

More Bounds for Eigenvalues Using Traces*

Henry Wolkowicz

*Department of Mathematics**Dalhousie University**Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada B3H 4H8*

and

George P. H. Styan

*Department of Mathematics**McGill University**805 Sherbrooke Street West**Montréal, Québec, Canada H3A 2K6*

Submitted by R. S. Varga

ABSTRACT

Let the $n \times n$ complex matrix A have complex eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$. Upper and lower bounds for $\sum (\operatorname{Re} \lambda_i)^2$ and $\sum (\operatorname{Im} \lambda_i)^2$ are obtained, extending similar bounds for $\sum |\lambda_i|^2$ obtained by Eberlein (1965), Henrici (1962), and Kress, de Vries, and Wegmann (1974). These bounds involve the traces of A^*A , B^2 , C^2 , and D^2 , where $B = \frac{1}{2}(A + A^*)$, $C = \frac{1}{2}(A - A^*)/i$, and $D = AA^* - A^*A$, and strengthen some of the results in our earlier paper "Bounds for eigenvalues using traces" in *Linear Algebra and Appl.*[12].

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ (nonzero) complex matrix with conjugate transpose A^* , and let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of A . Then

$$\sum_i |\lambda_i|^2 \leq \|A\|^2 = \sum_{i,j} |a_{ij}|^2 = \operatorname{tr} A^*A \quad (1.1)$$

*This research was supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council Canada and by the Gouvernement du Québec, Programme de formation de chercheurs et d'action concertée.

(cf. Schur [10]), where $\|\mathbf{A}\|$ is the Euclidean norm of \mathbf{A} . Let

$$\mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^*),$$

$$\mathbf{C} = \frac{1}{2i}(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}^*).$$

We call \mathbf{B} the *Hermitian real part* and \mathbf{C} the *Hermitian imaginary part* of \mathbf{A} . Then (cf. [7, p. 309])

$$\sum_i (\operatorname{Re} \lambda_i)^2 \leq \|\mathbf{B}\|^2 = \sum_{i,j} \left| \frac{1}{2}(a_{ij} + \bar{a}_{ji}) \right|^2 = \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{B}^2, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\sum_i (\operatorname{Im} \lambda_i)^2 \leq \|\mathbf{C}\|^2 = \sum_{i,j} \left| \frac{1}{2i}(a_{ij} - \bar{a}_{ji}) \right|^2 = \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{C}^2. \quad (1.3)$$

Equality in any one of the three inequalities (1.1), (1.2), and (1.3) implies equality in all three and occurs if and only if \mathbf{A} is normal, i.e., $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^* = \mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{A}$.

In [12] the above inequalities were used to deduce bounds for the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} of the following type:

$$\frac{|\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A}|}{n} - s_A \left(\frac{k-1}{n-k+1} \right)^{1/2} \leq |\lambda_k| \leq \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A}}{n} \right)^{1/2} + s_A \left(\frac{n}{k} - 1 \right)^{1/2},$$

$$\frac{\operatorname{Re} \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A}}{n} - s_B \left(\frac{k-1}{n-k+1} \right)^{1/2} \leq \mu_k \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re} \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A}}{n} + s_B \left(\frac{n}{k} - 1 \right)^{1/2},$$

$$\frac{\operatorname{Im} \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A}}{n} - s_C \left(\frac{k-1}{n-k+1} \right)^{1/2} \leq \nu_k \leq \frac{\operatorname{Im} \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A}}{n} + s_C \left(\frac{n}{k} - 1 \right)^{1/2},$$

where $|\lambda_k|$, μ_k , and ν_k are the k th ordered moduli, real parts, and imaginary parts of the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} respectively, while

$$s_T^2 = \left\{ \frac{\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{T}^* \mathbf{T}}{n} - \frac{|\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{T}|^2}{n^2} \right\}, \quad \mathbf{T} = \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}.$$

In [1], Eberlein showed that

$$\sum_i |\lambda_i|^2 \leq \|\mathbf{A}\|^2 - \frac{1}{6} \frac{\|\mathbf{D}\|^2}{\|\mathbf{A}\|^2}, \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^* - \mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{A}.$$

