



ELSEVIER

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

Journal of
Combinatorial
Theory

Series A

Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A 104 (2003) 371–380

<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jcta>

Note

Orthogonal polynomials arising from the wreath products of a dihedral group with a symmetric group

Hiroki Akazawa^a and Hiroshi Mizukawa^{b,1}^aGraduate School of Natural Science and Technology, Okayama University, Okayama 700-8530, Japan^bDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Okayama University, Okayama 700-8530, Japan

Received 7 February 2003

Dedicated to Professor Takashi Tasaka on his 65th Birthday

Abstract

Some classes of orthogonal polynomials are discussed in this paper which are expressed in terms of $(n + 1, m + 1)$ -hypergeometric functions. The orthogonality comes from that of zonal spherical functions of certain Gelfand pairs.

© 2003 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

MSC: 33C45; 05E35; 05E05

Keywords: Discrete orthogonal polynomials; $(n + 1, m + 1)$ -hypergeometric functions; Gelfand pair of finite groups; Zonal spherical functions

1. Introduction

We discuss some discrete orthogonal polynomials arising from Gelfand pairs [3,4] of wreath products.

In the previous paper [4] one of the authors has shown that the Gelfand pairs of complex reflection group, $G(r, 1, n) \cong \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z} \wr S_n$, and symmetric group, S_n , gives

E-mail addresses: akazawa@math.okayama-u.ac.jp (H. Akazawa), mzh@math.okayama-u.ac.jp (H. Mizukawa).

¹Supported in part by a grant from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

orthogonal polynomials which are expressed by the $(r, 2r)$ -hypergeometric functions [1,5].

Theorem 1.1 (Mizukawa [4, Theorem 4.6]). *The zonal spherical functions of the Gelfand pair $(G(r, 1, n), S_n)$ have $(r, 2r)$ -hypergeometric expressions*

$$\omega_{(\ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{r-1})}^{(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{r-1})} = F((-\ell_1, \dots, -\ell_{r-1}), (-k_1, \dots, -k_{r-1}); -n; \tilde{\Xi}_r).$$

Here $\tilde{\Xi}_r = (1 - \xi^{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq r-1}$ with $\xi = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/r)$ and ℓ_i, k_i are non-negative integers such that $\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} k_i = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \ell_i = n$.

We denote the shifted factorial of an indeterminate x by

$$(x)_m = x(x + 1)(x + 2) \cdots (x + m - 1)$$

for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and

$$(x)_0 = 1.$$

In the theorem above $F((-\ell_1, \dots, -\ell_{r-1}), (-k_1, \dots, -k_{r-1}); -n; \tilde{\Xi}_r)$ is called the $(r, 2r)$ -hypergeometric function which is, by definition,

$$\sum_{\substack{\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \leq n \\ (a_{ij}) \in M_{r-1, r-1}(\mathbb{N}_0)}} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} (-\ell_i)_{\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} a_{ij}} \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} (-k_j)_{\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} a_{ij}} \prod (1 - \xi^{ij})^{a_{ij}}}{(-n)_{\sum_{i,j} a_{ij}} \prod a_{ij}!},$$

where $M_{n,m}(\mathbb{N}_0)$ is a set of $n \times m$ -matrix with non-negative integer elements. Furthermore, their orthogonalities are described as follows.

Theorem 1.2 (Mizukawa [4, Corollary 4.7]). *If $k = (k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})$, $k' = (k'_0, \dots, k'_{r-1})$ and $\ell = (\ell_0, \dots, \ell_{r-1})$ satisfies $\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} k_i = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} k'_i = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \ell_i = n$, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{r^n} \sum_{\ell_0 + \dots + \ell_{r-1} = n} \binom{n}{\ell_0, \dots, \ell_{r-1}} F(-\tilde{\ell}, -\tilde{k}; -n; \tilde{\Xi}_r) \overline{F(-\tilde{\ell}, -\tilde{k}'; -n; \tilde{\Xi}_r)} \\ & = \binom{n}{k_0, \dots, k_{r-1}}^{-1} \delta_{kk'}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we put $\tilde{\ell} = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{r-1})$ for $\ell = (\ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{r-1})$.

We see that these orthogonal polynomials are defined on the unit circle in the complex plane. We take great interest in other orthogonal polynomials obtained from the $(r, 2r)$ -hypergeometric functions. Our purpose of this paper is to obtain the orthogonal polynomials which are defined on a real interval, and expressed in terms of the $(r, 2r)$ -hypergeometric functions. For this purpose we consider the wreath products of a dihedral group with a symmetric group.

