 1$  if  $z \neq x$ , and  $h_{x,\delta}(z) = 0$  whenever  $d(z, x) \geq \delta$ . In particular,  $h_{x,\delta} \in F_x(X) \setminus F_z(X)$  for  $z \neq x$ , and the next lemma becomes an easy observation.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $x, z \in X \setminus \{e_X\}$ . If  $F_x(X) \subseteq F_z(X)$ , then  $x = z$ .*

In the subsequent sections, we will use the following two lemmas. The first one, which is just [9, Lemma 2.1(iii)], is a version for  $\text{Lip}_0(X)$  of a Bishop's theorem for uniform algebras (see, for example, [1, Theorem 2.4.1]). The second one provides us with a method to identify the modulus of two functions of  $\text{Lip}_0(X)$  by using peaking functions.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a pointed compact metric space. Given  $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$  and  $x \in X$  with  $f(x) \neq 0$ , there exists a nonnegative real function  $h_{f,x} \in P_x(X)$  such that  $h_{f,x}(z) < 1$  and  $|f(z)h_{f,x}(z)| < |f(x)|$  for all  $z \neq x$ . In particular,  $\text{Ran}_\pi(fh) = \{f(x)\}$ .*

The following result was stated for uniform algebras in [10] and [12].

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a pointed compact metric space.*

(1) *For all  $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$  and  $x \in X \setminus \{e_X\}$ ,*

$$|f(x)| = \inf\{\|fh\|_\infty : h \in P_x(X)\} = \inf\{\|fh\|_\infty : h \in F_x(X)\}.$$

(2) *Let  $f, g \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$ . Then  $|f| \leq |g|$  if and only if  $\|fh\|_\infty \leq \|gh\|_\infty$  for all  $h \in P(X)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$  and  $x \in X \setminus \{e_X\}$ . It is clear that  $|f(x)| = |f(x)h(x)| \leq \|fh\|_\infty$  for all  $h \in P_x(X)$ . Moreover, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , since  $f$  is continuous at  $x$ , there exists  $\delta \in ]0, d(x, e_X)[$  such that  $|f(z)| < |f(x)| + \varepsilon/2$  if  $d(z, x) < \delta$ . Take  $h_{x,\delta} \in P_x(X)$  as defined in (2.2). Then

$$\|fh_{x,\delta}\|_\infty = \sup\{|f(z)h_{x,\delta}(z)| : d(z, x) < \delta\} < |f(x)| + \varepsilon,$$

and this proves the first equality of (1). The second one follows easily.

The 'only if' part of (2) is trivial. The 'if' part is [9, Lemma 2.2], but now it follows immediately from (1).  $\square$

### 3. Norm multiplicativity condition

Our purpose in this section is to show that every norm-multiplicative surjective map  $T : \text{Lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(Y)$  brings a bijective map  $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$  in such a way that

$$|T(f)(\psi(x))| = |f(x)| \quad (f \in \text{Lip}_0(X), x \in X).$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $T : \text{Lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(Y)$  be a map satisfying the conditions:*

(1)  $\|T(f)\|_\infty = \|f\|_\infty$  for all  $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$ .

(2) For any  $f, g \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$ ,  $|f| \leq |g|$  if and only if  $|T(f)| \leq |T(g)|$ .

Then the following assertions hold

(i) For every  $x \in X \setminus \{e_X\}$ , there exists  $y \in Y \setminus \{e_Y\}$  such that  $T(F_x(X)) \subseteq F_y(Y)$ .

(ii) If  $x, z \in X \setminus \{e_X\}$  and  $T(F_x(X)) \subseteq T(F_z(X))$ , then  $x = z$ .

If, in addition,  $T$  is surjective, then:

- (iii) For every  $y \in Y \setminus \{e_Y\}$ , there exists  $z \in X \setminus \{e_X\}$  such that  $F_y(Y) \subseteq T(F_z(X))$ .
- (iv) For every  $x \in X \setminus \{e_X\}$ , there exists a unique  $y \in Y \setminus \{e_Y\}$  such that  $T(F_x(X)) = F_y(Y)$ .

**Proof.** (i) We follow here the method of proof used in [14] for uniform algebras. Let  $x \in X \setminus \{e_X\}$ . For each  $f \in F_x(X)$ , define

$$F(f) = \{y \in Y \setminus \{e_Y\} : T(f) \in F_y(Y)\}.$$

Since  $Y$  is compact, we deduce from (1) that  $F(f)$  is nonempty. Statement (i) follows if we show that  $\bigcap_{f \in F_x(X)} F(f)$  is nonempty. To this end, it suffices to prove that  $\{F(f) : f \in F_x(X)\}$  has the finite intersection property, because  $F(f)$  is a closed subset of the compact Hausdorff space  $Y$  for each  $f \in F_x(X)$ . Pick  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in F_x(X)$ . By (1), we have  $\|T(f_k)\|_\infty = \|f_k\|_\infty = 1$  for all  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $g = f_1 \cdots f_n \in F_x(X)$  and let  $y \in Y \setminus \{e_Y\}$  be such that  $T(g) \in F_y(Y)$ . We deduce from (2) that for each  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $|T(g)| \leq |T(f_k)|$  and therefore  $1 = |T(g)(y)| \leq |T(f_k)(y)| \leq 1$ . Hence  $|T(f_k)(y)| = \|T(f_k)\|_\infty = 1$  for all  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and thus  $y \in \bigcap_{k=1}^n F(f_k)$  as desired.

(ii) Let  $x, z \in X \setminus \{e_X\}$ , and assume that  $T(F_x(X)) \subseteq T(F_z(X))$ . Take  $h_{x,\delta} \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$  as in (2.2). Notice that  $h_{x,\delta}(x) = 1$  and  $|h_{x,\delta}(w)| < 1$  if  $w \neq x$ . Because  $h_{x,\delta} \in F_x(X)$ , there exists  $g \in F_z(X)$  such that  $T(h_{x,\delta}) = T(g)$ . Then (2) gives  $|h_{x,\delta}| = |g|$ , which yields  $z = x$ .

(iii) Since  $T$  is surjective, there is a map  $S : \text{Lip}_0(Y) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(X)$  such that  $T \circ S$  is the identity map on  $\text{Lip}_0(Y)$  and, obviously,  $S$  also fulfills conditions (1) and (2). By applying (i) to  $S$  instead of  $T$  we obtain that for every  $y \in Y \setminus \{e_Y\}$ , there exists  $z \in X \setminus \{e_X\}$  such that  $S(F_y(Y)) \subseteq F_z(X)$ . This implies that  $F_y(Y) \subseteq T(F_z(X))$ .

(iv) Let  $x \in X \setminus \{e_X\}$ . By (i) and (iii), there exist  $y \in Y \setminus \{e_Y\}$  and  $z \in X \setminus \{e_X\}$  such that  $T(F_x(X)) \subseteq F_y(Y) \subseteq T(F_z(X))$ . By (ii), it follows that  $x = z$  and thus  $T(F_x(X)) = F_y(Y)$ . The uniqueness of  $y$  is deduced from Lemma 2.1.  $\square$

The next theorem has been proved in [10] in the context of uniform algebras. The proof provided here is an adaptation for Lipschitz algebras of the original proof from [10].