This inequality was strengthened by Kress, de Vries, and Wegmann [4]:

$$\sum_i |\lambda_i|^2 < (\|\mathbf{A}\|^4 - \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{D}\|^2)^{1/2}. \quad (1.5)$$

A corresponding lower bound given in [2] is

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|^2 - \left(\frac{n^3 - n}{12}\right)^{1/2} \|\mathbf{D}\| \leq \sum_i |\lambda_i|^2. \quad (1.6)$$

The purpose of this paper is to first deduce additional inequalities for $\sum_i (\operatorname{Re} \lambda_i)^2$ and $\sum_i (\operatorname{Im} \lambda_i)^2$, which improve (1.2) and (1.3), and then use these inequalities to improve the bounds given in [12].

Section 2 presents several preliminary inequalities for real eigenvalues as well as the upper and lower bounds for $\sum_i |\lambda_i|^2$, $\sum_i (\operatorname{Re} \lambda_i)^2$, and $\sum_i (\operatorname{Im} \lambda_i)^2$. The eigenvalue bounds are given in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 in Sec. 3. We conclude with several examples in Sec. 4.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Our bounds will be deduced from the following bounds for real eigenvalues, presented in [12]. (For related results see, e.g., [5], [8], [11].)

LEMMA 2.1. *Let \mathbf{A} be an $n \times n$ complex matrix with real ordered eigenvalues*

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n.$$

Let

$$m = \sum_i \frac{\lambda_i}{n} = \frac{\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A}}{n}$$

and

$$s^2 = \frac{\sum_i \lambda_i^2}{n} - \left[\frac{\sum_i \lambda_i}{n} \right]^2 = \frac{\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A}^2}{n} - \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A}}{n} \right)^2$$

be their mean and variance respectively. Then for $1 \leq j \leq k \leq n$,

$$m - s \left(\frac{j-1}{n-j+1} \right)^{1/2} < \frac{1}{k-j+1} \sum_{i=j}^k \lambda_i < m + s \left(\frac{n-k}{k} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$m + (n-k)r^{-1}(n-1)^{-1/2}s \leq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i, \quad (2.2a)$$

where $r = \max(k, n - k)$,

$$\frac{1}{n-k+1} \sum_{i=k}^n \lambda_i \leq m - (k-1)r^{-1}(n-1)^{-1/2}s, \quad (2.2b)$$

where $r = \max(n - k + 1, k - 1)$,

$$(\lambda_j - \lambda_k) \leq sn^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{j} + \frac{1}{n-k+1} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$2s \leq \lambda_1 - \lambda_n, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\frac{2sn}{(n^2-1)^{1/2}} \leq \lambda_1 - \lambda_n \quad \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \quad (2.5)$$

Now suppose that \mathbf{A} is an $n \times n$ complex matrix with (complex) eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$. Define

$$\sum_i |\lambda_i^A|^2 = \sum_i |\lambda_i|^2, \quad \sum_i |\lambda_i^B|^2 = \sum_i (\operatorname{Re} \lambda_i)^2, \quad \sum_i |\lambda_i^C|^2 = \sum_i (\operatorname{Im} \lambda_i)^2,$$

$$K_A^u = \left(\|\mathbf{A}\|^4 - \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{D}\|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$K_A^l = \|\mathbf{A}\|^2 - \left(\frac{n^3 - n}{12} \right)^{1/2} \|\mathbf{D}\|,$$

$$K_B^u = \begin{cases} \left(\|\mathbf{B}\|^4 - \frac{1}{8} \|\mathbf{D}\|^2 \right)^{1/2} & \text{if } \|\mathbf{B}\| \geq \|\mathbf{C}\|, \\ \|\mathbf{B}\|^2 - \frac{1}{12} \frac{\|\mathbf{D}\|^2}{\|\mathbf{A}\|^2} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$K_B^l = \|\mathbf{B}\|^2 - \left(\frac{n^3 - n}{48} \right)^{1/2} \|\mathbf{D}\|,$$

$$K_C^u = \begin{cases} \left(\|\mathbf{C}\|^4 - \frac{1}{8} \|\mathbf{D}\|^2 \right)^{1/2} & \text{if } \|\mathbf{C}\| \geq \|\mathbf{B}\| \\ \|\mathbf{C}\|^2 - \frac{1}{12} \frac{\|\mathbf{D}\|^2}{\|\mathbf{A}\|^2} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$K_C^l = \|\mathbf{C}\|^2 - \left(\frac{n^3 - n}{48} \right)^{1/2} \|\mathbf{D}\|.$$