2. Main results

Now if $-N$ is a negative integer, then we define the finite series called the $(n + 1, m + 1)$ -hypergeometric functions [1,5];

$$F(\alpha, \beta; -N, X) = \sum_{\substack{\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \leq N \\ (a_{ij}) \in M_{n,m-n-1}(\mathbb{N}_0)}} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i)_{\sum_{j=1}^{m-n-1} a_{ij}} \prod_{j=1}^{m-n-1} (\beta_j)_{\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij}} \prod_{i,j} x_{ij}^{a_{ij}}}{(-N)_{\sum_{i,j} a_{ij}} \prod a_{ij}!}$$

for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{m-n-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{m-n-1}$. Our purpose of this paper is to obtain the following orthogonality relations.

Theorem 2.1. For a positive integer $m = \lceil r/2 \rceil$, we assume that $k = (k_0, \dots, k_m)$, $k' = (k'_0, \dots, k'_m)$ and $\ell = (\ell_0, \dots, \ell_m)$ are elements of \mathbb{N}_0^{m+1} such that $k_0 + \dots + k_m = k'_0 + \dots + k'_m = \ell_0 + \dots + \ell_m = n$. We put $\tilde{\ell} = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_m)$ for $\ell = (\ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_m)$ and $\tilde{\Theta}_r = (1 - \cos(2\pi ij/r))_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}$. Then we have

(1) If r is an odd positive integer,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{r^n} \sum_{\ell_0 + \dots + \ell_m = n} 2^{n-\ell_0} \binom{n}{\ell_0, \dots, \ell_m} F(-\tilde{\ell}, -\tilde{k}; -n; \tilde{\Theta}_r) F(-\tilde{\ell}, -\tilde{k}'; -n; \tilde{\Theta}_r) \\ & = 2^{-n+k_0} \binom{n}{k_0, \dots, k_m}^{-1} \delta_{kk'}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) If r is an even positive integer,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{r^n} \sum_{\ell_0 + \dots + \ell_m = n} 2^{n-\ell_0-\ell_m} \binom{n}{\ell_0, \dots, \ell_m} F(-\tilde{\ell}, -\tilde{k}; -n; \tilde{\Theta}_r) F(-\tilde{\ell}, -\tilde{k}'; -n; \tilde{\Theta}_r) \\ & = 2^{-n+k_0+k_m} \binom{n}{k_0, \dots, k_m}^{-1} \delta_{kk'}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.2. The single variable versions of Theorem 1.2, namely $r = 2$ and $r = 3$, are the $p = 1/2$ and $2/3$ orthogonality statements for the Krawtchouk polynomials and that Dunkl [2] had given a spherical function interpretation for these cases.

Actually these relations are obtained from the orthogonality of the zonal spherical functions of the Gelfand pair of finite groups $(D(r, n), D(1, n))$. In the rest of the paper we prove Theorem 2.1 by computing the zonal spherical functions of $(D(r, n), D(1, n))$.

3. Zonal spherical functions of $(D(r, n), D(1, n))$

Fix $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. Put $\xi = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/r)$. Let

$$D_r = \langle a, b; a^2 = b^r = (ab)^2 = 1 \rangle$$

be the dihedral group of order $2r$ and S_n be the symmetric group. We denote by $G = D(r, n) = D_r \wr S_n$. We define the subgroup H of G by

$$H = \langle a \rangle \wr S_n \cong D(1, n).$$

We consider the pair of groups (G, H) . Let E_n be the $n \times n$ identity matrix. We can represent G as a subgroup of GL_{2n} by taking generators

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ 1 & 0 & & \\ & & E_{2n-2} & \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} \xi & & & \\ & \xi^{-1} & & \\ & & & \\ & & & E_{2n-2} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$T_i = \begin{pmatrix} E_{2i-2} & & & \\ & 0 & E_2 & \\ & E_2 & 0 & \\ & & & E_{2n-2i-2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-1).$$

We remark that $D(1, n) \cong W(B_n)$, where $W(B_n)$ is the Weyl group of type B and that $D(2, n) \cong V_4 \wr S_n$, where V_4 denotes by Kleinsche Vierergruppe. We define another subgroup K of G by

$$K = \langle b \rangle \wr S_n \cong G(r, 1, n),$$

where $G(r, 1, n)$ is the imprimitive complex reflection group.

