

ACADEMIC
PRESSAvailable online at www.sciencedirect.com

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

Journal of Functional Analysis 201 (2003) 57–77

JOURNAL OF
Functional
Analysis<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jfa>

A bilinear extension inequality in two dimensions

J.A. Barceló,^{a,1} Jonathan Bennett,^{b,2} and Anthony Carbery^{c,*},³^a *Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, ETSI de Caminos, 28040, Madrid, Spain*^b *Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Dpto. de Matemáticas, 28049, Madrid, Spain*^c *Edinburgh University, School of Mathematics, JCMB, Kings Buildings, Mayfield Road, Edinburgh, EH9 3JZ, UK*

Received 15 November 2001; revised 25 February 2002; accepted 25 February 2002

Communicated by M. Christ

Dedicated to the memory of Terence James Carbery, 1916–2001

Abstract

We provide sharp decay estimates for circular averages of a certain bilinear extension operator on $L^2(\mathbb{S}^1) \times L^2(\mathbb{S}^1)$.

© 2002 Elsevier Science (USA). All rights reserved.

Keywords: Bilinear estimates; Fourier extension operators

1. Introduction

In this paper we establish a certain bilinear estimate for circular averages of the extension operator for the Fourier transform on $\mathbb{S}^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^1)$ let $\tilde{f}(x) = f(-x)$, (so that $f \mapsto \tilde{f}$ represents translation by π when \mathbb{S}^1 is thought of as $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$); and let the extension operator be given by

$$\widehat{f\tilde{d}\sigma}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} e^{-ix \cdot y} f(y) d\sigma(y)$$

*Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: ma21@caminos.upm.es (J.A. Barceló), jonathan.bennett@uam.es (J. Bennett), carbery@maths.ed.ac.uk (A. Carbery).

¹Supported by Spanish Grant DGI/CyT PB94–0192, La Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, and by an Edinburgh Mathematical Society Grant.

²Supported by the European Commission via the TMR network (Harmonic Analysis).

³Supported by the European Commission via the TMR network (Harmonic Analysis), and a Leverhulme Fellowship.

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Here and throughout, $d\sigma$ denotes surface measure on \mathbb{S}^1 or, in this introduction only, \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , according to context.

Our principal result is:

Theorem 1. *If $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^1)$ with*

$$\text{dist}(\text{supp}(f), \text{supp}(g))$$

and

$$\text{dist}(\text{supp}(\tilde{f}), \text{supp}(g)),$$

bounded below, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\widehat{f d\sigma}(Rx) \widehat{g d\sigma}(Rx)| d\sigma(x) \leq \frac{C}{R^{5/6}} \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2$$

for all $R > 0$.

Remark. (i) The constant C that appears above depends only upon the lower bound in the support hypothesis.

(ii) Since $\tilde{f}(x) = f(-x)$, the second support condition dictates that the supports of f and g cannot be ‘diametrically opposite’.

(iii) The decay rate $R^{-5/6}$ is optimal, as we shall show with some examples in Section 6 below.

Before passing to the proof of Theorem 1, it would seem appropriate to try to place the result in context. In the first instance there is a corresponding linear estimate (which is equivalent to a bilinear estimate without support restrictions). It is the following:

Linear estimate: For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^1)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\widehat{f d\sigma}(Rx)|^2 d\sigma(x) \leq \frac{C}{R^{2/3}} \|f\|_2^2.$$

Thus, the ‘gain’ of $R^{1/6}$ in the decay rate of Theorem 1 is attributable to the hypothesis of separation of the supports of f, g .

This linear estimate is a special case of an n -dimensional result:

Linear Estimate (n -dimensions): For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\widehat{f d\sigma}(Rx)|^2 d\sigma(x) \leq \frac{C}{R^{n-1}} R^{1/3} \|f\|_2^2.$$

This result was a principal ingredient used by Barceló et al. [2] in their study of radial weighted estimates for solutions to the Helmholtz equation, and,

independently, by Carbery and Soria [4] in their study of localisation problems arising in multiple Fourier inversion.

Both these works raised implicitly (see also [5] for further discussion) the possibility that one might consider whether the following inequality holds for arbitrary positive measures μ supported in the unit ball \mathbb{B} of \mathbb{R}^n , and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}} |\widehat{f d\sigma}(Rx)|^2 d\mu(x) \leq \frac{C}{R^{n-1}} \|\mu\|_R \|f\|_2^2,$$

where

$$\|\mu\|_R = \sup_{T; R^{-1} \leq \alpha \leq R^{-1/2}} \frac{\mu(T(\alpha, \alpha^2 R))}{\alpha^{n-1}}$$

and $T(\alpha, \beta)$ denotes a tube in \mathbb{R}^n with $n - 1$ short sides α and one long side β . This inequality is known to be true (perhaps with some extra logarithmic factors) when $d\mu(x) = w(x) dx$ and the weight w is radial [2,4]. In any case, when $d\mu = d\sigma$, it is easily seen that $\|\sigma\|_R$ is realised at $R^{-2/3}$ by $R^{-1/3}$ tubes tangential to \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , and that it takes the value approximately $R^{1/3}$, thereby explaining the form of the linear estimate above.

Thus, our Theorem 1 may be seen as a first step in understanding the general two-dimensional bilinear inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}} |\widehat{f d\sigma}(Rx) \widehat{g d\sigma}(Rx)| d\mu(x) \leq \frac{C_\mu}{R^2} \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2$$

under the support conditions of Theorem 1.

Finally, we note that inequalities of this kind, in either their linear or bilinear settings, are likely to prove useful in a variety of problems. (One needs only to point to [8] in the recent literature concerning bilinear extension estimates.)

2. A preliminary reduction

We shall concentrate on proving the following inequality, equivalent to Theorem 1. If $\psi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{S}^1)$ and f and g have separated supports, as in the statement of Theorem 1, then there exists an absolute constant C such that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \widehat{f d\sigma}(Rx) \overline{\widehat{g d\sigma}(Rx)} \psi(x) d\sigma(x) \right| \leq \frac{C}{R^{5/6}} \|\psi\|_\infty \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 \tag{1}$$

for all $R > 0$.

