# DEVELOPMENTAL CHANGES IN CHICKEN SKELETAL MYOSIN ISOENZYMES

J. F. Y. HOH

Department of Physiology, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

Received 30 November 1978

#### 1. Introduction

There are 5 isoenzymes of myosin in adult chicken skeletal muscles, 3 of these are present in fast-twitch muscle ( $FM_1$ ,  $FM_2$  and  $FM_3$ ) and 2 in slow-twitch muscle  $(SM_1 \text{ and } SM_2)$  [1,2]. These isoenzymes are composed of 2 heavy chains (HC) and 4 light chains (LC). There are 3 types of LC in fast-twitch myosin  $(LC_1^{f}, LC_2^{f} \text{ and } LC_3^{f})$  and 2 types of LC in slow-twitch myosin ( $\tilde{L}C_1^s$  and  $\tilde{L}C_2^s$ ). The LC compositions of the 5 isoenzymes of myosin have been elucidated in [2]. There is some evidence that the HC of fast-twitch and slow-twitch myosins are immunochemically distinct [3,5]. Studies on chick skeletal myosins during late foetal development have revealed some differences in the foetal myosins compared with adult myosins. The Ca<sup>2+</sup>-activated myosin ATPase activity was shown to increase [6]. This has been attributed to a greater sensitivity of the foetal myosin to sulphydryl oxidation [7]. Foetal fast-twitch myosin contain  $LC_1^f$  and  $LC_2^f$ , with little or no  $LC_3^f$  [5,8,9]. The fast-twitch myosin HCs of day 11 chick embryos have been reported to be indistinguishable from adult fasttwitch myosin HC [5]. However, HC immunochemically related to adult cardiac myosin HC may also be present [10]. Foetal slow-twitch myosin contains  $LC_1^f$  and  $LC_2^f$  in addition to  $LC_1^s$  and  $LC_2^s$  [5]. Furthermore, immunochemical evidence suggests the presence of both fast-twitch and slow-twitch myosin HC in foetal slow-twitch myosin [5].

This work seeks to clarify the relationship between the myosins in developing fast-twitch and slow-twitch muscles and the myosin isoenzymes in the mature animal. It will be shown that foetal fast-twitch myosin contains only FM<sub>3</sub>, the other 2 isoenzymes appearing successively around the time of hatching. Foetal slowtwitch myosin is predominantly  $SM_1$ , which appears to contain fast-twitch myosin LC in addition to slowtwitch myosin LC until 6–12 weeks after hatching.

#### 2. Experimental

The fast-twitch pectoralis and the slow-twitch anterior latissimus dorsi (ALD) muscles of day 11 embryos through to month 5 Leghorn chicks were studied. Myosin was prepared from individual muscles and analysed for isoenzymes by pyrophosphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis using methods in [1,2]. The LCs of electrophoretically purified myosin isoenzymes were analysed by slicing the pyrophosphate gels containing the isoenzymes and subjecting the gel slices to SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis [2].

#### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Fast-twitch muscle development

Myosin from the pectoralis of the day 11 chick embryo showed a single component on pyrophosphate gel electrophoresis. This component co-electrophoresed with FM<sub>3</sub> of adult pectoralis myosin. By day 14 of embryonic life, an additional component which coelectrophoresed with FM<sub>2</sub> became apparent. Trace amounts of a third component which co-electrophoresed with FM<sub>1</sub> was detectable in the day 18 chick embryo. Rapid changes in the distribution of these components occurred after hatching so that by day 7 after hatching their distribution approximates to that seen in the adult. The time-courses of changes in these myosin components are shown in fig.1.

Fast-twitch myosins at various stages of develop-



Fig.1. Developmental changes in the distribution of fasttwitch myosin isoenzymes in the chicken pectoralis muscle. The amount of each isoenzyme expressed as % total myosin is plotted against the age of the embryo or chick in days.

ment have been analysed for their LC composition after brief electrophoretic purification by pyrophosphate gel electrophoresis. Myosin from day 14 (fig.2A) and day 16 (fig.2B) day embryos showed  $LC_1^f$  and  $LC_2^f$  with little or no  $LC_3^f$ , in agreement with results in [5,8,9]. Myosin from day 1 chick pectoralis showed principally  $LC_1^f$  and  $LC_2^f$ ; a small amount of  $LC_3^f$  was clearly resolved (fig.2C). In pectoralis myosin from day 18 chick (fig.2D), the LC distribution was indistinguishable from that in the adult.

