Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 59 (1989) 55-59 North-Holland 55

REGULAR MULTIPLICATION RINGS

V. ERDOĞDU

Department of Mathematics, Middle East Technical University, 06531 Ankara, Turkey

Communicated by M. Barr Received 30 December 1987

Introduction

Let R be a commutative ring with identity. An R-module M is said to be a multiplication module if every submodule of M is of the form IM, for some ideal I of R. We shall call a ring R a regular multiplication ring if every regular ideal of R is a multiplication R-module. (By a regular ideal I is meant one which contains a regular element of R). A multiplication ring is a ring in which every ideal is a multiplication module. It is well known that multiplication domains are precisely Dedekind domains [5].

The regularity in our definition has allowed us to generalize the known results over Dedekind domains to non-domains. In Section 1, we show that a ring R is a regular multiplication ring if and only if for every regular ideal I of R, R/I is a finite direct sum of special principal ideal rings.

In Section 2, we show that a ring R is a regular multiplication ring if and only if every finitely generated torsion R-module is of finite length and a direct sum of cyclic submodules. We also show that a torsion module over a regular multiplication ring is a direct sum of its primary parts.

We use the following notation: if R is a ring, then MaxSpec R is the set of all maximal ideals of R; if M is an R-module, then $\text{Supp}(M) = \{P \in \text{Spec } R \mid M_P \neq 0\}$.

1. Some properties of regular multiplication rings

We begin by noting that a ring R is a regular multiplication ring if and only if every regular ideal of R is invertible. Therefore it follows that a ring R is a regular multiplication ring if and only if ever regular ideal of R is a unique product of powers of finitely many maximal ideals of R [4, Theorem 17].

Theorem 1. For a ring R the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) R is a regular multiplication ring.
- (ii) For each regular ideal I, R/I is a finite direct sum of special principal ideal

0022-4049/89/\$3.50 © 1989, Elsevier Science Publishers B.V. (North-Holland)

V. Erdoğdu

rings. (Recall that a principal ideal ring is called special if it has only one prime ideal and the prime ideal is nilpotent).

(iii) For each regular nonunit r, R/Rr is a principal ideal ring.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let *I* be a regular ideal of *R*. Then $I = P_1^{v_1} P_2^{v_2} \dots P_n^{v_n}$, where P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n are distinct maximal ideals of *R* and v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n are positive integers. Hence $R/I \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R/P_i^{v_i}$. Since for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n, P_i^{v_i}$ is a regular ideal of *R*, it follows that every ideal of *R* containing $P_i^{v_i}$ is a multiplication *R*-module. But then clearly each $R/P_i^{v_i}$ is a multiplication ring. Therefore, each $R/P_i^{v_i}$ is a special principal ideal ring [1, Theorem 1].

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Follows from the fact that a finite direct sum of principal ideal rings is a principal ideal ring [7, Theorem 33, p. 245].

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Let *I* be a regular ideal of *R* and *r* a regular element in *I*. By hypothesis, $\overline{R} = R/(r^2)$ is a principal ideal ring. Hence \overline{I} is a principal ideal in \overline{R} and $(\overline{r}) \subseteq \overline{I}$ so there is an ideal *J* of *R* with $(r) \subseteq J$ such that $\overline{IJ} = (\overline{r})$. But then $r^2 \in IJ$ so $IJ = IJ + (r^2) = (r) + (r^2) = (r)$. Thus *I* is a factor of a regular principal ideal and hence is invertible. Therefore it follows that *R* is a regular multiplication ring. \Box

Corollary. In a regular multiplication ring R, every regular ideal I can be generated by at most two elements, one of which can be chosen arbitrarily from among the elements of I which are not zero divisors of R. \Box

It is clear that every multiplication ring is a regular multiplication ring. But it is not the case that every regular multiplication ring is a multiplication ring. As a counterexample take $R = K[x^2, x^3]/(x^4)$, where K is a field and x is an indeterminate. Clearly, here R is a regular multiplication ring. But R is not a multiplication ring, because R is a local ring and a local multiplication ring is a principal ideal ring [1, p. 761].

We also note that in a regular multiplication ring every regular prime ideal is maximal.

2. Modules over regular multiplication rings

Let R be a ring and M an R-module. An element m of M will be called a 'torsion element' if rm = 0 for some non-zero divisor r in R. If we denote by T(M) the set of all torsion elements in M, then T(M) is an R-submodule of M, and will be called the 'torsion submodule' of M. If T(M) = M, M will be called a 'torsion' R-module.

Theorem 2. Let R be a regular multiplication ring and let M be a 'torsion' R-module (in the above sense). For each maximal ideal P of R, write $M^P = \{x \in M \mid P^v x = 0, for some positive integer v\}$. Then

$$M = \bigoplus_{P \in \operatorname{Supp}(M)} M^P \simeq \bigoplus_{P \in \operatorname{Supp}(M)} M_P.$$

Proof. Since in this case $\text{Supp}(M) \subseteq \text{MaxSpec } R$, we first show that for each maximal ideal P of R, M^P is a submodule of M. But this is straightforward.