LEMMA 2.2. *If \mathbf{A} is an $n \times n$ complex matrix, then for $T = A, B, C$,*

$$K_T^l \leq \sum_i |\lambda_i^T|^2 \leq K_T^u. \quad (2.7)$$

Proof. If $T = A$, then (2.7) is just (1.5) and (1.6). Now, if $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{\Lambda} + \mathbf{M}$ is a Schur triangular form of \mathbf{A} , i.e., $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{U}^*$, \mathbf{U} is unitary, $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ is diagonal and \mathbf{M} is upper triangular, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{A}\|^2 - \sum_i |\lambda_i|^2 &= \|\mathbf{\Lambda}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{M}\|^2 - \sum_i |\lambda_i|^2 \\ &= \|\mathbf{M}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The left-hand side of (2.7) with $T = A$, and Eberlein's inequality (1.4), are therefore equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{6} \frac{\|\mathbf{D}\|^2}{\|\mathbf{A}\|^2} \leq \|\mathbf{M}\|^2 \leq \left(\frac{n^3 - n}{12} \right)^{1/2} \|\mathbf{D}\|. \quad (2.8)$$

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{A}\|^4 - \left(\sum_i |\lambda_i|^2 \right)^2 &= \|\mathbf{\Lambda}\|^4 + \|\mathbf{M}\|^4 + 2\|\mathbf{\Lambda}\|^2\|\mathbf{M}\|^2 - \left(\sum_i |\lambda_i|^2 \right)^2 \\ &= \|\mathbf{M}\|^4 + 2\|\mathbf{\Lambda}\|^2\|\mathbf{M}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side of (2.7) with $T = A$ is now equivalent to

$$\|\mathbf{M}\|^4 + 2\|\mathbf{\Lambda}\|^2\|\mathbf{M}\|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{D}\|^2. \quad (2.9)$$

But

$$\|\mathbf{B}\|^2 - \sum_i (\operatorname{Re} \lambda_i)^2 = \left\| \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{M} + \mathbf{M}^*) \right\|^2 = \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{M}\|^2 \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$\|\mathbf{C}\|^2 - \sum_i (\operatorname{Im} \lambda_i)^2 = \left\| \frac{1}{2i}(\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{M}^*) \right\|^2 = \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{M}\|^2. \quad (2.11)$$

Therefore, from (2.8), (2.10), and (2.11), we get that

$$\|\mathbf{T}\|^2 - \left(\frac{n^3 - n}{48}\right)^{1/2} \|\mathbf{D}\| < \sum_i |\lambda_i^T|^2 < \|\mathbf{T}\|^2 - \frac{1}{12} \frac{\|\mathbf{D}\|^2}{\|\mathbf{A}\|^2}, \quad \mathbf{T} = \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}. \quad (2.12)$$

Similarly

$$\|\mathbf{B}\|^4 - \left[\sum_i (\operatorname{Re} \lambda_i)^2 \right]^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left[\|\mathbf{M}\|^4 + 4 \left\| \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^*) \right\|^2 \|\mathbf{M}\|^2 \right]; \quad (2.13)$$

$$\|\mathbf{C}\|^4 - \left[\sum_i (\operatorname{Im} \lambda_i)^2 \right]^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left[\|\mathbf{M}\|^4 + 4 \left\| \frac{1}{2i} (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}^*) \right\|^2 \|\mathbf{M}\|^2 \right]. \quad (2.14)$$