The support properties of f and g imply that $f d\sigma * (g d\sigma)^*$ is supported in a closed annulus A centred at the origin and contained in $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 < |x| < 2\}$. (Here, $h^*(x) = \overline{h(-x)}$.) If $Q \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is real valued, radial, and such that

$\text{supp}(\hat{Q}) \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 < |\xi| < 2\}$, and $\hat{Q}(\xi) = 1$ on A , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \widehat{f d\sigma}(Rx) \overline{\widehat{g d\sigma}(Rx)} \psi(x) d\sigma(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} (f d\sigma * (g d\sigma)^*)^\wedge(Rx) \psi(x) d\sigma(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f d\sigma * (g d\sigma)^*(x) \hat{Q}(x) \widehat{\psi d\sigma}(Rx) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \widehat{f d\sigma}(Rx) \overline{\widehat{g d\sigma}(Rx)} Q_{1/R} * \psi d\sigma(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $Q_{1/R}(x) = R^2 Q(Rx)$. Hence, it suffices to show that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \widehat{f d\sigma}(Rx) \overline{\widehat{g d\sigma}(Rx)} Q_{1/R} * \psi d\sigma(x) dx \right| \leq \frac{C}{R^{5/6}} \|\psi\|_\infty \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 \tag{2}$$

for all $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^1)$. Note that the introduction of the function Q has allowed us to work with arbitrary functions $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^1)$.

If we write f and g as Fourier series,

$$f(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_j e^{ij \arg x}$$

and

$$g(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta_k e^{ik \arg x},$$

we obtain

$$\widehat{f d\sigma}(Rx) = \sum_j \alpha_j J_j(R|x|) e^{ij \arg x}$$

and

$$\widehat{g d\sigma}(Rx) = \sum_k \beta_k J_k(R|x|) e^{ik \arg x},$$

where J_n , given by

$$J_n(t) = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(n\theta - t \cos \theta)} d\theta,$$

denotes the Bessel function of order n . Similarly, if

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} c_m e^{im \arg x},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_{1/R} * \psi \, d\sigma(x) &= \sum_m c_m \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} Q_{1/R}(x - y) e^{im \arg y} \, d\sigma(y) \\
 &= \sum_m c_m \int_0^{2\pi} R^2 Q(R(te^{i\phi} - e^{i\theta})) e^{im\theta} \, d\theta (x = te^{i\phi}) \\
 &= \sum_m c_m \int_0^{2\pi} R^2 Q(R(te^{i(\phi-\theta)} - 1)) e^{im\theta} \, d\theta \\
 &= \sum_m c_m e^{im\phi} \int_0^{2\pi} R^2 Q(R(te^{i\theta} - 1)) e^{-im\theta} \, d\theta \\
 &= \sum_m c_m e^{im\phi} F_m(t, R),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$F_m(t, R) = \int_0^{2\pi} R^2 Q(R(te^{i\theta} - 1)) e^{-im\theta} \, d\theta. \tag{3}$$

(Here we are identifying \mathbb{R}^2 with \mathbb{C} merely for convenience.) Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \widehat{f d\sigma}(Rx) \overline{\widehat{g d\sigma}(Rx)} Q_{1/R} * \psi \, d\sigma(x) \, dx \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sum_{j,k,m} c_m \alpha_j J_j(R|x|) \overline{\beta_k J_k(R|x|)} e^{i(j-k+m) \arg x} F_m(|x|, R) \, dx \\
 &= 2\pi \int_0^\infty \sum_{j,k} \alpha_j J_j(Rs) \overline{\beta_k J_k(Rs)} c_{k-j} F_{k-j}(s, R) s \, ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

We shall prove Theorem 1 by showing that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| \int_0^\infty \sum_{j,k} \alpha_j J_j(Rs) \overline{\beta_k J_k(Rs)} c_{k-j} F_{k-j}(s, R) s \, ds \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{R^{5/6}} \|\psi\|_\infty \|\alpha\|_{l^2(\mathbb{Z})} \|\beta\|_{l^2(\mathbb{Z})}
 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in l^2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Due to the fact that for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $J_{-k} = J_k$, we will split our analysis of the above into two parts. Part 1 will correspond to summing over $j, k \geq 0$, and Part 2 to summing over $j \leq 0$ and $k \geq 0$. The remaining terms can be understood by symmetry.

Notation. For $X, Y \geq 0$, we say that $X \sim Y$ if X lies between two positive absolute constant multiples of Y . The constants may change from line to line, but remain absolute.

3. An overview of the proof

As noted above, we shall prove Theorem 1 by proving inequality (4). In order to understand the left-hand side of (4) we need some estimates on Bessel functions and on the functions F_m . We begin with the Bessel function estimates.

3.1. Behaviour of J_n

Lemma 2. *There exists an absolute constant c such that for each $k \geq 0$,*

$$|J_k(s)| \leq cs^{-1/2} \min \left\{ k^{1/6}, \left| \frac{|s| + k}{|s| - k} \right|^{1/4} \right\}$$

(so that in particular, $|J_k(s)| \leq cs^{-1/3}$), and furthermore,

$$|J'_k(s)| \leq cs^{-1/2}.$$

The above lemma can be found for example in [6], and can be used to prove the following:

Lemma 3. *For each $t \geq 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{j,k \in \mathbb{N}; |j-k| \sim t} |J_j(s)J_k(s)|, \quad \sup_{j,k \in \mathbb{N}; |j+k-2s| \sim t} |J_j(s)J_k(s)| \\ & \leq cs^{-5/6} \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq s < 3t, \\ (s/t)^{1/4}, & 3t \leq s < t^3, \\ s^{1/6}, & s \geq t^3. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We sketch the proof of the first estimate only. Firstly, we note that it suffices to establish this estimate with $\sup_{j,k \in \mathbb{N}; |j-k| \sim t}$ replaced by $\sup_{j,k \in \mathbb{N}; j-k=t}$. This is a consequence of a certain scale invariance (in t) of the claimed bound, and the fact that symmetry allows us to suppose that $j \geq k$. Hence, it suffices to show that

$$\sup_j |J_j(s)J_{j-t}(s)| \leq cs^{-5/6} \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq s < 3t, \\ (s/t)^{1/4}, & 3t \leq s < t^3, \\ s^{1/6}, & s \geq t^3. \end{cases}$$

This estimate follows by applying Lemma 2 to the product $|J_j(s)J_{j-t}(s)|$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

3.2. Behaviour of F_m

Recall that F_m is defined by (3), where the function Q is smooth, radial, and has \hat{Q} compactly supported in $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 < |\xi| < 2\}$. If we pretend temporarily—in violation of the uncertainty principle—that Q itself also has compact support in $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x| \leq 1\}$, the following properties of F_m are easy to establish:

- (i) F_m is essentially supported in $\{|t - 1| \leq \frac{1}{R}\}$.
- (ii) $|F_m(t, R)| \leq CR$.
- (iii) $|F_m(r, R) - F_{m'}(r, R)| \leq C|m - m'|$.
- (iv) $\int_0^\infty F_0(r, R)r \, dr = 0$.
- (v) $|\int_0^\infty F_{2R}(r, R)r \, dr| \leq C/R$, (since \hat{Q} vanishes on $\{|\xi| = 2\}$).

A more rigorous analysis of the functions F_m is contained in the detailed proofs of Sections 4 and 5. For now we only wish to comment that the vanishing of \hat{Q} at the origin is needed for Part 1 terms while the vanishing of \hat{Q} on $\{|\xi| = 2\}$ is needed for Part 2 terms. In particular, see Section 5, analysis of term III', for further details of estimate (v).

3.3. Strategy of the proof—Part 1 terms

The fact that \hat{Q} has compact support means that we should not expect to see any structure in ψ on a scale finer than $1/R$. Thus, we may assume that the Fourier frequencies of ψ of order greater than $2R$ are negligible in comparison with those of order less than $2R$. Therefore, in examining (4) (with $j, k \geq 0$) we may assume that the principal contribution arises when $|k - j| \leq 2R$, and it is then reasonable to decompose the (j, k) sum into regions where $|k - j| \sim 2^{-p}R$, $1 \leq 2^p \leq R$. At the expense of incurring at most an extra logarithmic term we may treat each p separately. For each such p , the bilinear form is now ‘local’ on scale $2^{-p}R$, and so we may assume that for certain j_0, k_0 with $|k_0 - j_0| \sim 2^{-p}R$, the $\{\alpha_j\}$ and $\{\beta_k\}$ are supported in $|j - j_0| \leq 2^{-p-2}R$ and $|k - k_0| \leq 2^{-p-2}R$, respectively.

For p, j_0, k_0, j and k as above, we may estimate

$$\left| \int_0^\infty J_j(Rs)J_k(Rs)F_{k-j}(s, R)s \, ds \right| \leq \left| \int_0^\infty J_j(Rs)J_k(Rs)F_0(s, R)s \, ds \right| + \left| \int_0^\infty J_j(Rs)J_k(Rs)[F_{k-j} - F_0](s, R)s \, ds \right|.$$

For the first term we can use property (iv) of F_0 to allow us to integrate by parts, and then properties (i) and (ii) of F_0 and the relevant Bessel function estimates to control the resulting terms by $O(R^{-5/6})$. For the second term we use property (iii) of F_m to obtain a similar bound.

Of course, it is not merely size of $\int_0^\infty J_j(Rs)J_k(Rs)F_{k-j}(s, R)s ds$ which determines the behaviour of the quadratic form in (4); but supposing it were only size that mattered, we would now be finished because, by Plancherel’s theorem, $\|\sum \alpha_j \overline{\beta_k} c_{k-j}\| \leq \|\psi\|_\infty \|\alpha\|_{L^2(\mathbb{Z})} \|\beta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{Z})}$.

3.4. Strategy of the proof—Part 2 terms

For Part 2 we need to examine

$$\sum_{j,k \geq 0; k+j \leq 2R} \tilde{\alpha}_j \overline{\beta_k} c_{k+j} \int_0^\infty J_j(Rs)J_k(Rs)F_{k+j}(s, R)s ds.$$

where $\tilde{\alpha}_j = \alpha_{-j}$ and it is now more natural to break the (j, k) sum into regions where $|2R - (j + k)| \sim 2^{-p}R$, $1 \leq 2^p \leq R$. We now estimate the integrated term by adding and subtracting $F_{2R}(s, R)$ (instead of F_0 as in Part 1) and proceed similarly using property (v) of F_{2R} to once again integrate by parts and obtain a suitable estimate.

For technical reasons, the formal proof below in Sections 4 and 5 proceeds along lines slightly different from those described here. Nevertheless, it is hoped that these remarks will provide a useful guide for the reader in following the arguments of the next two sections.

4. The proof of Theorem 1: Part 1

In this section we consider the contribution arising from the indices $j, k \geq 0$.

We first set up some further notation. For a 2π -periodic function v on \mathbb{R} we denote by $\hat{v}(n)$ its n th Fourier coefficient. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let Φ_N be the N th Fejér kernel on $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{T}$ and let

$$V_N = 2\Phi_{2N+1} - \Phi_N$$

be the N th de la Vallée–Poussin kernel. For $l \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$W_l = V_{2^{l+1}} - V_{2^l}.$$

We will need the following well-known elementary lemma.