We also note that for each P in Supp(M), every non-zero element of M^P has its annihilator contained only in P but not in any other maximal ideal of R. For if $0 \neq x \in M^P$ and $Ann(x) \subseteq Q$ for some maximal ideal Q of R, then $P^{\upsilon} \subseteq Ann(x) \subseteq Q$, which implies that P = Q.

Let $\{P_1, P_2, ..., P_n\}$ be a subset of Supp(M) and let Q be an element of Supp(M) such that $Q \notin \{P_1, P_2, ..., P_n\}$. Since every element of $M^{P_1} + M^{P_2} + \dots + M^{P_n}$ is annihilated by a product of powers of $P_1, P_2, ..., P_n$, it follows that $M^Q \cap \{M^{P_1} + M^{P_2} + \dots + M^{P_n}\} = 0$. Thus the submodules M^P generate their direct sum $\bigoplus_{P \in \text{Supp}(M)} M^P$ in M.

In order to show that $M = \bigoplus_{P \in \text{Supp}(M)} M^P$, we take x to be any non-zero element of M. Since M is a torsion R-module and R is a regular multiplication ring, it follows that $\text{Ann}(x) = P_1^{v_1} P_2^{v_2} \dots P_n^{v_n}$, for some unique set $\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n\}$ of invertible maximal ideals of R and for some unique set of positive integers v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n . But then we have $Rx \approx R/\text{Ann}(x) \approx \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R/P_i^{v_i}$. That is $Rx = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Rx_i$, where $Rx_i \approx R/P_i^{v_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Clearly, for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ every element of Rx_i is annihilated by some power of P_i . Therefore it follows that $Rx_i \subseteq M^{P_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Hence $Rx \subseteq \bigoplus_{P \in \text{Supp}(M)} M^P$. But x was taken arbitrarily from among the non-zero elements of M, so it follows that $M = \bigoplus_{P \in \text{Supp}(M)} M^P$.

To see that

$$M = \bigoplus_{P \in \operatorname{Supp}(M)} M^P \simeq \bigoplus_{P \in \operatorname{Supp}(M)} M_P,$$

we consider the canonical *R*-module homomorphism $f: M \to M \otimes_R R_P$ ($P \in \text{Supp}(M)$). This induces by restriction, an *R*-module homomorphism $f_P: M^P \to M \otimes_R R_P$. Since *M* is a torsion *R*-module and tensor product commutes with direct sum and every element of *M* whose annihilator not contained in *P* becomes zero in $M \otimes_R R_P$, we have $M \otimes_R R_P = M^P \otimes_R R_P$. That is, $f_P: M^P \to M^P \otimes_R R_P$. We have $\text{Ker } f_P = \{x \in M^P \mid tx = 0, \text{ for some } t \in R - P\} = 0$. (Because for each $0 \neq x \in M^P$, Ann $(x) \subseteq P$). Thus $f_P: M^P \to M^P \otimes_R R_P$ is injective.

We now show that f_P is surjective. Let y be any non-zero element of $M^P \otimes_R R_P$. Then y can be written in the form $y = m \otimes 1/t$, for some element m in M^P and t in R-P. Since Ann(m) is contained only in P and $t \in R-P$, it follows that Ann(m) + Rt = R, which implies that 1 = a + bt, for some $a \in Ann(m)$ and $b \in R$. Hence m = am + btm = btm. Thus

$$y = m \otimes \frac{1}{t} = btm \otimes \frac{1}{t} = bm \otimes \frac{t}{t} = bm \otimes 1.$$

That is, $y = f_p(bm)$. Therefore f_p is surjective. Hence the *R*-homomorphism

$$f = \sum_{P \in \operatorname{Supp}(M)} f_p : M = \bigoplus_{P \in \operatorname{Supp}(M)} M^P \to \bigoplus_{P \in \operatorname{Supp}(M)} M_P$$

is an isomorphism. 🛛

V. Erdoğdu

Before formulating our next statement, we recall a well-known theorem of G. Köthe, I.S. Cohen and I. Kaplansky which states that a ring R is an Artinian principal ideal ring if and only if every R-module is a direct sum of cyclic sub-modules [6, Theorem 6.7].

Theorem 3. For a ring R, the following statements are equivalent:

(i) R is a regular multiplication ring.

(ii) Every R-module whose annihilator contains a regular element is a direct sum of cyclic submodules.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let *M* be an *R*-module with Ann(*M*) containing a regular element. Then *R*/Ann(*M*) is a finite direct sum of special principal ideal rings and so an Artinian principal ideal ring. Hence *M* as an *R*/Ann(*M*)-module is a direct sum of cyclic submodules. But *M* as an *R*-module and as an *R*/Ann(*M*)-module is one and the same. Therefore it follows that *M* as an *R*-module is a direct sum of cyclic submodules.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let *r* be a regular element of *R*. Then any *R/Rr*-module is an *R*-module whose annihilator contains *r*, and hence is a direct sum of cyclic *R*-submodules. Therefore it follows that any *R/Rr*-module is a direct sum of cyclic submodules. Hence by the above remark, *R/Rr* is an Artinian principal ideal ring. Therefore by Theorem 1, *R* is a regular multiplication ring. \Box

Theorem 4. For a ring R the following statements are equivalent:

(i) R is a regular multiplication ring.