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be pointed compact metric spaces and let  $T$  be a surjective map from  $\text{Lip}_0(X)$  to  $\text{Lip}_0(Y)$  satisfying

$$\|T(f)T(g)\|_\infty = \|fg\|_\infty \quad (f, g \in \text{Lip}_0(X)). \tag{3.3}$$

Then there exists a unique bijective map  $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\psi(e_X) = e_Y$  and

$$|T(f)(\psi(x))| = |f(x)| \quad (f \in \text{Lip}_0(X), x \in X). \tag{3.4}$$

The map  $\psi$  will be referred to as the map associated to  $T$ .

**Proof.** By taking  $g = f$  in (3.3) we see that  $T$  satisfies condition (1) of Lemma 3.1. We next show that  $T$  also fulfills condition (2). To see this, let  $f, g \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$ . If  $|f| \leq |g|$ , then  $\|fh\|_\infty \leq \|gh\|_\infty$  for all  $h \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$ . Since  $T$  is surjective, for each  $k \in P(Y)$ , there is  $h \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$  such that  $k = T(h)$ . By using (3.3), we have

$$\|T(f)k\|_\infty = \|T(f)T(h)\|_\infty = \|fh\|_\infty \leq \|gh\|_\infty = \|T(g)T(h)\|_\infty = \|T(g)k\|_\infty.$$

Since  $k$  is arbitrary in  $P(Y)$ , we infer from Lemma 2.3(2) that  $|T(f)| \leq |T(g)|$ . The other implication is proved likewise.

Then, by Lemma 3.1(iv), for every  $x \in X \setminus \{e_X\}$ , there exists a unique point  $\psi(x) \in Y \setminus \{e_Y\}$  such that  $T(F_x(X)) = F_{\psi(x)}(Y)$ . Put  $\psi(e_X) = e_Y$ . We have thus defined a map  $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ . The injectivity of  $\psi$  follows from Lemma 3.1(ii) and its surjectivity from Lemma 3.1(iii), and Lemma 2.1. To prove the equality (3.4), take  $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$ . It is clear that

$$|T(f)(\psi(e_X))| = |T(f)(e_Y)| = 0 = |f(e_X)|,$$

and if  $x \in X \setminus \{e_X\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)| &= \inf\{\|fg\|_\infty : g \in F_x(X)\} \\ &= \inf\{\|T(f)T(g)\|_\infty : g \in F_x(X)\} \\ &= \inf\{\|T(f)h\|_\infty : h \in F_{\psi(x)}(Y)\} \\ &= |T(f)(\psi(x))|, \end{aligned}$$

by using Lemma 2.3(1).

For the uniqueness of  $\psi$ , let  $\psi' : X \rightarrow Y$  be another bijection satisfying  $\psi'(e_X) = e_Y$  and (3.4). It is easy to see that  $T(F_x(X)) = F_{\psi'(x)}(Y)$  for all  $x \in X \setminus \{e_X\}$ . Then, Lemma 2.1 implies that  $\psi'(x) = \psi(x)$  for all  $x \in X \setminus \{e_X\}$ , and thus  $\psi' = \psi$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Non-symmetric norm \*-multiplicativity condition

In this section, we show that every surjective map  $T : \text{Lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(Y)$  satisfying the non-symmetric norm \*-multiplicativity condition

$$\|T(f)\overline{T(g)} - \mathbf{1}\|_\infty = \|f\overline{g} - \mathbf{1}\|_\infty \quad (f, g \in \text{Lip}_0(X)) \quad (4.5)$$

can be expressed as sum of a weighted isomorphism and a weighted conjugate-isomorphism from  $\text{Lip}_0(X)$  onto  $\text{Lip}_0(Y)$  in the form given in Theorem 4.8.

First we need to see that  $T$  is norm-multiplicative.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $T : \text{Lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(Y)$  be a surjective map satisfying condition (4.5). Then  $\|T(f)T(g)\|_\infty = \|fg\|_\infty$  for every  $f, g \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$ .*

**Proof.** We claim that

$$|T(rf)| = r|T(f)| \quad (r \in \mathbb{R}^+, f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)).$$

Let  $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$ . It is obvious that  $|T(rf)(e_Y)| = 0 = r|T(f)(e_Y)|$ . Given  $y \in Y \setminus \{e_Y\}$ , we distinguish two cases.

First, if  $T(f)(y) \neq 0$ , take

$$h_n = -n(T(f)(y)/|T(f)(y)|)h_{T(f),y} \in \text{Lip}_0(Y) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

where  $h_{T(f),y}$  is the function given in Lemma 2.2. Let  $g_n \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$  be such that  $T(g_n) = h_n$ . An easy calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} n|T(rf)(y)| - 1 &= |T(rf)(y)\overline{h_n(y)}| - 1 \leq \|T(rf)\overline{h_n} - \mathbf{1}\|_\infty \\ &= \|rf\overline{g_n} - \mathbf{1}\|_\infty \leq r\|f\overline{g_n} - \mathbf{1}\|_\infty + 1 \leq r\|f\overline{g_n} - \mathbf{1}\|_\infty + r + 1 \\ &= r\|T(f)\overline{h_n} - \mathbf{1}\|_\infty + r + 1 = r(n|T(f)(y)| + 1) + r + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $|T(rf)(y)| \leq r|T(f)(y)| + (2r+2)/n$ . As  $n$  is arbitrary, it follows that  $|T(rf)(y)| \leq r|T(f)(y)|$ .

Now assume that  $T(f)(y) = 0$ . Since  $T(f)$  is continuous at  $y$ , given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $\delta_n \in ]0, d(y, e_Y)]$  such that  $|T(f)(z)| < 1/n$ , whenever  $d(z, y) < \delta_n$ . Take  $h_n = nh_{y, \delta_n}$  and let  $g_n \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$  be such that  $T(g_n) = h_n$ . A trivial verification yields  $\|T(f)\overline{h_n}\|_\infty \leq 1$  and, arguing as above, we can see that

$$n|T(rf)(y)| - 1 \leq r\|T(f)\overline{h_n} - \mathbf{1}\|_\infty + r + 1 \leq r\|T(f)\overline{h_n}\|_\infty + 2r + 1 \leq 3r + 1.$$

Hence  $|T(rf)(y)| \leq (3r+2)/n$  and thus  $|T(rf)(y)| = 0 = r|T(f)(y)|$ .

This proves that  $|T(rf)| \leq r|T(f)|$ . Since  $r$  and  $f$  are arbitrary, the previous inequality also holds for  $1/r$  and  $rf$  instead of  $r$  and  $f$ . Then

$$|T(f)| = \left| T\left(\frac{1}{r}rf\right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{r}|T(rf)| \leq |T(f)|,$$

and from this we conclude that  $|T(rf)| = r|T(f)|$ , as claimed.