Proposition 3.1. (1) *The representatives of double coset $H \backslash G / H$ are given by*

$$\left\{ \left(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{\ell_0}, \underbrace{b, \dots, b}_{\ell_1}, \dots, \underbrace{b^m, \dots, b^m}_{\ell_m}; e \right) \in G; \sum_{i=0}^m \ell_i = n \right\},$$

where $m = \frac{r-1}{2}$ if r is odd, $m = \frac{r}{2}$ if r is even, and e is a unit element of S_n .

(2)

$$\left| H \left(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{\ell_0}, \underbrace{b, \dots, b}_{\ell_1}, \dots, \underbrace{b^m, \dots, b^m}_{\ell_m}; e \right) H \right| = \begin{cases} 2^{2n-\ell_0} \binom{n}{\ell_0, \dots, \ell_m} n!, & \text{if } r = 2m + 1 \\ 2^{2n-\ell_0-\ell_m} \binom{n}{\ell_0, \dots, \ell_m} n!, & \text{if } r = 2m. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since (2) follows from (1), we only prove (1). Let d be an element of D_r . For $0 \leq t \leq m$, any element of G is written uniquely as $d = a^s b^t a^u$ for suitable $s, u \in \{0, 1\}$.

For $(d_1, \dots, d_n; \sigma) = (a^{s_1} b^{t_1} a^{u_1}, \dots, a^{s_n} b^{t_n} a^{u_n}; \sigma) \in G(d_i \in D_r, \sigma \in S_n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (a^{s_{\tau(1)}}, \dots, a^{s_{\tau(n)}; \tau^{-1}})(a^{s_1} b^{t_1} a^{u_1}, \dots, a^{s_n} b^{t_n} a^{u_n}; \sigma)(a^{u_{\sigma(1)}}, \dots, a^{u_{\sigma(n)}; \sigma^{-1} \tau}) \\ &= (b^{t_{\tau(1)}}, \dots, b^{t_{\tau(n)}; e}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tau \in S_n$ can be chosen suitably, the representatives of double coset are determined by the number of b^t for $0 \leq t \leq m$. \square

Let $(a^{s_1} b^{t_1}, \dots, a^{s_n} b^{t_n}; \sigma)$ be an element of G , where $s_i \in \{0, 1\}, t_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) and $\sigma \in S_n$. The group G acts on the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n^{-1}]$ as

$$(a^{s_1} b^{t_1}, \dots, a^{s_n} b^{t_n}; \sigma)f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(\zeta^{-t_{\sigma(1)}} x_{\sigma(1)}^{\varepsilon_1}, \dots, \zeta^{-t_{\sigma(n)}} x_{\sigma(n)}^{\varepsilon_n}),$$

where $\varepsilon_i = (-1)^{s_{\sigma(i)}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. We define the map from \mathbb{N}_0^{m+1} to the set of all partitions as follows;

$$\psi(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_m) = (0^{k_0} 1^{k_1} \dots m^{k_m}),$$

where k_i is the multiplicity of i for $0 \leq i \leq m$ [3, I-1].

Proposition 3.2. *The induced representation 1_H^G is decomposed as follows.*

$$1_H^G \cong \bigoplus_{\sum_{i=0}^m k_i = n} W^{(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_m)}.$$

Each $W^{(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_m)}$ is an irreducible G -module which is realized as follows:

$$W^{(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_m)} = \bigoplus_{f \in L_n(\psi(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_m))} \mathbb{C}f.$$

Here, in the case that $r = 2m + 1$,

$$L_n(\lambda) = \{x_{\sigma(1)}^{\varepsilon_1 \lambda_1} x_{\sigma(2)}^{\varepsilon_2 \lambda_2} \dots x_{\sigma(n)}^{\varepsilon_n \lambda_n}; \varepsilon_i \in \{\pm 1\}, \sigma \in S_n\},$$

and if $r = 2m$,

$$\begin{aligned} L_n(\lambda) = & \left\{ \left(x_{\sigma(1)}^{\lambda_1} + x_{\sigma(1)}^{-\lambda_1} \right) \left(x_{\sigma(2)}^{\lambda_2} + x_{\sigma(2)}^{-\lambda_2} \right) \dots \left(x_{\sigma(k_m)}^{\lambda_{k_m}} + x_{\sigma(k_m)}^{-\lambda_{k_m}} \right) \right. \\ & \left. \times x_{\sigma(k_m+1)}^{\varepsilon_{k_m+1} \lambda_{k_m+1}} x_{\sigma(k_m+2)}^{\varepsilon_{k_m+2} \lambda_{k_m+2}} \dots x_{\sigma(n)}^{\varepsilon_n \lambda_n}; \varepsilon_i \in \{\pm 1\}, \sigma \in S_n \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n), \lambda_i \geq \lambda_{i+1} \geq 0$.