Previous work has shown that the LC compositions of FM<sub>3</sub>, FM<sub>2</sub> and FM<sub>1</sub> are  $(LC_1^f)_2 (LC_2^f)_2$ ,  $LC_1^f (LC_2^f)_2 LC_3^f$  and  $(LC_2^f)_2 (LC_3^f)_2$ , respectively [2]. The correlated changes in electrophoretic components of pectoralis myosin and their LC during development are consistent with the suggestion that foetal fast-twitch components are identical to the corresponding adult myosin isoenzymes. In this view, presumptive fast-twitch muscles synthesize FM<sub>3</sub>, an isoenzyme present in the adult fast-twitch muscle. Subsequent development leads to the synthesis of FM<sub>2</sub> and FM<sub>1</sub>. This interpretation would account for the observations that:

- (i) Day 11 embryonic pectoralis myosin reacts with specific anti-fast-twitch myosin antibodies but not with anti-slow-twitch myosin antibodies [5];
- (ii) Light meromyosin paracrystals prepared from day 16 embryonic pectoralis myosin is indistin-



Fig.2. Light chain analysis of partially purified myosins from developing pectoralis muscles. After electrophoresis for 4 h in pyrophosphate gels, myosin bands (isoenzymes not resolved) were cut out for light chain analysis in SDS polyacrylamide gels as in [2]. The figure shows densitometer scans of SDS gels for day 14 embryo (A), day 16 embryo (B), day 1 chick (C) and day 18 chick (D).

guishable from that of adult pectoralis myosin [9].

However, in view of [10], it remains to be clarified whether  $FM_3$  may contain, in addition to adult fast-twitch myosin HC, another type of HC which is immunochemically related to adult chick cardiac myosin.

Changes in isoenzyme distribution in developing fast-twitch muscle correlate well with changes in contractile properties. For reasons explained in [2], these isoenzymes are expected to differ in actin-activated ATPase activity, with FM<sub>3</sub> having the lowest while FM<sub>1</sub> having the highest activity. The actin-activated ATPase activity of myosin is correlated with the speed of muscle contraction [11]. The progressive appearance of isoenzymes of higher actin-activated ATPase activity should lead to an increase in muscle speed during development. This has indeed been reported to occur in chicken fast-twitch muscle [12,13]. This correlation between myosin isoenzymes and muscle speed strongly supports the hypothesis that variation in isoenzyme distribution provides a mechanism for modulating the speed and power of muscle fibres [2].

#### 3.2. Slow-twitch muscle development

Myosin from the ALD of a day 14 chick embryo showed 2 electrophoretic components which comigrate with  $SM_1$  and  $SM_2$  of the adult muscle. The component co-migrating with  $SM_1$  formed ~80% of the total myosin. In contrast with the developing pectoralis, little change in electrophoretic pattern of myosin occurred around the time of hatching. The proportion of the myosin component co-migrating with  $SM_1$  decreased progressively later in post-hatched life, the time-course of this change is shown in fig.3.

Analysis of the LCs from day 1 chick ALD myosin  $(SM_1 \text{ and } SM_2)$  after brief electrophoresis in pyrophosphate gels showed  $LC_1^s$  and  $LC_2^s$  (fig.4a); in addition, another component which has a mobility consistent with  $LC_1^f$  was also present in significant amounts. This finding of fast-twitch LC in developing slow-twitch muscle agrees well with previous work which reported the presence of both  $LC_1^f$  and  $LC_2^f$  [5]. In fig.4B, only SM<sub>1</sub> of day 18 chick ALD muscle was analysed for LC. The  $LC_1^f$  was again present, to an extent approximately equal to  $LC_1^s$ . Similar analyses of both SM<sub>1</sub> and SM<sub>2</sub> from older chicks showed that just detectable quantities of  $LC_1^f$  were present in both components at week 6 but absent at



Fig.3. Developmental changes in the distribution of slowtwitch myosin isoenzymes in the ALD muscle. Two components which co-migrate with  $SM_1$  and  $SM_2$  of adult ALD were present throughout the period studied. The amount of  $SM_1$  expressed as a percentage of total myosin is plotted against the age of the embryo or chick in days.



Fig.4. Light chain analysis of myosins from developing ALD muscles analysed as in fig.2. (A) Light chains from day 1 chick ALD myosin (SM<sub>1</sub> and SM<sub>2</sub>). The peak near  $LC_1^S$  has the same mobility as  $LC_1^f$ . (B) Light chains from SM<sub>1</sub> (obtained after 16 h pyrophosphate gel electrophoresis) of day 18 chick ALD.

week 12. Since  $SM_1$  was the principle component during the period when  $LC_1^f$  was present in significant amounts, most of the  $LC_1^f$  during development was associated with this component.

