

Does the Diagonal Bound Property Imply that X Is a Hilbert Space?

HELGA FETTER AND BERTA GAMBOA DE BUEN

*Centro de Investigación en Matemáticas,
Guanajuato, Gto., México, C.P. 36000*

Submitted by Ky Fan

Received June 7, 1987

INTRODUCTION

Recently the authors came upon a paper of J. L. Abreu and A. Alonso [1], where they show that if x_1, \dots, x_n are vectors in a Hilbert space, then $\inf_{\varepsilon(n)} \|\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i x_i\|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2 \leq \sup_{\varepsilon(n)} \|\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i x_i\|^2$ where the inf and the sup are taken over all the possible sequences $\varepsilon(n) = \{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}$ such that $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

They ask the following question: Is the converse of this true? That is, if there exists $K > 0$ such that for all x_1, \dots, x_n in a Banach space X ,

$$\frac{1}{K} \inf_{\varepsilon(n)} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i x_i \right\|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2 \leq K \sup_{\varepsilon(n)} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i x_i \right\|^2,$$

is X isomorphic to a Hilbert space?

We show here that if $K = 1$ then X is isometric to a Hilbert space, if $1 \leq K < 2$ then the space is reflexive, and in general that X is of type $2 - \varepsilon$ and of cotype $2 + \varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Finally, if X is a Banach lattice then X is isomorphic to an inner product space independently of the value of K .

We want to remark that all the terminology and results concerning superproperties, finite representability, type and cotype can be found in [2, 6], those concerning Banach lattices in [5].

We wish to thank A. Alonso for his helpful comments.

First of all we need the following definition:

DEFINITION. We will say that a Banach space X has the diagonal bound property (DBP) for the constant K , if for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$

$$\frac{1}{K} \inf_{\varepsilon(n)} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i x_i \right\|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2 \leq K \sup_{\varepsilon(n)} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i x_i \right\|^2,$$

where the inf and the sup are taken over all the sequences $\varepsilon(n) = \{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}$ where $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1, i = 1, \dots, n$.

1. THE CASES $K = 1$ AND $1 < K < 2$

THEOREM 1. *A real or complex Banach space X is isometrically isomorphic to an inner product space if and only if DBP holds for $K = 1$.*

Proof. The proof that DBP holds for a Hilbert space for $K = 1$ can be found in [1]. Suppose conversely that for every x_1, \dots, x_n in X ,

$$\inf_{\varepsilon(n)} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i x_i \right\|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2 \leq \sup_{\varepsilon(n)} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i x_i \right\|^2.$$

Then, for any two elements $x, y \in X$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} 4 &= \min \{ \|(x + y) + (x - y)\|^2, \|(x + y) - (x - y)\|^2 \} \\ &\leq \|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 \\ &\leq \max \{ \|(x + y) + (x - y)\|^2, \|(x + y) - (x - y)\|^2 \} = 4 \end{aligned}$$

and this, by a result of M. Day [3] implies that X is an inner product space.

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that X has the DBP for $1 \leq K < 2$. Then X is reflexive.*

Proof. Using the terminology and results in [2], we will prove that X is uniformly nonsquare and hence reflexive. That is, we have to show that in this case there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $x, y \in X$ with

$$\|x\| = \|y\| = 1, \quad \frac{\|x - y\|}{2} > 1 - \delta \text{ implies } \frac{\|x + y\|}{2} \leq 1 - \delta.$$

Let $\delta = 1 - \sqrt{K/2} > 0$ and suppose $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ and $\|x - y\|/2 > 1 - \delta$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 4(1 - \delta)^2 + \|x + y\|^2 &\leq \|x - y\|^2 + \|x + y\|^2 \\ &\leq K \max \{ \|(x - y) + (x + y)\|^2, \|(x - y) - (x + y)\|^2 \} = 4K. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|x + y\|^2 \leq 4(K - (1 - \delta)^2) = 4(1 - \delta)^2$ i.e.

$$\left\| \frac{x + y}{2} \right\| \leq 1 - \delta.$$

2. CONSEQUENCES OF THE DBP

(i) It is easy to see that the diagonal bound property is inherited by subspaces.

(ii) The DBP is stable under isomorphisms; in fact, if $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is a Banach space isomorphism and X has the DBP for K , then Y has the DBP for $\|T\| \|T^{-1}\| K$.

(iii) The DBP is a superproperty and since X^{**} is finitely representable in X , this implies that X^{**} has DBP for the same K as X .

(iv) Neither l_∞ nor c_0 have the DBP for any K . This is obvious, for if $e_i = (0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots)$, then $\|\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i e_i\|^2 = 1$ for every $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$ but $\sum_{i=1}^n \|e_i\|^2 = n$.

(v) From (i) and (iv) we get that neither l_∞ nor c_0 can be isomorphic to a subspace of X if X has the DBP.

(vi) From (iii) and (iv) we get that neither l_∞ nor c_0 are finitely representable in X , if X has the DBP.

