



## Some results on intersecting families of subsets

Chuanzhong Zhu\*

Department of Mathematics, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208 USA

Received 9 May 1995; revised 30 January 1996

**Abstract**

Let  $K = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}$ ,  $L = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_s\}$  be two sets of non-negative integers,  $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{[n]}$ ,  $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , such that  $|F_i \cap F_j| \in L$ ,  $|F_i| \in K$  for all  $F_i, F_j \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $i \neq j$ ;  $x_0 \in [n]$ , and  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{F_i: x_0 \in F_i \in \mathcal{F}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_2 = \{F_i: x_0 \notin F_i \in \mathcal{F}\}$ ,  $K_1 = \{|F_i|: F_i \in \mathcal{F}_1\} \cup \{|F_i| + 1: F_i \in \mathcal{F}_2\}$  and  $t = |K_1|$ . Suppose that no set in  $\mathcal{F}_1$  contains any set in  $\mathcal{F}_2$ . We will prove that  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{i=s_1}^s \binom{n-1}{i}$ , where  $s_1 = \min_{1 \leq i \leq r} (s - t + 1, k_i - 1)$ .

This extends the results of Alon et al. [1] and Snevily [9]. The proof we give here is much easier. Noting that one conjecture of Frankl and Füredi [3] is as follow: Let  $L = \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $L$ -intersecting family, then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{i=0}^s \binom{n-1}{i}$ .

Using the above results, we prove this conjecture is true for  $s = 3$ . Combining the result of Snevily [9], we know that the above conjecture is true for  $s \leq 3$ .

In the last part of this paper, we consider the case  $L = \{l, l + 1, \dots, l + s - 1\}$ . We prove the following result.

Let  $l < s$ ,  $L = \{l, l + 1, \dots, l + s - 1\}$  and  $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{[n]}$  be an  $L$ -intersecting family such that  $|F| \geq l + r$  for any  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ , where  $r > 0$ . Then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{i=r}^s \binom{n-1}{i} + 1$  for  $n > n_0(s)$ . Equality holds iff  $r \leq s$  and  $\mathcal{F}(A, B) = \{F \in \binom{[n]}{l+r \leq |F| \leq l+s}: A \subset F\} \cup (\{[n] \setminus \{B\}\})$  for some  $l$ -element set  $A$  and its subset  $B \subset A$  such that  $0 < |B| \leq r$ .

We also consider some related problems and raise several questions. © 1998 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved

**1. Introduction**

In this paper, we will use the same notation and terminology as that of [1], including  $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

Let  $L = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_s\}$ ,  $K = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}$  be two sets of non-negative integers,  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m\} \subset 2^{[n]}$ . We say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $(K, L)$ -intersecting if  $|F_i \cap F_j| \in L$ ,  $|F_i| \in K$  for all  $F_i, F_j \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $i \neq j$ ;  $(K, L)$ -intersecting (mod  $p$ ) if  $|F_i \cap F_j| \in L + p\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $|F_i| \in K + p\mathbb{Z}$  for all  $F_i, F_j \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $i \neq j$ ; and that  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $(K, L)$ -cointersecting if  $|F_i \setminus F_j| \in L$ ,  $|F_i| \in K$  for all  $F_i, F_j \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $i \neq j$ ;  $(K, L)$ -cointersecting (mod  $p$ ) if

\* Email address: zhu@math.sc.edu.

$|F_i \setminus F_j| \in L + p\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $|F_i| \in K + p\mathbb{Z}$  for all  $F_i, F_j \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $i \neq j$ . The following results were proved by Alon et al. [1].

**Theorem 1.1** (Alon et al. [1]). *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $(K, L)$ -intersecting family of  $2^{[n]}$  and assume that  $k_i > s - r$  for every  $i$ . Then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{i=s-r+1}^s \binom{n}{i}$ .*

**Theorem 1.2** (Alon et al. [1]). *Let  $p$  be a prime and  $K, L$  two disjoint subsets of  $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ . Let  $|K| = r$ ,  $|L| = s$  and assume  $r(s-r+1) \leq p-1$  and  $n \geq s + k_r$ , where  $k_r$  is the maximal element of  $K$ .  $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{[n]}$  is  $(K, L)$ -intersecting (mod  $p$ ). Then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{i=s-r+1}^s \binom{n}{i}$ .*

Snevily has proved the following results in [9].

**Theorem 1.3** (Snevily [9]). *Let  $L = \{l_1, \dots, l_s\}$  be a collection of positive integers  $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{[n]}$  and  $\mathcal{C} = \{F_i: F_i \in \mathcal{F}, |F_i| \in L\}$ . If  $|F_i \cap F_j| \in L$  for all  $F_i, F_j \in \mathcal{F}$  and if  $|\mathcal{C}| = 0$  or  $\bigcap_{F_i \in \mathcal{C}} F_i \neq \emptyset$ , then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{i=0}^s \binom{n-1}{i}$ .*

**Theorem 1.4** (Snevily [9]). *Let  $p$  be a prime and  $K, L$  two disjoint subsets of  $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ . Let  $|L| = s$ .  $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{[n]}$  is  $(K, L)$ -intersecting (mod  $p$ ). Then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{i=0}^s \binom{n-1}{i}$ .*

By counting method, Frankl and Füredi have proved the next result.

**Theorem 1.5** (Frankl and Füredi [3]). *Let  $L = \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$ .  $\mathcal{F}$  is an  $L$ -intersecting family, then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{i=0}^s \binom{n-1}{i}$  for  $n \leq 2s + 2$  or  $n > 100s^2 / [\ln(s+1)]$ .*

They also made the following

**Conjecture A.** Theorem 1.5 is true for all  $n$ .

Snevily conjectured a general result in [9].