Now, since

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{B}\|^2 &= \left\| \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^*) \right\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{M}\|^2 \\ &= \sum_i (\operatorname{Re} \lambda_i)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{M}\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{C}\|^2 &= \left\| \frac{1}{2i} (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}^*) \right\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{M}\|^2 \\ &= \sum_i (\operatorname{Im} \lambda_i)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{M}\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|^2 = \sum_i |\lambda_i|^2 = \sum_i (\operatorname{Re} \lambda_i)^2 + \sum_i (\operatorname{Im} \lambda_i)^2,$$

we see that when $\|\mathbf{B}\|^2 \geq \|\mathbf{C}\|^2$, then

$$2 \left\| \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^*) \right\|^2 \geq \|\mathbf{A}\|^2. \quad (2.15)$$

Therefore, (2.9), (2.13), and (2.15) imply that

$$\sum_i |\lambda_i^B|^2 < \left(\|\mathbf{B}\|^4 - \frac{1}{8} \|\mathbf{D}\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{when} \quad \|\mathbf{B}\| \geq \|\mathbf{C}\|. \quad (2.16)$$

Similarly,

$$\sum_i |\lambda_i^C|^2 < \left(\|C\|^4 - \frac{1}{8} \|D\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{when} \quad \|C\| \geq \|B\|. \quad (2.17)$$

The result now follows by combining (2.12), (2.16), and (2.17). ■

3. BOUNDS FOR EIGENVALUES

We can now deduce the bounds for the eigenvalues of an arbitrary matrix. Let A be an $n \times n$ complex matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$. Define

$$\lambda_i^A = |\lambda_i|,$$

$$\lambda_k^B = \operatorname{Re} \lambda_i,$$

$$\lambda_i^C = \operatorname{Im} \lambda_i,$$

so that the *ordered* vectors (λ_i^T) satisfy

$$\lambda_1^T \geq \lambda_2^T \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n^T, \quad T = A, B, C.$$

Further, define

$$s_T^2 = \frac{\sum_i |\lambda_i^T|^2}{n} - \frac{\left| \sum_i \lambda_i^T \right|^2}{n^2}, \quad T = A, B, C,$$

$$m_A^u = \left(\frac{K_A^u}{n} \right)^{1/2}, \quad m_A^l = \frac{|\operatorname{tr} A|}{n},$$

$$m_B^u = m_B^l = \frac{\operatorname{tr} B}{n}, \quad m_C^u = m_C^l = \frac{\operatorname{tr} C}{n},$$

$$(s_T^u)^2 = \frac{K_T^u - |\operatorname{tr} T|^2/n}{n}, \quad T = A, B, C,$$

$$(s_T^l)^2 = \max \left\{ 0, \frac{K_T^l - |\operatorname{tr} T|^2/n}{n} \right\}, \quad T = A, B, C,$$

where K_T^u, K_T^l are as in (2.6).

THEOREM 3.1. *Let A be an $n \times n$ complex matrix, and let (λ_i^T) , m_T^u , m_T^l , s_T^u , and s_T^l be defined as above. Then for $T=A, B, C$ and $1 \leq j \leq k \leq n$,*

$$m_T^l - s_T^u \left(\frac{j-1}{n-j+1} \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{k-j+1} \sum_{i=j}^k \lambda_i^T \leq m_T^u + s_T^u \left(\frac{n-k}{k} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$m_T^l + (n-k)r^{-1}(n-1)^{-1/2} s_T^l \leq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^T, \quad (3.2a)$$

where $r = \max(k, n-k)$,

$$\frac{1}{n-k+1} \sum_{i=k}^n \lambda_i^T \leq m_T^u - (k-1)r^{-1}(n-1)^{-1/2} s_T^l, \quad (3.2b)$$

where $r = \max(n-k+1, k-1)$,

$$|\lambda_j^T - \lambda_k^T| \leq s_T^u n^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{j} + \frac{1}{n-k+1} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (3.3)$$

$$2s_T^l \leq \lambda_1^T - \lambda_n^T, \quad (3.4)$$

$$2s_T^l n / (n^2 - 1)^{1/2} \leq \lambda_1^T - \lambda_n^T \quad \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. Note that

$$m_A^l = \frac{\left| \sum_i \lambda_i \right|}{n} \leq \frac{\sum_i \lambda_i^A}{n} = \frac{\sum_i |\lambda_i|}{n} \leq \left[\frac{\sum_i |\lambda_i|^2}{n} \right]^{1/2} \leq m_A^u,$$

by the triangle and Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities and Lemma 2.2. Furthermore,

$$s_T^l \leq s_T \leq s_T^u, \quad T=A, B, C.$$

The inequalities (3.1) to (3.5) now follow upon substituting the vectors (λ_i^T) , $T=A, B, C$, for the vector (λ_i) in Lemma 2.1. ■

When A is real, then we know that the complex eigenvalues of A occur in conjugate pairs. Moreover, when A is nonnegative, then the Perron-Frobenius theorem implies that the largest eigenvalue of A , in modulus, is real and nonnegative. This extra information enables us to strengthen several of the bounds for the imaginary parts of the eigenvalues.