Lemma 4.

$$\|\Phi_N\|_1 = 1$$

and there exists an absolute constant c such that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |\Phi_N(\theta - \phi) - \Phi_N(\theta)| d\theta \leq cN|\phi|$$

for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let l_0 and l_1 be the smallest integers for which $2^{l_0} \geq R$, and $2^{l_1} \geq (2R)^{1/3}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \sum_{j,k} \alpha_j J_j(Rs) \overline{\beta_k J_k(Rs)} c_{k-j} F_{k-j}(s, R) s ds \\ &= \int_0^\infty \sum_{l=l_1}^{l_0-1} \sum_{j,k} \alpha_j J_j(Rs) \overline{\beta_k J_k(Rs)} \widehat{W}_l(k-j) c_{k-j} (F_{k-j}(s, R) - F_0(s, R)) s ds \\ &+ \int_0^\infty \sum_{j,k} \alpha_j J_j(Rs) \overline{\beta_k J_k(Rs)} \widehat{V}_{2^{l_1}}(k-j) c_{k-j} (F_{k-j}(s, R) - F_0(s, R)) s ds \\ &+ \int_0^\infty \sum_{j,k} \alpha_j J_j(Rs) \overline{\beta_k J_k(Rs)} \widehat{V}_{2^{l_0}}(k-j) c_{k-j} F_0(s, R) s ds \\ &+ \int_0^\infty \sum_{l=l_0}^\infty \sum_{j,k} \alpha_j J_j(Rs) \overline{\beta_k J_k(Rs)} \widehat{W}_l(k-j) c_{k-j} F_{k-j}(s, R) s ds \\ &= \text{I} + \text{II} + \text{III} + \text{IV}. \end{aligned}$$

Estimating III: Observe that since Q has integral zero on \mathbb{R}^2 ,

$$\int_0^\infty F_0(s, R) s ds = 0$$

for all R . Integration by parts in III thus gives,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{III} &= -R \int_0^\infty \sum_{j,k} \left(\alpha_j J'_j(Rs) \overline{\beta_k J_k(Rs)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha_j J_j(Rs) \overline{\beta_k J'_k(Rs)} \right) \left(\int_0^s F_0(t, R) t dt \right) \widehat{V}_{2^{l_0}}(k-j) c_{k-j} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for each $s \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{j,k} \alpha_j J'_j(Rs) \overline{\beta_k J_k(Rs)} \widehat{V}_{2^{l_0}}(k-j) c_{k-j} \right| \\ & \leq \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 \sup_{j,k} |J'_j(Rs) J_k(Rs)| \sup_\theta \left| \sum_m \widehat{V}_{2^{l_0}}(m) c_m e^{im\theta} \right| \\ & \leq C \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 \|\psi\|_\infty \|V_{2^{l_0}}\|_1 |Rs|^{-5/6}, \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2. Since, by Lemma 4, V_N is bounded in L^1 uniformly in N ,

$$|\text{III}| \leq CR^{-5/6} \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 \|\psi\|_\infty R \int_0^\infty s^{-5/6} \left| \int_0^s F_0(t, R) t dt \right| ds.$$

To obtain the desired estimate for III, it suffices to show that

$$R \int_0^\infty s^{-5/6} \left| \int_0^s F_0(t, R) t dt \right| ds$$

is uniformly bounded.

Lemma 5. *For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a constant C_N such that*

$$\left| \int_0^s F_0(t, R) t dt \right| \leq \frac{C_N \min\{s, 1\}}{(1 + R|s - 1|)^N}$$

for all $s \geq 0$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^s F_0(t, R) t dt &= \int_0^s \int_0^{2\pi} R^2 Q(R(te^{i\theta} - 1)) d\theta t dt \\ &= \int_{|x| \leq s} R^2 Q(R(x - (1, 0))) dx \\ &= - \int_{|x| \geq s} R^2 Q(R(x - (1, 0))) dx, \end{aligned}$$

since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} Q = 0$. The lemma now follows from the above two expressions and the fact that Q is rapidly decreasing. \square

By Lemma 5, for $N \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} R \int_0^\infty s^{-5/6} \left| \int_0^s F_0(t, R) t dt \right| ds &\leq CR \int_0^\infty \frac{s^{-5/6} \min\{s, 1\}}{(1 + R|s - 1|)^N} ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^\infty \frac{R ds}{(1 + R|s - 1|)^N} \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in R , as required.

Remark. Within the analysis of III lies a proof of the fact that under the hypotheses of Theorem 1,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \widehat{f d\sigma}(Rx) \overline{\widehat{g d\sigma}(Rx)} d\sigma(x) \right| \leq \frac{C}{R} \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 \tag{5}$$

for all $R > 0$. However, this requires the additional estimate

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |J_j(s)J'_j(s)| \leq cs^{-1}$$

for some constant $c > 0$. This estimate can be found in [1]. (A proof of a result similar to (5) can be found in [5].)

Estimating II: For each $s \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{j,k} \alpha_j J_j(Rs) \overline{\beta_k J_k(Rs)} \widehat{V}_{2^l_1}(k-j) c_{k-j} (F_{k-j}(s, R) - F_0(s, R)) \right| \\ & \leq \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 \sup_{j,k} |J_j(Rs)J_k(Rs)| \|\psi\|_\infty \\ & \quad \times \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_m \widehat{V}_{2^l_1}(m) (F_m(s, R) - F_0(s, R)) e^{im\theta} \right| d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\sum_m F_m(s, R) e^{im\theta} = R^2 Q(R(se^{i\theta} - 1))$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_m \widehat{V}_{2^l_1}(m) (F_m(s, R) - F_0(s, R)) e^{im\theta} \\ & = \int_0^{2\pi} [V_{2^l_1}(\theta - \phi) - V_{2^l_1}(\theta)] R^2 Q(R(se^{i\phi} - 1)) d\phi \end{aligned}$$