In order to prove that  $T$  satisfies condition (3.3), take  $f, g \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . From the claim proved above, it follows easily that  $\|T(nf)T(g)\|_\infty = n\|T(f)T(g)\|_\infty$ . Then

$$n\|fg\|_\infty - 1 \leq \|nf\overline{g} - \mathbf{1}\|_\infty = \|T(nf)\overline{T(g)} - \mathbf{1}\|_\infty \leq n\|T(f)T(g)\|_\infty + 1,$$

which shows that  $\|fg\|_\infty \leq \|T(f)T(g)\|_\infty + 2/n$ . Making  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we get  $\|fg\|_\infty \leq \|T(f)T(g)\|_\infty$ . The contrary inequality is deduced similarly by taking into account that

$$n\|T(f)T(g)\|_\infty - 1 \leq \|T(nf)\overline{T(g)} - \mathbf{1}\|_\infty = \|nf\overline{g} - \mathbf{1}\|_\infty \leq n\|fg\|_\infty + 1$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

Since  $T$  satisfies condition (3.3), we can consider its associated map  $\psi$  and then equality (3.4) holds. Roughly speaking, our next aim is to eliminate the modulus in (3.4). To get this, we study the homogeneity of  $T$  on products of scalars in  $S_{\mathbb{K}}$  by functions in  $P_X(X)$  ( $x \in X \setminus \{e_X\}$ ).

We begin with a straightforward lemma that will make easier the reading of the proofs.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ .*

- (i) *If  $|\alpha - 1| = |\beta| + 1$  and  $|\alpha| = |\beta|$ , then  $\alpha = -|\beta|$ .*

- (ii) If  $|\alpha - 1| \leq |\alpha| - 1$ , then  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .
- (iii) If  $|\alpha| = 1$  and  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ , then  $|2\alpha r - 1| \leq |2\alpha - 1|$ .
- (iv) If  $|\beta| = |\alpha|$ ,  $|\beta - 1| \leq |\alpha - 1|$  and  $|\beta + 1| \leq |\alpha + 1|$ , then  $\beta = \alpha$  or  $\beta = \bar{\alpha}$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** Let  $T : \text{Lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(Y)$  be a surjective map satisfying condition (4.5) and let  $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$  be its associated map. For every  $x \in X \setminus \{e_X\}$ ,  $\alpha \in S_{\mathbb{K}}$  and  $h \in P_x(X)$ ,

- (i)  $T(\alpha h)(\psi(x)) = T(\alpha h_{x,\delta})(\psi(x))$  where  $h_{x,\delta} \in P_x(X)$  is given in (2.2),
- (ii)  $T(-\alpha h)(\psi(x)) = -T(\alpha h)(\psi(x))$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x \in X \setminus \{e_X\}$ ,  $\alpha \in S_{\mathbb{K}}$  and  $h \in P_x(X)$ . Since

$$\|T(-\alpha h_{x,\delta})\overline{T(\alpha h)} - \mathbf{1}\|_{\infty} = \|-h_{x,\delta}\bar{h} - \mathbf{1}\|_{\infty} = 2,$$

$\psi$  is surjective and  $Y$  is compact, we can find  $z \in X$  such that

$$|T(-\alpha h_{x,\delta})(\psi(z))\overline{T(\alpha h)(\psi(z))} - 1| = 2.$$

Equality (3.4) allows us to obtain that

$$2 \leq |T(-\alpha h_{x,\delta})(\psi(z))\overline{T(\alpha h)(\psi(z))}| + 1 = |h_{x,\delta}(z)||h(z)| + 1 \leq |h_{x,\delta}(z)| + 1.$$

This clearly forces  $z = x$ . Consequently, we have

$$|T(-\alpha h_{x,\delta})(\psi(x))\overline{T(\alpha h)(\psi(x))} - 1| = 2, \quad |T(-\alpha h_{x,\delta})(\psi(x))\overline{T(\alpha h)(\psi(x))}| = 1.$$

Moreover, according to Lemma 4.2(i),  $T(-\alpha h_{x,\delta})(\psi(x))\overline{T(\alpha h)(\psi(x))} = -1$ , and since  $|T(\alpha h)(\psi(x))| = 1$ , it follows that

$$T(-\alpha h_{x,\delta})(\psi(x)) = -T(\alpha h)(\psi(x)).$$

Since  $h$  is arbitrary, taking  $h = h_{x,\delta}$  above we get

$$T(-\alpha h_{x,\delta})(\psi(x)) = -T(\alpha h_{x,\delta})(\psi(x)).$$

Hence  $T(\alpha h)(\psi(x)) = T(\alpha h_{x,\delta})(\psi(x))$ , which proves (i). By replacing  $\alpha$  with  $-\alpha$  above,

$$T(-\alpha h)(\psi(x)) = T(-\alpha h_{x,\delta})(\psi(x)) = -T(\alpha h_{x,\delta})(\psi(x)) = -T(\alpha h)(\psi(x)),$$

and the proof is complete.  $\square$

The first part of Lemma 4.3 motivates the following definition.

**Definition 4.4.** Let  $T : \text{Lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(Y)$  be a surjective map satisfying condition (4.5). Let  $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$  be the associated map to  $T$ , and  $\varphi = \psi^{-1}$ . Let  $\tau : Y \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{K}}$  be the function defined by

$$\tau(e_Y) = 1, \quad \tau(y) = T(h)(y) \quad (y \in Y \setminus \{e_Y\}),$$

where  $h$  is any function in  $P_{\varphi(y)}(X)$ .

Moreover, in the complex-valued case, define  $\gamma : Y \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{C}}$  by

$$\gamma(e_Y) = i, \quad \gamma(y) = T(ih)(y) \quad (y \in Y \setminus \{e_Y\}),$$

where  $h$  is any function in  $P_{\varphi(y)}(X)$ .

Notice that Lemma 4.3(i) guarantees that the definitions of  $\tau$  and  $\gamma$  do not depend on the choice of  $h$ . We will need the following fact about the real homogeneity of  $T$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** Let  $T : \text{Lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(Y)$  be a surjective map satisfying condition (4.5), and  $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$  be its associated map. Let  $x \in X \setminus \{e_X\}$  and  $f \in F_x(X)$ . Then  $T(rf)(\psi(x)) = rT(f)(\psi(x))$  for all  $r \geq 2$ .

**Proof.** Let  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $r \geq 2$ . An easy verification gives

$$|T(rf)(\psi(x))\overline{T(f)(\psi(x))} - 1| \leq \|T(rf)\overline{T(f)} - \mathbf{1}\|_{\infty} = \|r|f|^2 - \mathbf{1}\|_{\infty} = r - 1,$$

and in view of equality (3.4), we have  $|T(rf)(\psi(x))\overline{T(f)(\psi(x))}| = r$ . Hence

$$T(rf)(\psi(x))\overline{T(f)(\psi(x))} = r$$

by Lemma 4.2(ii), and as  $|T(f)(\psi(x))| = 1$ , we conclude that  $T(rf)(\psi(x)) = rT(f)(\psi(x))$ .  $\square$

If  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ , for any  $x \in X \setminus \{e_X\}$ , Lemma 4.3(ii) shows that  $T(\alpha h)(\psi(x)) = \alpha T(h)(\psi(x))$  for all  $\alpha \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $h \in P_x(X)$ . In the complex-valued case, a little more effort is needed.

