

# *LU* factorization of the Vandermonde matrix and its applications

Halil Oruç

*Department of Mathematics, Dokuz Eylül University, Fen Edebiyat Fakültesi, Tınaztepe Kampüsü 35160 Buca İzmir, Turkey*

Received 1 September 2005; accepted 16 October 2006

## Abstract

A scaled version of the lower and the upper triangular factors of the inverse of the Vandermonde matrix is given. Two applications of the  $q$ -Pascal matrix resulting from the factorization of the Vandermonde matrix at the  $q$ -integer nodes are introduced. © 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Vandermonde matrix; Symmetric functions; Triangular and bidiagonal factorization;  $q$ -Pascal matrix;  $q$ -difference equation

## 1. Introduction

A Vandermonde matrix is defined in terms of scalars  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$  by

$$V = V(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_0 & \dots & x_0^n \\ 1 & x_1 & \dots & x_1^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n & \dots & x_n^n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Vandermonde matrices play an important role in approximation problems such as interpolation, least squares and moment problems. The special structure of  $V$  makes it possible to investigate not only explicit formulas for  $LU$  factors of  $V$  and  $V^{-1}$  but also fast solutions of a Vandermonde system  $V\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ . See [9] and the references therein. Interestingly, complete symmetric functions and elementary symmetric functions appear in the  $LU$  factorization of the Vandermonde matrix  $V$  and its inverse  $V^{-1}$  respectively [8,9]. Taking  $LU$  factors into account, [8] deduced one-banded (bidiagonal) factorization of  $V$  and hence achieved a well known result that  $V$  is totally positive matrix if  $0 < x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n$ . Note that a matrix is totally positive if the determinant of every square submatrix is positive. The paper [9] investigates the  $LU$  factors of  $V$  and  $V^{-1}$  at  $x_0 = 0, x_i = 1 + q + \dots + q^{i-1}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , in which  $q$ -Pascal and  $q$ -Stirling matrices are introduced. Recently, based on [8], the work [12] has scaled the elements of  $LU$  of  $V$  to give a simpler formulation. There also follows a simpler one-banded factorization of  $V$ .

In this work, using [9,12] we simplify the formula [9, Theorem 3.2] for the  $LU$  factors of  $V^{-1}$  in Section 2, and in turn a shorter proof of one-banded factorization of the upper triangular  $U$  is obtained. In Section 3, two applications of the  $q$ -Pascal matrix, the subdivision formula for  $q$ -Bernstein Bézier curves and the solution of a system of first-order  $q$ -difference equations, are presented.

*E-mail address:* [halil.oruc@deu.edu.tr](mailto:halil.oruc@deu.edu.tr).

## 2. LU factors of $V^{-1}$

When  $V = LU$  where  $L$  is a lower triangular matrix with ones on the main diagonal and  $U$  is an upper triangular matrix (Doolittle method), the explicit formulas for the elements of the matrices  $L$  and  $U$  are given in [8]. However if we let  $U$  have ones on the main diagonal (Crout method), namely scaling the elements of upper triangular matrix in the Doolittle method, then we obtain the formulas [12, Theorem 2] and [11, (1.61), (1.62)]. Considering the Crout method on  $V^{-1}$ , that is multiplying the matrices  $\widehat{D}^{-1}$  and  $\widehat{L}^{-1}$  in [9, Theorem 3.2], we obtain the following simplification:

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $V^{-1} = U^{-1}L^{-1}$ . Then Crout's factorization of  $V^{-1}$  satisfies*

$$(U^{-1})_{i,j} = (-1)^{i+j} \sigma_{j-i}(x_0, \dots, x_{j-1}), \quad 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n, \tag{2.1}$$

$$(L^{-1})_{i,j} = \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq j}}^i (x_j - x_k)}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq i \leq n, \tag{2.2}$$

where  $\sigma_k$  denotes the  $k$ th elementary symmetric function.