THEOREM 3.2. *Suppose that A is real and*

$$p = \begin{cases} \left\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rceil & \text{if } A \text{ is nonnegative,} \\ \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ denotes integer part. Then for $1 \leq j \leq k \leq p$,

$$\frac{1}{k-j+1} \sum_{i=j}^k \lambda_i^c \leq \left(\frac{K_c^u}{2k} \right)^{1/2}, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\left\{ \max \left(0, \frac{K_c^l}{2p^2} \right) \right\}^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^c, \tag{3.7}$$

$$|\lambda_j^c - \lambda_k^c| \leq \left\{ \frac{pK_c^u - K_c^l}{2p} \right\}^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{j} + \frac{1}{p-k+1} \right)^{1/2}. \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. Since A is real, the eigenvalues of A occur in conjugate pairs. Furthermore, as mentioned above, if A is nonnegative, then the largest eigenvalue of A in modulus is real (and nonnegative). Therefore, there are at most $2p$ nonreal eigenvalues, and moreover, $\lambda_i^c = \lambda_{n-i+1}^c$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, p$. This implies that

$$2 \sum_{i=1}^p (\lambda_i^c)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (\text{Im } \lambda_i)^2.$$

From Lemma 2.2, we now conclude that

$$\frac{K_c^l}{2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^p (\lambda_i^c)^2 \leq \frac{K_c^u}{2}. \tag{3.9}$$

First, let us prove (3.6):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k-j+1} \sum_{i=j}^k \lambda_i^c &\leq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^c \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^p (\lambda_i^c)^2 \right)^{1/2} k^{1/2}, \quad \text{by Cauchy-Schwarz} \\ &\leq (K_c^u/2k)^{1/2}, \quad \text{by (3.9).} \end{aligned}$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{K_c^l}{2p^2} \right)^{1/2} &\leq \left(\frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{i=1}^p (\lambda_i^c)^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad \text{by (3.9)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^c, \quad \text{since } \lambda_i^c \geq 0, i=1, \dots, p \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^c. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (3.7). To prove (3.8), substitute the p -vector (λ_i^c) for the vector (λ_i) in Lemma 2.1. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_j^c - \lambda_k^c| &\leq \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{(\lambda_i^c)^2}{p} - \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\lambda_i^c}{p} \right)^2 \right\}^{1/2} p^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{j} + \frac{1}{p-k+1} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left\{ \frac{pK_c^u - K_c^l}{2p} \right\}^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{j} + \frac{1}{p-k+1} \right)^{1/2}, \quad \text{by (3.9).} \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

4. EXAMPLES

EXAMPLE 4.1. Marcus and Minc [6, p. 148] considered the matrix

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 7+3i & -4-6i & -4 \\ -1-6i & 7 & -2-6i \\ 2 & 4-6i & 13-3i \end{pmatrix}$$

and found, using results due to Hirsch (cf. [6, p. 140]) that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} |\lambda(A)| &\leq 40.03 \\ |\operatorname{Re} \lambda(A)| &\leq 39 \\ |\operatorname{Im} \lambda(A)| &\leq 20.12 \end{aligned} \right\},$$

while Gersgorin's discs give

$$\left. \begin{aligned} |z - 7 - 3i| &\leq 11.21 \\ |z - 7| &\leq 12.40 \\ |z - 13 + 3i| &\leq 9.21 \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