**Conjecture B.** Let  $L = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_s\}$ , where  $0 < l_1 < l_2 < \dots < l_s$ .  $\mathcal{F}$  is an  $L$ -intersecting family, then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{i=0}^s \binom{n-1}{i}$ .

He also proved in [9] that Conjecture A is true for  $s \leq 2$  and Conjecture B is true for  $n > n_0(l_s)$ .

The purpose of this paper is to establish some stronger results in more general form. The structure of this paper is as follow: In Sections 2 and 3, we prove some results about intersecting families for all  $n$ , which improves the result of [1,9]. As an application of this result, we give a simpler proof of a result of Snevily [9], which claims that Conjecture B is true for  $n > n_0(l_s)$ . This proof also gives a little stronger result. In Section 4, we prove much stronger results for sufficiently large  $n$ , which lead

us to conjecture some stronger results. Using a result in this section, we will also prove that Conjecture A is true for  $s = 3$ .

### 2. Results on intersecting families

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $L = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_s\}$ ,  $K = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}$ ,  $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{[n]}$  is  $(K, L)$ -intersecting. Let  $x_0 \in [n]$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{F_i: x_0 \in F_i \in \mathcal{F}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_2 = \{F_i: x_0 \notin F_i \in \mathcal{F}\}$ , and  $K_1 = \{|F_i|: F_i \in \mathcal{F}_1\} \cup \{|F_i| + 1: F_i \in \mathcal{F}_2\}$  (or  $K'_1 = \{|F_i| - 1: F_i \in \mathcal{F}_1\} \cup \{|F_i|: F_i \in \mathcal{F}_2\}$ ),  $t = |K_1| = |K'_1|$ . Suppose that no set in  $\mathcal{F}_1$  contains any set in  $\mathcal{F}_2$ . Then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{i=s_1}^s \binom{n-1}{i}$ , where  $s_1 = \min_{1 \leq i \leq r} (s - t + 1, k_i - 1)$ .*

In the following context, all the polynomial involved will have domain in the  $n$ -cube  $\{0, 1\}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Therefore, we can identify  $x_i^2$  with  $x_i$  for every variable. So, every polynomial can be written uniquely as a multilinear polynomial. All the multilinear polynomials in  $n$  variables constitute a linear space over  $\mathbb{R}$  of dimension  $2^n$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $L = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_s\}$ ,  $K = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}$ ,  $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{[n]}$  is  $(K, L)$ -intersecting. If there exists an  $a$ -element subset  $A$  of  $[n]$  such that either  $A \subset F_i$  or  $F_i \cap A = \emptyset$  for any  $F_i \in \mathcal{F}$ . Set  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{F_i: A \subset F_i \in \mathcal{F}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_2 = \{F_i: A \cap F_i = \emptyset, F_i \in \mathcal{F}\}$ . Suppose that no set in  $\mathcal{F}_1$  contains any set in  $\mathcal{F}_2$ . Then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{i=0}^s \binom{n-a}{i}$ .*

**Proof.** We may suppose that  $A = \{1, 2, \dots, a\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_u\}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_2 = \{F_{u+1}, \dots, F_m\}$  such that  $|F_1| \leq |F_2| \leq \dots \leq |F_u|$ ,  $|F_{u+1}| \leq \dots \leq |F_m|$ . Let  $v_i$  denote the characteristic vector of  $F_i$ . For  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ , let  $x^* = (x_{a+1}, \dots, x_n)$  be the truncated vector of  $x$ . Define,

$$f_i(x^*) = \prod_{t, l_t < |F_i|} (v_i^* \cdot x^* + a - l_t) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq u,$$

$$f_i(x^*) = \prod_{t, l_t < |F_i|} (v_i^* \cdot x^* - l_t) \quad \text{for } u + 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

Then

$$f_i(v_i^*) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m$$

and

$$f_i(v_j^*) = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j < i \leq m.$$

So  $\{f_i(x^*)\}$  is linearly independent over  $\mathbb{R}$  as multilinear polynomials. But  $f_i(x^*)$ 's are all multilinear polynomials in variables  $x_{a+1}, \dots, x_n$  and of degree at most  $s$ . Therefore, our result follows.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 2.1.** We may suppose that  $x_0 = 1$ . Use the same notation as in the Lemma 1 with  $a = 1$ . Let  $\binom{[n]-\{1\}}{0} \cup \dots \cup \binom{[n]-\{1\}}{s_1-1} = \{T_1, \dots, T_e\}$  such that  $|T_1| \leq \dots \leq |T_e|$ . It can be seen that  $e = \binom{n-1}{s_1-1} + \dots + \binom{n-1}{0}$ . Let

$$f(x^*) = \prod_{k \in K_1} (x_2 + \dots + x_n + 1 - k) \quad \left( \text{or } f(x^*) = \prod_{k \in K'_1} (x_2 + \dots + x_n - k) \right),$$

$$h_i(x^*) = \prod_{j \in T_i} x_j f(x^*), \quad 1 \leq i \leq e.$$

It is easily seen that

$$h_i(t_i^*) = f(t_i^*) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq e, \quad h_i(t_j^*) = 0 \quad \text{for } j < i \leq e,$$

where  $t_i$  is the characteristic vector of  $T_i$ . So,  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_e$  are linearly independent. Now, note that  $f(v_i^*) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , it can easily be checked that  $f_1, \dots, f_m, h_1, \dots, h_e$  are linearly independent. This proves our results.  $\square$

Let  $\binom{X}{a \leq i \leq b} = \{F: F \subseteq X, a \leq |F| \leq b\}$ ,  $\mathcal{F} + x = \{F \cup \{x\}: F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ . Theorem 2.1 is sharp as the following families show. For  $0 \leq i \leq t-1$ ,  $L = \{0, 1, \dots, s-1\}$ ,  $K = \{s-t+2, \dots, s\}$ , the families  $\mathcal{F} = \binom{[n]-\{1\}}{s-i \leq j \leq s} \cup ((\binom{[n]-\{1\}}{s-t+1 \leq j \leq s-i-1} + 1)$  attain the bound given in the Theorem 2.1, but they do not satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1.3.