Proof. It is easy to see that each $W^{(k_0, \dots, k_m)}$ is G -invariant. From definition we have

$$\dim W^{(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_m)} = \begin{cases} \binom{n}{k_0, k_1, \dots, k_m} 2^{n-k_0} & \text{if } r = 2m + 1, \\ \binom{n}{k_0, k_1, \dots, k_m} 2^{n-k_0-k_m} & \text{if } r = 2m. \end{cases}$$

We define the inner product on each $W^{(k_0, \dots, k_m)}$ as follows:

$$[\alpha x_1^{\varepsilon_1 \lambda_1} \dots x_n^{\varepsilon_n \lambda_n} | \beta x_1^{\eta_1 \mu_1} \dots x_n^{\eta_n \mu_n}] = \frac{\alpha \bar{\beta}}{\binom{n}{k_0, k_1, \dots, k_m} 2^{n-k_0}} \prod_{i=1}^n \delta_{\varepsilon_i \lambda_i, \eta_i \mu_i}.$$

Here $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, $\varepsilon_i, \eta_i \in \{\pm 1\}$, and $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) = (0^{k_0} 1^{k_1} \dots m^{k_m})$. It is easy to see that this inner product is G -invariant on $W^{(k_0, \dots, k_m)}$. For $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = (0^{k_0} 1^{k_1} \dots m^{k_m})$, we define the polynomial of n variables by

$$f_\lambda(x) = \frac{2^{-k_0}}{k_0! k_1! \dots k_m!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (x_{\sigma(1)}^{\lambda_1} + x_{\sigma(1)}^{-\lambda_1}) (x_{\sigma(2)}^{\lambda_2} + x_{\sigma(2)}^{-\lambda_2}) \dots (x_{\sigma(n)}^{\lambda_n} + x_{\sigma(n)}^{-\lambda_n}).$$

Since f_λ is an H -invariant element in $W^{(k_0, \dots, k_m)}$, we see that $W^{(k_0, \dots, k_m)}$ includes some irreducible components of 1_H^G . We define the map $\phi(x_1^{\varepsilon_1 \lambda_1} x_2^{\varepsilon_2 \lambda_2} \dots x_n^{\varepsilon_n \lambda_n}) = \phi(x_1^{\varepsilon_1 \lambda_1}) \phi(x_2^{\varepsilon_2 \lambda_2}) \dots \phi(x_n^{\varepsilon_n \lambda_n})$ by

$$\phi : W^{(k_0, \dots, k_m)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n], \quad x_i^k \mapsto \begin{cases} x_i^k & \text{if } k > 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } k = 0, \\ x_i^{r+k} & \text{if } k < 0. \end{cases}$$

We remark K acts on $\mathbb{C}[x]$ (cf. [4]). Since ϕ is a K -homomorphism, we obtain that

$$W^{(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_m)} \cong K \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{\substack{s_i + s_{r-i} = k_i \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} V^{(k_0, s_1, \dots, s_{r-1})} & \text{if } r = 2m + 1, \\ \bigoplus_{\substack{s_i + s_{r-i} = k_i \\ 1 \leq i \leq m-1}} V^{(k_0, s_1, \dots, s_{m-1}, k_m, s_{m+1}, \dots, s_{r-1})} & \text{if } r = 2m. \end{cases}$$

