

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Discrete Mathematics 306 (2006) 2115-2117

Note

www.elsevier.com/locate/disc

MATHEMATICS

DISCRETE

# Collapsible biclaw-free graphs

Hong-Jian Lai<sup>a,c</sup>, Xiangjuan Yao<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV 26506, USA <sup>b</sup>College of Sciences, China University of Mining and Technology, Jiangsu, Xuzhou 221008, PR China <sup>c</sup>College of Science, Chongqing Technology and Business University, Chongqing 400067, PR China

Received 20 October 2005; received in revised form 24 February 2006; accepted 28 March 2006 Available online 11 July 2006

#### Abstract

A graph is called biclaw-free if it has no biclaw as an induced subgraph. In this note, we prove that if *G* is a connected bipartite biclaw-free graph with  $\delta(G) \ge 5$ , then *G* is collapsible, and of course superculerian. This bound is best possible. © 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Supereulerian graphs; Collapsible graphs; Biclaw-free graphs

### 1. Introduction

Graphs in this paper are finite and simple. Undefined terms and notations are from [2]. For a graph G, let O(G) denote the set of odd degree vertices of G. A graph G is *eulerian* if G is connected with  $O(G) = \emptyset$ , and is *supereulerian* if G has a spanning eulerian subgraph. Since a spanning eulerian subgraph H with maximum degree  $\Delta(H) = 2$  is a hamiltonian cycle, supereulerian graphs are viewed as a relaxed version of hamiltonian graphs. Boesch et al. in [1] indicated that the problem of characterizing supereulerian graphs might be very difficult. In 1979, Pulleyblank [9] showed that determining if a graph is supereulerian is NP-complete.

Catlin [3] introduced the concept of collapsible graphs. A graph *G* is *collapsible* if for any subset  $R \subseteq V(G)$  with  $|R| \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , *G* has a spanning connected subgraph  $\Gamma_R$  such that  $O(\Gamma_R) = R$ . For example,  $K_1$  and cycles of length less than 4 are collapsible, but  $C_4$  is not. Note that when  $R = \emptyset$ , a spanning connected subgraph  $\Gamma_R$  of *G* is a spanning eulerian subgraph of *G*, and so collapsible graphs must be supereulerian. For more in the literature, please see the survey paper of Catlin [4] and its update [5].

A *claw* is a graph isomorphic to the complete bipartite graph  $K_{1,3}$ . A *bilcaw* is defined as the graph obtained from two vertex disjoint claws by adding an edge between the two vertices of degree 3 in each of the claws (see Fig. 1).

A graph is called *biclaw-free* if it does not have a biclaw as an induced subgraph. In 1992, Li conjectured that high minimum degree may assure a biclaw-free graph to be hamiltonian.

**Conjecture 1.1** (*Li*, *Conjecture 2b.32 of Faudree et al.* [6], see also *Li* [8]). There exists a constant *c* such that every connected bipartite biclaw-free graph *G* with  $\delta(G) \ge c$  is hamiltonian.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: yxjcumt@126.com (X. Yao).

<sup>0012-365</sup>X/ $\$  - see front matter  $\$  2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.disc.2006.03.069



Fig. 2. One section of graph G.

A bipartite graph *G* with bipartition  $\{A, B\}$  is *balanced* if |A| = |B|. If a bipartite graph *G* is hamiltonian, then *G* must be balanced. For any integer c > 0, the complete bipartite graph  $K_{c,c+1}$  is clearly biclaw-free, has minimum degree *c*, but is not hamiltonian. Therefore, Conjecture 1.1 should be rephrased as that there exists a constant *c* such that every connected balanced bipartite biclaw-free graph *G* with  $\delta(G) \ge c$  is hamiltonian. While this conjecture is still open, we in this note will prove the following.

**Theorem 1.2.** Every connected bipartite biclaw-free graph G with  $\delta(G) \ge 5$  is supereulerian.

The proof of this theorem will be given in the next section. We shall also show that the bound  $\delta(G) \ge 5$  is best possible.

## 2. Proof of the main result

We shall prove the following stronger result, which implies Theorem 1.2.

**Theorem 2.1.** Every connected bipartite biclaw-free graph G with  $\delta(G) \ge 5$  is collapsible.

We start with some lemmas.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let *G* be a bipartite biclaw-free graph with  $\delta(G) = \delta \ge 4$ . Then for any two adjacent vertices *u* and *v* in *G*, there are at least  $\delta - 3$  internally disjoint (u, v)-paths of length 3.

**Proof.** By contradiction. Suppose that there exist two adjacent vertices *u* and *v*, but there are only  $t \le \delta - 4$  internally disjoint (u, v)-paths of length 3 (which are denoted by  $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_t$ , see Fig. 2).