**Corollary 1.** If  $K = \{k, \dots, k+r-1\}$ ,  $L$  is the same as in Theorem 2.1,  $L \cap K = \emptyset$ , then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{i=s_1}^s \binom{n-1}{i}$ , where  $s_1 = \min(s-r, k-1)$ .

As an application of Theorem 2.1, we can prove the following result, Theorem 2.2. It is stronger than the results obtained in [9], but the proof here is simpler. We will make use of a result of Frankl and Wilson.

**F–W Theorem** (Frankl and Wilson [5]). Let  $L$  be a collection of  $s$  non-negative integers.  $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{[n]}$  such that  $|F_i \cap F_j| \in L$  for all  $F_i, F_j \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $i \neq j$ , then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{i=0}^s \binom{n}{i}$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Conjecture B is true if  $n > s \binom{l_s(l_s+1)}{l_1+1}$ .

**Remark.** A little more careful computation shows that Conjecture B is true for  $n > s \left( \binom{2l_s-l_1}{l_1+1} + (l_s-l_1)^2 \binom{l_s-1}{l_1} \right)$ .

**Proof of Theorem 2.2.** By Theorem 2.1, if conjecture B is false, then there exist at least three set  $F_1, F_2, F_3 \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $|F_i| \leq l_s$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , moreover, for any  $x \in B = F_1 \cap F_2$ , there exists  $F_x \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $x \notin F_x$  and  $|F_x| \leq l_s$ .

Let  $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $|F_i| \leq l_s$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and that  $|B| = |F_1 \cap F_2|$  is as smaller as possible. Let  $B = \{x_1, \dots, x_t\}$  and  $F_{x_i} \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $x_i \notin F_{x_i}$ . Set  $Q = F_1 \cup F_2 \cup F_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup F_{x_{t-1}}$ , then  $|Q \cap F| \geq l_1 + 1$  for any  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . But  $|Q| \leq (t-1)$

+1)( $l_s - l_1$ ) +  $2l_s - t < l_s(l_s + 1)$ . Therefore,

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{H \in \binom{[n]}{l_s+1}} |\mathcal{F}(H)|,$$

where  $\mathcal{F}(H) = \{F \in \mathcal{F} : H \subseteq F\}$ . By F–W Theorem, we have

$$|\mathcal{F}(H)| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \binom{n-l_1-1}{i} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \binom{n-2}{i} < \frac{s}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^s \binom{n-1}{i}.$$

Hence,

$$|\mathcal{F}| < \frac{s}{n-1} \binom{l_s(l_s+1)}{l_1+1} \sum_{i=1}^s \binom{n-1}{i} < \sum_{i=0}^s \binom{n-1}{i},$$

if  $n > s \binom{l_s(l_s+1)}{l_1+1}$ . This is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $L = \{0, l_1, l_2, \dots, l_s\}$ ,  $K = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}$ ,  $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{[n]}$  is  $(K, L)$ -cointersecting.  $k_i > s - r$  for every  $i$ . Then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{i=s-r+1}^s \binom{n}{i}$ .

**Proof.** Let us label the family  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \dots, F_m\}$  such that  $|F_1| \geq |F_2| \geq \dots \geq |F_m|$ . Define

$$f_i(x) = \prod_{t=1}^s (\bar{v}_i \cdot x - l_t), \quad 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

where  $\bar{v}_i$  is the characteristic vector of  $[n] \setminus F_i$ ,  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Let  $\binom{[n]}{0} \cup \dots \cup \binom{[n]}{s-1} = \{T_1, \dots, T_w\}$  such that  $|T_1| \leq \dots \leq |T_w|$ . Let

$$f(x) = \prod_{k \in K} (x_1 + \dots + x_n - k),$$

$$h_i(x) = \prod_{j \in T_i} x_j f(x), \quad 1 \leq i \leq w.$$

It can easily be proved that  $\{f_1, \dots, f_m; h_1, \dots, h_w\}$  is linearly independent. Hence, our result follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $L = \{0, l_1, l_2, \dots, l_s\}$ ,  $K = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}$ ,  $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{[n]}$  is  $(K, L)$ -cointersecting. Let  $x_0 \in [n]$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{F_i : x_0 \in F_i \in \mathcal{F}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_2 = \{F_i : x_0 \notin F_i \in \mathcal{F}\}$ ,  $K_1 = \{|F_i| - 1 : F_i \in \mathcal{F}_1\} \cup \{|F_i| : F_i \in \mathcal{F}_2\}$  (or  $K'_1 = \{|F_i| : F_i \in \mathcal{F}_1\} \cup \{|F_i| + 1 : F_i \in \mathcal{F}_2\}$ ).  $t = |K_1| = |K'_1|$ . Suppose that no set in  $\mathcal{F}_1$  contains any set in  $\mathcal{F}_2$ . Then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{i=s_1}^s \binom{n-1}{i}$ , where  $s_1 = \min_{1 \leq i \leq r} (s - t + 1, k_i - 1)$ .

Note that we have  $|L| = s + 1$  in Theorem 2.4, but the bound is still the same as that of other results.