In our earlier paper [12, Sec. 4], we obtained

$$\left. \begin{aligned} 9 &\leq \lambda_1^A \leq 25.46 \\ 2.64 &\leq \lambda_2^A \leq 19.09 \\ 0 &\leq \lambda_3^A \leq 12.73 \end{aligned} \right\},$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} 9 &\leq \lambda_1^B \leq 14.20 \\ 6.40 &\leq \lambda_2^B \leq 11.60 \\ 3.81 &\leq \lambda_3^B \leq 9 \end{aligned} \right\},$$

and

$$\left. \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lambda_1^C \leq 11.62 \\ -5.81 &\leq \lambda_2^C \leq 5.81 \\ -11.62 &\leq \lambda_3^C \leq 0 \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

Let us now apply Theorem 3.1. First, we find that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} K_A^l &= 256.90, & K_A^u &= 472.31 \\ K_B^l &= 168.95, & K_B^u &= 277.65 \\ K_C^l &= 87.95, & K_C^u &= 198 \end{aligned} \right\},$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} m_A^l &= 9, & m_A^u &= 12.55 \\ m_B^l &= m_B^u = 9 \\ m_C^l &= m_C^u = 0 \end{aligned} \right\},$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} s_A^l &= 2.15, & s_A^u &= 8.74 \\ s_B^l &= 0, & s_B^u &= 3.40 \\ s_C^l &= 5.41, & s_C^u &= 8.12 \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

Then we have

(a) *moduli*:

$$\begin{aligned}
 10.52 &\leq \lambda_1^A \leq 24.91, \\
 2.82 &\leq \lambda_2^A \leq 18.73, \\
 0 &\leq \lambda_3^A \leq 11.03, \\
 9.76 &\leq (\lambda_1^A + \lambda_2^A)/2, \\
 9 &\leq (\lambda_1^A + \lambda_2^A + \lambda_3^A)/3 \leq 12.55, \\
 &\quad (\lambda_2^A + \lambda_3^A)/2 \leq 11.79, \\
 &\quad \lambda_1^A - \lambda_2^A \leq 18.55, \\
 &\quad \lambda_1^A - \lambda_3^A \leq 21.42, \\
 &\quad \lambda_2^A - \lambda_3^A \leq 18.55, \\
 4.57 &\leq \lambda_1^A - \lambda_3^A;
 \end{aligned}$$

(b) *real parts*:

$$\begin{aligned}
 9 &\leq \lambda_1^B \leq 13.81, \\
 6.60 &\leq \lambda_2^B \leq 11.40, \\
 4.20 &\leq \lambda_3^B \leq 9, \\
 \lambda_1^B - \lambda_2^B &\leq 7.21, \\
 \lambda_1^B - \lambda_3^B &\leq 8.33, \\
 \lambda_2^B - \lambda_3^B &\leq 7.21;
 \end{aligned}$$

(c) *imaginary parts*:

$$\begin{aligned}
 3.81 &\leq \lambda_1^C \leq 11.49, \\
 -5.74 &\leq \lambda_2^C \leq 5.74, \\
 -11.49 &\leq \lambda_3^C \leq -3.83, \\
 1.91 &\leq (\lambda_1^C + \lambda_2^C)/2, \\
 &\quad (\lambda_2^C + \lambda_3^C)/2 \leq -1.91, \\
 &\quad \lambda_1^C - \lambda_2^C \leq 17.23, \\
 &\quad \lambda_1^C - \lambda_3^C \leq 19.90, \\
 &\quad \lambda_2^C - \lambda_3^C \leq 17.23, \\
 11.49 &\leq \lambda_1^C - \lambda_3^C.
 \end{aligned}$$

The eigenvalues of A are $9, 9+9i, 9-9i$. [Note that since $s_B^I=0$, we did not obtain useful bounds from (3.2a), (3.2b), and (3.5) when $T=B$.]