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $T : \text{Lip}_0(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(Y, \mathbb{C})$  be a surjective map fulfilling (4.5),  $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$  its associated map, and  $\tau$  and  $\gamma$  the functions introduced in Definition 4.4. Given  $x \in X \setminus \{e_X\}$ , one has*

- (i) Either  $\gamma(\psi(x)) = i\tau(\psi(x))$  or  $\gamma(\psi(x)) = -i\tau(\psi(x))$ .
- (ii) If  $\gamma(\psi(x)) = i\tau(\psi(x))$ , then

$$T(\alpha h)(\psi(x)) = \alpha T(h)(\psi(x)) \quad (\alpha \in S_{\mathbb{C}}, h \in P_x(X)).$$

- (iii) If  $\gamma(\psi(x)) = -i\tau(\psi(x))$ , then

$$T(\alpha h)(\psi(x)) = \bar{\alpha} T(h)(\psi(x)) \quad (\alpha \in S_{\mathbb{C}}, h \in P_x(X)).$$

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha \in S_{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $h \in P_x(X)$ . From equality (3.4) we can deduce that

$$|T(\alpha h)(\psi(x))\overline{T(2h)(\psi(x))}| = 2.$$

By using Lemma 4.2(iii) and taking into account that  $h(x) = 1$ , it follows that

$$|T(\alpha h)(\psi(x))\overline{T(2h)(\psi(x))} - 1| \leq \|T(\alpha h)\overline{T(2h)} - \mathbf{1}\|_{\infty} = \|2\alpha|h|^2 - \mathbf{1}\|_{\infty} = |2\alpha - 1|,$$

and from Lemmas 4.3(ii), 4.2(iii) and condition (4.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |T(\alpha h)(\psi(x))\overline{T(2h)(\psi(x))} + 1| &= |T(-\alpha h)(\psi(x))\overline{T(2h)(\psi(x))} - 1| \\ &\leq \|T(-\alpha h)\overline{T(2h)} - \mathbf{1}\|_{\infty} = \|-2\alpha|h|^2 - \mathbf{1}\|_{\infty} \\ &= |-2\alpha - 1| = |2\alpha + 1|. \end{aligned}$$

Now Lemma 4.2(iv) gives

$$T(\alpha h)(\psi(x))\overline{T(2h)(\psi(x))} = 2\alpha \quad \text{or} \quad T(\alpha h)(\psi(x))\overline{T(2h)(\psi(x))} = 2\bar{\alpha}. \quad (4.6)$$

Since  $|T(h)(\psi(x))| = 1$ , from (4.6) and Lemma 4.5 it follows that

$$T(\alpha h)(\psi(x)) = \alpha T(h)(\psi(x)) \quad \text{or} \quad T(\alpha h)(\psi(x)) = \bar{\alpha} T(h)(\psi(x)).$$

For  $\alpha = i$  we have  $T(ih)(\psi(x)) = iT(h)(\psi(x))$  or  $T(ih)(\psi(x)) = -iT(h)(\psi(x))$ . According to Definition 4.4, this means that either  $\gamma(\psi(x)) = i\tau(\psi(x))$  or  $\gamma(\psi(x)) = -i\tau(\psi(x))$ , which proves (i).

We next show (ii), and (iii) follows analogously. So, assume that  $\gamma(\psi(x)) = i\tau(\psi(x))$ . Then  $T(ih)(\psi(x)) = iT(h)(\psi(x))$  and, Lemmas 4.3(ii) and 4.5, give

$$\begin{aligned} |iT(\alpha h)(\psi(x))\overline{T(2h)(\psi(x))} - 1| &= |T(-\alpha h)(\psi(x))\overline{T(2ih)(\psi(x))} - 1| \\ &\leq \|T(-\alpha h)\overline{T(2ih)} - \mathbf{1}\|_{\infty} = \|2i\alpha|h|^2 - \mathbf{1}\|_{\infty} \\ &= |2i\alpha - 1|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |iT(\alpha h)(\psi(x))\overline{T(2h)(\psi(x))} + 1| &= |T(\alpha h)(\psi(x))\overline{T(2ih)(\psi(x))} - 1| \\ &\leq \|T(\alpha h)\overline{T(2ih)} - \mathbf{1}\|_{\infty} = \|-2i\alpha|h|^2 - \mathbf{1}\|_{\infty} \\ &= |-2i\alpha - 1| = |2i\alpha + 1|. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.4), it is clear that

$$|iT(\alpha h)(\psi(x))\overline{T(2h)(\psi(x))}| = |2i\alpha|.$$

Thus, taking into account Lemma 4.2(iv),

$$\text{Re}(iT(\alpha h)(\psi(x))\overline{T(2h)(\psi(x))}) = 2\text{Re}(i\alpha),$$



or equivalently

$$\operatorname{Im}(T(\alpha h)(\psi(x))\overline{T(2h)(\psi(x))}) = 2 \operatorname{Im}(\alpha).$$

From (4.6) we deduce that  $T(\alpha h)(\psi(x))\overline{T(2h)(\psi(x))} = 2\alpha$ , which together with equality (3.4) and Lemma 4.5 give

$$T(\alpha h)(\psi(x)) = \alpha T(h)(\psi(x)). \quad \square$$

We will need the following lemma in order to prove the automatic continuity of a surjective map between pointed Lipschitz algebras satisfying the non-symmetric norm \*-multiplicativity condition. Recall that if  $E$  and  $F$  are real or complex normed spaces and  $S : E \rightarrow F$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map, then  $S$  is continuous if and only if there exists  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $\|S(e)\| \leq \beta \|e\|$  for every  $e \in E$ .

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let  $S : \operatorname{Lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Lip}_0(Y)$  be an  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear and continuous map with respect to the supremum norm. Then  $S$  is continuous with respect to the Lipschitz norm.*

**Proof.** If  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ , let us define  $S_1, S_2 : \operatorname{Lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Lip}_0(Y)$  by

$$S_1(f) = S(f) - iS(if), \quad S_2(f) = \overline{S(f) + iS(if)} \quad (f \in \operatorname{Lip}_0(X)).$$

It is easily seen that both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear. Moreover, by the continuity of  $S$ , there exists  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that

$$\|S_j(f)\|_\infty \leq \|S(f)\|_\infty + \|S(if)\|_\infty \leq 2\beta \|f\|_\infty \quad (j \in \{1, 2\}).$$

Hence  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ -continuous.

If  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ , we can take  $S_1 = S_2 = S$  and thus  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are linear and  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ -continuous as well.