and hence, by Lemma 4,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_m \widehat{V}_{2^l_1}(m) (F_m(s, R) - F_0(s, R)) e^{im\theta} \right| d\theta \\ & \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |V_{2^l_1}(\theta - \phi) - V_{2^l_1}(\theta)| d\theta \right) R^2 |Q(R(se^{i\phi} - 1))| d\phi \\ & \leq C \int_0^{2\pi} 2^{l_1} |\phi| R^2 |Q(R(se^{i\phi} - 1))| d\phi \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

and so,

$$|\text{II}| \leq C2^{l_1} \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 \|\psi\|_\infty \int_0^\infty (Rs)^{-2/3} \int_0^{2\pi} |\phi| R^2 |Q(R(se^{i\phi} - 1))| d\phi s ds.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty (Rs)^{-2/3} \int_0^{2\pi} |\phi| R^2 |Q(R(se^{i\phi} - 1))| d\phi s ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |Rx|^{-2/3} |\arg x| R^2 |Q(R(x - (1, 0)))| dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |(y_1 + R, y_2)|^{-2/3} |\arg (y_1 + R, y_2)| |Q(y)| dy \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_{|y| \leq R/2} |(y_1 + R, y_2)|^{-2/3} |\arg (y_1 + R, y_2)| |Q(y)| dy \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{|y| > R/2} |(y_1 + R, y_2)|^{-2/3} |\arg (y_1 + R, y_2)| |Q(y)| dy \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Since the first term in the above sum is dominated by

$$R^{-2/3} \int_{|y| \leq R/2} \frac{|y_2|}{y_1 + R} |Q(y)| dy \leq 2R^{-5/3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |y| |Q(y)| dy$$

and the second term is rapidly decreasing in R ,

$$|\text{II}| \leq C2^{l_1} R^{-5/3} \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 \|\psi\|_\infty \leq CR^{-4/3} \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 \|\psi\|_\infty,$$

since $2^{l_1} \leq (2R)^{1/3}$. Evidently, we could have chosen l_1 to be much larger; however, this turns out to be of no advantage to us in the analysis that remains.

Estimating I: Fix $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $l_1 \leq l < l_0$ and $s \geq 0$, and consider

$$\sum_{j,k} \alpha_j J_j(Rs) \overline{\beta_k J_k(Rs)} \widehat{W}_l(k-j) c_{k-j} (F_{k-j}(s, R) - F_0(s, R)).$$

Now, $\widehat{W}_l(k-j) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow |k-j| \sim 2^l$, and so we may restrict our attention to sequences α and β such that $\alpha = \{\alpha_j\}_{|j-j_0| \leq 2^l/10}$, and $\beta = \{\beta_k\}_{|k-k_0| \leq 2^l/10}$, for some j_0 and k_0 satisfying $|k_0 - j_0| \sim 2^l$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{j,k} \alpha_j J_j(Rs) \overline{\beta_k J_k(Rs)} \widehat{W}_l(k-j) c_{k-j} (F_{k-j}(s, R) - F_0(s, R)) \right| \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{|j-j_0| \leq 2^l/10} |\alpha_j J_j(Rs)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{|k-k_0| \leq 2^l/10} |\beta_k J_k(Rs)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ & \quad \times \sup_{\theta} \left| \sum_m \widehat{W}_l(m) c_m [F_m(s, R) - F_0(s, R)] e^{im\theta} \right| \\ & \leq C \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 \|\psi\|_{\infty} \sup_{|j-k| \sim 2^l; j, k \geq 0} |J_j(Rs) J_k(Rs)| \\ & \quad \times \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_m \widehat{W}_l(m) [F_m(s, R) - F_0(s, R)] e^{im\theta} \right| d\theta \\ & \leq C \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 \|\psi\|_{\infty} \sup_{|j-k| \sim 2^l; j, k \geq 0} |J_j(Rs) J_k(Rs)| \\ & \quad \times \int_0^{2\pi} 2^l |\phi| R^2 |Q(R(se^{i\phi} - 1))| d\phi. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality above follows as in (6). Now, by Lemma 3,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\infty} \sup_{|k-j| \sim 2^l} |J_j(Rs) J_k(Rs)| \int_0^{2\pi} 2^l |\phi| R^2 |Q(R(se^{i\phi} - 1))| d\phi ds \\ & \leq CR^{-5/6} \int_{|x| \leq 3 \cdot 2^l/R} |x|^{-5/6} 2^l |\arg x| R^2 |Q(R(x - (1, 0)))| dx \\ & \quad + CR^{-5/6} \int_{3 \cdot 2^l/R < |x| \leq 2^{3l}/R} |x|^{-5/6} (R|x|/2^l)^{1/4} 2^l |\arg x| R^2 |Q(R(x - (1, 0)))| dx \\ & \quad + C \int_{|x| \geq 2^{3l}/R} 2^l R^2 |Q(R(x - (1, 0)))| dx. \end{aligned}$$

The first and second terms in the above sum are bounded above by $C \frac{2^l}{R} R^{-5/6}$ and $C (\frac{2^l}{R})^{3/4} R^{-5/6}$, respectively, by arguing as in (7). The third term decays rapidly in R since $2^l \geq 2^{l_1} \geq (2R)^{1/3}$. Summing in $l_1 \leq l < l_0$ gives the desired estimate for I.

Estimating IV: Fix $l \geq l_0$, and let the sequences α and β be localised as before. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{j,k} \alpha_j J_j(Rs) \overline{\beta_k J_k(Rs)} \widehat{W}_l(k-j) c_{k-j} F_{k-j}(s, R) \right| \\ & \leq \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 \|\psi\|_{\infty} \sup_{|k-j| \sim 2^l} |J_j(Rs) J_k(Rs)| \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_m \widehat{W}_l(m) F_m(s, R) e^{im\theta} \right| d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

On integrating by parts we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_m \widehat{W}_l(m) F_m(s, R) e^{im\theta} \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} W_l(\phi) R^2 Q(R(se^{i(\theta-\phi)} - 1)) d\phi \\ &= - \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_n \frac{1}{in} \widehat{W}_l(n) e^{-in\phi} \frac{d}{d\phi} (R^2 Q(R(se^{i(\theta-\phi)} - 1))) d\phi \\ &= \frac{R}{2^l} \int_0^{2\pi} \widetilde{W}_l(\phi) \frac{d}{dt} (RQ(R(se^{it} - 1))) (\theta - \phi) d\phi, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\widetilde{W}_l(n) = \frac{2^l}{in} \widehat{W}_l(n)$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark. Since, like W_l , \widetilde{W}_l has mean value zero on $[0, 2\pi]$, the above integration by parts argument can be iterated yielding rapid decay in $2^l/R$. However, this is not necessary for our purposes.