EXAMPLE 4.2. Now let

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This matrix was given in Scheffold [9], to illustrate bounds for the subdominant eigenvalues of a matrix with nonnegative elements. He found that

$$|\lambda_2|, |\lambda_3| \leq 5.$$

Using the bounds in [12], it was found that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} 3 \leq \lambda_1 \leq 9.89 \\ 0.89 \leq |\lambda_2| \leq 7.31 \\ 0 \leq |\lambda_3| \leq 4.73 \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

Let us apply Theorem 3.1 again. First, we obtain

$$\left. \begin{aligned} K_A^l = 19.76, \quad K_A^u = 62.70 \\ K_B^l = 36.38, \quad K_B^u = 58.83 \\ K_C^l = -16.62, \quad K_C^u = 5.61 \end{aligned} \right\},$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} m_A^l = 3.0, \quad m_A^u = 4.57 \\ m_B^l = m_B^u = 3.0 \\ m_C^l = m_C^u = 0.0 \end{aligned} \right\},$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} s_A^l = 0.0, \quad s_A^u = 3.45 \\ s_B^l = 1.77, \quad s_B^u = 3.26 \\ s_C^l = 0.0, \quad s_C^u = 1.37 \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

Then we have

(a) *moduli*:

$$\begin{aligned} 4.80 \leq \lambda_1^A = \lambda_1^B \leq 7.61, \\ 0.5608 \leq \lambda_2^A \leq 7.01, \\ 0.0 \leq \lambda_3^A \leq 4.57, \\ \lambda_1^A - \lambda_2^A \leq 7.32, \\ \lambda_1^A - \lambda_3^A \leq 8.45, \\ \lambda_2^A - \lambda_3^A \leq 7.32; \end{aligned}$$

(b) *real parts:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 4.80 &\leq \lambda_1^B \leq 7.61, \\
 .70 &\leq \lambda_2^B \leq 5.30, \\
 -1.6 &\leq \lambda_3^B \leq 1.75, \\
 3.57 &\leq (\lambda_1^B + \lambda_2^B)/2, \\
 (\lambda_2^B + \lambda_3^B)/2 &\leq 2.37, \\
 \lambda_1^B - \lambda_2^B &\leq 6.91, \\
 \lambda_1^B - \lambda_3^B &\leq 7.98, \\
 \lambda_2^B - \lambda_3^B &\leq 6.91, \\
 3.75 &\leq \lambda_1^B - \lambda_3^B;
 \end{aligned}$$

(c) *imaginary parts:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq \lambda_1^C \leq 0.47, \\
 -0.97 &\leq \lambda_2^C \leq 0.97, \\
 -1.93 &\leq \lambda_3^C \leq 0.0, \\
 \lambda_1^C - \lambda_2^C &\leq 2.90, \\
 \lambda_1^C - \lambda_3^C &\leq 3.36, \\
 \lambda_2^C - \lambda_3^C &\leq 2.90.
 \end{aligned}$$

The eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} are 6, 4, -1 . [Note that since $s_A^I = s_C^I = 0$, we did not obtain useful bounds from (3.2a), (3.2b), and (3.5) when $T=B$ or C . In addition, since \mathbf{A} is real and nonnegative, we have applied Theorem 3.2 and used the fact that the largest eigenvalue of \mathbf{A} in modulus is real and positive.]

EXAMPLE 4.3. Our last example is the nonnegative matrix

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This matrix was used in [6] to compare various bounds for the dominant eigenvalue. The best bounds obtained there were

$$5.162 \leq \lambda_1 \leq 9.359.$$

The bounds in [12] yield

$$\left. \begin{aligned} 2.33 &\leq |\lambda_1| \leq 9.67 \\ 0 &\leq |\lambda_2| \leq 7.04 \\ 0 &\leq |\lambda_3| \leq 4.40 \end{aligned} \right\}$$

We obtain

$$\left. \begin{aligned} K_A^l &= 48.62, & K_A^u &= 57.81 \\ K_B^l &= 52.81, & K_B^u &= 57.45 \\ K_C^l &= -4.19, & K_C^u &= 0.44 \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} m_A^l &= 2.33, & m_A^u &= 4.39 \\ m_B^l &= m_B^u = 2.33 \\ m_C^l &= m_C^u = 0 \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} s_A^l &= 3.28, & s_A^u &= 3.72 \\ s_B^l &= 3.49, & s_B^u &= 3.70 \\ s_C^l &= 0, & s_C^u &= 0.38 \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Then we have