3. WHAT HAPPENS IF X IS A BANACH LATTICE

THEOREM 3. *If X is a Banach lattice and X has the DBP for some $K \geq 1$ then any sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of mutually disjoint elements of X with $\|x_i\| = 1, i = 1, 2, \dots$, is equivalent to the unit vector basis of l_2 .*

Proof. We observe first, that if x_1, \dots, x_n are mutually disjoint elements in X , then $|\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i x_i| = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$ for any sequence $\varepsilon(n) = \{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}$ with $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1, i = 1, \dots, n$, and consequently $\|\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i x_i\| = \|\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\| = \|\sup_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i|\|$. Therefore, if $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is any sequence of scalars, for any n we get

$$\begin{aligned} K^{-1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \right\|^2 &= \inf_{\varepsilon(n)} K^{-1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i a_i x_i \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i x_i\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^2 \\ &\leq K \sup_{\varepsilon(n)} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i a_i x_i \right\|^2 = K \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \right\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the inf and sup are taken over all sequences $\varepsilon(n) = \{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}$ with $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1, i = 1, \dots, n$.

THEOREM 4. *If X is a Banach lattice and X has the DBP for some $K \geq 1$ then X is order continuous.*

Proof. Since by (2.v) X does not contain a sequence of mutually disjoint elements equivalent to the unit vector basis of c_0 , and X does not contain a subspace isomorphic to l_∞ , we get that X is σ -complete and σ -order continuous. Hence X is order continuous.

THEOREM 5. *If X is a Banach lattice and X has the DBP for some $K > 1$ then X is isomorphic to $L_2(\mu)$ for some measure μ . In particular X is isomorphic to an inner product space.*

Proof. This follows directly from Theorems 4 and 5 and by a theorem found in [5].

The following result was proved in [1], but here we get it as a corollary.

COROLLARY 6. *If X is a Banach space with an unconditional basis which has the DBP for some K , then X is isomorphic to an inner product space.*

Proof. This follows readily from the fact that every Banach space with an unconditional basis can be viewed as a Banach lattice.

4. THE GENERAL CASE

DEFINITION 7. (a) Let p be such that $1 \leq p \leq 2$. We say that a Banach space X is of type p , if there exists a constant C such that for any finite family x_1, \dots, x_n of points in X ,

$$\left(2^{-n} \sum_{n=1}^{2^n} \left\| \sum_{\varepsilon(n)} \varepsilon_i x_i \right\|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

where $\varepsilon(n)$ ranges over all sequences

$$\varepsilon(n) = \{ \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \} \quad \text{with} \quad \varepsilon_i = \pm 1, i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Similarly

(b) If $2 \leq q$ we say that a Banach space X is of cotype q if there is a constant D such that for all $n \geq 1$ and all points x_1, \dots, x_n we have

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^q \right)^{1/q} \leq D \left(2^{-n} \sum_{n=1}^{2^n} \left\| \sum_{\varepsilon(n)} \varepsilon_i x_i \right\|^q \right)^{1/q}$$

where $\varepsilon(n)$ is as above.

It is not difficult to show that a Hilbert space is of type 2 and cotype 2. What is more surprising is the fact that a Banach space which is of type 2

and cotype 2 is isomorphic to a Hilbert space. This result is due to Kwapien [4].

THEOREM 8. *If X is a Banach space with the DBP for some K , then X is of type $2 - \varepsilon$ and of cotype $2 + \varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Proof. Pisier [6] showed that if $p(X) = \sup\{r: X \text{ is of type } r\}$ and $q(X) = \inf\{q: X \text{ is of cotype } q\}$, then $p(X) = \inf\{r: l_r \text{ is finitely representable in } X\}$ and $q(X) = \sup\{s: l_s \text{ is finitely representable in } X\}$. From Corollary 6 and the fact that for $1 \leq r < \infty$, l_r has an unconditional basis, it follows that l_r does not have the DBP if $r \neq 2$. Also from (2.v) we know that l_∞ does not have the DBP.

Since the DBP is a superproperty, (2.iii), this means that l_r is not finitely representable in X for $r \neq 2$. Hence $p(X) = q(X) = 2$, which proves the theorem.

Observe that there are spaces X such that X has type $2 - \varepsilon$ and cotype $2 + \varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$ which are not isomorphic to a Hilbert space. In [5], for instance, it is shown that there exists a sequence of integers $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and a sequence of numbers $\{p_n\}$, with $p_n \rightarrow 2$ so that $X = (\sum_{n=1}^\infty \oplus_{p_n} l_{k_n}^{p_n})$ is not isomorphic to l_2 but X is of type $2 - \varepsilon$ and cotype $2 + \varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Note, however, that this space does not have the DBP for any K .

As we mentioned in (2.iii), if X has the DBP then X^{**} also has the DBP, and hence X^{**} also is of type $2 - \varepsilon$ and cotype $2 + \varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

It is also true that in this case X^* is of type $2 - \varepsilon$ and cotype $2 + \varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$; Pisier [7].

REFERENCES

1. J. L. ABREU AND A. ALONSO, Sobre una condición para que un espacio de Banach sea de Hilbert, *Aportaciones Mat. Soc. Mat. Mex.* (1984), 1-7.
2. B. BEAUZAMY, "Introduction to Banach spaces and their geometry," Mathematics Studies No. 68, 2nd ed., North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985.
3. M. M. DAY, Some characterizations of inner-product spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **62** (1947), 320-337.
4. S. KWAPIEN, Isomorphic characterizations of inner product spaces by orthogonal series with vector valued coefficients, *Studia Math.* **44** (1972), 583-595.
5. J. LINDENSTRAUSS AND L. TZAFRIRI, "Classical Banach Spaces II," Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin, 1979.
6. G. PISIER, Probabilistic methods in the geometry of Banach spaces, Department of Mathematics, Texas A & M University.
7. G. PISIER, Holomorphic semi-groups and the geometry of Banach spaces, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **115** (1982), 375-392.