Abstracts

agents clopidogrel and prasugrel. METHODS: We developed a decision model to evaluate the potential clinical benefits and harms for three strategies—clopidogrel, prasugrel, and genotype-guided therapy—used for the treatment of patients with acute coronary syndromes with planned percutaneous coronary intervention. Data were derived from a systematic review of published literature, including clinical trials, and publicly available sources. The lifetime incidence of clinical events was projected, and net health benefit assessed using quality-adjusted life-years. Sensitivity and scenario analyses were conducted to assess uncertainty in results. RESULTS: Compared to clopidogrel therapy, prasugrel therapy was significantly associated with an average incremental decrease in the lifetime risk of myocardial infarction, but also an average incremental increase in the risk of major bleed events and bleed deaths. The genotype-guided treatment strategy did not exhibit any statistically significant difference in the population-level effects when compared to the clopidogrel and prasugrel strategies. No significant differences in net benefits were demonstrated among treatment strategies. The nonvariant clopidogrel therapy population and the prasugrel therapy population had significantly favorable cardiovascular and life expectancy outcomes compared to the CYP2C19 reduced-function allele when deciding optimal antiplatelet treatment regimens. CONCLUSIONS: The inherent benefit-harm tradeoff of antiplatelet agents could potentially be improved with the use of CYP2C19 genotype information in patients with acute coronary syndrome. Genotype-guided therapy leads to improved outcomes for reduced-function CYP2C19 individuals, however this approach does not result in significant differences for the aggregate acute coronary syndrome population when compared to the overall clopidogrel and prasugrel therapy strategies. Ongoing randomized controlled trials will be critical in further assessing the role of the CYP2C19 reduced-function allele while deciding optimal antiplatelet treatment regimens.
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OBJECTIVES: The purpose of this study was to evaluate the relative efficacy and safety of dalteparin against anticoagulant therapies in patients with cancer, medical patients at risk of VTE, total hip replacement, and acute myocardial infarction (AMI).

METHODS: A meta-analysis was performed with randomized clinical trials (RCT) where anticoagulant therapies were used to prevent or treat VTE. Efficacy was assessed with the reduction in pulmonary thromboembolism (PE) and deep vein thrombosis (DVT) events; safety with the frequency and type of adverse events (AE). RCTs were searched in December 2008 in Medline, EMBASE and the Cochrane Collaboration. Two independent reviewers identified the abstracts, selected the full articles and extracted data. Odds ratios and weighted means differences were calculated. Random effects models were employed in the analyses. RESULTS: From 2,439 abstracts, we obtained 91 RCT. 23 were excluded (unacceptable designs, insufficient outcome data) leaving 68. Dalteparin (25000–7500 IU/day) was compared against unfractionated heparin, enoxaparin, warfarin, nadroparin, fondaparinux, aspirin and placebo. In patients with AMI, dalteparin showed to be effective in diminishing new infarctions (HR 0.82; 0.72–0.93) and mortality (OR 0.92; 0.73–1.17); major bleeding (OR 1.20; 0.48–3.16) or minor bleeding (OR 0.87; 0.41–1.83). CONCLUSIONS: Dalteparin is an effective low-molecular-weight heparin in the prevention and treatment of VTE in surgery and non surgery patients, not showing higher AE than unfractionated heparin or other recommended therapies.
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OBJECTIVES: Diabetes patients with coronary artery disease represent a population with high cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. The objective of the study was to compare the long-term effectiveness of percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) versus coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) in diabetic patients with multi-vascular coronary artery disease (MVD). METHODS: Studies were retrieved from PUBMED database using keywords: angioplasty, coronary, stent, PCI and coronary artery bypass surgery (August 1992 to December 2009). Randomised controlled trials which compared PCI and CABG in head to head comparisons were included according to pre-specified inclusion/exclusion criteria. The outcomes of interest were mortality, myocardial infarction, stroke, and the use of additional revascularization procedures. Two reviewers independently extracted data from the included studies. Data was analyzed using STATA (v.9.0). RESULTS: Of the 416 studies identified, 5 studies met the inclusion criteria. A total of 813 patients were included in this analysis (208 in ARTS, 78 in EARCI-II, 115 in MASS-II, 353 in BARI trial, and 59 in EAST). In total, 409 diabetic patients with MVD were randomized to PCI, and 404 were randomized to CABG. Survival was significantly greater after CABG than after PCI with a risk ratio of 0.98 (95% CI 0.98–1.01; p = 0.009 for the five-year mortality rate). The risk of myocardial infarction was not different between the PCI and CABG groups (HR 1.18; 0.96–1.44; p = 0.12). CONCLUSIONS: PCI is non-inferior to CABG for survival and has a lower risk of myocardial infarction and stroke compared to CABG at five years of follow-up. METABOLISM: This study was similar for patients with diabetes mellitus. Analyses from this review suggests that CABG greatly improves survival and re-intervention rate when compared to PCI, in diabetic patients with multi-vascular coronary artery disease.