(a) *moduli*:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} 4.25 &\leq \lambda_1^A = \lambda_1^B \leq 7.57, \\ 0 &\leq \lambda_2^A \leq 7.02, \\ 0 &\leq \lambda_3^A \leq 2.07, \\ 3.00 &\leq (\lambda_1^A + \lambda_2^A)/2, \\ 3.00 &\leq (\lambda_1^A + \lambda_2^A + \lambda_3^A)/3 \leq 4.39, \\ &(\lambda_2^A + \lambda_3^A)/2 \leq 3.23, \\ &\lambda_1^A - \lambda_2^A \leq 7.89, \\ &\lambda_2^A - \lambda_3^A \leq 7.89, \\ 6.96 &\leq \lambda_1^A - \lambda_3^A \leq 9.11; \end{aligned} \right\}$$

(b) *real parts:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 4.25 &\leq \lambda_1^B \leq 7.57, \\
 -0.28 &\leq \lambda_2^B \leq 4.95, \\
 \lambda_3^B &\leq -0.13, \\
 3.63 &\leq (\lambda_1^B + \lambda_2^B)/2, \\
 (\lambda_2^B + \lambda_3^B)/2 &\leq 1.10, \\
 \lambda_1^B - \lambda_2^B &\leq 7.85, \\
 \lambda_2^B - \lambda_3^B &\leq 7.85, \\
 7.40 &\leq \lambda_1^B - \lambda_3^B \leq 9.07;
 \end{aligned}$$

(c) *imaginary parts:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq \lambda_1^C \leq 0.47, \\
 -0.27 &\leq \lambda_2^C \leq 0.27, \\
 -0.54 &\leq \lambda_3^C \leq 0, \\
 \lambda_1^C - \lambda_2^C &\leq 0.81, \\
 \lambda_2^C - \lambda_3^C &\leq 0.81, \\
 \lambda_1^C - \lambda_3^C &\leq 0.93.
 \end{aligned}$$

The eigenvalues of A are 7.531, 0, -0.531 . [Note again that since $s_C^1 = 0$, we did not obtain useful bounds from (3.2a), (3.2b), and (3.5) when $T = B$, and furthermore we have applied Theorem 3.2 again.]

We wish to thank Dr. Jorma Kaarlo Merikoski of the University of Tampere in Finland for alerting us to the work [3, 4] of Kress, de Vries, and Wegmann, and for suggesting the use of $\|AA^ - A^*A\|$ to strengthen our bounds in [12].*

REFERENCES

- 1 P. J. Eberlein, On measures of non-normality for matrices, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 72:995–996 (1965).
- 2 P. Henrici, Bounds for iterates, inverses, spectral variation and fields of values of non-normal matrices, *Numer. Math.* 4:24–40 (1962).
- 3 R. Kress and H. L. de Vries, Über die konvexe Hülle der Eigenwerte einer Matrix, *Math. Nachr.* 64:95–104 (1974).
- 4 R. Kress, H. L. de Vries, and R. Wegmann, On nonnormal matrices, *Linear Algebra and Appl.* 8:109–120 (1974).
- 5 C. L. Mallows and D. Richter, Inequalities of Chebyshev type involving conditional expectations, *Ann. Math. Statist.* 40:1922–1932 (1969).
- 6 M. Marcus and H. Minc, *A Survey of Matrix Theory and Matrix Inequalities*, Prindle, Weber & Schmidt, Boston, 1964.

- 7 L. Mirsky, *An Introduction to Linear Algebra*, Oxford U.P., 1955.
- 8 L. Mirsky, The spread of a matrix, *Mathematika* 3:127–130 (1956).
- 9 E. Scheffold, Eine Abschätzung für die subdominanten Eigenwerte nichtnegativer Matrizen, *Linear Algebra and Appl.* 19:91–93 (1978).
- 10 I. Schur, Über die charakteristischen Wurzeln einer linearen substitution mit einer Anwendung auf die Theorie der Integralgleichungen, *Math. Ann.* 66:488–510 (1909).
- 11 H. Wolkowicz and G. P. H. Styan, Extensions of Samuelson's inequality, *Amer. Statist.* 33:143–144 (1979).
- 12 H. Wolkowicz and G. P. H. Styan, Bounds for eigenvalues using traces, *Linear Algebra and Appl.*

Received 16 March 1979; revised 3 August 1979