**Proof.** The proof goes almost the same as that of Theorem 2.1 except several changes indicated below. Here we label the family  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $1 \in F_i$  iff  $i \leq u$ ,  $|F_1| \geq |F_2| \geq \dots$

$\geq |F_u|, |F_{u+1}| \geq \dots \geq |F_m|$ . Define

$$f_i(x^*) = \prod_{l=1}^s (\bar{v}_i^* \cdot x^* - l_i), \quad 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

where  $\bar{v}_i$  is the characteristic vector of  $[n] \setminus F_i$ ,  $x^* = (x_2, \dots, x_n)$ . Then

$$f_i(v_i^*) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

$$f_i(v_j^*) = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j < i \leq u \text{ or } i \leq u < j \text{ or } u < j < i \leq m.$$

Let

$$f(x^*) = \prod_{k \in K_1} (x_2 + \dots + x_n - k) \quad \left( \text{or } f(x^*) = \prod_{k \in K'_1} (x_2 + \dots + x_n + 1 - k) \right),$$

$$h_i(x^*) = \prod_{j \in T_i} x_j f(x^*) \quad 1 \leq i \leq e. \quad \square$$

**Corollary 2.** If  $K = \{k, \dots, k + r - 1\}$ ,  $L$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  are the same as Theorem 2.4, then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{i=s_1}^s \binom{n-1}{i}$ , where  $s_1 = \min(s - r + 1, k - 1)$ .

### 3. Modular version

We can prove the following modular version of Theorems 2.1 and 2.4. In this case, we need the following definition and a lemma of Alon, et al. [1]. Since the proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 are exactly the same as that of Theorems 2.1 and 2.4, respectively, except that we consider the problems over finite field  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , so we omit all the details.

**Definition.** We say that a set  $H = \{h_1, \dots, h_m\} \subset [n]$  has a *gap* of size  $\geq g$  (where the  $h_i$  are arranged in increasing order) if  $h_1 \geq g - 1$ ,  $n - h_m \geq g - 1$ , or  $h_{i+1} - h_i \geq g$  for some  $i$ .

**Lemma 2** (Alon et al. [1]). Let  $K \subset \{0, 1, \dots, p - 1\}$  be a set of integers and assume that the set  $(K + p\mathbb{Z}) \cap \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  has a *gap*  $\geq s + 1$ , where  $s \geq 0$ . Define

$$f(x) = \prod_{k \in K} (x_1 + \dots + x_n - k).$$

Then the set of multilinear polynomials  $\{\prod_{i \in I} x_i f : |I| \leq s - 1\}$  is linearly independent over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $p$  be a prime,  $K = \{k_1, \dots, k_r\}$ ,  $L = \{l_1, \dots, l_s\}$  be two disjoint subsets of  $\{0, 1, \dots, p - 1\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \dots, F_m\} \subset 2^{[n]}$  is  $(K, L)$ -intersecting (mod  $p$ ).

Let  $x_0$  be any element of  $[n]$  such that  $x_0 \in F_i$  iff  $1 \leq i \leq h$ . Let  $K_1 = \{|F_i|: 1 \leq i \leq h\} \cup \{|F_i| + 1: i > h\} + p\mathbb{Z} = \{k'_1, \dots, k'_t\} + p\mathbb{Z}$ . If  $K_1 \cap \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  has a gap of size  $\geq g$ ,  $s^* = \min(s - t + 1, g - 1)$ , Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{i=s^*}^s \binom{n-1}{i}. \quad \square$$

As pointed in [1], if  $t(s - t + 1) \leq p - 1$ ,  $n \geq s + k'_t$ , where  $k'_t$  is the maximal element of the set  $\{k'_1, \dots, k'_t\}$ , then  $K_1 \cap \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  has a gap of size  $\geq s - t + 2$ . Hence, we have

**Corollary 3.** Under the assumption of Theorem 3.1, if  $t(s - t + 1) \leq p - 1$ ,  $n \geq s + k'_t$ , then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{i=s-t+1}^s \binom{n-1}{i}$ .

In particular, if  $K = \{k, k + 1, \dots, k + r - 1\}$ ,  $(r + 1)(s - r) \leq p - 1$  and  $n \geq k + s + r$ , then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{i=s-r}^s \binom{n-1}{i}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $p$  be a prime,  $K = \{k_1, \dots, k_r\}$ ,  $L = \{l_1, \dots, l_s\}$  be two disjoint subsets of  $\{0, 1, \dots, p - 1\}$  and  $l_i > 0$  for every  $i$ . Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \dots, F_m\} \subset 2^{[n]}$  is  $(K, L)$ -cointersecting (mod  $p$ ). Let  $x_0$  be any element of  $[n]$  such that  $x_0 \in F_i$  iff  $1 \leq i \leq h$ . Let  $K_1 = \{|F_i| - 1: i \leq h\} \cup \{|F_i|: i > h\} + p\mathbb{Z} = \{k'_1, \dots, k'_t\} + p\mathbb{Z}$ . If  $K_1 \cap \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  has a gap of size  $\geq g$ ,  $s^* = \min(s - t + 1, g - 1)$ , Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{i=s^*}^s \binom{n-1}{i}. \quad \square$$

**Corollary 4.** Under the assumption of Theorem 3.2, if  $t(s - t + 1) \leq p - 1$ ,  $n \geq s + k'_t$ , then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{i=s-t+1}^s \binom{n-1}{i}$ .