Pick  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ . We next see that  $S_j$  is  $L(\cdot)$ -continuous. Let  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $\operatorname{Lip}_0(X)$  such that  $L(f_n)$  converges to 0, and assume that  $L(S_j(f_n) - g)$  converges to 0 for some  $g \in \operatorname{Lip}_0(Y)$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\|g\|_\infty \leq \|S_j(f_n) - g\|_\infty + \|S_j(f_n)\|_\infty \leq \operatorname{diam}(Y)L(S_j(f_n) - g) + 2\beta \operatorname{diam}(X)L(f_n).$$

Hence  $g = 0$ . Then  $S_j$  is  $L(\cdot)$ -continuous by the Closed Graph Theorem. Since  $S(f) = (1/2)(S_1(f) + \overline{S_2(f)})$  for all  $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_0(X)$ , the lemma follows.  $\square$

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

**Theorem 4.8.** *Let  $X, Y$  be pointed compact metric spaces, and let  $T : \operatorname{Lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Lip}_0(Y)$  be a surjective map satisfying*

$$\|T(f)\overline{T(g)} - \mathbf{1}\|_\infty = \|f\overline{g} - \mathbf{1}\|_\infty \quad (f, g \in \operatorname{Lip}_0(X)).$$

*Then there exist a function  $\eta : Y \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  with  $\eta(e_Y) = 1$ , a function  $\tau : Y \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{K}}$  with  $\tau(e_Y) = 1$ , and a base point preserving Lipschitz homeomorphism  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  such that*

$$T(f) = \tau \cdot (\eta \cdot (f \circ \varphi) + (1 - \eta) \cdot \overline{(f \circ \varphi)}) \quad (f \in \operatorname{Lip}_0(X)).$$

**Proof.** Let  $\psi$  be the associated map to  $T$  and  $\tau$  be the function given in Definition 4.4. Set  $\varphi = \psi^{-1}$ .

Assume for a moment that  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$  and consider as well the function  $\gamma$  presented in Definition 4.4. Define now  $\eta = (1/2)(\mathbf{1} - i\gamma\overline{\tau})$ . Using the surjectivity of  $\psi$  and Lemma 4.6(i), it is easy to see that  $(\gamma\overline{\tau})(Y) \subseteq \{-i, i\}$ . Then  $\eta(Y) \subseteq \{0, 1\}$  and it is obvious that  $\eta(e_Y) = 1$ . If  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ , set  $\eta = \mathbf{1}$ .

Let  $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_0(X)$ ,  $y \in Y$  and  $x = \varphi(y)$ . If  $f(x) = 0$ , from (3.4) we infer that

$$T(f)(y) = 0 = \tau(y)(\eta(y)f(\varphi(y)) + (1 - \eta(y))\overline{f(\varphi(y))}).$$

Suppose  $f(x) \neq 0$  and take the peaking function  $h_{f,x}$  given in Lemma 2.2. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= -T(h_{f,x})(\psi(x))\overline{T(f)(\psi(x))}/|f(x)|, \\ \lambda &= \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) + (1 - 2\eta(\psi(x)))\operatorname{Im}(\alpha)i. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that if  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\lambda = \alpha$ ; and for  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\lambda = \begin{cases} \overline{\alpha} & \text{if } \gamma(\psi(x)) = i\tau(\psi(x)), \\ \alpha & \text{if } \gamma(\psi(x)) = -i\tau(\psi(x)). \end{cases}$$

By applying Lemma 4.3(ii) in the real-valued case, Lemma 4.6 in the complex-valued case, and (3.4), we obtain

$$|T(f)(\psi(x))\overline{T(\lambda h_{f,x})(\psi(x))} - 1| = |T(f)(\psi(x))\overline{\alpha T(h_{f,x})(\psi(x))} - 1| = |f(x)| + 1,$$

and since  $|T(f)(\psi(z))\overline{T(\lambda h_{f,x})(\psi(z))} - 1| \leq |f(z)h_{f,x}(z)| + 1 \leq |f(x)| + 1$  for all  $z \in X$ , we deduce that

$$|f(x)| + 1 = \|T(f)\overline{T(\lambda h_{f,x})} - \mathbf{1}\|_\infty = \|\bar{\lambda}fh_{f,x} - \mathbf{1}\|_\infty.$$

Besides, as  $|\bar{\lambda}f(z)h_{f,x}(z) - 1| \leq |f(z)h_{f,x}(z)| + 1 < |f(x)| + 1$  for all  $z \in X$  with  $z \neq x$ , the compactness of  $X$  grants

$$|\bar{\lambda}f(x) - 1| = \|\bar{\lambda}fh_{f,x} - \mathbf{1}\|_\infty = |f(x)| + 1.$$

In view of Lemma 4.2(i), this shows that  $\bar{\lambda}f(x) = -|f(x)|$ . As a consequence,

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \overline{T(h_{f,x})(\psi(x))}T(f)(\psi(x)) & \text{if } \eta(\psi(x)) = 1, \\ T(h_{f,x})(\psi(x))\overline{T(f)(\psi(x))} & \text{if } \eta(\psi(x)) = 0. \end{cases}$$

According to the definition of  $\tau$ , we conclude that

$$T(f)(\psi(x)) = \begin{cases} \tau(\psi(x))f(x) & \text{if } \eta(\psi(x)) = 1, \\ \tau(\psi(x))\overline{f(x)} & \text{if } \eta(\psi(x)) = 0, \end{cases}$$

or, equivalently,

$$T(f)(y) = \tau(y)(\eta(y)f(\varphi(y)) + (1 - \eta(y))\overline{f(\varphi(y))}).$$

Finally, we prove that  $\varphi$  is a Lipschitz homeomorphism. From the expressions obtained above for  $T$ , we deduce immediately that  $T$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear and  $T$  preserves the supremum norm. Hence  $T$  is continuous with respect to the Lipschitz norm by Lemma 4.7. Then there exists  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $L(T(f)) \leq \beta L(f)$  for all  $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$ . Let  $y, z \in Y$  be with  $y \neq z$ . We can assume without loss of generality that  $d(\varphi(y), e_X) \leq d(\varphi(z), e_X)$ , and then  $d(\varphi(y), \varphi(z)) \leq 2d(\varphi(z), e_X)$ . Take  $\delta = \min\{d(\varphi(z), e_X), d(\varphi(y), \varphi(z))\}$  and  $f = d(\varphi(y), \varphi(z))h_{\varphi(z), \delta}$ . It is clear that  $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$  with  $L(f) \leq 2$ ,  $f(\varphi(z)) = d(\varphi(y), \varphi(z))$  and  $f(\varphi(y)) = 0$ . An easy calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned} d(\varphi(y), \varphi(z)) &= |\tau(z)f(\varphi(z)) - \tau(y)f(\varphi(y))| = |T(f)(z) - T(f)(y)| \\ &\leq L(T(f))d(y, z) \leq 2\beta d(y, z), \end{aligned}$$

and thus  $\varphi$  is Lipschitz.