Here $V^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})} = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{x_{\sigma(1)}^{\lambda_1} x_{\sigma(2)}^{\lambda_2} \dots x_{\sigma(n)}^{\lambda_n} | \sigma \in S_n\}$ for $\lambda = (0^{k_0} 1^{k_1} \dots (r-1)^{k_{r-1}})$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} k_i = n$. Note that $V^{(k_0, s_1, \dots, s_{r-1})}$ are irreducible K -modules and not equivalent to each other, and this decomposition is multiplicity free. The inverse image of $V^{(k_0, s_1, \dots, s_{r-1})}$ contains a monomial in $L_n(\lambda)$. Since G acts transitively on $L_n(\lambda)$ and this decomposition is unique, we find that $W^{(k_0, \dots, k_m)}$ are irreducible and not equivalent to each other as G -module. Finally an easy calculation shows that

$$\sum_{\sum_{i=0}^m k_i = n} \dim W^{(k_0, \dots, k_m)} = r^n = \dim 1_H^G. \quad \square$$

By this proposition we see that the induced representation 1_H^G is multiplicity free. Then we say that $(G, H) = (D(r, n), D(1, n))$ is a *Gelfand pair*. Since 1_H^G is multiplicity free, $f_{(0^{k_0} 1^{k_1} \dots m^{k_m})}$ is unique H -invariant element in irreducible component $W^{(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_m)}$. Note that f_λ satisfies

$$[f_\lambda(x) | f_\mu(x)] = \delta_{\lambda\mu}.$$

Then the function $[f_\lambda(x)|(gf_\lambda)(x)]$ is called *the zonal spherical function*. Let $g = (a^{s_1} b^{t_1}, \dots, a^{s_n} b^{t_n}; \sigma) \in G$. Since f_λ is H -invariant, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (gf_\lambda)(x) &= (a^{s_1}, \dots, a^{s_n}; \sigma)(b^{t_{\sigma(1)}}, \dots, b^{t_{\sigma(n)}}; e)f_\lambda(x) \\ &= (b^{t_{\sigma(1)}}, \dots, b^{t_{\sigma(n)}}; e)f_\lambda(x) \\ &= f_\lambda(\xi^{-t_{\sigma(1)}}x_1, \dots, \xi^{-t_{\sigma(n)}}x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the zonal spherical functions (cf. [3,4]) are

$$\begin{aligned} [f_\lambda(x)|(gf_\lambda)(x)] &= [f_\lambda(x)|f_\lambda(\xi^{-t_{\sigma(1)}}x_1, \dots, \xi^{-t_{\sigma(n)}}x_n)] \\ &= [x_1^{e_1\lambda_1} \dots x_n^{e_n\lambda_n} | x_1^{e_1\lambda_1} \dots x_n^{e_n\lambda_n}] f_\lambda(\xi^{t_{\sigma(1)}}, \dots, \xi^{t_{\sigma(n)}}) \\ &= f_\lambda(\xi^{t_1}, \dots, \xi^{t_n}) / f_\lambda(1, \dots, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.3. For $(k_0, \dots, k_m) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{m+1}$ and $\sum_{i=0}^m k_i = n$, we have the zonal spherical function $\omega^{(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_m)}$ of the Gelfand pair (G, H) ;

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^{(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_m)} &\left(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{\ell_0}, \underbrace{b, \dots, b}_{\ell_1}, \dots, \underbrace{b^m, \dots, b^m}_{\ell_m}; e \right) \\ &= f_\lambda \left(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{\ell_0}, \underbrace{\xi, \dots, \xi}_{\ell_1}, \dots, \underbrace{\xi^m, \dots, \xi^m}_{\ell_m} \right) / f_\lambda(1, \dots, 1), \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda = (0^{k_0} 1^{k_1} \dots m^{k_m})$.

4. Hypergeometric expression of zonal spherical functions

For $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) = (0^{k_0} 1^{k_1} \dots m^{k_m})$, we define

$$f_{(\ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_m)}^{(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_m)} = \frac{1}{2^{n-k_0}} f_\lambda \left(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{\ell_0}, \underbrace{\xi, \dots, \xi}_{\ell_1}, \dots, \underbrace{\xi^m, \dots, \xi^m}_{\ell_m} \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{(\ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_m)}^{(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_m)} &= \omega^{(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_m)} \left(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{\ell_0}, \underbrace{b, \dots, b}_{\ell_1}, \dots, \underbrace{b^m, \dots, b^m}_{\ell_m}; e \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\binom{n}{k_0, k_1, \dots, k_m}} f_{(\ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_m)}^{(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_m)}. \end{aligned}$$