In particular, if  $K = \{k, k + 1, \dots, k + r - 1\}$ ,  $(r + 1)(s - r) \leq p - 1$ ,  $k > 0$ ,  $n \geq k + s + r - 1$  (or  $k = 0$ ,  $n \geq p + s - 1$ ), then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{i=s-r}^s \binom{n-1}{i}$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Stronger version of Theorem 2.1

If we assume that  $k_i > l_j$  for every  $i, j$ , then we can prove much stronger results than that of Section 2.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{[n]}$  such that  $|F_i| \geq k$  and  $|F_i \cap F_j| \in \{0, 1, \dots, t - 1\}$  for  $i \neq j$ , if  $t \leq k$ . Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n}{t} / \binom{k}{t}$$

with equality iff  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Steiner system  $S(n, k, t)$ .

**Proof.** It is easily seen that  $\bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \binom{F}{t} \subset \binom{[n]}{t}$  and  $\binom{F_i}{t} \cap \binom{F_j}{t} = \emptyset$  for different  $F_i, F_j$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Now  $|\binom{F_i}{t}| \geq \binom{k}{t}$ , and the result follows directly.  $\square$

As an application of Theorems 4.1 and 2.1, we prove that Conjecture A is true for  $s \leq 3$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** *Conjecture A is true for  $s \leq 3$ .*

To prove Theorem 4.2, we need the following results.

**Lemma 3** (Pyber [8]). Let  $L = \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$ .  $\mathcal{F}$  is a maximum  $L$ -intersecting family, then  $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\leq s}$ ,  $F \subset F'$  and  $|F'| = s + 1$  implies  $F' \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Corollary 5** (Pyber [8]). *If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a maximum  $\{1, 2, \dots, s\}$ -intersecting family, then no in  $\mathcal{F}_{\geq s+2}$  contains any set in  $\mathcal{F}_{\leq s}$ .*

**H-M Theorem** (Hilton and Milner [6]). Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{[n]}{k}$  be an intersecting family. If  $\bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F = \emptyset$ , then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{n-k-1}{k-1} + 1$ . Equality holds iff for some  $x \in [n]$ ,  $A \subset [n]$ ,  $|A| = k$ ,  $x \notin A$ , and  $\mathcal{F} = \{F \in \binom{[n]}{k} : x \in F, F \cap A \neq \emptyset\} \cup \{A\}$ .

**Proof of Theorem 4.2.** Let  $c_s(n) = \sum_{i=0}^s \binom{n}{i}$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a maximum  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ -intersecting family. To prove the result, we may assume that  $n \geq 9$  and  $\bigcap \mathcal{F}_{\leq 3} = \emptyset$ . We may also assume that  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \emptyset$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_2 \cup \mathcal{F}_3 \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_{\geq 5} \neq \emptyset$  by Theorem 2.1 and Erdős–Ko–Rado theorem. Now by Lemma 3, we have  $\bigcap \mathcal{F}_4 = \emptyset$ .

(1) Suppose that  $|\mathcal{F}_2| \geq 2$ . Let  $F_1 = \{x_1, x_2\}$ ,  $F_2 = \{x_1, x_3\}$  and  $F_3 \in \mathcal{F}_{\leq 3}$  such that  $x_1 \notin F_3$ , say,  $F_3 = \{x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ . Set  $\mathcal{F}_{\geq k, A}^B = \{F \in \mathcal{F}_{\geq k} : A \subset F, B \cap F = \emptyset\}$ . Then  $|\mathcal{F}_{\geq k, A}^B| \leq c_{s-|A|+1}(n - |A \cup B|)$  or  $\leq \binom{n-|A \cup B|}{s-|A|+1} / \binom{k-|A|}{s-|A|+1}$  by F–W Theorem and Theorem 4.1. Now we have

$$\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}_{\{x_2, x_3\}}^{\{x_1\}} \cup \mathcal{F}_{\{x_1, x_2\}}^{\{x_3\}} \cup \mathcal{F}_{\{x_1, x_3\}}^{\{x_2\}} \cup \mathcal{F}_{\{x_1, x_4\}}^{\{x_2, x_3\}}.$$

So  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq 3c_2(n-3) + c_2(n-4) \leq c_3(n-1)$  for  $n \geq 9$ .

(2) Suppose that  $|\mathcal{F}_2| = 1$ . Let  $F_1 = \{x_1, x_2\}$ ,  $F_2 = \{x_1, x_3, x_4\}$ ,  $F_3 = \{x_2, x_3, x_5\}$  with  $x_1 \neq x_5$ . Then

$$\mathcal{F}_{\geq 5} \subset \mathcal{F}_{\geq 5, \{x_2, x_3\}}^{\{x_1\}} \cup \mathcal{F}_{\geq 5, \{x_2, x_4\}}^{\{x_1, x_3\}} \cup \mathcal{F}_{\geq 5, \{x_1, x_3\}}^{\{x_2, x_4\}} \cup \mathcal{F}_{\geq 5, \{x_1, x_5\}}^{\{x_2, x_3\}}.$$

By Theorem 4.1, we have  $|\mathcal{F}_{\geq 5}| \leq \frac{1}{3}(\binom{n-3}{2} + 3\binom{n-4}{2})$ . We also have  $|\mathcal{F}_3| \leq 2n-5$  and  $|\mathcal{F}_4| \leq \binom{n-1}{3} - \binom{n-5}{3} + 1 = 2n^2 - 16n + 35$ . So,  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq 2n^2 - 14n + 30 + \frac{1}{3}(n-4)(2n-9) \leq c_3(n-1)$  for  $n \geq 9$ .

(3) Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}_2 = \emptyset$ .

If  $|F_i \cap F_j| \geq 2$  for all  $F_i, F_j \in \mathcal{F}_3$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_3 = \binom{\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}}{3}$ . By Corollary 5 and Theorem 4.1, we have  $|\mathcal{F}_{\geq 5}| \leq \frac{4}{3}\binom{n-4}{2}$ . Therefore,  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq 2n^2 - 16n + 39 + \frac{2}{3}(n-4)(n-5) \leq c_3(n-1)$ .