Adult ALD contains SM<sub>1</sub> and SM<sub>2</sub> but no fasttwitch myosin isoenzymes [1,2] and little or no fasttwitch myosin light chains [2,5]. Yet adult ALD myosin has been reported to react with specific antibodies against fast-twitch myosin HC, albeit less strongly than its reaction with antibodies against slow-twitch myosin HC [4,5]. These observations raise the possibility that HC of SM<sub>1</sub>, the minor component of adult ALD myosin, may be responsible for its reactivity with anti-fast-twitch myosin antibodies. This possibility is strongly supported by the correlation of the isoenzyme pattern during development with the immunochemical studies of others: foetal ALD myosin, containing predominantly SM<sub>1</sub>, reacts more strongly with anti-fast-twitch myosin antibodies than with anti-slow-twitch myosin antibodies [5]. Thus, the HC of foetal and adult SM<sub>1</sub> may be the same as those of FM<sub>3</sub>, which migrates just ahead of SM<sub>1</sub> in pyrophosphate gels. This hypothesis implies that adult  $SM_1$  is a hybrid myosin isoenzyme with slow-twitch LC but fast-twitch HC. According to this hypothesis, developing ALD contains small quantities of slow-twitch isoenzyme  $SM_2$  (which is composed of slow-twitch HC and principally slow-twitch LC) and

large quantities of fast-twitch HC which are associated with both fast-twitch and slow-twitch LC in  $SM_1$ . In other words, foetal  $SM_1$  is heterogeneous with respect to the type of LC. The developmental change in ALD consists in an increase in the proportion of slowtwitch HC at the expense of reduced fast-twitch HC, while fast-twitch LC is eventually replaced by slowtwitch LC.

It is of interest to correlate the myosin isoenzyme changes in the ALD with histochemical and physiological data. When stained for Ca<sup>2+</sup>-activated ATPase activity, adult ALD fibres are uniformly pale (type I fibres) while adult fast-twitch fibres are uniformly dark (type II fibres). In day 1 chicks fast-twitch fibres are also uniformly type II while ALD fibres are predominantly type II [14]. The presence of fast-twitch LC and/or HC is presumably responsible for the type II behaviour of developing ALD. The speed of contraction of ALD in the day 18 embryo is clearly slower than that of fast-twitch muscle [12,13] as would be expected from the difference in their myosin isoenzymes. The time-course of subsequent speed change in ALD reflect the time-course of changes in myosin isoenzymes; little speed change occurs up to about 3 weeks [13], thereafter the speed of ALD becomes progressively reduced over a period of months [14]. The excellent correlation between myosin isoenzymes and muscle speed is apparently contradicted by the finding of a sudden and marked change in muscle speed occurring between the day 16 and the day 18 of incubation [12,13]. Prior to this time, both types of muscle gave a slow contracture in response to stimulation. Since this change is accompanied only by a minimal change in isoenzyme distribution, but a marked rise in tetanic tension, it is probably related to changes in the mechanism of excitation or excitation-contraction coupling.

## 4. Conclusion

Myosin isoenzyme analysis of developing chicken fast-twitch and slow-twitch muscles reported here suggest that from the day 11 embryo through to maturity these muscles do not synthesize a foetal myosin as earlier chick embryos apparently do [15,16]. Rather, these muscles are already expressing some of the myosin genes which are expressed in the adult animal. The pattern of myosin gene expression is clearly distinct in the two types of muscle in day 14 embryos. Changes in the pattern of expression of these genes occur in both types of muscle during subsequent development. These changes are correlated well with changes in contractile properties. The myosin changes may be brought about by nerves which are known to influence the contractile properties of regenerating chicken muscles [12] and the expression of mammalian myosin genes [17].

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a grant from the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia. I wish to thank Miss B. H. Kim for expert technical assistance.

### References

- Hoh, J. F. Y., McGrath, P. A. and White, R. I. (1976) Biochem. J. 157, 87-95.
- [2] Hoh, J. F. Y. (1978) FEBS Lett. 90, 297-300.
- [3] Masaki, T. (1974) Biochem. J. 76, 441-449.
- [4] Arndt, I. and Pepe, F. A. (1975) J. Histochem. Cytochem. 23, 159-168.
- [5] Rubinstein, N. A., Pepe, F. A. and Holtzer, H. (1977) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74, 4524-4527.
- [6] Trayer, I. P. and Perry, S. V. (1966) Biochem. Z. 345, 87-100.
- [7] Dow, J. and Stracher, A. (1971) Biochemistry 10, 1316-1321.
- [8] Dow, J. and Stracher, A. (1971) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 68, 1107-1110.
- [9] Sreter, F., Holtzer, S., Gergely, J. and Holtzer, H. (1972) J. Cell. Biol. 55, 586-594.
- [10] Masaki, T. and Yoshizaki, C. (1974) Biochem. J. 76, 123-131.
- [11] Close, R. I. (1972) Physiol. Rev. 52, 129-197.
- [12] Gordon, T. and Vrbová, G. (1975) Pflügers Arch. 360, 199-218.
- [13] Gordon, T., Purves, R. D. and Vrbová, G. (1977) J. Physiol. 269, 535-547.
- [14] Melichna, J., Gutmann, E. and Syrový, I. (1974)
  Physiol. Biohemosl. 23, 511-520.
- [15] Obinata, T. (1969) Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 132, 184–197.
- [16] Obinata, T., Hasegawa, T., Masaki, T. and Hayashi, T. (1976) J. Biochem. 79, 521–531.
- [17] Hoh, J. F. Y. (1975) Biochemistry 14, 742-747.