Clearly,  $T$  is bijective and  $T^{-1}$  has the same properties as  $T$ . Therefore we can apply the same arguments as above to obtain a Lipschitz bijection  $\varphi' : X \rightarrow Y$  with  $\varphi'(e_X) = e_Y$  such that

$$|T^{-1}(g)(x)| = |g(\varphi'(x))| \quad (g \in \text{Lip}_0(Y), x \in X).$$

From this formula we deduce that  $|T(f)(\varphi'(x))| = |f(x)|$  for all  $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$  and  $x \in X$ . Since  $\psi$  is unique by Theorem 3.2, it follows that  $\varphi' = \psi$ , and thus  $\psi$  is Lipschitz.  $\square$

**Remark 4.9.** The functions which appear in the representation of  $T$  given in the previous theorem are unique as we see next. Let  $\eta, \tau$  and  $\varphi$  as in Theorem 4.8. If  $\eta' : Y \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  and  $\tau' : Y \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{K}}$  are functions for which  $\eta'(e_Y) = 1 = \tau'(e_Y)$  and  $\varphi' : Y \rightarrow X$  is a bijection with  $\varphi'(e_Y) = e_X$  such that

$$T(f) = \tau' \cdot (\eta \cdot (f \circ \varphi') + (1 - \eta') \cdot \overline{(f \circ \varphi')}) \quad (f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)),$$

one has

- (1) If  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\varphi' = \varphi$  and  $\tau' = \tau$ .
- (2) If  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ , then  $\varphi' = \varphi$ ,  $\tau' = \tau$  and  $\eta' = \eta$ .

Indeed, notice that for every  $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$  and all  $x \in X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(f)(\varphi'^{-1}(x)) &= \tau'(\varphi'^{-1}(x))f(x) & \text{if } \eta'(\varphi'^{-1}(x)) = 1, \\ T(f)(\varphi'^{-1}(x)) &= \tau'(\varphi'^{-1}(x))\overline{f(x)} & \text{if } \eta'(\varphi'^{-1}(x)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $|T(f)(\varphi'^{-1}(x))| = |f(x)|$  for all  $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$  and  $x \in X$ . By Theorem 3.2, it follows that  $\varphi'^{-1} = \psi$  and thus  $\varphi' = \varphi$ . Now, let  $y \in Y \setminus \{e_Y\}$  and  $h \in P_{\varphi(y)}(X)$ . Then  $\tau'(y) = T(h)(y) = \tau(y)$  and, if  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ , we have  $\eta'(y) = \eta(y)$  since

$$\tau(y)(2i\eta'(y) - i) = \tau'(y)(\eta'(y)i + (1 - \eta'(y))\bar{i}) = T(ih)(y) = \tau(y)(2i\eta(y) - i).$$

In consequence,  $\tau' = \tau$  and, in the complex-valued case,  $\eta' = \eta$ .

The following corollary characterizes surjective maps  $T : \text{Lip}(X) \rightarrow \text{Lip}(Y)$  that satisfy the non-symmetric norm \*-multiplicativity condition.

Recall that every algebra isomorphism  $T$  from  $\text{Lip}(X)$  onto  $\text{Lip}(Y)$  is a composition operator  $T(f) = f \circ \varphi$  for all  $f \in \text{Lip}(X)$ , where  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  is a Lipschitz homeomorphism [15, Theorem 5.1]. As a consequence, every isomorphism from  $\text{Lip}(X)$  onto  $\text{Lip}(Y)$  is also a \*-isomorphism.

**Corollary 4.10.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be compact metric spaces and let  $T : \text{Lip}(X) \rightarrow \text{Lip}(Y)$  be a surjective map with the property that*

$$\|T(f)\overline{T(g)} - \mathbf{1}\|_\infty = \|f\overline{g} - \mathbf{1}\|_\infty \quad (f, g \in \text{Lip}(X)).$$

(1) *If  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ , there exist two unique Lipschitz functions  $\eta : Y \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  and  $\tau : Y \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{C}}$  and a unique Lipschitz homeomorphism  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  such that*

$$T(f) = \tau \cdot (\eta \cdot (f \circ \varphi) + (1 - \eta) \cdot \overline{(f \circ \varphi)}) \quad (f \in \text{Lip}(X, \mathbb{C})).$$

*If, in addition,  $T(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$  and  $T(i\mathbf{1}) = i\mathbf{1}$  ( $T(i\mathbf{1}) = -i\mathbf{1}$ ), then  $T$  is an algebra isomorphism (respectively, a conjugate-isomorphism).*

(2) *If  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ , there exist a unique Lipschitz function  $\tau : Y \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{R}}$ , and a unique Lipschitz homeomorphism  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  such that*

$$T(f) = \tau \cdot (f \circ \varphi) \quad (f \in \text{Lip}(X, \mathbb{R})).$$

*Moreover, if  $T(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$ , then  $T$  is an algebra isomorphism.*

**Proof.** Let  $\Psi_X : \text{Lip}(X) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(X_0)$  and  $\Psi_Y : \text{Lip}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(Y_0)$  be the isometric isomorphisms given by (2.1), where  $X_0 = X \cup \{e_X\}$  and  $Y_0 = Y \cup \{e_Y\}$ . Then  $T_0 = \Psi_Y \circ T \circ \Psi_X^{-1}$  is a map from  $\text{Lip}_0(X_0)$  onto  $\text{Lip}_0(Y_0)$ . We first prove that  $T_0$  satisfies condition (4.5). Pick  $f, g \in \text{Lip}_0(X_0)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)\overline{g(x)} - 1| &= |\Psi_X^{-1}(f)(x)\overline{\Psi_X^{-1}(g)(x)} - 1| \leq \|\Psi_X^{-1}(f)\overline{\Psi_X^{-1}(g)} - \mathbf{1}\|_\infty \\ &= \|T(\Psi_X^{-1}(f))\overline{T(\Psi_X^{-1}(g))} - \mathbf{1}\|_\infty \leq \|T_0(f)\overline{T_0(g)} - \mathbf{1}\|_\infty \quad (x \in X), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|f(e_X)\overline{g(e_X)} - 1| = 1 = |T_0(f)(e_Y)\overline{T_0(g)(e_Y)} - 1| \leq \|T_0(f)\overline{T_0(g)} - \mathbf{1}\|_\infty.$$

Therefore  $\|f\overline{g} - \mathbf{1}\|_\infty \leq \|T_0(f)\overline{T_0(g)} - \mathbf{1}\|_\infty$ . The converse inequality is obtained similarly.

Then, by Theorem 4.8, there exist  $\eta_0 : Y_0 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  with  $\eta_0(e_Y) = 1$ ,  $\tau_0 : Y_0 \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{K}}$  with  $\tau_0(e_Y) = 1$ , and a base point preserving Lipschitz homeomorphism  $\varphi_0 : Y_0 \rightarrow X_0$  such that

$$T_0(g)(y) = \tau_0(y)(\eta_0(y)g(\varphi_0(y)) + (1 - \eta_0(y))\overline{g(\varphi_0(y))}) \quad (g \in \text{Lip}_0(X_0), y \in Y_0).$$

Define  $\tau = \tau_0|_Y$ ,  $\eta = \eta_0|_Y$  and  $\varphi = \varphi_0|_Y$ . Then  $\varphi$  is a Lipschitz homeomorphism from  $Y$  onto  $X$  and, for every  $f \in \text{Lip}(X)$  and  $y \in Y$ , it is easy to see that

$$\tau(y)(\eta(y)f(\varphi(y)) + (1 - \eta(y))\overline{f(\varphi(y))}) = T(f)(y).$$