Now let  $F_1 = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ ,  $F_2 = \{x_1, x_4, x_5\}$ ,  $F_3 = \{x_2, x_4, x_6\}$ , then

$$|\mathcal{F}_{\geq 5}| \leq |\mathcal{F}_{\geq 5, \{x_1, x_6\}}^{\{x_1, x_6\}}| + |\mathcal{F}_{\geq 5, \{x_2, x_5\}}^{\{x_1, x_4\}}| + |\mathcal{F}_{\geq 5, \{x_3, x_4\}}^{\{x_1, x_2\}}| + |\mathcal{F}_{\geq 5, \{x_3, x_5\}}^{\{x_1, x_2, x_4\}}| \\ + |\mathcal{F}_{\geq 5, \{x_1, x_2\}}^{\{x_3, x_4\}}| + |\mathcal{F}_{\geq 5, \{x_1, x_4\}}^{\{x_2, x_3, x_5\}}| + |\mathcal{F}_{\geq 5, \{x_1, x_6\}}^{\{x_2, x_4\}}|.$$

So,  $|\mathcal{F}_{\geq 5}| \leq \frac{5}{3} \binom{n-4}{2} + \frac{2}{3} \binom{n-5}{2}$ . We also have  $|\mathcal{F}_3| \leq 3n - 8$  and  $|\mathcal{F}_4| \leq 2n^2 - 16n + 35$ . Therefore, we still have  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq c_3(n - 1)$  for  $n \geq 9$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $K = \{k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_r\}$ ,  $L = \{l_1 < l_2 < \dots < l_s\}$ ,  $k_1 > l_s$ ,  $l = \max_{1 \leq i \leq s-1} \{l_1, l_{i+1} - l_i - 1\}$ ,  $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{[n]}$  is  $(K, L)$ -intersecting. Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \prod_{i=1}^s \frac{n - l_i}{k_1 - l_i}$$

holds for  $n \geq 2k_r \binom{2k_r}{l+1}$ .

**Proof.** Apply induction on  $|L| = s$ . The case  $s = 0$  is trivial. Suppose that the theorem is true for  $s - 1$ , we prove the case  $|L| = s$ .

(i)  $l_1 = 0$ . For  $x \in [n]$  the family  $\mathcal{F}(x) = \{F \in \mathcal{F} : x \in F\}$  is an  $\{l_2, \dots, l_s\}$ -intersecting family. Thus, by induction

$$|\mathcal{F}(x)| \leq \prod_{2 \leq i \leq s} \frac{n - l_i}{k_1 - l_i}.$$

Since  $\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{x \in F} 1 = \sum_{x \in [n]} |\mathcal{F}(x)| = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{x \in F} 1 \geq k_1 |\mathcal{F}|$  holds, we obtain

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \frac{n}{k_1} \prod_{2 \leq i \leq s} \frac{n - l_i}{k_1 - l_i} = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} \frac{n - l_i}{k_1 - l_i}.$$

(ii)  $l_1 > 0$ . If  $|F_i \cap F_j| \neq l_1$  holds for all  $F_i, F_j \in \mathcal{F}$  then  $\mathcal{F}$  is actually an  $\{l_2, \dots, l_s\}$ -intersecting family. Suppose that  $l_t$  is the minimum element of  $L$  such that  $|F_i \cap F_j| = l_t$  for some  $F_i, F_j \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $t > 1$ . Then, by the same arguments below, we have either  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \prod_{t \leq i \leq s} [(n - l_i)/(k_1 - l_i)]$  or  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq 2 \binom{2k_r}{l_t+1} \prod_{t+1 \leq i \leq s} [(n - l_i)/(k_1 - l_i)]$ . Since  $\binom{2k_r}{l_t+1} < \binom{2k_r}{l_t+1}^t$ , we have a stronger result.

Suppose that  $|F_1 \cap F_2| = l_1$  for some  $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ . Let  $B = F_1 \cap F_2$ . If  $B \subset F$  for all  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ , then replacing  $\mathcal{F}$  by  $\{F - B : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ ,  $k_i$  by  $k_i - l_1$  and  $L$  by  $\{0, l_2 - l_1, \dots, l_s - l_1\}$  brings us back to case (a).

Suppose finally that  $B \not\subset F_3$  holds for some  $F_3 \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then it can be seen that either  $|F \cap (F_1 \cup F_2)| > l_1$  or  $|F \cap (F_1 \cup F_3)| > l_1$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}(H) = \{F \in \mathcal{F} : H \subseteq F\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}(H)$  is an  $\{l_2, \dots, l_s\}$ -intersecting family. We have

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{\substack{H \in \binom{F_1 \cup F_2}{l_1+1} \cup \binom{F_1 \cup F_3}{l_1+1} \\ |H|=l_1+1}} |\mathcal{F}(H)|.$$

Thus by induction the result follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.** Let  $K = \{k_1 < \dots < k_r\}$ ,  $L = \{l, l + 1, \dots, l + s - 1\}$ ,  $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{[n]}$  such that  $|F_i| \in K$ ,  $|F_i \cap F_j| \in L$  for  $F_i, F_j \in \mathcal{F}$   $i \neq j$ . Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \frac{\binom{n-l}{s}}{\binom{k_1-l}{s}}$$

holds for  $n \geq 2k_r \binom{2k_r}{l+1}$ , with equality iff  $\mathcal{F} - F = \{F_i - F : F_i \in \mathcal{F}\}$  constitutes a Steiner system  $S(n - l, k_1 - l, s)$  for some  $l$ -element set  $F \subseteq [n]$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $L = \{l, l + 1, \dots, l + s - 1\}$  and  $l < s$ .  $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{[n]}$  such that  $|F_i \cap F_j| \in L$  for all  $F_i, F_j \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $i \neq j$ . If  $|F_i| \geq l + s$  for  $F_i \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-l}{s} + 1$  for  $n > n_0(s)$ . Equality holds iff  $\mathcal{F} = \{F \in \binom{[n]}{s+l} : A \subset F\} \cup ([n] \setminus B)$ , where  $|A| = l$ ,  $\emptyset \neq B \in A$ .