From definition of f_λ , the value of zonal spherical functions on each double coset can be described by the term of trigonometric functions,

$$c(z) = \cos(2\pi z/r).$$

Namely, for $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n) = (0^{\ell_0} 1^{\ell_1} \dots m^{\ell_m})$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{(\ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_m)}^{(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_m)} &= \frac{1}{k_0! k_1! \dots k_m!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} c(\lambda_1 \mu_{\sigma(1)}) c(\lambda_2 \mu_{\sigma(2)}) \dots c(\lambda_n \mu_{\sigma(n)}) \\ &= \sum_{I_n^{k_0 k_1 \dots k_m}} c(0 \mu_{i_1^{(0)}}) \dots c(0 \mu_{i_{k_0}^{(0)}}) c(1 \mu_{i_1^{(1)}}) \dots c(1 \mu_{i_{k_1}^{(1)}}) \dots c(m \mu_{i_1^{(m)}}) \dots c(m \mu_{i_{k_m}^{(m)}}), \end{aligned}$$

where the summation runs over

$$\begin{aligned} I_n^{k_0 k_1 \dots k_m} &= \{ \{ i_1^{(0)}, \dots, i_{k_0}^{(0)}, i_1^{(1)}, \dots, i_{k_1}^{(1)}, \dots, i_1^{(m)}, \dots, i_{k_m}^{(m)} \}; \\ &\bigcup_{j=0}^m \{ i_1^{(j)}, \dots, i_{k_j}^{(j)}; 1 \leq i_1^{(j)} < \dots < i_{k_j}^{(j)} \leq n \} = \{ 1, 2, \dots, n \}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.1.

$$f_{(\ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_m)}^{(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_m)} = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \prod_{i=0}^m \binom{\ell_i}{a_{i0}, a_{i1}, \dots, a_{im}} \prod_{0 \leq i, j \leq m} \left(\cos\left(\frac{2\pi ij}{r}\right) \right)^{a_{ij}},$$

where

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{(\ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_m)}^{(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_m)} = \left\{ a = (a_{ij}) \in M_{m+1}(\mathbb{N}_0); \sum_{i=0}^m a_{ij} = k_j, \sum_{j=0}^m a_{ij} = \ell_i \right\}.$$

The proof is similar to that of [4, Proposition 4.1]. For $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n) = (0^{\ell_0} 1^{\ell_1} \dots m^{\ell_m})$, we put $\mathcal{L}_i = \{k; \mu_k = i\}$ and

$$a_{ij} = |\mathcal{L}_i \cap \{i_1^{(j)}, \dots, i_{k_j}^{(j)}\}|.$$

Then we see that a_{ij} satisfy

$$\sum_{i=0}^m a_{ij} = k_j \text{ and } \sum_{j=0}^m a_{ij} = \ell_i.$$

And we obtain the claim of Proposition 4.1.

The proof of the following lemma is similar to [4, Proposition 4.4].

Lemma 4.2.

$$f_{(\ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_m)}^{(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_m)} = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \binom{n - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} a_{ij}}{k_0, a_{01}, \dots, a_{0m}} \prod_{i=1}^m \binom{\ell_i}{a_{i0}, a_{i1}, \dots, a_{im}} \\ \times \prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \left(\cos\left(\frac{2\pi ij}{r}\right) - 1 \right)^{a_{ij}}.$$

Proof. We compute that

$$f_{(\ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_m)}^{(k_0, \dots, k_m)} = \sum_{I_n^{k_0 \dots k_m}} \prod_{j=0}^m \prod_{s=1}^{k_j} c(j\mu_{I_s^{(j)}}) = \sum_{I_n^{k_0 \dots k_m}} \prod_{j=1}^m \prod_{s=1}^{k_j} (1 + (c(j\mu_{I_s^{(j)}}) - 1)) \\ = \sum_{I_n^{k_0 \dots k_m}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq t_i \leq k_i \\ (1 \leq i \leq m)}} \prod_{j=1}^m e_{t_j}(c(j\mu_{I_1^{(j)}}) - 1, \dots, c(j\mu_{I_{k_j}^{(j)}}) - 1).$$

Here e_t denotes the elementary symmetric function. Putting

$$t_0 = n - \sum_{i=1}^m t_i = \sum_{i=1}^m (k_i - t_i) + k_0,$$

it follows that

$$f_{(\ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_m)}^{(k_0, \dots, k_m)} = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq t_i \leq k_i \\ (1 \leq i \leq m)}} \sum_{I_n^{t_0 \dots t_m}} \prod_{j=1}^m \prod_{s=1}^{t_j} (c(j\mu_{I_s^{(j)}}) - 1) \times \binom{t_0}{k_1 - t_1, \dots, k_m - t_m, k_0}.$$