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (RQ(R(se^{it} - 1))) &= \frac{d}{dt} (RQ(R(s \cos t - 1, s \sin t))) \\ &= R^2 x^\perp \cdot \nabla Q(R(x - (1, 0))), \end{aligned}$$

where $x = (s \cos t, s \sin t)$, and $x^\perp = (-s \sin t, s \cos t)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_m \widehat{W}_l(m) F_m(s, R) e^{im\theta} \right| d\theta \\ & \leq \frac{R}{2^l} \|\widetilde{W}_l\|_1 \int_0^{2\pi} |(-s \sin \theta, s \cos \theta) \cdot R^2 \nabla Q(R(s \cos \theta - 1, s \sin \theta))| d\theta \end{aligned}$$

and since $\sup_l \|\widetilde{W}_l\|_1 < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \sup_{|k-j| \sim 2^l} |J_j(Rs) J_k(Rs)| \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_m \widehat{W}_l(m) F_m(s, R) e^{im\theta} \right| d\theta ds \\ & \leq C \frac{R}{2^l} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sup_{|k-j| \sim 2^l} |J_j(R|x|) J_k(R|x|)| |x| R^2 |\nabla Q(R(x - (1, 0)))| dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= C \frac{R}{2^l} \int_{|x| \leq 3.2^l/R} \sup_{|k-j| \sim 2^l} |J_j(R|x|)J_k(R|x|)| |x|R^2 |\nabla Q(R(x - (1, 0)))| dx \\
 &\quad + C \frac{R}{2^l} \int_{|x| > 3.2^l/R} \sup_{|k-j| \sim 2^l} |J_j(R|x|)J_k(R|x|)| |x|R^2 |\nabla Q(R(x - (1, 0)))| dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, by Lemma 3, for $|x| \leq 3.2^l/R$,

$$\sup_{|k-j| \sim 2^l} |J_j(R|x|)J_k(R|x|)| \leq C |Rx|^{-5/6}$$

and so,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{|x| \leq 3.2^l/R} \sup_{|k-j| \sim 2^l} |J_j(R|x|)J_k(R|x|)| |x|R^2 |\nabla Q(R(x - (1, 0)))| dx \\
 &\leq CR^{-5/6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^{1/6} R^2 |\nabla Q(R(x - (1, 0)))| dx \\
 &\leq CR^{-5/6}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since for all $l \geq l_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{|x| > 3.2^l/R} \sup_{|k-j| \sim 2^l} |J_j(R|x|)J_k(R|x|)| |x|R^2 |\nabla Q(R(x - (1, 0)))| dx \\
 &\leq C \int_{|x| > 3} |x|R^2 |\nabla Q(R(x - (1, 0)))| dx
 \end{aligned}$$

is rapidly decreasing in R , we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_0^\infty \left| \sum_{j,k} \alpha_j J_j(Rs) \overline{\beta_k J_k(Rs)} \widehat{W}_l(k-j) c_{k-j} F_{k-j}(s, R) \right| s ds \\
 &\leq C \frac{R}{2^l} R^{-5/6} \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 \|\psi\|_\infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Summing in $l \geq l_0$, we obtain

$$|IV| \leq CR^{-5/6} \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 \|\psi\|_\infty$$

as required.

5. The proof of Theorem 1: Part 2

In this section we consider the contribution arising from the remaining indices; $j \leq 0$ and $k \geq 0$.

We recall the notation established at the beginning of Section 4. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $2R \leq N < 2R + 1$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \sum_{j,k} \alpha_j J_j(Rs) \overline{\beta_k J_k(Rs)} c_{k-j} F_{k-j}(s, R) s \, ds \\ &= \int_0^\infty \sum_{l=1}^{l_0-1} \sum_{j,k} \alpha_j J_j(Rs) \overline{\beta_k J_k(Rs)} \widehat{W}_l(k-j-N) c_{k-j} (F_{k-j}(s, R) - F_N(s, R)) s \, ds \\ &+ \int_0^\infty \sum_{j,k} \alpha_j J_j(Rs) \overline{\beta_k J_k(Rs)} \widehat{V}_{2l_1}(k-j-N) c_{k-j} (F_{k-j}(s, R) - F_N(s, R)) s \, ds \\ &+ \int_0^\infty \sum_{j,k} \alpha_j J_j(Rs) \overline{\beta_k J_k(Rs)} \widehat{V}_{2l_0}(k-j-N) c_{k-j} F_N(s, R) s \, ds \\ &+ \int_0^\infty \sum_{l=l_0}^\infty \sum_{j,k} \alpha_j J_j(Rs) \overline{\beta_k J_k(Rs)} \widehat{W}_l(k-j-N) c_{k-j} F_{k-j}(s, R) s \, ds \\ &+ \text{I}' + \text{II}' + \text{III}' + \text{IV}'. \end{aligned}$$

Estimating III': We begin by observing that

$$\int_0^\infty F_N(s, R) s \, ds = O(R^{-1}).$$

To see this we write

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^\infty F_N(s, R) s \, ds \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} R^2 Q(R(se^{i\theta} - 1)) e^{-iN\theta} \, d\theta s \, ds \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} R^2 Q(R(x - (1, 0))) e^{-iN \arg x} \, dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} R^2 Q(R(x - (1, 0))) e^{-i(x-(1,0)) \cdot (0,N)} \left(e^{iN(x_2 - \arg x)} - 1 \right) \, dx \right| \end{aligned}$$

(since \hat{Q} vanishes on $|\xi| = N/R$)

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} R^2 |Q(R(x - (1, 0)))| R |x_2 - \arg x| \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |Q(y)| |y_2 - R \arg(y + (R, 0))| \, dy. \end{aligned}$$

By decomposing the range of integration in the final expression above and using the fact that Q is rapidly decreasing, $O(R^{-1})$ follows.