**Proof.** Let us write  $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{i \geq l} \mathcal{F}_i$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_i = \{F \in \mathcal{F} : |F_i| = i\}$ . Denote  $\mathcal{F}_{\geq k} = \bigcup_{i \geq k} \mathcal{F}_i$ . If there exists an  $l$ -element set  $A$  such that  $A \subset \cap \mathcal{F}$ , then we are done by Theorem 4.1.

Now we suppose that  $|\mathcal{F}| \geq \binom{n-l}{s}$  and  $|\cap \mathcal{F}| < l$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}(F_0, F) = \{H \in \binom{F}{l+s} : |H \cap F_0| \geq l\}$  for  $F_0, F \in \mathcal{F}$ . It can be seen that

$$\mathcal{H}(F_0, F_i) \cap \mathcal{H}(F_0, F_j) = \emptyset \quad \text{for } F_i \neq F_j$$

and

$$\bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{\geq k}} \mathcal{H}(F_0, F) \subset \left\{ H \in \binom{[n]}{l+s} : |H \cap F_0| \geq l \right\}.$$

Hence,

$$|\mathcal{F}_{\geq k}| \leq \frac{\binom{|F_0|}{l}}{\binom{k-l}{s}} \binom{n-l}{s}.$$

Since if we let  $|F_0| = k$  and  $k$  satisfies  $\binom{k}{l} < \binom{k-l}{s}$ , then  $|\mathcal{F}_{\geq k}| < \binom{n-l}{s}$ . Let  $k_1$  be the minimal integer satisfying  $\binom{k}{l} < \binom{k-l}{s}$ , then we have  $|\mathcal{F}_{l+s \leq i \leq k_1}| > 0$ .

Now let  $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_{l+s \leq i \leq k_2} \mathcal{F}_i$ , where  $k_2$  is some large integers depending on  $l, s$  only. By Corollary 5, we have  $|\mathcal{G}| \leq \binom{n-l}{s}$  for sufficiently large  $n$ . Moreover, if  $|\cap \mathcal{G}| < l$ , then there exist at most  $t (\leq l + s)$  sets  $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_t$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  such that  $|\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq t} F_i| < l$  and any set  $F$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  intersects  $Q = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq t} F_i$  at least  $l + 1$  elements, so by the method in the proof of Theorems 4.3 and 4.1, we will have  $|\mathcal{F}| < \binom{n-l}{s}$ . This contradicts our assumption. So, we may assume that  $|\cap \mathcal{G}| \geq l$ . Let  $A$  be an  $l$ -element set of  $\cap \mathcal{G}$  and  $F' \in \mathcal{F}_{\geq k_2+1}$  be of minimal size not containing  $A$ , denote  $t = |F' \cap A| < l$ . Then

$$\bigcup_{A \subset F \in \mathcal{F}_{\geq l+s}} \mathcal{H}_A(F', F) \subset \left\{ H \in \binom{[n]}{l+s} : A \subset H, |H \cap F'| \geq t + 1 \right\},$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_A(F', F) = \{H \in \binom{F}{l+s} : A \subset H, |H \cap F'| \geq t + 1\}$ . Note that  $|\mathcal{H}_A(F', F)| \geq 1$  for  $A \subset F$ , so

$$|\{F \in \mathcal{F}_{\geq s+1} : A \subset F\}| \leq \left| \binom{[n] \setminus A}{s} \right| - \left| \left\{ H \in \binom{[n]}{l+s} : A \subset H, |H \cap F'| \leq t \right\} \right|.$$

Since,  $|F' \cap A| = t (\leq l - 1)$ , then

$$\binom{n - |F'| - l + t}{s} \leq |\{F \in \mathcal{F}_{\geq l+s}: A \not\subseteq F\}| \leq |\mathcal{F}_{\geq |F'|}| \leq \frac{\binom{k_1}{l}}{\binom{|F'| - l}{s}} \binom{n-l}{s}.$$

But if we let  $k_2$  be large enough, then the above inequality implies  $|F'| > (n + l + s)/2$  for sufficiently large  $n$ . Therefore,  $|\{F \in \mathcal{F}_{\geq l+s}: A \not\subseteq F\}| \leq 1$ . Hence,  $|\mathcal{F}_{\geq l+s}| \leq \binom{n-l}{s} + 1$  for sufficiently large  $n$ . The extremal set must be as described in the Theorem.  $\square$

Note that in the proof of Theorem 4.4, we only use the assumption  $l < s$  to prove that there exist some set of relatively small size as compare to  $l + s$ . So, combining Theorem 4.1 with the proof of Theorem 4.4, we can actually prove the following:

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $L = \{l, l + 1, \dots, l + s - 1\}$ .  $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{[n]}{\geq k}$  is an  $L$ -intersecting family such that  $\mathcal{F}_k \neq \emptyset$  and  $k \geq l + s$ , then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-l}{s} / \binom{k-l}{s} + 1$  for  $n > n_0(k)$ . Equality may occur only if  $\mathcal{F}_k \setminus A = \{F \setminus A: F \in \mathcal{F}_k\}$  is a Steiner system  $S(n - l, k - l, s)$  for some  $l$ -element  $A$  of  $[n]$ .*

Using the method as in [7], we can prove that there exists a computable constant  $c$  independent of  $l, s, k$  such that  $n_0(k) \leq c \max(l(k - l)^2, (k - l)^2 \binom{k}{l}^{1/s})$ .