Analogous to the previous proposition, we can compute

$$\sum_{I_n^{t_0 \dots t_m}} \prod_{j=1}^m \prod_{s=1}^{t_j} (c(j\mu_{I_s^{(j)}}) - 1) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}'} \prod_{i=0}^m \binom{\ell_i}{a_{i0}, \dots, a_{im}} \prod_{j=1}^m (c(ji) - 1)^{a_{ij}} \\ = \sum_{\substack{a \in \mathcal{A}' \\ a_{01}, \dots, a_{0m} = 0}} \prod_{i=1}^m \binom{\ell_i}{a_{i0}, \dots, a_{im}} \prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} (c(ij) - 1)^{a_{ij}}.$$

Here $\mathcal{A}' = \{a = (a_{ij}) \in M_{m+1}(\mathbb{N}_0); \sum_{i=0}^m a_{ij} = t_j, \sum_{j=0}^m a_{ij} = \ell_i\}$. By combining these computations, we obtain the claim of lemma. \square

Using this lemma, the zonal spherical functions are written as

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{(\ell_0, \dots, \ell_m)}^{(k_0, \dots, k_m)} &= \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \frac{(n - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} a_{ij})! k_1! \cdots k_m! \ell_1! \cdots \ell_m!}{n! a_{01}! \cdots a_{0m}! a_{10}! \cdots a_{m0}!} \prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \frac{(c(ij) - 1)^{a_{ij}}}{a_{ij}!} \\ &= \sum_{\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} a_{ij} \leq n} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m (-k_j)^{\sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij}} \prod_{i=1}^m (-\ell_i)^{\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}}}{(-n)^{\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} a_{ij}}} \prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \frac{(1 - c(ij))^{a_{ij}}}{a_{ij}!} \\ &= F((-\ell_1, \dots, -\ell_m), (-k_1, \dots, -k_m); -n; (1 - \cos(2\pi ij/r))). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have a hypergeometric expression of the zonal spherical functions.

Theorem 4.3.

$$\omega_{(\ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_m)}^{(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_m)} = F((-\ell_1, \dots, -\ell_m), (-k_1, \dots, -k_m); -n; (1 - \cos(2\pi ij/r))_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}).$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. From Proposition 3.1 the cardinality of each double coset is

$$|H(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{\ell_0}, \underbrace{b, \dots, b}_{\ell_1}, \dots, \underbrace{b^m, \dots, b^m}_{\ell_m}; e)H| = \begin{cases} 2^{n-\ell_0} \binom{n}{\ell_0, \dots, \ell_m} n!, & \text{if } r = 2m + 1 \\ 2^{n-\ell_0-\ell_m} \binom{n}{\ell_0, \dots, \ell_m} n!, & \text{if } r = 2m, \end{cases}$$

and we already know the dimension of each irreducible component of 1_H^G from Proposition 3.2. From the orthogonality of the zonal spherical functions [3,4] we have Theorem 2.1.

References

[1] K. Aomoto, M. Kita, Theory of Hypergeometric Functions, Springer, Tokyo, 1994 (in Japanese).
 [2] C. Dunkl, A Krawtchouk polynomial addition theorem and wreath products of symmetric groups, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 25 (4) (1976) 335–358.
 [3] I.G. Macdonald, Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials, 2nd Edition, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.
 [4] H. Mizukawa, Zonal spherical functions of $(G(r, 1, n), S_n)$ and $(n + 1, m + 1)$ -hypergeometric functions, Adv. Math., in press.
 [5] M. Yoshida, Hypergeometric Functions, My Love. Modular Interpretations of Configuration Spaces, Aspects of Mathematics, Friedr. Vieweg and Sohn, Braunschweig, 1997.

Further reading

E. Bannai, T. Ito, Algebraic Combinatorics. I. Association Schemes, The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co., CA, 1984.
 H. Koelink, q -Krawtchouk polynomials as spherical functions on the Hecke algebra of type B , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 352 (10) (2000) 4789–4813.
 D. Stanton, Some q -Krawtchouk polynomials on Chevalley groups, Amer. J. Math. 102 (4) (1980) 625–662.