Let $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ have integral 1, and let $\eta_{1/R} = R\eta(R \cdot)$.

Now, for

$$\lambda = \int_0^\infty F_N(s, R)s \, ds,$$

we write

$$\begin{aligned} \text{III}' &= \int_0^\infty \sum_{j,k} \alpha_j J_j(Rs) \overline{\beta_k J_k(Rs)} \widehat{V}_{2b}(k-j-N) c_{k-j} [F_N(s, R)s - \lambda \eta_{1/R}(s-1)] \, ds \\ &+ \lambda \int_0^\infty \sum_{j,k} \alpha_j J_j(Rs) \overline{\beta_k J_k(Rs)} \widehat{V}_{2b}(k-j-N) c_{k-j} \eta_{1/R}(s-1) \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

To the first term in the above we may apply the same integration by parts argument that we used to control III, since by construction,

$$\int_0^\infty [F_N(s, R)s - \lambda \eta_{1/R}(s-1)] \, ds = 0.$$

The remaining term we trivially control by $cR^{-1} \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 \|\psi\|_\infty$.

Observation: In all of our estimates it is enough to restrict our attention to s satisfying $R|s-1| < R^\varepsilon$, for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$. This is a consequence of the rapid decay of Q , and can be seen as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{s \geq 0: R|s-1| > R^\varepsilon} \sum_{j,k} \alpha_j J_j(Rs) \overline{\beta_k J_k(Rs)} c_{k-j} F_{k-j}(s, R) \, ds \right| \\ &\leq \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 \|\psi\|_\infty \int_{R|x-1| > R^\varepsilon} R^2 |Q(R(x-(1,0)))| \, dx \\ &\leq C_K R^{-K} \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 \|\psi\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

for some constant C_K (depending on ε), for all $K \in \mathbb{N}$.

In the following estimates it will be convenient to make such a restriction on s .

Estimating I': We begin as in the estimation of I. Fix $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $l_1 \leq l \leq l_0$, and $s > 0$ such that $R|s-1| < R^\varepsilon$ for some $0 < \varepsilon < 1/3$. As before, we restrict our attention to sequences $\alpha = \{\alpha_j\}_{|j-j_0| \leq 2^l/10}$, and $\beta = \{\beta_k\}_{|k-k_0| \leq 2^l/10}$, for some j_0 and k_0 satisfying $|k_0 - j_0 - N| \sim 2^l$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \sum_{j,k} \alpha_j J_j(Rs) \overline{\beta_k J_k(Rs)} \widehat{W}_l(k-j-N) c_{k-j} (F_{k-j}(s, R) - F_N(s, R)) \right| \\ &\leq C \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 \|\psi\|_\infty \sup_{|k-j-N| \sim 2^l} |J_j(Rs) J_k(Rs)| \\ &\quad \times \int_0^{2\pi} 2^l |\phi| R^2 |Q(R(se^{i\phi} - 1))| \, d\phi, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where the supremum is restricted to $j \leq 0$ and $k \geq 0$. Since $2^l \geq 2^l \geq (2R)^{1/3}$,

$$\{(j, k) : |k - j - N| \sim 2^l\} \subset \{(j, k) : |k - j - 2Rs| \sim 2^l\}$$

and so (8) is less than or equal to

$$C \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 \|\psi\|_\infty \sup_{|k-j-2Rs| \sim 2^l; j \leq 0, k \geq 0} |J_j(Rs) J_k(Rs)| \\ \times \int_0^{2\pi} 2^l |\phi| R^2 |Q(R(se^{i\phi} - 1))| d\phi.$$

We now proceed as in the analysis of I, but using the second estimate from Lemma 3 rather than the first.

Estimating II' and IV': We may estimate II' and IV' as we did II and IV, respectively, with little complication.

6. Optimality of the decay rate in Theorem 1

We give two examples which demonstrate the sharpness of the decay rate in Theorem 1.

We will say that a function $f : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an ‘ \mathbb{S}^1 -modulated cap’ if

$$f(x) = \chi_C(x) e^{ik \arg x}$$

for some cap $C \subset \mathbb{S}^1$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We will say that f is an ‘ \mathbb{R}^2 -modulated cap’ if

$$f(x) = \chi_C(x) e^{ia \cdot x}$$

for some $C \subset \mathbb{S}^1$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Our first example is in terms of \mathbb{S}^1 -modulated caps, and is the natural example given our proof of Theorem 1. Our second example will be in terms of \mathbb{R}^2 -modulated caps.

6.1. Example 1

The important observation here is that, in a very precise way ‘the operator

$$g \mapsto \widehat{g d\sigma}(R \cdot),$$

restricted to \mathbb{S}^1 , rotates \mathbb{S}^1 -modulated caps, and the angle of rotation depends on the frequency of the modulation’. So, by choosing the frequencies appropriately, we can ‘run the caps into each other’.

Notation. For a cap $C \subset \mathbb{S}^1$ we denote by C^* the cap with the same centre as C but with half the angular length (the ‘concentric half’ of C).