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $l < s, L = \{l, l + 1, \dots, l + s - 1\}$  and  $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{[n]}$  be an  $L$ -intersecting family. Then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{i=0}^s \binom{n-l}{i}$  for  $n > n_0(s)$ . Equality holds iff  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\mathcal{F}(A) = \{F \in \binom{[n]}{l \leq i \leq l+s}: A \subset F\}$  or  $\mathcal{F}(A, x) = \{F \in \binom{[n]}{l+1 \leq i \leq l+s}: A \subset F\} \cup ([n] \setminus \{x\})$  for some  $l$ -element set  $A$  and  $x \in A$ .*

**Proof.** By the Erdős–Ko–Rado Theorem, we have  $|\mathcal{F}_i| \leq \binom{n-l}{i-l}$  for  $n \geq (i-l+1)(l+1)$ . So,  $|\mathcal{F}_{\leq l+s-1}| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \binom{n-l}{i}$ , with equality iff  $\mathcal{F}_{\leq l+s-1} = \{F \in \binom{[n]}{\leq l+s-1}: A \subset F\}$  for some  $l$ -element set  $A$ . Now Theorem 4.6 follows from Theorem 4.4.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.** *Let  $l, s, L, \mathcal{F}$  be the same as in Theorem 4.6. If  $|F| \geq l + r$  for any  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $r > 0$ , then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{i=r}^s \binom{n-l}{i} + 1$  for  $n > n_0(s)$ . Equality holds iff  $r \leq s$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\mathcal{F}(A, B) = \{F \in \binom{[n]}{l+r \leq i \leq l+s}: A \subset F\} \cup ([n] \setminus \{B\})$  for some  $l$ -element set  $A$  and its subset  $B \subset A$  such that  $0 < |B| \leq r$ .*

Actually, by more careful computation, we can prove that  $n_0(s) \leq 16s^5 / \ln^2 s$  for Theorem 4.6 and Corollary 6.

### 5. Some open problems

First, it would be interesting to find the exact minimal value of  $n_0(l, k, r, s)$  such that Corollary 5 holds for all  $n \geq n_0(l, k, r, s)$ . Considering the symmetric designs on  $[n]$  and projective planes of order  $k$ , it leads us to make the following conjecture.

**Conjecture C.** If  $l > 0$ , for given  $k_r, s$ , then there exist positive constants  $c_1, c_2$  not depending on  $l, s$  such that  $c_1 k_r^2 \leq n_0(l, k_r, s) \leq c_2 k_r^2$ .

Actually, by using the method as in [7] in the proof of Theorem 4.3 we can show that  $n_0(l, k_r, s) \leq (k_r - l + 1)^2 \max(l, \binom{k_r}{l}^{1/s})$ .

The last two theorems in Section 4 lead us to make the following stronger conjecture as compared to Conjecture B.

**Conjecture D.** Let  $L = \{l_1 < l_2 < \dots < l_s\}$ ,  $s > 1$ .  $\mathcal{F}$  is an  $L$ -intersecting family such that  $|F| > l_s$  for all  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ , then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \prod_{i=1}^s \frac{n - l_i}{l_s - l_i + 1} + 1 \quad \text{for } n > n_0(l_s).$$

Moreover, equality may occur only if  $L = \{l, l + 1, \dots, l + s - 1\}$ .

**Conjecture E.** Let  $L = \{l_1 < l_2 < \dots < l_s\}$ ,  $s > 1$ .  $\mathcal{F}$  is an  $L$ -intersecting family, then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq n - l_1 + 1 + \sum_{i=2}^s \prod_{j \leq i} \frac{n - l_i}{l_{i+1} - l_j}, \quad \text{where } l_{s+1} = l_s + 1 \quad \text{for } n > n_0(l_s).$$

Equality may occur only if  $L = \{l, l + 1, \dots, l + s - 1\}$ .

### Acknowledgements

Thanks to Jerrold R. Griggs, my advisor, for his consistent help and valuable suggestions to make this paper readable.

### References

- [1] N. Alon, L. Babai and H. Suzuki, Multilinear polynomials and Frankl–Ray–Chaudhuri–Wilson type intersection theorems, *J. Combin. Theory A* 58 (1991) 165–180.
- [2] P. Erdős, Chao Ko and R. Rado, Intersection theorems for systems of finite sets, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 2* 12 (1961) 313–318.
- [3] P. Frankl and Z. Füredi, Families of finite sets with a missing intersection, *Proc. Colloq. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai, Eger, Hungary* 37 (1981) 305–318.
- [4] P. Frankl and R.L. Graham, Old and new proofs of the Erdős–Ko–Rado theorem, *J. Sichuan Univ. Natural Science Edition* 26 (1991) 112–122.
- [5] P. Frankl and R.M. Wilson, Intersection theorems with geometric consequences, *Combinatorica* 1 (1981) 357–368.
- [6] A.J. Hilton and E.C. Milner, Some intersection theorems for systems of finite set, *Quart. J. Math Oxford Ser. 2* 18 (1967) 369–384.
- [7] W.N. Hsieh, Intersection theorems for systems of finite vector spaces, *Discrete Math.* 12 (1975) 1–16.
- [8] L. Pyber, An extension of a Frankl–Füredi theorem, *Discrete Math.* 52 (1984) 253–268.
- [9] H.S. Snevily, On generalizations of the deBruijn–Erdős theorem, *J. Combin. Theory A*, to appear.