Note that a generating function for the elementary symmetric functions is

$$(1 - x_1x)(1 - x_2x) \dots (1 - x_nx) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \sigma_k(x_1, \dots, x_n)x^k$$

and its recurrence relation is

$$\sigma_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sigma_k(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) + x_n \sigma_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}). \tag{2.3}$$

See [9]. Although the above factorization Theorem 2.1 and the factorization in [12] reduce computational work slightly, they do not reveal a nice structure on the factors  $L$  and  $U$  at the  $q$ -integer nodes,  $q$ -Pascal and  $q$ -Stirling matrices respectively.

Now let us observe that the sum of the  $i$ th row of  $L^{-1}$  in (2.2) vanishes for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  since  $LL^{-1} = I$  and  $L$  has leading column consisting of ones. Alternatively, one may show that

$$\sum_{j=0}^i \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq j}}^i (x_j - x_k)} = 0, \tag{2.4}$$

using the interpolating polynomial  $p_n(x)$  for a function  $f(x)$  at distinct points  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$  in Newton form:

$$p_n(x) = f[x_0] + f[x_0, x_1](x - x_0) + \dots + f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n](x - x_0) \dots (x - x_{n-1}),$$

where the divided difference  $f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is expressed as the symmetric sum

$$f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n] = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{f(x_j)}{\prod_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq j}}^n (x_j - x_k)}. \tag{2.5}$$

Since the interpolating polynomial  $p_n$  reproduces a polynomial of degree at most  $n$ , see [11], it follows from  $f(x) = 1$  that

$$f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_i] = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Then Eq. (2.5) reduces to (2.4).

Another important fact is that the entries of  $V^{-1}$  can be obtained explicitly from [Theorem 2.1](#) as

$$(V^{-1})_{ij} = (-1)^{n-i} \frac{\sigma_{n-i}(x_0, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_{n-1})}{\prod_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq j}}^n (x_j - x_k)}. \tag{2.6}$$

The last formula is well known; see [5,6]. The study [5] finds the formulas for  $LDU$  factors of the matrices  $V$  and  $V^{-1}$  without using properties of elementary or complete symmetric functions. The benefit of the use of symmetric functions is in computing the entries of  $LU$  factors of  $V$  and  $V^{-1}$  recursively; see [9]. The paper [7] analyzes the factorization of the inverse of a Cauchy–Vandermonde matrix as a product of bidiagonal matrices to develop fast algorithms for interpolation.

We end this section by giving a shorter proof expressing  $U^{-1}$  as a product of one-banded matrices in [9]. First, for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$  define  $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$  matrices  $E_k$  by

$$(E_k)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & i = j \\ -x_{k-1}, & i = j - 1 \text{ and } j \geq k. \end{cases}$$

It is proved in [9] that  $U^{-1} = E_1 E_2 \dots E_n$ . Now using the recurrence relation (2.3) observe that  $U^{-1} = E_1 \bar{U}_{n-1}$  where

$$\bar{U}_{n-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & U_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $\mathbf{0}$  denotes an appropriate zero matrix, and  $n \times n$  matrix  $U_{n-1}$  is defined by

$$(U_{n-1})_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \sigma_{j-i}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}), \quad 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n - 1.$$

Applying the same process once more we have  $\bar{U}_{n-1} = E_2 \bar{U}_{n-2}$  where

$$\bar{U}_{n-2} = \begin{bmatrix} I_2 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & U_{n-2} \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $I_2$  is the  $2 \times 2$  identity matrix, and

$$(U_{n-2})_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \sigma_{j-i}(x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}), \quad 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n - 2.$$

Thus repeating the above procedure  $n - 3$  times more, it yields the required bidiagonal product  $E_1 E_2 \dots E_n = U^{-1}$ .

### 3. Applications of the $q$ -Pascal matrix

The Bernstein–Bézier representations are most important tools for computer aided design purposes; see [4]. A parametric Bézier curve  $\mathbf{P}$  defined by

$$\mathbf{P}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n \mathbf{b}_i \binom{n}{i} t^i (1-t)^{n-i} \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1 \tag{3.1}$$

where  $\mathbf{b}_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, n \in \mathbb{R}^2$  or  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , are given control points, mimics the shape of the control polygon. In the work [10], the representation (3.1) is generalized by using a one-parameter family of Bernstein–Bézier polynomials, so called  $q$ -Bernstein Bézier curves. They were defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{P}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n \mathbf{b}_i \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix} t^i \prod_{j=0}^{n-i-1} (1 - q^j t), \tag{3.2}$$

where an empty product denotes 1, the parameter  $q$  is a positive real number and  $[r]$  denotes a  $q$ -integer, defined by

$$[r] = \begin{cases} (1 - q^r)/(1 - q), & q \neq 1, \\ r, & q = 1. \end{cases}$$