(ii) Every finitely generated torsion R-module is of finite length and is a direct sum of cyclic submodules.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let *M* be a finitely generated torsion *R*-module. Then Ann(*M*) contains a regular element and hence by Theorem 3, *M* is a direct sum of cyclic sub-modules. Since *R*/Ann(*M*) is an Artinian principal ideal ring and *M* a finitely generated *R*/Ann(*M*)-module, it follows that *M* is of finite length (both as an *R*/Ann(*M*)-module and as an *R*-module).

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let *r* be a regular element of *R*. Since, by hypothesis, as an *R*-module $\overline{R} = R/(r)$ is of finite length, it follows that \overline{R} is an Artinian ring and so a direct sum of local Artinian rings, say $\overline{R} = \overline{R}_1 \otimes \overline{R}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \overline{R}_n$. Since any finitely generated \overline{R} -module is a finitely generated torsion *R*-module, it therefore follows that every finitely generated \overline{R} -module is a direct sum of cyclic submodules. But then the same is true for any finitely generated \overline{R}_i -module $(1 \le i \le n)$ (see [6], pp. 164–165). Therefore each \overline{R}_i is an almost maximal valuation ring [3]. Since each \overline{R}_i is an Artinian almost maximal valuation ring, it is an Artinian principal ideal ring (see [6, p. 185], where a reference is given to A.I. Uzkov). But then it follows that $\overline{R} = R/(r)$ is an Artinian principal ideal ring. Therefore by Theorem 1, *R* is a regular multiplication ring. \Box

Let M be an R-module and x an element of M. Then x is said to be regular if $Ann_R(x)=0$. If the module M has a regular element, then we call M a regular R-module.

Let M and N be two R-modules with M a submodule of N. We say that $M \subseteq N$ is distributive if $M \cap (X+Y) = (M \cap X) + (M \cap Y)$ for all submodules X, Y of N.

Theorem 5. Let R be a ring and M a regular R-module. Suppose that every regular submodule of M is a multiplication R-module. Then

- (i) R is a regular multiplication ring.
- (ii) Every regular submodule of M is a distributive submodule of M.
- (iii) Every finitely generated regular submodule of M is projective of rank one.

Proof. (i) Let $x \in M$ be regular, so $Rx \approx R$ and every regular submodule of Rx is a multiplication *R*-module. Hence, since $Rx \approx R$, every regular ideal of *R* is a multiplication *R*-module. Therefore *R* is a regular multiplication ring.

(ii) Let X be any regular submodule of M and let P be any maximal ideal of R such that $X_P \subsetneq M_P$. Then there exists an element m in M such that $m/1 \in M_P$ and $m/1 \notin X_P$. Hence a fortiori such an m is not in X. So we have $X \subsetneq X + Rm$. Since X is a regular submodule of M, it follows that X + Rm is a regular submodule of M. Therefore X + Rm is a multiplication R-module. Hence X = I(X + Rm), for some ideal I of R. Now by localizing X = I(X + Rm) at P, we get $X_P = I_P X_P + I_P(m/1)$. Clearly $I_P \neq R_P$ (because $m/1 \notin X_P$). That is, I_P is contained in the maximal ideal P_P of R_P . Since X is a regular submodule of M, X is a multiplication R-module. Hence X_P is a multiplication R_P -module [1, pp. 760–761]. Therefore, X_P is a cyclic R_P -module [1, Theorem 1]. Hence by Nakayama's Lemma, it follows that $X_P =$ $I_P(m/1)$ and so $X_P = I_P(m/1) \subseteq R_P(m/1)$. Hence by [2, Lemma 2.7], it follows that $X_P \subseteq M_P$ is R_P -distributive, for all $P \in$ MaxSpec R. Therefore $X \subseteq M$ is R-distributive [2, Lemma 2.6].

(iii) Let X be a finitely generated regular submodule of M. Then as in the proof of (ii) above, X_P is a cyclic R_P -module, for all $P \in \text{MaxSpec } R$. Since X_P is a cyclic regular multiplication R_P -module, it follows that $X_P \simeq R_P$, for all $P \in \text{MaxSpec } R$. Hence X is a projective R-module of rank one. \Box

References

- [1] D.D. Anderson, Multiplication ideals, multiplication rings, and the ring R(X), Canad. J. Math. 28 (1976) 760-768.
- [2] T.M.K. Davison, Distributive homomorphisms of rings and modules, J. Reine Angew. Math. 271 (1974) 28-34.
- [3] D.T. Gill, Almost maximal valuation rings, J. London Math. Soc. 4 (2) (1971) 140-146.
- [4] M. Griffin, Prüfer rings with zero divisors, J. Reine Angew. Math. 239/240 (1969) 55-67.
- [5] M.D. Larsen and P.J. McCarthy, Multiplicative Theory of Ideals (Academic Press, New York, 1971).
- [6] D.W. Sharpe and P. Vámos, Injective modules (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1972).
- [7] O. Zariski and P. Samuel, Commutative Algebra, Vol. 1 (Springer, Berlin, 1958).