Let C_1 and C_2 be 1-caps on \mathbb{S}^1 centred at $(1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$, respectively. Let

$$f(x) = \chi_{C_1}(x)e^{iR \arg x}$$

and

$$g(x) = \chi_{C_2 \cup (-C_2)}(x).$$

Now,

$$\widehat{f d\sigma}(R\xi) = \int_{C_1} e^{iR(\theta - \cos(\theta - \arg \xi))} d\theta$$

and

$$\widehat{g d\sigma}(R\xi) = \int_{C_2 \cup (-C_2)} e^{-iR \cos(\theta - \arg \xi)} d\theta$$

for $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^1$. Let $h_1(\theta) = \cos(\theta - \arg \xi) - \theta$, and $h_2(\theta) = \cos(\theta - \arg \xi)$. We observe that

$$h'_1(\theta) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \theta = \arg \xi - \pi/2$$

and

$$h'_2(\theta) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \theta = \arg \xi, \quad \arg \xi + \pi$$

It is now easy to see that

$$\widehat{f d\sigma}(R\xi) = e^{iR \arg \xi} J_R(R) + O(R^{-1})$$

on $C_1^* + \{\pi/2\}$ (C_1^* rotated anticlockwise through $\pi/2$). Similarly,

$$\widehat{g d\sigma}(R\xi) = J_0(R) + O(R^{-1})$$

on $C_2^* \cup (-C_2^*)$. From the optimal⁴ asymptotic estimates,

$$|J_R(R)| \sim R^{-1/3}, \text{ and } |J_0(R)| \lesssim R^{-1/2},$$

⁴Optimal from the point of view of the decay exponents; in particular, we refer the reader to Watson ([9, p. 260]) for the estimate for $J_R(R)$.

we may now conclude that since $C_1^* + \{\pi/2\} = C_2^*$, the estimate

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\widehat{f d\sigma}(R\xi) \widehat{g d\sigma}(R\xi)| d\sigma(\xi) \lesssim R^{-5/6} \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2,$$

is also optimal.

Remark. If f is an \mathbb{S}^1 -modulated cap with frequency k , ($0 < k < R$), then we get some intermediate rotation (between 0 and $\pi/2$) given by the critical points of the phase $R \cos(\theta - \arg \xi) + k\theta$.

6.2. Example 2

Let $\mathfrak{C} \subset \mathbb{S}^1$ be a cap of angular length 1, centred at the north pole $(0, 1)$. Let $c \subset \mathbb{S}^1$ be a cap of angular length $R^{-1/3}$, centred at the point $(1, 0)$. We now choose

$$g(x) = \chi_c(x) e^{iR x^2}$$

and

$$f(x) = \chi_{\mathfrak{C} \cup (-\mathfrak{C})}(x) e^{iRa \cdot x},$$

where $a = (0, 1 - 2R^{-1/3})$. By easy considerations, there exists an absolute constant $c > 0$ such that

$$|\widehat{g d\sigma}(R\xi)| \geq c R^{-1/3} \chi_T(\xi), \tag{9}$$

where T is the rectangle of dimensions $R^{-2/3} \times R^{-1/3}$, centred at $(0, 1)$ with long side pointing in the direction $(1, 0)$. By arguments similar to those in Example 1, $(\chi_{\mathfrak{C} \cup (-\mathfrak{C})} d\sigma)^\wedge(R\xi)$ is well approximated by $\widehat{d\sigma}(R\xi)$ on the cone

$$\Gamma = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |\xi_2| \geq 2|\xi_1|\},$$

with an error of order $(1 + R|\xi|)^{-1}$. Hence, for $R|\xi - a| \gg 1$,

$$\widehat{f d\sigma}(R\xi) = \widehat{d\sigma}(R|\xi - a|) + O((R|\xi - a|)^{-1})$$

on $\Gamma + \{a\}$. By stationary phase (see [7]) we have the asymptotic estimate

$$\widehat{d\sigma}(X) = c|X|^{-1/2} \cos(|X| - \pi/4) + O(|X|^{-3/2}), \quad \text{as } |X| \rightarrow \infty$$

and so, for $R|\xi - a| \gg 1$,

$$\widehat{f d\sigma}(R\xi) = c(R|\xi - a|)^{-1/2} \cos(R|\xi - a| - \pi/4) + O((R|\xi - a|)^{-1})$$

on $\Gamma + \{a\}$.

Merely to avoid irrelevant technicalities, let us suppose that

$$|\widehat{f d\sigma}(R\xi)| \geq c(1 + R|\xi - a|)^{-1/2}$$

on $\Gamma + \{a\}$.

Now, by construction, $|T \cap \mathbb{S}^1| \sim R^{-1/3}$, and on $T \subset \Gamma + \{a\}$, $|\widehat{f d\sigma}(R\xi)| \geq cR^{-1/3}$. Since $\|f\|_2 \sim 1$ and $\|g\|_2 \sim R^{-1/6}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\widehat{f d\sigma}(R\xi) \widehat{g d\sigma}(R\xi)| d\sigma(\xi) \geq cR^{-1} \sim R^{-5/6} \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2,$$

as required.

Finally, we remark that Example 1 has very much in common with the example in [3, Section 3]

References

- [1] J.A. Barceló, Tesis Doctoral, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 1988.
- [2] J.A. Barceló, A. Ruiz, L. Vega, Weighted estimates for the Helmholtz equation and consequences, *J. Funct. Anal.* 150 (2) (1997) 356–382.
- [3] J.M. Bennett, A. Vargas, Randomised circular means of Fourier transforms of measures, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 131 (2003) 117–217.
- [4] A. Carbery, F. Soria, Pointwise Fourier inversion and localisation in \mathbb{R}^n , *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* 3 (special issue) (1997) 847–858.
- [5] A. Carbery, F. Soria, A. Vargas, Localisation of spherical Fourier means, preprint, 2001.
- [6] E. Romera, Tesis Doctoral, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 1991.
- [7] E.M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
- [8] T. Tao, A. Vargas, L. Vega, A bilinear approach to the restriction and Keakeya conjectures, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 11 (1998) 967–1000.
- [9] G.N. Watson, *Theory of Bessel Functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1944.