The  $q$ -binomial coefficient  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix}$  which is the generating function for restricted partitions, see [2], is defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix} = \frac{[n][n-1]\dots[n-r+1]}{[r][r-1]\dots[1]}$$

for  $n \geq r \geq 1$ , and has the value 1 when  $r = 0$  and the value zero otherwise. Note that this reduces to the usual binomial coefficient when we set  $q = 1$  and (3.2) reduces to (3.1). We now generalize the well known subdivision formula, see [4], of the Bernstein Bézier curves which may be used to subdivide the curve  $P$  in (3.2).

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $B_i^n(t) = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix} t^i \prod_{j=0}^{n-i-1} (1 - q^j t)$  be the  $q$ -Bernstein Bézier polynomial and let  $c \in (0, 1)$  be a fixed real. Then

$$B_i^n(ct) = \sum_{j=0}^n B_i^j(c) B_j^n(t). \tag{3.3}$$

**Proof.** Let  $M$  be an  $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$  matrix with the elements  $M_{ij} = B_j^i(ct)$ , that is

$$M_{ij} = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} i \\ j \end{bmatrix} c^j t^j \prod_{k=0}^{i-j-1} (1 - q^k ct), & 0 \leq j < i \leq n, \\ c^i t^i, & i = j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since the eigenvalues of the matrix  $M$  are distinct it can be written as  $M = PDP^{-1}$  where  $D$  is a diagonal matrix whose elements  $D_{ii} = c^i t^i$  are the eigenvalues of  $M$ . It is computed from the product that the elements  $P_{ij}$  of  $P$  are the entries of the  $q$ -Pascal matrix  $P_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} i \\ j \end{bmatrix}$ , and the elements of the matrix  $P^{-1}$  are  $(P^{-1})_{ij} = (-1)^{i-j} q^{\binom{i-j}{2}}$ . Now we can write  $M = PD_1 D_2 P^{-1}$ , where  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are diagonal matrices with elements  $(D_1)_{ii} = t^i$  and  $(D_2)_{ii} = c^i, i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . Then it follows from

$$M = PD_1 P^{-1} P D_2 P^{-1} = RS$$

that the matrices  $R$  and  $S$  have the entries  $R_{ij} = B_j^i(t)$  and  $S_{ij} = B_j^i(c)$  respectively. Thus,  $M$  has the elements

$$M_{ni} = B_i^n(ct) = \sum_{j=0}^n R_{nj} S_{ji} = \sum_{j=0}^n B_j^n(t) B_j^i(c), \quad 0 \leq i \leq n.$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

We note that using the symmetric functions,  $q$ -Pascal matrices  $P$  and  $P^{-1}$  are obtained in the  $LU$  factorization of the Vandermonde matrix and in the inverse of the Vandermonde matrix at the  $q$ -integer nodes respectively, see [9].

In what follows, we relate the  $q$ -Pascal matrix  $P$  to an  $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$  nilpotent matrix  $H$  of index  $n + 1$  defined by

$$H_{ij} = \begin{cases} [i], & \text{if } i = j + 1, 0 \leq i, j \leq n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We first define, see [3, p. 490], the  $q$ -analogue of the exponential series

$$E_q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{[k]!}. \tag{3.4}$$

This series is absolutely convergent only in  $|x| < (1 - q)^{-1}$  when  $|q| < 1$ . However, another  $q$ -series

$$\mathbb{E}_q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{k(k-1)/2} \frac{x^k}{[k]!} \tag{3.5}$$

is convergent for all  $x$  and  $|q| < 1$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** The  $q$ -Pascal matrix  $P$  is given by

$$P = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{H^k}{[k]!}. \tag{3.6}$$