Moreover,  $\tau = T(\mathbf{1}) \in \text{Lip}(Y)$  and, if  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\eta = (1/2)(\mathbf{1} - i\overline{\tau}T(i\mathbf{1})) \in \text{Lip}(Y)$ . Finally, the uniqueness of  $\varphi$ ,  $\tau$  and, in the complex-valued case, of  $\eta$  follows from Remark 4.9.  $\square$

### 5. Weakly peripherally \*-multiplicativity condition

This last section is concerned with surjective maps between pointed Lipschitz algebras satisfying the weakly peripherally \*-multiplicativity. We prove that such a map is an algebra isomorphism multiplied by a unimodular function.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $X, Y$  be pointed compact metric spaces, and let  $T : \text{Lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(Y)$  be a surjective map that satisfies*

$$\text{Ran}_\pi(T(f)\overline{T(g)}) \cap \text{Ran}_\pi(f\overline{g}) \neq \emptyset \quad (f, g \in \text{Lip}_0(X)). \tag{5.7}$$

*There exist a unique function  $\tau : Y \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{K}}$  with  $\tau(e_Y) = 1$ , and a unique base point preserving Lipschitz homeomorphism  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  such that*

$$T(f) = \tau \cdot (f \circ \varphi) \quad (f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)).$$

**Proof.** From (5.7), it is clear that

$$\|T(f)T(g)\|_\infty = \|fg\|_\infty \quad (f, g \in \text{Lip}_0(X)).$$

Hence, by Theorem 3.2, there exists a unique bijective map  $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $|T(f)(\psi(x))| = |f(x)|$  for all  $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$  and  $x \in X$ .

Let  $f, g \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$  and  $x \in X$ . Assume first  $f(x) \neq 0 \neq g(x)$ . Let  $h_{f,x}, h_{g,x} \in P_x(X)$  be the functions given in Lemma 2.2, and  $h = h_{f,x}h_{g,x} \in P_x(X)$ . Evidently,  $\text{Ran}_\pi(fh) = \{f(x)\}$ , and so by assumption, there exists  $z \in X$  such that  $f(x) = T(f)(\psi(z))\overline{T(h)(\psi(z))}$ . Then

$$|f(x)| = |T(f)(\psi(z))||T(h)(\psi(z))| = |f(z)||h(z)|,$$

and since  $|f(w)h(w)| < |f(x)|$  for all  $w \neq x$ , we have  $z = x$ . Since  $|T(h)(\psi(x))| = |h(x)| = 1$ , it follows that  $T(f)(\psi(x)) = T(h)(\psi(x))f(x)$ . Similarly, it is proved that  $T(g)(\psi(x)) = T(h)(\psi(x))g(x)$ . Thus

$$T(f)(\psi(x))\overline{T(g)(\psi(x))} = T(h)(\psi(x))f(x)\overline{T(h)(\psi(x))g(x)} = f(x)\overline{g(x)}.$$

If now  $f(x) = 0$  or  $g(x) = 0$ , obviously

$$T(f)(\psi(x))\overline{T(g)(\psi(x))} = 0 = f(x)\overline{g(x)}.$$

So, we have proved that

$$T(f)(\psi(x))\overline{T(g)(\psi(x))} = f(x)\overline{g(x)} \quad (f, g \in \text{Lip}_0(X), x \in X). \tag{5.8}$$

As a consequence, we have  $\|T(f)\overline{T(g)} - \mathbf{1}\|_\infty = \|f\overline{g} - \mathbf{1}\|_\infty$  for all  $f, g \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$ .

Then, in the real-valued case, Theorem 4.8 and Remark 4.9 yield a unique function  $\tau : Y \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{R}}$  with  $\tau(e_Y) = 1$  and a unique base point preserving Lipschitz homeomorphism  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  such that

$$T(f)(y) = \tau(y)f(\varphi(y)) \quad (f \in \text{Lip}_0(X, \mathbb{R}), y \in Y),$$

which proves the theorem in this case.

In the complex-valued case, by the aforementioned results, we have a unique function  $\eta : Y \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  with  $\eta(e_Y) = 1$ , a unique function  $\tau : Y \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{C}}$  with  $\tau(e_Y) = 1$  and a unique base point preserving Lipschitz homeomorphism  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  such that

$$T(f)(y) = \tau(y)(\eta(y)f(\varphi(y)) + (1 - \eta(y))\overline{f(\varphi(y))}) \quad (f \in \text{Lip}_0(X, \mathbb{C}), y \in Y). \tag{5.9}$$

Let  $y \in Y \setminus \{e_Y\}$ ,  $x \in X \setminus \{e_X\}$  for which  $\psi(x) = y$  and  $h_{x,\delta} \in P_x(X)$ . Applying (5.8) gives

$$T(ih_{x,\delta})(y)\overline{T(h_{x,\delta}(y))} = ih_{x,\delta}(x)\overline{h_{x,\delta}(x)} = i,$$

but, by using (5.9), we also have

$$T(ih_{x,\delta})(y)\overline{T(h_{x,\delta}(y))} = (2\eta(y) - 1)ih_{x,\delta}(\varphi(y))^2.$$

It follows that  $\eta(y) = 1$  and this completes the proof.  $\square$

Let us recall that a net  $\{a_j\}_{j \in I}$  in a commutative Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is an *approximate identity* if  $\lim_{j \in I} \|a_jx - x\| = 0$  for each  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ . Notice that  $\text{Lip}_0(X)$  may do not have an approximate identity. In fact, for a pointed compact metric space  $X$ , the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i)  $\text{Lip}_0(X)$  has a unity.
- (ii)  $\text{Lip}_0(X)$  has an approximate identity.
- (iii)  $e_X$  is an isolated point.

Only (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) deserves some comments. Assume that  $\{h_j\}_{j \in I}$  is an approximate identity for  $\text{Lip}_0(X)$  and  $e_X$  is not an isolated point. Let  $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$  be the function defined as  $f(x) = d(x, e_X)$ . For every  $j \in I$ , since  $h_j$  is continuous at  $e_X$ , there exists  $\delta_j > 0$  such that  $|h_j(x)| = |h_j(x) - h_j(e_X)| < 1/2$  if  $0 < d(x, e_X) < \delta_j$ . Therefore,

$$L(fh_j - f) \geq \frac{|f(x)h_j(x) - f(x)|}{d(x, e_X)} = |h_j(x) - 1| \geq 1 - |h_j(x)| > \frac{1}{2},$$

whenever  $0 < d(x, e_X) < \delta_j$ . Since  $\lim_{j \in I} L(fh_j - f) = 0$ , we arrive at a contradiction and therefore  $e_X$  is isolated.

However, for any pointed compact metric space  $X$ , the sequence  $\{h_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  defined by

$$h_n(x) = \min\{1, nd(x, e_X)\} \quad (x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

is an approximate identity for the supremum norm in  $\text{Lip}_0(X)$ .

Following an idea by Honma in [6], we next provide a sufficient condition for a weakly peripherally  $*$ -multiplicative surjection between  $*$ -algebras  $\text{Lip}_0(X)$  to be an algebra isomorphism.