Then in the graph  $G - \bigcup_{i=1}^{t} E(P_i)$ , there must be three edges  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  that are incident with u, and other three edges  $e'_1, e'_2, e'_3$  that are incident with v. By bipartiteness and the contradiction assumption,  $e_i$  (i = 1, 2, 3) and  $e'_j$  (j = 1, 2, 3) cannot be joined by any edge except uv. But then  $G[uv, e_1, e_2, e_3, e'_1, e'_2, e'_3]$  will be an induced biclaw of G, contrary to the assumption that G is biclaw-free.  $\Box$ 

This lemma has a few corollaries.

**Corollary 2.3.** Let G be a bipartite biclaw-free graph with  $\delta \ge 4$ . Then every edge  $e \in E(G)$  lies in a 4-cycle of G.

This can be easily deduced from Lemma 2.2.



Fig. 3. (a) *H* and (b)  $K_{2,2t+1}(H)$ .

**Corollary 2.4.** Let G be a bipartite biclaw-free graph with  $\delta(G) = \delta \ge 4$ , then  $\kappa'(G) \ge \delta - 2$ , where  $\kappa'(G)$  represents edge connectivity.

**Proof.** For an arbitrary edge cut X of G, let u and v be two vertices that are adjacent in G but belong to different components in G - X. By Lemma 2.2, there are at least  $\delta - 2$  internally disjoint (u, v)-paths (include the edge uv), so X should include at least  $\delta - 2$  edges. By the arbitrariness of X,  $\kappa'(G) \ge \delta - 2$ .

**Lemma 2.5** (*Theorem 1 of Lai* [7]). If  $\kappa'(G) \ge 2, \delta(G) \ge 3$ , and if every edge of G lies in a 4-cycle, then G is collapsible.

**Corollary 2.6.** If  $\kappa'(G) \ge 3$  and if every edge of G lies in a cycle of length at most 4, then G is collapsible.

**Proof.** Every block of G satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 2.5.  $\Box$ 

**Proof of Theorem 2.1.** Let *G* be a connected bipartite biclaw-free graph with  $\delta(G) = \delta \ge 5$ . By Corollary 2.4,  $\kappa'(G) \ge \delta - 2 \ge 3$ . By Corollary 2.3, every edge of *G* lies in a cycle of length 4. It follows by Corollary 2.6 that *G* must be collapsible.  $\Box$ 

To see that the bound  $\delta(G) \ge 5$  is best possible, we consider the following family of graphs. Let  $K_{2,2t+1}$  have bipartition (X, Y), where  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2t+1}\}$   $(t \ge 2)$ . Let H denote the graph depicted in Fig. 3(a). We call the vertex of degree 2 in H its *peak*. Let  $G(t) = K_{2,2t+1}(H)$  be the graph obtained from the disjoint union of a  $K_{2,2t+1}$  and 2t + 1 copies of H, by identifying  $x_i$   $(i = 1, 2, \dots, 2t + 1)$  of  $K_{2,2t+1}$  with the peak of one H, see Fig. 3(b).

Since  $G(t) = K_{2,2t+1}(H)$  can be contracted to  $K_{2,2t+1}$ , which is not supereulerian, G(t) is not supereulerian, and so not collapsible also. On the other hand, it is straightforward to verify that G(t) is a connected bipartite biclaw-free graph with  $\delta(G(t)) = 4$ . Therefore, the condition  $\delta(G) \ge 5$  in Theorems 1.2 and 2.1 cannot be improved.

Note that G(t) has a cut vertex. We have the following surmise:

**Conjecture 2.7.** *Every* 2*-connected bipartite biclaw-free graph* G *with*  $\delta(G) \ge 4$  *is collapsible.* 

#### References

- [1] F.T. Boesch, C. Suffey, R. Tindel, The spanning subgraphs of Eulerian graphs, J. Graph Theory 1 (1977) 79–84.
- [2] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory with Applications, American Elsevier, New York, 1976.
- [3] P.A. Catlin, A reduction method to find spanning Eulerian subgraphs, J. Graph Theory 12 (1988) 29-44.
- [4] P.A. Catlin, Super-Eulerian graphs, a survey, J. Graph Theory 16 (1992) 177–196.
- [5] Z.H. Chen, H.-J. Lai, Reduction techniques for super-Eulerian graphs and related topics—a survey, Combinatorics and Graph Theory 95, vol. 1 (Hefei), World Scientific Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1995, pp. 53–69.
- [6] R. Faudree, E. Flandrin, Z. Ryjáček, Claw-free graphs-a survey, Discrete Math. 164 (1997) 87-147.
- [7] H.-J. Lai, Graphs whose edges are in small cycles, Discrete Math. 94 (1991) 11–22.
- [8] H. Li, Problem A15, Memorandum 1076, University of Twente, Enschede, 1992, p. 119.
- [9] W.R. Pulleyblank, A note on graphs spanned by eulerian graphs, J. Graph Theory 3 (1979) 309-311.