**Proof.** First we see that the above series (3.6) is indeed finite since  $H^k = \mathbf{0}$  for all  $k \geq n + 1$ . Then it can be calculated from the definition of  $H$  that

$$H^j e_i = [i + j] \dots [i + 1] e_{i+j},$$

where  $e_i = 0, 1, \dots, n$  denote the unit vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Now, a generic element on the right of (3.6) is

$$E_q(H)_{ij} = e_i^T E_q(H) e_j = \sum_{k=0}^n e_i^T \frac{H^k}{[k]!} e_j.$$

Thus we obtain

$$E_q(H)_{ij} = \sum_{k=0}^n e_i^T \frac{[j+k] \dots [j+1]}{[k]!} e_{k+j} = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{[j+k] \dots [j+1]}{[k]!} \delta_{i,j+k},$$

where  $\delta$  denotes the Kronecker delta function. Shifting the index of the summation gives

$$\frac{[i] \dots [i-j+1]}{[i-j]!} = \begin{bmatrix} i \\ j \end{bmatrix} = P_{ij}$$

and this completes the proof.  $\square$

It is well known, see [1], that the initial value problem in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{y}(t) = H_1 \mathbf{y}(t), \quad \mathbf{y}(0) = \mathbf{y}_0$$

where  $H_1$  denotes the matrix  $H$  with  $q = 1$ , has the solution  $\mathbf{y}(t) = e^{H_1 t} \mathbf{y}(0)$ . Next we demonstrate that the  $q$ -Pascal matrix appears as the solution of the first-order  $q$ -difference equation in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . As in [3], we define the  $q$ -difference operator  $\mathcal{D}_q$  by

$$\mathcal{D}_q f(x) = \frac{f(qx) - f(x)}{qx - x}, \quad x \neq 0.$$

Provided that  $f'(x)$  exists,

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \mathcal{D}_q f(x) = f'(x).$$

It can be readily verified that for integers  $r \geq 1$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_q(x^r) = [r]x^{r-1}$ . Then the solution  $\mathbf{y}$  of the  $q$ -difference equation

$$\mathcal{D}_q \mathbf{y}(t) = H \mathbf{y}(t), \quad \mathbf{y}(0) = \mathbf{y}_0$$

is  $\mathbf{y}(t) = E_q(Ht) \mathbf{y}_0$ . It follows from (3.6) that the matrix  $E_q(Ht)$  has entries of the  $q$ -Pascal matrix

$$E_q(Ht)_{ij} = t^{i-j} \begin{bmatrix} i \\ j \end{bmatrix}, \quad i \geq j \geq 0.$$

It is worth noting that the polynomial  $p$  defined by

$$p(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} t^k,$$

the sum of the  $n$ th row of  $E_q(Ht)$ , is known as the Rogers–Szegő polynomial [2].

## References

- [1] L. Aceto, D. Trigante, The matrices of Pascal and other greats, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 108 (2001) 232–244.
- [2] G.E. Andrews, *The Theory of Partitions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [3] G.E. Andrews, R. Askey, R. Roy, *Special functions*, in: *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [4] G. Farin, *Curves and Surfaces for CAGD, A Practical Guide*, 5th ed., Academic Press, San Diego, 2002.
- [5] I. Gohberg, I. Koltracht, Triangular factors of Cauchy and Vandermonde matrices, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 26 (1996) 46–59.
- [6] N.J. Higham, *Accuracy and Stability of Numerical Algorithms*, 2nd ed., SIAM, Philadelphia, 2002.
- [7] J.J. Martínez, J.M. Peña, Factorization of Cauchy–Vandermonde matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 284 (1998) 229–237.
- [8] H. Oruç, G.M. Phillips, Explicit factorization of the Vandermonde matrix, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 315 (2000) 113–123.
- [9] H. Oruç, H.K. Akmaz, Symmetric functions and the Vandermonde matrix, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 172 (2004) 49–64.
- [10] H. Oruç, G.M. Phillips,  $q$ -Bernstein polynomials and Bézier curves, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 151 (2003) 1–12.
- [11] G.M. Phillips, *Interpolation and Approximation by Polynomials*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.
- [12] S.L. Yang, On the  $LU$  factorization of the Vandermonde matrix, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 146 (2005) 102–105.