**Corollary 5.2.** *Let  $X, Y$  be pointed compact metric spaces and let  $T : \text{Lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(Y)$  be a surjective map satisfying condition (5.7). Then  $T$  is an algebra isomorphism if  $T$  preserves an approximate identity for the supremum norm.*

**Proof.** We know that  $T(f) = \tau \cdot (f \circ \varphi)$  for all  $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$ , with  $\tau$  and  $\varphi$  as in Theorem 5.1. To prove the corollary, it suffices to show that  $\tau = \mathbf{1}$ . Suppose that  $T$  preserves an approximate identity for the supremum norm  $\{h_j\}_{j \in I}$  in  $\text{Lip}_0(X)$ . For each  $x \in X \setminus \{e_X\}$ , we can take a  $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$  for which  $f(x) = 1$ . Then, for all  $j \in I$ , we have

$$|h_j(x) - 1| = |h_j(x)f(x) - f(x)| \leq \|h_j f - f\|_\infty.$$

Since  $\lim_{j \in I} \|h_j f - f\|_\infty = 0$ , it follows that  $\lim_{j \in I} h_j(x) = 1$ . In the same way, as  $\{T(h_j)\}_{j \in I}$  is an approximate identity for the supremum norm in  $\text{Lip}_0(Y)$ , we get  $\lim_{j \in I} T(h_j)(y) = 1$  for each  $y \in Y \setminus \{e_Y\}$ . Then

$$\tau(y) = \lim_{j \in I} \tau(y)h_j(\varphi(y)) = \lim_{j \in I} T(h_j)(y) = 1$$

for all  $y \in Y \setminus \{e_Y\}$ , which is the desired conclusion.  $\square$

Taking into account that elements with equal ranges have equal peripheral ranges, from Theorem 5.1 we deduce the following version for algebras  $\text{Lip}_0(X)$  of the result obtained by Hatori, Miura and Takagi [2, Theorem 3.6].

**Corollary 5.3.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be pointed compact metric spaces. Every surjective map  $T : \text{Lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(Y)$  fulfilling*

$$(T(f)\overline{T(g)})(Y) = (f\overline{g})(X) \quad (f, g \in \text{Lip}_0(X))$$

is a weighted composition operator

$$T(f)(y) = \tau(y)f(\varphi(y)) \quad (f \in \text{Lip}_0(X), y \in Y),$$

where  $\tau$  is a unimodular function on  $Y$ , and  $\varphi$  is a base point preserving Lipschitz homeomorphism from  $Y$  onto  $X$ .

From Theorem 5.1 we deduce the next result that characterizes surjective maps between  $*$ -algebras  $\text{Lip}(X)$  satisfying the weakly peripherally  $*$ -multiplicativity condition. Its proof follows by the same method used in Corollary 4.10.

**Corollary 5.4.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be compact metric spaces and let  $T : \text{Lip}(X) \rightarrow \text{Lip}(Y)$  be a surjective map such that*

$$\text{Ran}_\pi(T(f)\overline{T(g)}) \cap \text{Ran}_\pi(f\overline{g}) \neq \emptyset \quad (f, g \in \text{Lip}(X)).$$

Then there exist a unique Lipschitz function  $\tau : Y \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{K}}$  and a unique Lipschitz homeomorphism  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  such that

$$T(f)(y) = \tau(y)f(\varphi(y)) \quad (f \in \text{Lip}(X), y \in Y).$$

In particular, if  $T$  preserves the unity, then  $T$  is an algebra isomorphism.

Finally, we deduce from Corollary 5.4 the version for algebras  $\text{Lip}(X)$  of the aforementioned result by Hatori–Miura–Takagi.

**Corollary 5.5.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be compact metric spaces. Every surjective map  $T : \text{Lip}(X) \rightarrow \text{Lip}(Y)$  such that*

$$(T(f)\overline{T(g)})(Y) = (f\overline{g})(X) \quad (f, g \in \text{Lip}(X))$$

is of the form

$$T(f)(y) = \tau(y)f(\varphi(y)) \quad (f \in \text{Lip}(X), y \in Y),$$

where  $\tau : Y \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{K}}$  is Lipschitz and  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  is a Lipschitz homeomorphism.

## Acknowledgments

This paper was written during the visits of the first author to the University of Almería and the third author to the University of Granada. We wish especially to thank Antonio Peralta for the promotion of these activities. The authors also thank the referee for his/her useful comments and for highlighting the existence of a recent work by Miura, Honma and Shindo, where they consider the non-symmetric norm \*-multiplicativity condition for unital semisimple commutative Banach algebras with involutions.

## References

- [1] A. Browder, Introduction to Function Algebras, W.A. Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [2] O. Hatori, T. Miura, H. Takagi, Characterizations of isometric isomorphisms between uniform algebras via non-linear range-preserving properties, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 134 (2006) 2923–2930.
- [3] O. Hatori, T. Miura, H. Takagi, Unital and multiplicatively spectrum-preserving surjections between semi-simple commutative Banach algebras are linear and multiplicative, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 326 (2007) 281–296.
- [4] O. Hatori, T. Miura, H. Oka, H. Takagi, Peripheral multiplicativity of maps on uniformly closed algebras of continuous functions which vanish at infinity, Tokyo J. Math., in press.
- [5] O. Hatori, T. Miura, H. Takagi, Multiplicatively spectrum-preserving and norm-preserving maps between invertible groups of commutative Banach algebras, preprint.
- [6] D. Honma, Surjections on the algebras of continuous functions which preserve peripheral spectrum, in: Contemp. Math., vol. 435, 2007, pp. 199–205.
- [7] D. Honma, Norm-preserving surjections on algebras of continuous functions, Rocky Mountain J. Math., in press.
- [8] A. Jiménez-Vargas, M. Villegas-Vallecillos, Lipschitz algebras and peripherally-multiplicative maps, Acta Math. Sin. 24 (2008) 1233–1242.
- [9] A. Jiménez-Vargas, A. Luttman, M. Villegas-Vallecillos, Weakly peripherally multiplicative surjections of pointed Lipschitz algebras, Rocky Mountain J. Math., in press.
- [10] S. Lambert, A. Luttman, T. Tonev, Weakly peripherally-multiplicative operators between uniform algebras, in: Contemp. Math., vol. 435, 2007, pp. 265–281.
- [11] A. Luttman, S. Lambert, Norm conditions for uniform algebra isomorphisms, Cent. Eur. J. Math. 6 (2008) 272–280.
- [12] A. Luttman, T. Tonev, Uniform algebra isomorphisms and peripheral multiplicativity, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 135 (2007) 3589–3598.
- [13] L. Molnár, Some characterizations of the automorphisms of  $B(H)$  and  $C(X)$ , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (2002) 111–120.
- [14] N.V. Rao, A.K. Roy, Multiplicatively spectrum-preserving maps of function algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 133 (2005) 1135–1142.
- [15] D. Sherbert, Banach algebras of Lipschitz functions, Pacific J. Math. 13 (1963) 1387–1399.
- [16] N. Weaver, Lipschitz Algebras, World Scientific Publishing Co., River Edge, New York, 1999.